

Common Fixed Point Theorem in G-Metric Space Using Weakly Compatible Mappings

Dr. Shalu Saxena, Dr. Smita Nair

Assistant Prof. in Mathematics
Department of Mathematics
Sri Sathy Sai College for Women Bhopal M.P. India

Abstract:- In this paper we present a common fixed point theorem for self mappings using the concept of weakly compatible mappings.

Key words:- Complete G-metric Space, Weakly compatible mappings.

I. Introduction

There have been various generalizations of metric space such as Gähler who gave the concept of 2-metric space, Dhage [1,2] who gave the concept of D-metric. Mustafa and Sims [14,15] introduced a new generalized version of metric space & called it G-metric space after they had shown that most of the results related to D-metric space are invalid, Here we prove a common fixed point theorem in G-metric space using pairs of weakly compatible mappings.

II. Definitions and Preliminaries

We here begin with some definitions and results for G- metric spaces that will be used in the following sections .

Definition 2.1 [15] Let X be a nonempty set and let $G: X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function satisfying the following axioms

$$(G_1) \quad G(x, y, z) = 0 \text{ if } x = y = z$$

$$(G_2) \quad G(x, x, y) > 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ with } x \neq y$$

$$(G_3) \quad G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X \text{ with } z \neq y.$$

$$(G_4) \quad G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \dots \text{ (symmetry in all three variables)}$$

$$(G_5) \quad G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z), \text{ for all } x, y, z, a \in X \text{ (rectangle inequality)}$$

Then the function G is called a generalized metric or more specifically a G- metric on X , and the pair (X, G) is called a G- metric space .

Definition 2.2 [15] Let (X, G) be a G- metric space , let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of points of X , we say that $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point x in X

$$\text{if } \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} G(x, x_n, x_m) = 0$$

In other words for $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ for all $n, m \geq n_0$ Then x is called the limit of sequence $\{x_n\}$.

Definition 2.3 [15] Let (X, G) be a G-metric space, a sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called G-Cauchy sequence if for given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon$ for all $n, m, l \geq n_0$ that is if $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m, l \rightarrow \infty$

Definition 2.4 [15] Let A, B be self mappings on a G-metric space X . Then the pair (A, B) is said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence point, that is $Ax = Bx$ implies that $ABx = BAx$ for all $x \in X$.

Proposition 2.5 [15] Let (X, G) be a G-metric space, Then, the following are equivalent

- (i) $\{x_n\}$ is G-convergent to x
- (ii) $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- (iii) $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- (iv) $G(x_m, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$

Proposition 2.6 [15] In a G-metric space (X, G) the following are equivalent

- (i) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is G-Cauchy
- (ii) For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \varepsilon$ for all $n, m \geq n_0$.

Definition 2.7 [16]. Let ϕ denote the set of alternating distance functions

$\phi : [0, \infty[\rightarrow [0, \infty[$ which satisfies following conditions

- (i) ϕ is strictly increasing
- (ii) ϕ is upper semi continuous from the right.
- (iii) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi(t) < \infty$ for all $t > 0$
- (iv) $\phi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$

Main Result

Let f, g, h, s, r and t be self mappings of a complete G-metric space (X, G) and

- (i) $f(X) \subseteq t(X), g(X) \subseteq s(X), h(X) \subseteq r(X)$ and $f(X)$ or $g(X)$ or $h(X)$ is a closed subset of X .
- (ii) $G(fx, gy, hz) \leq \phi \{ \max [\alpha (G(gy, fx, rx) + G(hz, gy, ty) + G(fx, sz, hz), \beta (G(fx, rx, gy) + G(sz, fx, rx)), \gamma (G(gy, ty, hz) + G(fx, gy, ty))] \}$

Where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, > 0$ and $3\alpha + 4\beta + 2\gamma < 1$

- (iii) $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+$ is increasing function such that $\phi(a) < a$ for all $a > 0$ and $\sum \phi(a) < \infty$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$
- (iv) The pairs $(f, r), (g, t)$ and (h, s) are weakly compatible pairs.

Then the mappings f, g, h, r, s and t have a common unique fixed point in X .

