

# $\Gamma$ -Semigroups in which Prime $\Gamma$ -Ideals are Maximal

S. Savithri<sup>1</sup>, A. Gangadhara Rao<sup>2</sup>, A. Anjaneyulu<sup>3</sup>, J. M. Pradeep<sup>4</sup>

*Dept. of Mathematics*

<sup>1</sup>YA Govt Degree College For Women, Chirala, <sup>2,3</sup>V S R and N V R College, Tenali, <sup>4</sup>AC College, Guntur

**ABSTRACT:** In this paper, the terms, Maximal  $\Gamma$ -ideal, primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup and Prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal are introduced. It is proved that if  $S$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup with identity and if (non zero, assume this if  $S$  has zero) proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  are maximal then  $S$  is primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. Also it is proved that if  $S$  is a right cancellative quasi commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup and if  $S$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup or a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup in which semiprimary  $\Gamma$ -ideals are primary, then for any primary  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $Q$ ,  $\sqrt{Q}$  is non-maximal implies  $Q = \sqrt{Q}$  is prime. It is proved that if  $S$  is a right cancellative quasi commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup with identity, then 1) Proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  are maximal. 2)  $S$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. 3) Semiprimary  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  are primary, 4) If  $x$  and  $y$  are not units in  $S$ , then there exists natural numbers  $n$  and  $m$  such that  $(x\Gamma)^{n-1}x = y\Gamma s$  and  $(y\Gamma)^{m-1}y = x\Gamma r$ . For some  $s, r \in S$  are equivalent. Also it is proved that if  $S$  is a duo  $\Gamma$ -semigroup with identity, then 1) Proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  are maximal. 2)  $S$  is either a  $\Gamma$ -group and so Archimedean or  $S$  has a unique prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $P$  such that  $S = G \cup P$ , where  $G$  is the  $\Gamma$ -group of units in  $S$  and  $P$  is an Archimedean sub  $\Gamma$ -semigroup of  $S$  are equivalent. In either case  $S$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup and  $S$  has at most one idempotent different from identity. It is proved that if  $S$  is a duo  $\Gamma$ -semigroup without identity, then  $S$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup in which proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals are maximal if and only if  $S$  is an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. It is also proved that if  $S$  is a quasi commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup containing cancellable elements, then 1) The proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  are maximal. 2)  $S$  is a  $\Gamma$ -group or  $S$  is a cancellative Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup not containing identity or  $S$  is an extension of an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup by a  $\Gamma$ -group  $S$  containing an identity are equivalent.

**Mathematical subject classification (2010):**  
20M07; 20M11; 20M12.

**KEY WORDS:**  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, Maximal  $\Gamma$ -ideal, primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, left (right) identity, identity, Zero element and Prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal.

## 1. INTRODUCTION:

$\Gamma$ -semigroup was introduced by Sen and Saha [10] as a generalization of semigroup. Anjaneyulu. A [1], [2] and [3] initiated the study of pseudo symmetric ideals, radicals and semi pseudo symmetric ideals in semigroups. Giri and Wazalwar [4] initiated the study of prime radicals in semigroups. Madhusudhana Rao, Anjaneyulu and Gangadhara Rao [5], [6], [7] and [8] initiated the study of prime radicals and semi pseudo symmetric  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $\Gamma$ -semigroups, primary and semiprimary  $\Gamma$ -ideals and pseudo symmetric  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $\Gamma$ -semigroups. In this paper we characterize Quasi Commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, semi pseudo symmetric  $\Gamma$ -semigroups and quasi commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroups containing cancellable elements in which proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals are maximal. We first cite a wide class of primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroups.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES:

**DEFINITION 2.1 :** Let  $S$  and  $\Gamma$  be any two non-empty sets. Then  $S$  is said to be a  **$\Gamma$ -semigroup** if there exist a mapping from  $S \times \Gamma \times S$  to  $S$  which maps  $(a, \gamma, b) \rightarrow a\gamma b$  satisfying the condition :  $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$  for all  $a, b, c \in S$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**NOTE 2.2 :** Let  $S$  be a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. If  $A$  and  $B$  are two subsets of  $S$ , we shall denote the set  $\{a\gamma b : a \in A, b \in B \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  by  $A\Gamma B$ .

**DEFINITION 2.3 :** A  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be **commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup** provided  $a\gamma b = b\gamma a$  for all  $a, b \in S$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**NOTE 2.4 :** If  $S$  is a commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup then  $a\Gamma b = b\Gamma a$  for all  $a, b \in S$ .

**NOTE 2.5 :** Let  $S$  be a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup and  $a, b \in S$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Then  $aaaab$  is denoted by  $(a\alpha)^2b$  and consequently  $a\alpha a\alpha a\alpha \dots (n \text{ terms})b$  is denoted by  $(a\alpha)^n b$ .

**DEFINITION 2.6:** A  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be **quasi commutative** provided for each  $a, b \in S$ , there exists a natural number  $n$  such that  $a\gamma b = (b\gamma)^n a \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**NOTE 2.7 :** If a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is *quasi commutative* then for each  $a, b \in S$ , there exists a natural number  $n$  such that,  $a\Gamma b = (b\Gamma)^n a$ .

**DEFINITION 2.8 :** An element  $a$  of a  $\Gamma$ - semigroup  $S$  is said to be a *left identity* of  $S$  provided  $a\alpha s = s$  for all  $s \in S$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**DEFINITION 2.9:** An element  $a$  of a  $\Gamma$ - semigroup  $S$  is said to be a *right identity of  $S$*  provided  $s\alpha a = s$  for all  $s \in S$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**DEFINITION 2.10 :** An element  $a$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a *two sided identity* or an identity provided it is both a left identity and a right identity of  $S$ .

**NOTATION 2.11 :** Let  $S$  be a  $\Gamma$ - semigroup. If  $S$  has an identity, let  $S^1 = S$  and if  $S$  does not have an identity, let  $S^1$  be the  $\Gamma$ - semigroup  $S$  with an identity adjoined, usually denoted by the symbol  $1$ .

**DEFINITION 2.12 :** An element  $a$  of a  $\Gamma$ - semigroup  $S$  is said to be a *left zero* of  $S$  provided  $a\Gamma s = a$  for all  $s$  belongs  $S$ .

**DEFINITION 2.13 :** An element  $a$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a *right zero* of  $S$  provided  $s\Gamma a = a$  for all  $s$  belongs  $S$ .

**DEFINITION 2.14 :** An element  $a$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a *zero* of  $S$  provided it is both left and right zero of  $S$ .

**DEFINITION 2.15 :** A nonempty subset  $A$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a *left  $\Gamma$ -ideal* of  $S$  if  $s \in S, a \in A, \alpha \in \Gamma$  implies  $s\alpha a \in A$ .

**NOTE 2.16:** A nonempty subset  $A$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is a *left  $\Gamma$ - ideal* of  $S$  iff  $S\Gamma A \subseteq A$ .

**DEFINITION 2.17:** A nonempty subset  $A$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a *right  $\Gamma$ -ideal* of  $S$  if  $s \in S, a \in A, \alpha \in \Gamma$  implies  $a\alpha s \in A$ .

**NOTE 2.18 :** A nonempty subset  $A$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is a *right  $\Gamma$ - ideal* of  $S$  iff  $A\Gamma S \subseteq A$ .

**DEFINITION 2.19 :** A nonempty subset  $A$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a *two sided  $\Gamma$ -ideal* or simply a  *$\Gamma$ - ideal* of  $S$  if  $s \in S, a \in A, \alpha \in \Gamma$  imply  $s\alpha a \in A, a\alpha s \in A$ .

**DEFINITION 2.20 :** A  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $A$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a *maximal  $\Gamma$ -ideal* provided  $A$  is a proper  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $S$  and is not properly contained in any proper  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $S$ .

**DEFINITION 2.21:** A  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $P$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a *completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal* provided  $x, y \in S$  and  $x\Gamma y \subseteq P$  implies either  $x \in P$  or  $y \in P$ .

**DEFINITION 2.22:** A  $\Gamma$ - ideal  $P$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a *prime  $\Gamma$ - ideal* provided  $A, B$  are two  $\Gamma$ -ideals of  $S$  and  $A\Gamma B \subseteq P \Rightarrow$  either  $A \subseteq P$  or  $B \subseteq P$ .

**DEFINITION 2.23:** A  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $A$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a *completely semiprime  $\Gamma$ - ideal* provided  $x\Gamma x \subseteq A ; x \in S$  implies  $x \in A$ .

**DEFINITION 2.24:** A  $\Gamma$ - ideal  $A$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a *semiprime  $\Gamma$ - ideal* provided  $x \in S, x\Gamma S^1\Gamma x \subseteq A$  implies  $x \in A$ .

**DEFINITION 2.25:** If  $A$  is a  $\Gamma$ -ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$ , then the intersection of all prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals of  $S$  containing  $A$  is called *prime  $\Gamma$ -radical* or simply  *$\Gamma$ -radical* of  $A$  and it is denoted by  $\sqrt{A}$  or *rad  $A$* .

**THEOREM 2.26 [5]:** If  $A$  is a  $\Gamma$ -ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  then  $\sqrt{A}$  is a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $S$ .

**THEOREM 2.27 [5]:** A  $\Gamma$ - ideal  $Q$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is a semiprime  $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $S$  iff  $\sqrt{(Q)} = Q$  implies  $x\Gamma S^1\Gamma y \subseteq A$ .

**DEFINITION 2.28 :** An element  $a$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be *left cancellative* provided  $a\Gamma x = a\Gamma y$  for all  $x, y \in S$  implies  $x = y$ .

**DEFINITION 2.29:** An element  $a$  of a  $\Gamma$ - semigroup  $S$  is said to be *right cancellative* provided  $x\Gamma a = y\Gamma a$  for all  $x, y \in S$  implies  $x = y$ .

**DEFINITION 2.30:** An element  $a$  of a  $\Gamma$ - semigroup  $S$  is said to be *cancellative* provided it is both left and right cancellative element.

**DEFINITION 2.31:** A  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $A$  of a  $\Gamma$ - semigroup  $S$  is said to be semiprimary provided  $\sqrt{A}$  is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $S$ .

**DEFINITION 2.32:** A  $\Gamma$ - semigroup  $S$  is said to be a semiprimary  $\Gamma$ - semigroup provided every  $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $S$  is a semiprimary  $\Gamma$ - ideal.

**DEFINITION 2.33 :** A  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $A$  of a  $\Gamma$ - semigroup  $S$  is said to be a *left primary  $\Gamma$ -ideal* provided

- i) If  $X, Y$  are two  $\Gamma$ -ideals of  $S$  such that  $X\Gamma Y \subseteq A$  and  $Y \not\subseteq A$  then  $X \subseteq \sqrt{A}$ .
- ii)  $\sqrt{A}$  is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $S$ .

**DEFINITION 2.34 :** A  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $A$  of a  $\Gamma$ - semigroup  $S$  is said to be a *right primary  $\Gamma$ -ideal* provided

- i) If  $X, Y$  are two  $\Gamma$ -ideals of  $S$  such that  $X\Gamma Y \subseteq A$  and  $X \not\subseteq A$  then  $Y \subseteq \sqrt{A}$ .
- ii)  $\sqrt{A}$  is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $S$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.35 :** Let  $S = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\Gamma = \{x, y, z\}$ . Define a binary operation  $\cdot$  in  $S$  as shown in the following table.

$\cdot$	$a$	$b$	$c$
$a$	$a$	$a$	$a$
$b$	$a$	$a$	$a$
$c$	$a$	$b$	$c$

Define a mapping  $S \times \Gamma \times S \rightarrow S$  by  $a \alpha b = ab$ , for all  $a, b \in S$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . It is easy to see that  $S$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. Now consider the  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $\langle a \rangle = S^l \Gamma a \Gamma S^r = \{a\}$ . Let  $p \Gamma q \subseteq \langle a \rangle, p \notin \langle a \rangle \Rightarrow q \in \sqrt{\langle a \rangle} \Rightarrow (q \Gamma)^{n-1} q \subseteq \langle a \rangle$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $b \Gamma c \subseteq \langle a \rangle, c \notin \langle a \rangle \Rightarrow b \in \langle a \rangle$ . Therefore  $\langle a \rangle$  is left primary. If  $b \notin \langle a \rangle$  then  $(c \Gamma)^{n-1} c \notin \langle a \rangle$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow c \notin \sqrt{\langle a \rangle}$ . Therefore  $\langle a \rangle$  is not right primary.

**DEFINITION 2.36:** A  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $A$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a **primary  $\Gamma$ -ideal** provided  $A$  is both left primary  $\Gamma$ -ideal and right primary  $\Gamma$ -ideal.

**DEFINITION 2.37:** A  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $A$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a **principal  $\Gamma$ -ideal** provided  $A$  is a  $\Gamma$ -ideal generated by a single element  $a$ . It is denoted by  $J[a] = \langle a \rangle$ .

**DEFINITION 2.38:** An element  $a$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  with  $1$  is said to be **left invertible** or **left unit** provided there is an element  $b \in S$  such that  $b \Gamma a = 1$ .