Proof: Let $X_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point. Then by (i) there exist $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ such that

$$fx_0 = tx_1 = y_0, gx_1 = sx_2 = y_1 \text{ and } hx_2 = rx_3 = y_2$$

applying the concept of mathematical induction we can define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$fx_{3n} = tx_{3n+1} = y_{3n},$$

$$gx_{3n+1} = sx_{3n+2} = y_{3n+1} \text{ and}$$

$$hx_{3n+2} = rx_{3n+3} = y_{3n+2} \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now we prove that the sequence is a Cauchy sequence and for this we define

$$d_m = G(y_m, y_{m+1}, y_{m+2})$$

So we have

$$d_{3n} = G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2})$$

$$= G(fx_{3n}, gx_{3n+1}, hx_{3n+2})$$

$$< \phi \{ \max [\alpha (G(gx_{3n+1}, fx_{3n}, rx_{3n}) + G(hx_{3n+2}, gx_{3n+1}, tx_{3n+1}) + G(fx_{3n}, sx_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+2})),$$

$$\beta [G(fx_{3n}, rx_{3n}, gx_{3n+1}) + G(sx_{3n+2}, fx_{3n}, rx_{3n})], \gamma [G(gx_{3n+1}, tx_{3n+1}) + G(gx_{3n+1}, tx_{3n+1})]] \}$$

$$< \phi \{ \max [\alpha (G(y_{3n+1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n-1}) + G(y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n}) + G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2})), \beta [G(y_{3n}, y_{3n-1}, y_{3n+1})$$

$$+ G(y_{3n+2}, y_{3n}, y_{3n-1})], \gamma [G(y_{3n+1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+2}) + G(y_{3n+0}, y_{3n}, y_{3n})]] \}$$

$$< \phi \{ \max [\alpha G(d_{3n-1}, d_{3n}, d_{3n}), 2\beta d_{3n-1}, 2\gamma d_{3n}] \}$$

$$< \phi \{ \max [\alpha G(d_{3n-1}, 2d_{3n}), 2\beta d_{3n-1}, 2\gamma d_{3n}] \}$$

from the above inequality we will have following

Case I- If $\max = \alpha (d_{3n-1} + 2d_{3n})$, then

$$d_{3n} \leq \phi (\alpha (d_{3n-1} + 2d_{3n})) \text{ as } \phi(t) < t, \text{ hence we get}$$

$$d_{3n} \leq \alpha (d_{3n-1} + 2d_{3n})$$

$$(1 - 2\alpha) d_{3n} \leq \alpha d_{3n-1}$$

$$d_{3n} \leq d_{3n-1}$$

Case - II If $\max = 2\beta d_{3n-1}$ then $d_{3n} \leq \phi(2\beta d_{3n-1})$ as $\phi(t) < t$ hence we get $d_{3n} \leq 2\beta d_{3n-1}$

$$\text{i.e. } d_{3n} \leq d_{3n-1}$$

Case - III If $\max = 2\gamma d_{3n}$ then $d_{3n} \leq \phi(2\gamma d_{3n})$ again as $\phi(t) < t$ we get $d_{3n} \leq d_{3n}$ which is a contradiction. Hence $d_{3n} \leq d_{3n-1}$

If $m = 3n+1$, then

$$d_{3n+1} = G(y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+3})$$

$$= G(fx_{3n+1}, gx_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+3})$$

$$\leq \phi \{ \max [\alpha [G(gx_{3n+2}, fx_{3n+1}, rx_{3n+1}) + G(hx_{3n+3}, gx_{3n+2}, tx_{3n+2}) + G(fx_{3n+1}, sx_{3n+3}, hx_{3n+3})],$$

$$\beta [G(fx_{3n+1}, rx_{3n+1}, gx_{3n+2}) + G(sx_{3n+3}, fx_{3n+1}, rx_{3n+1})], \gamma [G(gx_{3n+2}, tx_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+3})$$

$$+ G(fx_{3n+1}, gx_{3n+2}, tx_{3n+2})]] \}.$$

$$\leq \phi \{ \max [\alpha [G(y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n}) + G(y_{3n+3}, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+1}) + G(y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+3})], \beta [G(y_{3n+1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+2}) +$$

$$G(y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n}), \gamma [G(y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+3}) + G(y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+1})]] \}$$

$$\leq \phi \{ \max [\alpha (d_{3n} + 2d_{3n+1}), 2\beta d_{3n}, 2\gamma d_{3n+1}] \}$$

From the above inequality we have following cases.