**DEFINITION 2.39:** An element  $a$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  with  $1$  is said to be **right invertible** or **right unit** provided there is an element  $b \in S$  such that  $a \Gamma b = 1$ .

**DEFINITION 2.40:** An element  $a$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be **invertible** or a **Unit** in  $S$  provided it is both left and right invertible element in  $S$ .

**DEFINITION 2.41:** A  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $A$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be **pseudo symmetric** provided  $x, y \in S, x \Gamma y \subseteq A$  implies  $x \Gamma s \Gamma y \subseteq A$ , for all  $s \in S$ .

**NOTE 2.42:** A  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $A$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is **pseudo symmetric** iff  $x, y \in S, x \Gamma y \subseteq A$  implies  $x \Gamma S^l \Gamma y \subseteq A$ .

**DEFINITION 2.43:** A  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be **pseudo symmetric** provided every  $\Gamma$ -ideal is a pseudo symmetric  $\Gamma$ -ideal.

**DEFINITION 2.44:** A  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $A$  in a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a **semi pseudo symmetric  $\Gamma$ -ideal** provided for any natural number  $n, x \in S, (x \Gamma)^{n-1} x \subseteq A \Rightarrow (\langle x \rangle \Gamma)^{n-1} \langle x \rangle \subseteq A$ .

**DEFINITION 2.45:** A  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be an **Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup** provided for any  $a, b \in S$ , there exists a natural number  $n$  such that  $(a \Gamma)^{n-1} a \subseteq \langle b \rangle$ .

**DEFINITION 2.46:** A  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a **strongly Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup** provided for any  $a, b \in S$ , there is a natural number  $n$  such that  $(\langle a \rangle \Gamma)^{n-1} \langle a \rangle \subseteq \langle b \rangle$ .

**DEFINITION 2.47:** An element  $a$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be **semisimple** provided  $a \in \langle a \rangle \Gamma \langle a \rangle$ , that is,  $\langle a \rangle \Gamma \langle a \rangle = \langle a \rangle$ .

**DEFINITION 2.48:** A  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be **semisimple  $\Gamma$ -semigroup** provided every element is a semisimple.

**DEFINITION 2.49:** A  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a **simple  $\Gamma$ -semigroup** provided  $S$  has no proper  $\Gamma$ -ideals.

**DEFINITION 2.50:** An element  $a$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a  **$\Gamma$ -idempotent** provided  $a \alpha a = a$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**NOTE 2.51:** If an element  $a$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is a  **$\Gamma$ -idempotent**, then  $a \Gamma a = a$ .

**DEFINITION 2.52:** A  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be an **idempotent  $\Gamma$ -semigroup** or a **band** provided every element in  $S$  is a  $\Gamma$ -idempotent.

**DEFINITION 2.53:** A  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a **globally idempotent  $\Gamma$ -semigroup** provided  $S \Gamma S = S$ .

**DEFINITION 2.54:** A  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a **left duo  $\Gamma$ -semigroup** provided every left  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $S$  is a two sided  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $S$ .

**DEFINITION 2.55:** A  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a **right duo  $\Gamma$ -semigroup** provided every right  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $S$  is a two sided  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $S$ .

**DEFINITION 2.56:** A  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a **duo  $\Gamma$ -semigroup** provided it is both a left duo  $\Gamma$ -semigroup and a right duo  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

**DEFINITION 2.57:** A non empty subset  $P$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be an **Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -sub semigroup** of  $S$  provided  $P$  is itself an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

**DEFINITION 2.58:** An element  $a$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be **regular** provided  $a = ax\beta a$  for some  $x \in S$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . i.e,  $a \in a\Gamma S\Gamma a$ .

**DEFINITION 2.59:** A  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a **regular  $\Gamma$ -semigroup** provided every element is regular.

**DEFINITION 2.60:** An element  $a$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be **left regular** provided  $a = a\alpha\beta x$ , for some  $x \in S$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . i.e,  $a \in a\Gamma a$ .

**DEFINITION 2.61:** An element  $a$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be **right regular** provided  $a = x\alpha\beta a$ , for some  $x \in S$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . i.e,  $a \in S\Gamma a$ .

**DEFINITION 2.62:** An element  $a$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be **completely regular** provided there exists an element  $x \in S$  such that  $a = a\alpha x\beta a$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  and  $a\alpha x = x\beta a$ , for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . i.e,  $a \in a\Gamma x\Gamma a$  and  $a\Gamma x = x\Gamma a$ .

**DEFINITION 2.63:** A  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a **completely regular  $\Gamma$ -Semigroup** provided every element is completely regular.

**DEFINITION 2.64:** An element  $a$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be **intra regular** provided  $a = x\alpha\beta a\gamma\gamma$  for some  $x, y \in S$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**THEOREM 2.65 [5] :** If  $A$  and  $B$  are any two  $\Gamma$ -ideals of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$ , then

- (1)  $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \sqrt{(A)} \subseteq \sqrt{(B)}$ ,
- (2)  $\sqrt{(A \Gamma B)} = \sqrt{(A \cap B)} = \sqrt{(A)} \cap \sqrt{(B)}$ .
- (3)  $\sqrt{(\sqrt{(A)})} = \sqrt{(A)}$ .

**THEOREM 2.66[6]:** Let  $A$  be a semi pseudo symmetric  $\Gamma$ -ideal in a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$ . Then the following are equivalent.

- 1)  $A_I =$  The intersection of all completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  containing  $A$ .
- 2)  $A_I' =$  The intersection of all minimal completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  containing  $A$ .
- 3)  $A_I'' =$  The minimal completely semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ideal relative to containing  $A$ .
- 4)  $A_2 = \{ x \in S : (x \Gamma)^{n-1}x \subseteq A \text{ for some natural number } n \}$ .
- 5)  $A_3 =$  The intersection of all prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals containing  $A$ .
- 6)  $A_3' =$  The intersection of all minimal prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals containing  $A$ .
- 7)  $A_3'' =$  The minimal semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ideal relative to containing  $A$ .
- 8)  $A_4 = \{ x \in S : (\langle x \rangle \Gamma)^{n-1} \subseteq A \text{ for some natural number } n \}$ .

**THEOREM 2.67 [6]:** If  $S$  is a semi pseudo symmetric  $\Gamma$ -semigroup then the following are equivalent .

- 1)  $S$  is strongly Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.
- 2)  $S$  is an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.
- 3)  $S$  has no proper completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals.
- 4)  $S$  has no proper completely semi prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals.
- 5)  $S$  has no proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals.
- 6)  $S$  has no proper semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ideals.

**THEOREM 2.68 [7]:** Let  $S$  be a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup with identity and let  $M$  be the unique maximal  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $S$ . If  $\sqrt{A} = M$  for some  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $S$ . Then  $A$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -ideal.

**THEOREM 2.69 [7]:** A  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is semiprimary iff prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals of  $S$  form a chain under set inclusion.

**THEOREM 2.70 [7]:** If  $S$  is a duo  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then the following are equivalent for any element  $a \in S$ .

- 1)  $a$  is completely regular.
- 2)  $a$  is regular.
- 3)  $a$  is left regular.
- 4)  $a$  is right regular.
- 5)  $a$  is intra regular.
- 6)  $a$  is semisimple .

**THEOREM 2.71 [8]:** Every duo  $\Gamma$ -semigroup is a pseudo symmetric  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

**THEOREM 2.72 [6]:** Every pseudo symmetric  $\Gamma$ -semigroup is a semi pseudo symmetric  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

**THEOREM 2.73 [8]:** Every commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup is a pseudo symmetric  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

**DEFINITION 2.74:** A  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be a  $\Gamma$ -group provided  $S$  has no left and right  $\Gamma$ -ideals.

### 3.PRIME $\Gamma$ -IDEALS ARE MAXIMAL $\Gamma$ -IDEALS:

**THEOREM 3.1:** Let  $S$  be a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup with identity. If ( non zero, assume this if  $S$  has zero) proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  are maximal then  $S$  is primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

*Proof:* Since  $S$  contains identity,  $S$  has a unique maximal  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $M$ , which is the union of all proper  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$ . If  $A$  is a (non zero) proper  $\Gamma$ -ideal in  $S$ , then  $\sqrt{A} = M$  and hence by theorem 2.68,  $A$  is primary  $\Gamma$ -ideal. If  $S$  has zero and if  $\langle 0 \rangle$  is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal, then  $\langle 0 \rangle$  is primary and hence  $S$  is primary. If  $\langle 0 \rangle$  is not a prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal, then  $\sqrt{\langle 0 \rangle} = M$  and hence by theorem 2.68,  $\langle 0 \rangle$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -ideal. Therefore  $S$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

**Note 3.2:** If the  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  has no identity, then from example 2.35 we remark that theorem 3.1 is not true even if the  $\Gamma$ -semigroup has a unique maximal  $\Gamma$ -ideal. The converse of the above theorem is not true even if the  $\Gamma$ -semigroup is commutative.

**Example 3.3:** Let  $S = \{a, b, 1\}$  and  $\Gamma = S$ . Define a binary operation  $\cdot$  in  $S$  as shown in the following table.

$\cdot$	$a$	$b$	$1$
$a$	$a$	$a$	$a$
$b$	$a$	$b$	$b$
$1$	$a$	$b$	$1$

Now  $S$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup in which the prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $\langle a \rangle$  is not a maximal  $\Gamma$ -ideal.

**THEOREM 3.4:** Let  $S$  be a right cancellative quasi commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. If  $S$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ - semigroup or a  $\Gamma$ - semigroup in which semiprimary  $\Gamma$ - ideals are primary, then for any primary  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $Q$ ,  $\sqrt[2]{Q}$  is non-maximal implies  $Q = \sqrt[2]{Q}$  is prime.

*Proof:* Since  $\sqrt[2]{Q}$  is non maximal, there exists a  $\Gamma$ - ideal  $A$  in  $S$  such that  $\sqrt[2]{Q} \subseteq A \subseteq S$ . Let  $a \in A \setminus \sqrt[2]{Q}$  and  $b \in \sqrt[2]{Q}$ . Now  $Q \subseteq Q \cup \langle a \gamma b \rangle \subseteq \sqrt[2]{Q}$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . This implies by theorem 2.65,  $\sqrt[2]{Q} \subseteq \sqrt[2]{Q} \cup \langle a \Gamma b \rangle \subseteq \sqrt[2]{\sqrt[2]{Q}} = \sqrt[2]{Q}$ . Hence  $\sqrt[2]{Q} \cup \langle a \gamma b \rangle = \sqrt[2]{Q}$ , for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Thus by hypothesis  $Q \cup \langle a \Gamma b \rangle$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -ideal. Let  $s \in S \setminus A$ . Then  $a \Gamma s \Gamma b \subseteq Q \cup \langle a \Gamma b \rangle$ . Since  $a \notin \sqrt[2]{Q} = \sqrt[2]{Q} \cup \langle a \Gamma b \rangle$  and  $Q \cup \langle a \Gamma b \rangle$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -ideal,  $s \Gamma b \subseteq Q \cup \langle a \Gamma b \rangle$ . If  $s \gamma b \in \langle a \Gamma b \rangle$ , then  $s a b = r \beta a \gamma b$  for some  $r \in S$  and  $a, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$  and hence by right cancellative property, we have  $s = r \beta a \in A$ , implies  $s \in A$  it is a contradiction. Thus  $s \gamma b \in Q$ , which implies, since  $s \notin \sqrt[2]{Q}$ ,  $b \in Q$  and hence  $\sqrt[2]{Q} = Q$ . Therefore  $Q = \sqrt[2]{Q}$  and so  $Q$  is prime.

**THEOREM 3.5:** Let  $S$  be a right cancellative quasi commutative  $\Gamma$ - semigroup. If  $S$  is either a primary  $\Gamma$ - semigroup or a  $\Gamma$ - semigroup in which semiprimary  $\Gamma$ - ideals are primary, then proper prime  $\Gamma$ - ideals in  $S$  are maximal.

*Proof:* First we show that if  $P$  is a minimal prime  $\Gamma$ - ideal containing a principal  $\Gamma$ - ideal  $\langle d \rangle$ , then  $P$  is a maximal  $\Gamma$ - ideal. Suppose  $P$  is not a maximal  $\Gamma$ - ideal. Write  $M = S \setminus P$  and  $A = \{x \in S; x a m \in \langle d \rangle, \text{ for some } m \in M, a \in \Gamma\}$ . Clearly  $A$  is a