Case- I If $\max = \alpha (d_{3n} + 2d_{3n+1})$ then $d_{3n+1} \leq \phi (\alpha (d_{3n} + 2d_{3n+1}))$ as $\phi(t) < t$, we get

$$d_{3n+1} \leq \alpha (d_{3n} + 2d_{3n+1})$$

$$(1 - 2\alpha) d_{3n+1} \leq \alpha d_{3n}$$

$$d_{3n+1} \leq d_{3n}$$

Case- II If $\max = 2\beta d_{3n}$, then we get $d_{3n+1} \leq \phi (2\beta d_{3n})$ as $\phi(t) < t$, we get $d_{3n+1} \leq 2\beta d_{3n}$ or $d_{3n+1} \leq d_{3n}$

Case III If $\max = 2\gamma d_{3n+1}$, then we get $d_{3n+1} \leq \phi (2\gamma d_{3n+1})$ as $\phi(t) < t$ we get $d_{3n+1} \leq 2\gamma d_{3n+1}$ or $d_{3n+1} \leq d_{3n+1}$

which is a contradiction. Hence $d_{3n+1} \leq d_{3n}$

If $m = 3n+2$, then

$$d_{3n+2} = G(y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+3}, y_{3n+4})$$

$$= G(fx_{3n+2}, gx_{3n+3}, hx_{3n+4}),$$

$$\leq \phi \{ \max [\alpha [G(gx_{3n+3}, fx_{3n+2}, rx_{3n+2}) + G(hx_{3n+4}, gx_{3n+3}, tx_{3n+3}) + G(fx_{3n+2}, sx_{3n+4}, hx_{3n+4})]$$

$$\quad \beta [G(fx_{3n+2}, rx_{3n+2}, gx_{3n+3}) + G(sx_{3n+4}, fx_{3n+2}, rx_{3n+2})], \gamma [G(gx_{3n+3}, tx_{3n+3}, hx_{3n+4})$$

$$\quad + G(fx_{3n+2}, gx_{3n+3}, tx_{3n+3})]] \}$$

$$\leq \phi \{ \max [\alpha (G(y_{3n+3}, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+1}) + G(y_{3n+4}, y_{3n+3}, y_{3n+2}) G(y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+3}, y_{3n+4})), \beta (G(y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+3})$$

$$\quad + G(y_{3n+3}, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+1})), \gamma (G(y_{3n+3}, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+4}) + G(y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+3}, y_{3n+2}))] \}$$

$$\leq \phi \{ \max [\alpha (d_{3n+1}, +d_{3n+2}, +d_{3n+2}), + \beta (d_{3n+1} + d_{3n+1}), \gamma (d_{3n+2} + d_{3n+2})] \}$$

From the above inequality we have following cases.

Case. I If $\max = \alpha (d_{3n+1} + 2d_{3n+2})$ then $d_{3n+2} \leq \phi (\alpha (d_{3n+1} + 2d_{3n+2}))$ as $\phi(t) < t$ then we get

$$d_{3n+2} \leq \alpha (d_{3n+1} + 2d_{3n+2}) \text{ or } d_{3n+2} \leq \frac{\alpha}{1 - 2\alpha} d_{3n+1}$$

$$d_{3n+2} \leq d_{3n+1}$$

Case II. If $\max = 2\beta d_{3n+1}$ then we get $d_{3n+2} \leq \phi (2\beta d_{3n+1})$ as $\phi(t) < t$, hence we get $d_{3n+2} \leq 2\beta d_{3n+1}$ or

$$d_{3n+2} \leq d_{3n+1} \text{ which is the required result.}$$

Case III. If $\max = 2\gamma d_{3n+2}$ then $d_{3n+2} \leq \phi (2\gamma d_{3n+2})$ as $\phi(t) < t$, then we get $d_{3n+2} \leq 2\gamma d_{3n+2}$ or $d_{3n+2} \leq d_{3n+2}$

which is a contradiction. From the above three cases we can say that $d_n \leq d_{n-1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So by above inequality we get $d_n \leq q d_{n-1}$, where $q = 3\alpha + 4\beta + 2\gamma < 1$ i.e.