$\Gamma$ - ideal in  $S$ . If  $x \in A$  then  $x a m \in \langle d \rangle \subseteq P$  and hence  $x \in P$ . So  $A \subseteq P$ . Let  $b \in P$  and suppose  $N = \{(ba)^{k-1} b \beta m \text{ such that } m \in M, a, \beta \in \Gamma \text{ and } k \text{ is a nonnegative integer}\}$ . Now  $N$  is a  $\Gamma$ -sub semigroup containing  $M$  properly. Since  $b a m \in N$  and  $b a m \notin M$ . Since  $P$  is a minimal prime  $\Gamma$ - ideal containing  $\langle d \rangle$ ,  $M$  is a maximal  $\Gamma$ -sub semigroup not meeting  $\langle d \rangle$ . Since  $N$  contains  $M$  properly we have  $N \cap \langle d \rangle \neq \emptyset$ . So there exists a natural number  $k$  such that  $(b \gamma)^k m \in \langle d \rangle$  this implies  $(b a)^{k-1} b \in A$  implies  $b \in \sqrt[2]{A}$  therefore  $P \subseteq \sqrt[2]{A} \subseteq \sqrt[2]{P} = P$ . So  $P = \sqrt[2]{A}$ . Now by hypothesis,  $A$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ - ideal. Since  $P$  is not a maximal  $\Gamma$ -ideal, we have by theorem 3.4,  $P = A$ . Now  $P$  is also minimal prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal containing  $\langle d \gamma d \rangle$ . Let  $B = \{y \in S : y a m \in \langle d \gamma d \rangle \text{ for some } m \in M, a \in \Gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ . As before we have  $P = B$ . Since  $d \in P = A = B$  we have  $d \gamma m = s a d \delta d$  for some  $s \in S^1, a, \delta \in \Gamma$ . Since  $S$  is a quasi commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup,  $d a m = (m a)^n d$  for some natural number  $n$  implies  $(m \Gamma)^n d = s \Gamma d \Gamma d$  implies  $(m \Gamma)^{n-1} m \Gamma d = s \Gamma d \Gamma d$  implies  $(m \Gamma)^{n-1} m = s \Gamma d \subseteq \langle d \rangle$ . This is a contradiction. So  $P$  is a maximal  $\Gamma$ - ideal. Now if  $P$  is any proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal, then for any  $d \in P$ ,  $\langle d \rangle$  is contained in a minimal prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal, which is maximal by the above and hence  $p$  is a maximal  $\Gamma$ -ideal.

**THEOREM 3.6:** If  $S$  is a cancellative commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup such that  $S$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup or in  $S$  a  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $A$  is primary if and only if  $\sqrt[2]{A}$  is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal, then the proper  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  are maximal.

*Proof:* The proof of this theorem is a direct consequence of theorem 3.5.

**THEOREM 3.7:** Let  $S$  be a right cancellative quasi commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup with identity. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1) Proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  are maximal.
- 2)  $S$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.
- 3) Semiprimary  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  are primary.
- 4) If  $x$  and  $y$  are not units in  $S$ , then there exists natural numbers  $n$  and  $m$  such that  $(x \Gamma)^{n-1} x = y \Gamma s$  and  $(y \Gamma)^{m-1} y = x \Gamma r$ . For some  $s, r \in S$ .

*Proof:* Combining theorem 3.1 and 3.5 we have 1), 2) and 3) are equivalent; Assume 1). Since  $S$  contains identity, then  $S$  has a unique maximal  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $M$  which is the only prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal in  $S$ . If  $x$  and  $y$  are not units, then  $x, y \in M$  implies  $\sqrt[2]{\langle x \rangle} = \sqrt[2]{\langle y \rangle} = M$  implies  $x \in \sqrt[2]{\langle y \rangle}, y \in \sqrt[2]{\langle x \rangle}$ , implies  $(x a)^{n-1} x \in \langle y \rangle, (y \beta)^{m-1} y \in \langle x \rangle, a, \beta \in \Gamma$  implies  $(x \Gamma)^{n-1} x = y \Gamma s, (y \Gamma)^{m-1} y = x \Gamma r, r, s \in S$ . Assume 4). Let  $x \Gamma y \subseteq A$ ,  $A$  is semiprimary. If  $x$  is a unit in  $S$  then  $y \in A$ . If  $y$  is a unit in  $S$  then  $x \in A$ . If  $y \notin A$  and  $(x \gamma)^{n-1} x = y \gamma s$  implies  $(x \gamma)^n x = x \gamma \gamma s \in A$  implies  $x \in \sqrt[2]{A}$ . Therefore if  $x \gamma \gamma \in A, y \notin A$  implies  $x \in \sqrt[2]{A}$ . Therefore  $A$  is left primary.

Similarly A is right primary, Hence A is primary. Therefore S is primary.

**THEOREM 3.8:** Let S be a right cancellative quasi commutative  $\Gamma$ -Semigroup not containing identity. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1) S is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.
- 2) Semiprimary  $\Gamma$ -ideals in S are primary  $\Gamma$ -ideals.
- 3) S has no proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals.
- 4) If  $x, y \in S$ , there exists natural numbers  $n, m$  such that  $(x \Gamma)^{n-1} x = y \Gamma s, (y \Gamma)^{m-1} y = x \Gamma r$ , for some  $s, r \in S$ .

*Proof :* 1) implies 2) is clear. Assume 2), by theorem 3.5, proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in S are maximal and hence if P is any prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal, P is maximal. Now  $S \setminus P$  is a  $\Gamma$ - group. Let  $e$  be the identity of the  $\Gamma$ - group  $S \setminus P$ . Now  $e$  is an idempotent in S and since S is a right cancellative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup,  $e$  is a right identity of S. Since S is a quasi commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup idempotent in S are commutative with every element of S and hence  $e$  is the identity of S. It is a contradiction. So S has no proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals. Therefore 2) implies 3). Assume 3). Since S has no proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals, we have for any  $\Gamma$ -ideal A of S,  $\sqrt{A} = S$ . Let  $x, y \in S$ . Now  $\sqrt{\langle x \rangle} = \sqrt{\langle y \rangle} = S$ , implies  $x \in \sqrt{\langle y \rangle}, y \in \sqrt{\langle x \rangle}$  implies  $(x \alpha)^{n-1} x = y \beta s, (y \gamma)^{m-1} y = x \delta r$ , for some  $s, r \in S$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ . Therefore 3) implies 4). Assume 4). Let A be any  $\Gamma$ -ideal in S. Let  $x \alpha y \in A$ , for some  $\alpha \in \Gamma, y \notin A, x, y \in S$ , implies  $(x \Gamma)^{n-1} x = y \Gamma s$  implies  $(x \gamma)^n x = x \gamma \gamma s \in A$  implies  $x \in \sqrt{A}$ . Therefore if  $x \gamma y \in A, y \notin A$  implies  $x \in \sqrt{A}$ . Therefore A is left primary. Similarly A is right primary. Hence A is primary. Therefore S is primary.

**THEOREM 3.9:** Let S be a right cancellative quasi commutative  $\Gamma$ - semigroup. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1) S is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.
- 2) Semiprimary  $\Gamma$ -ideals in S are primary.
- 3) Proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in S are maximal.

*Proof:* 1)  $\Rightarrow$  2): If S has identity by Theorem 3.7, semiprimary  $\Gamma$ -ideals in S are primary. If S has no identity by Theorem 3.8, Semiprimary  $\Gamma$ -ideals in S are primary. 2) implies 3); by theorem 3.5. Assume 3): If S has identity, by theorem 3.7, 3)  $\Rightarrow$  1). If S has no proper  $\Gamma$ - ideals, by Theorem 3.8, (3) implies (1).

**NOTE:** Furthermore S has no idempotents except identity if it exists.

**THEOREM 3.10:** Let S be a cancellative commutative  $\Gamma$ - semigroup. Then S is a primary  $\Gamma$ - semigroup if and only if proper prime

$\Gamma$ - ideals in S are maximal. Furthermore S has no idempotents except identity, if it exists.

*Proof:* The proof is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.9.

**THEOREM 3.11:** Let S be a semi pseudo symmetric  $\Gamma$ -semigroup with identity. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1) Proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in S are maximal.
- 2) S is either a simple  $\Gamma$ -semigroup or S has a unique prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal P such that S is a 0-simple extension of the Archimedean sub  $\Gamma$ -semigroup P.

In either case S is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup and S has atmost one globally idempotent principal  $\Gamma$ -ideal.

*Proof:* Suppose proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in S are maximal. If S is a simple  $\Gamma$ -semigroup then S has no proper  $\Gamma$ -ideals implies S has no proper prime  $\Gamma$ - ideals implies S is an Archimedean semigroup by Theorem 2.67. If S is not a simple  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then S has a Unique maximal  $\Gamma$ -ideal P, which is also the unique prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal. Since P is a maximal  $\Gamma$ -ideal in S, we have  $S \setminus P$  is a 0-simple  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. Let  $a, b \in P$ . Then  $\sqrt{\langle a \rangle} = \sqrt{\langle b \rangle} = P$ . So by theorem 2.66,  $(\langle a \rangle \Gamma)^{n-1} \langle a \rangle \subseteq \langle b \rangle$ , for some natural number  $n$  implies  $(a \gamma)^{n-1} a \in P \gamma a \gamma P, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . So P is an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -sub semigroup of S. Therefore 1) implies 2).

**Assume 2):**

**Case i):** If S is simple then S has no proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals and hence 1) is true.

**Case ii):** Suppose S is not simple, then S has a unique proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal P such that S is a 0-simple extension of P implies  $S \setminus P$  is 0-simple. Therefore there exists no proper  $\Gamma$ -ideals of S containing P. Therefore P is maximal. Therefore 1) holds. By theorem 3.1, S is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. Suppose  $\langle a \rangle$  and  $\langle b \rangle$  be two proper globally idempotent principal  $\Gamma$ -ideals. Then  $\sqrt{\langle a \rangle} = \sqrt{\langle b \rangle} = P$  by theorem 2.66,  $(\langle a \rangle P)^{n-1} \langle a \rangle \subseteq \langle b \rangle$  for some  $n$ . Since  $\langle a \rangle$  is globally idempotent,  $\langle a \rangle \subseteq \langle b \rangle$ . Similarly we can prove that  $\langle b \rangle \subseteq \langle a \rangle$ . Therefore  $\langle a \rangle = \langle b \rangle$ .

**THEOREM 3.12:** Let S be a duo  $\Gamma$ -semigroup with identity. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1) Proper prime  $\Gamma$ - ideals in S are maximal.
- 2) S is either a  $\Gamma$ - group and so Archimedean or S has a unique prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal P such that  $S = G \cup P$ , where G is the  $\Gamma$ -group of units in S and P is an Archimedean sub  $\Gamma$ -semigroup of S.

In either case S is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup and S has atmost one idempotent different from identity.

**Proof:** If  $S$  is a duo  $\Gamma$ -semigroup which is not a  $\Gamma$ -group, then  $S$  has a unique maximal  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $M$  and hence  $M$  is the unique prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal, by assuming 1). Now  $S \setminus M$  is the  $\Gamma$ -group of units in  $S$ . By the theorem 3.11, 1) and 2) are equivalent. Clearly  $S$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. If  $e$  and  $f$  are two proper idempotents in  $S$ , then  $\langle e \rangle$  and  $\langle f \rangle$  are two globally idempotent principal  $\Gamma$ -ideals. So by theorem 3.11,  $e = f$ .

**THEOREM 3.13:** Let  $S$  be a commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup with identity. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1) Prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals are maximal.
- 2)  $S$  is either a  $\Gamma$ -group and so Archimedean or  $S$  has a unique prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $P$  such that  $S = G \cup P$ , where  $G$  is the  $\Gamma$ -group of units of  $S$  and  $P$  is an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -sub semigroup of  $S$ .

In either case  $S$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup and  $S$  has at most one idempotent from identity.

**Proof:** The proof of this theorem is a direct consequence of theorem 3.12.

**THEOREM 3.14:** Let  $S$  be a semi pseudo symmetric  $\Gamma$ -semigroup without identity. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1) Proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  are maximal and globally idempotent principal  $\Gamma$ -ideals form a chain.
- 2)  $S$  is an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup or there exists a unique prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $P$  in  $S$  and  $S$  is a 0-simple extension of the Archimedean sub  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $P$ .
- 3) Proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  are maximal and  $S$  has at most two distinct globally idempotent principal  $\Gamma$ -ideals with one of its  $\Gamma$ -radical is  $S$  itself.

**Proof:** If  $S$  has no proper Prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals then by theorem 2.67,  $S$  is an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. Suppose  $S$  has proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be two proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$ , by assumption  $M$  and  $N$  are maximal  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  and every element in  $S \setminus N$  and  $S \setminus M$  is semisimple. Let  $a \in S \setminus M$  and  $b \in S \setminus N$ . Now  $a$  and  $b$  are semisimple elements implies  $\langle a \rangle$  and  $\langle b \rangle$  are globally idempotent Principal  $\Gamma$ -ideals. By hypothesis either  $\langle a \rangle \subseteq \langle b \rangle$  or  $\langle b \rangle \subseteq \langle a \rangle$ . Suppose  $\langle a \rangle \subseteq \langle b \rangle$ . If  $b \in M$  then  $a \in M$ , this is a contradiction. So  $b \notin M$  implies  $b \in S \setminus M$  and thus  $\langle b \rangle = \langle a \rangle$ . Similarly we can show that if  $\langle b \rangle \subseteq \langle a \rangle$  then also  $\langle a \rangle = \langle b \rangle$ . From this we can conclude that  $S \setminus M = S \setminus N$  and hence  $M = N$ . Thus  $S$  has a unique prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal. By an argument similar to theorem 3.11, we can prove that  $P$  is an Archimedean sub  $\Gamma$ -semigroup of  $S$ . Therefore

1) implies 2). If  $S$  is an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup then clearly  $S$  has no proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals, by theorem 2.67. Let  $\langle a \rangle$  and  $\langle b \rangle$  be two globally idempotent principal  $\Gamma$ -ideals. Now since  $S$  has no proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals, we have  $\sqrt{\langle a \rangle} = \sqrt{\langle b \rangle} = S$ . By theorem 2.66,  $\langle a \rangle^{n-1} \Gamma \langle a \rangle \subseteq \langle b \rangle$  and  $\langle b \rangle^{m-1} \Gamma \langle b \rangle \subseteq \langle a \rangle$  for some natural numbers  $n$  and  $m$ . Thus we have  $\langle a \rangle \subseteq \langle b \rangle$  and  $\langle b \rangle \subseteq \langle a \rangle$ . So  $\langle a \rangle = \langle b \rangle$ . Suppose  $S$  has a unique prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $P$  such that  $S$  is a 0-simple extension of the Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -sub semigroup  $P$ . Since  $S \setminus P$  is a 0-simple  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, We have  $P$  is a maximal  $\Gamma$ -ideal. Now for every  $a, b \in S \setminus P$ , we have  $\langle a \rangle = \langle b \rangle$  and  $\sqrt{\langle a \rangle} = \sqrt{\langle b \rangle} = S$ . Let  $\langle a \rangle$  and  $\langle b \rangle$  be two globally idempotent principal  $\Gamma$ -ideals. Since  $a, b \in P$ . Now  $\sqrt{\langle a \rangle} = \sqrt{\langle b \rangle} = P$  and hence  $\langle a \rangle = \langle b \rangle$ . Thus  $S$  has at most two proper globally idempotent principal  $\Gamma$ -ideals one of its  $\Gamma$ -radicals is  $S$  itself. Therefore 2) implies 3).

Let  $\langle a \rangle$  and  $\langle b \rangle$  be two globally idempotent principal  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$ . Let  $\sqrt{\langle b \rangle} = S$ , then  $a \in \sqrt{\langle b \rangle}$ . Therefore  $\langle a \rangle \subseteq \sqrt{\langle b \rangle}$  implies  $(\langle a \rangle)^{n-1} \Gamma \langle a \rangle \subseteq \langle b \rangle$  implies  $\langle a \rangle \subseteq \langle b \rangle$ . Therefore globally idempotent principal  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  form a chain. Therefore 3) implies 1).

**THEOREM 3.15:** Let  $S$  be a duo  $\Gamma$ -semigroup without identity. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1) Proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  are maximal.
- 2)  $S$  is Archimedean or there exists only one prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $P$  in  $S$  and  $S = P \cup (S \setminus P)$  where  $P$  is an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup and  $S \setminus P$  is a  $\Gamma$ -group.
- 3) Proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  are maximal and  $S$  has at most two idempotents.

**Proof:** The proof of this theorem follows from theorem 3.14.

**NOTE:** Every commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup is a duo  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

**THEOREM 3.16:** Let  $S$  be a commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup without identity (1), (2), (3) of theorem 3.15 are equivalent.

**Proof:** The proof this theorem is an immediate consequence of theorem 3.15.

**THEOREM 3.17:** Let  $S$  be a semi pseudo symmetric  $\Gamma$ -semigroup with  $S \neq S \Gamma S$ . Then  $S$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup in which proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  are maximal if and only if  $S$  is an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

**Proof:** Let  $S$  be an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. Then by theorem 2.67,  $S$  has no proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals. Hence it is trivially true that proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  are maximal. Let  $A$  be any  $\Gamma$ -ideal in  $S$  such

that  $\langle x \rangle \Gamma \langle y \rangle \subseteq A$  and  $y \notin A$ . Since  $S$  is an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup there exists a natural number  $n$  such that  $(xy)^{n-1}x \in S\Gamma y\Gamma S$ , for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Now  $(xy)^n x \in \langle x \rangle \Gamma \langle y \rangle \subseteq A$ . So by theorem 2.66,  $x \in \sqrt{A}$ . Thus  $A$  is left primary. Similarly we can show that  $A$  is right primary. Therefore  $S$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup in which proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  are maximal.

Conversely suppose that  $S$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup in which proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  are maximal. Now  $S$  is semiprimary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup and hence by theorem 2.69, prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  form a chain. Since prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals are maximal if  $S$  has proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals, then  $S$  has a unique proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal which is also the unique maximal  $\Gamma$ -ideal.

Now every element of  $S \setminus P$  is semi simple and hence  $S \setminus P \subseteq S\Gamma S$ . Let  $a \in S \setminus P$  and  $x \in P$ . If  $\langle a \rangle \Gamma \langle x \rangle \neq \langle x \rangle$  then since  $S$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup and  $x \notin \langle a \rangle \Gamma \langle x \rangle$ , we have  $a \in \sqrt{\langle a \rangle \Gamma \langle x \rangle} = P$ . This is a contradiction. So  $\langle a \rangle \Gamma \langle x \rangle = \langle x \rangle$  for all  $x \in P$  and hence  $P \subseteq S\Gamma S$ . Thus  $S\Gamma S = S$ . So  $S$  has no proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals and hence by theorem 2.67,  $S$  is an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

**THEOREM 3.18:** Let  $S$  be a duo  $\Gamma$ -semigroup without identity. Then  $S$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup in which proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals are maximal if and only if  $S$  is an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

*Proof:* If  $S$  is an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then clearly  $S$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup in which proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals are maximal, by theorem 2.67 and by theorem 2.71,  $S$  is pseudo symmetric  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, by theorem 2.72, every pseudo symmetric  $\Gamma$ -semigroup is semi pseudo symmetric  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. Therefore duo  $\Gamma$ -semigroup is semi pseudo symmetric  $\Gamma$ -semigroup and by theorem 3.17.

Conversely if  $S$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup in which proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals are maximal, then similar to the theorem 3.17, if  $S$  is not an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup then  $S$  has a unique proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $P$  which is also the unique maximal  $\Gamma$ -ideal. Then  $S \setminus P$  is a  $\Gamma$ -group and if  $e$  is the identity of  $S \setminus P$  then as in the above theorem we can show that  $\langle e \rangle \Gamma \langle x \rangle = \langle x \rangle$  for all  $x \in P$ . Since  $S$  is a duo  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, we have  $e$  is the left identity of  $S$ . Similarly we get  $e$  is the right identity of  $S$ , this is a contradiction. So  $S$  is an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

**THEOREM 3.19:** Let  $S$  be a commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup without identity. Then  $S$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup in which proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals are maximal if and only if  $S$  is an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

*Proof:* The proof of this theorem is an immediate consequence of theorem 3.18.

**THEOREM 3.20:** Let  $S$  be a quasi commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup containing cancellable elements. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1) The proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  are maximal.
- 2)  $S$  is a  $\Gamma$ -group or  $S$  is a cancellative Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup not containing identity or  $S$  is an extension of an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup by a  $\Gamma$ -group  $S$  containing an identity.

*Proof:* Suppose proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $S$  are maximal. If  $S$  contains an identity and  $S$  is not a  $\Gamma$ -group, then  $S$  contains a unique maximal  $\Gamma$ -ideal, which is also the unique prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal by virtue of the hypothesis. Then  $\sqrt{\langle a \rangle} = M$  for every  $a \in M$ . So for any  $b \in M$ ,  $\langle b \rangle^{n-1} \Gamma \langle b \rangle \subseteq \langle a \rangle$  for some natural number  $n$ . Thus  $(b\gamma)^{n-1}b \in M\Gamma a\Gamma M$  and hence  $M$  is an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -sub semigroup, Clearly  $S \setminus M$  is a  $\Gamma$ -group.

Assume that  $S$  does not contain an identity. If  $Z$ , the set of all non cancellable elements is not empty, then  $Z$  is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal. Hence  $Z$  is a maximal  $\Gamma$ -ideal in  $S$ . Since  $S$  contains cancellable elements. Now for any  $b \in S \setminus Z$ , we have  $S = Z \cup \langle b \rangle = Z \cup (\langle b \rangle \Gamma \langle b \rangle)$ . From this we obtain  $b$  is semisimple and hence by theorem 2.70,  $b$  is completely regular. So there is an element  $x \in S$  such that  $b = bax\gamma b$  and  $baxx = x\gamma b$  is an idempotent. If  $x \in Z$  then since  $Z$  is a  $\Gamma$ -ideal, we have  $b \in Z$ . So  $x \notin Z$  and hence  $x\gamma b$  is a cancellable idempotent. Therefore  $S$  contains an identity. This is a contradiction. So  $Z = \emptyset$  and hence  $S$  is a cancellable  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, by theorem 3.9,  $S$  is a primary  $\Gamma$ -semigroup and hence by theorem 3.18,  $S$  is an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

Conversely if  $S$  is either a  $\Gamma$ -group or a cancellative Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -semigroup then  $S$  has no proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals and hence it is trivially true that proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideals are maximal. Suppose  $S$  contains identity and  $S$  is an extension of an Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -sub semigroup  $M$  by a  $\Gamma$ -group. Since  $S$  has identity,  $M$  is the unique maximal  $\Gamma$ -ideal. The Archimedean property of  $M$  forces that  $M$  is the unique prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $S$ . Let  $P$  be any proper prime  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $S$ . Since  $M$  is union of all proper  $\Gamma$ -ideals of  $S$ , then  $P \subseteq M$ . Let  $a \in M$ ,  $b \in P$  implies  $a, b \in M$ . Since  $M$  is Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -sub semigroup implies  $(a\gamma)^{n-1}a \in M\Gamma b\Gamma M \subseteq S\Gamma b\Gamma S \subseteq P$  implies  $a \in P$ . Therefore  $M \subseteq P$ . Therefore  $M = P$ . Therefore  $M$  is the unique maximal  $\Gamma$ -ideal.

**THEOREM 3.21:** Let  $S$  be a commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup containing cancellative elements. Then 1) and 2) of theorem 3.20 are equivalent.



**Proof:** The proof of this theorem is an immediate consequence of theorem 3.20.

**Acknowledgment:**

The Principal Author is thankful to the University Grants Commission for the award of Teacher Fellowship under Faculty Development Programme for College Teachers during the Twelfth Plan Period (2012-2017) and also thankful to the authorities of Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur for providing facilities to undertake the research work.

**REFERENCES**

- [1] **Anjaneyulu. A.** and **Ramakotaiah. D.**, *On a class of semigroups*, Simon stevin, Vol.54(1980), 241-249.
- [2] **Anjaneyulu. A.**, *Structure and ideal theory of Duo-semigroups*, SemigroupForum, Vol.22(1981), 257-276.
- [3] **Anjaneyulu. A.**, *Semigroup in which Prime deals are maximal*, SemigroupForum, Vol.22(1981), 151-158.
- [4] **Giri. R. D.** and **Wazalwar. A. K.**, *Prime ideals and prime radicals in non-commutative semigroup*, Kyungpook Mathematical Journal Vol.33(1993), no.1,37-48.
- [5] **Madhusudhana Rao. D.**, **Anjaneyulu. A** and **Gangadhara Rao. A.**, *Prime  $\Gamma$ -radicals in  $\Gamma$ -semigroups*, International e-Journal of Mathematics and Engineering 138(2011) 1250 - 1259.
- [6] **Madhusudhana Rao. D.**, **Anjaneyulu. A** and **Gangadhara Rao. A.**, *Semipseudo symmetric  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $\Gamma$ -semigroups*, International Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Technology and Humanities 18 (2011) 183-192.
- [7] **Madhusudhana Rao. D.**, **Anjaneyulu. A** and **Gangadhara Rao. A.**, *Primary and Semiprimary  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $\Gamma$ -semigroup*, International Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Technology and Humanities 29 (2012) 282-293.
- [8] **Madhusudhana Rao. D.**, **Anjaneyulu. A** and **Gangadhara Rao. A.**, *Pseudo symmetric  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $\Gamma$ -semigroups*, International e-Journal of Mathematics and Engineering 116(2011) 1074-1081.
- [9] **Petrich. M.**, *Introduction to semigroups*, Merril Publishing Company, Columbus, Ohio, (973).
- [10] **Sen. M.K.** and **Saha. N.K.**, *On  $\Gamma$  -Semigroups -I*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 78(1986), No.3, 180-186.
- [11] **Sen. M.K.** and **Saha. N.K.**, *On  $\Gamma$  - Semigroups-II*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 79(1987), No.6, 331-335
- [12] **Sen. M.K.** and **Saha. N.K.**, *On  $\Gamma$  - Semigroups-III*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 80(1988), No.1, 1-12.

\*\*\*\*\*