$$d_n = G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})$$

$$\leq q G(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1})$$

$$\leq q^n G(y_0, y_1, y_2)$$

also we have $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$, hence we get $G(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq q^n G(y_0, y_1, y_2)$ and

$$G(y_n, y_n, y_m) \leq G(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) + G(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + G(y_{m-1}, y_{m-1}, y_m)$$

i.e. we have $G(y_n, y_n, y_m) \leq q^n G(y_0, y_1, y_2) + \dots + q^{m-1} G(y_0, y_1, y_2)$ hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(y_n, y_n, y_m) &\leq \frac{q^n - q^m}{1 - q} G(y_0, y_1, y_2) \\ &\leq \frac{q^n}{1 - q} G(y_0, y_1, y_2) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

So the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X and as X is complete $\{y_n\}$ will converge to y in X .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e. } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n &= y & \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} f_{x_{3n}} &= \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} g_{x_{3n+1}} = \lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} h_{x_{3n+2}} = \lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} t_{x_{3n+1}} \\ & & \lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} s_{x_{3n+2}} &= \lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} r_{x_{3n+3}} = y \end{aligned}$$

Let $h(X)$ is a closed subset of $r(X)$. Then there exist $u \in X$ Such that $ru = y$. Now consider on

$$\begin{aligned} G(fu, y, y) &= G(fu, g_{x_{3n+1}}, h_{x_{3n+2}}) \\ &\leq \phi \{ \max [\alpha (G(g_{x_{3n+1}}, fu, ru) + G(h_{x_{3n+2}}, g_{x_{3n+1}}, t_{x_{3n+1}}) + G(fu, s_{x_{3n+2}}, h_{x_{3n+2}})), \beta (G(fu, ru, g_{x_{3n+1}}) \\ &\quad + G(s_{x_{3n+2}}, fu, ru)), \gamma (G(g_{x_{3n+1}}, t_{x_{3n+1}}, h_{x_{3n+2}}) + G(fu, g_{x_{3n+1}}, t_{x_{3n+1}}))] \} \\ &\leq \phi \{ \max [\alpha (G(y, fu, y) + G(y, y, y) + G(fu, y, y)), \beta (G(fu, y, y) + G(y, fu, ru)), \gamma (G(y, y, y) + G(fu, y, y))] \} \\ &\leq \phi \{ \max [2\alpha G(fu, y, y), 2\beta G(fu, y, y), \gamma G(fy, y, y)] \} \end{aligned}$$

from the above inequality we can have two cases.

Case I If $\max = 2\alpha G(fu, y, y)$

then $G(fu, y, y) \leq \phi(2\alpha G(fu, y, y))$ as $\phi(t) < t$, $G(fu, y, y) \leq 2\alpha G(fu, y, y)$ or $(1 - 2\alpha) G(fu, y, y) \leq 0$. Hence $G(fu, y, y) = 0$ or $fu = y$

Case II If $\max = 2\beta G(fu, y, y)$

then $G(fu, y, y) \leq \phi[2\beta G(fu, y, y)]$ as $\phi(t)$, hence we get.

$$G(fu, y, y) \leq 2\beta G(fu, y, y) \text{ or } (1 - 2\beta) G(fu, y, y) \leq 0, \text{ hence } G(fu, y, y) = 0 \text{ or } fu = y.$$

Case III If $\max = \gamma G(fu, y, y)$, then

$$G(fu, y, y) \leq \phi[\gamma G(fu, y, y)] \text{ as } \phi(t) < t, \text{ hence we get } G(fu, y, y) \leq \gamma G(fu, y, y) \text{ or}$$

$(1 - \gamma) G(fu, y, y) \leq 0$ or $G(fu, y, y) = 0$ this implies $fu = y$. Therefore $fu = ru = y$. Then by applying the definition of weak compatibility on the pair (r, f) we have $f ru = r fu$. Hence $fy = ry$

Now we prove $fy = y$. On the contrary Let $fy \neq y$, then

$$G(fy, g_{x_{3n+1}}, h_{x_{3n+2}}) \leq \phi \{ \max \{ \alpha (G(g_{x_{3n+1}}, fy, ry) + G(h_{x_{3n+2}}, g_{x_{3n+1}}, t_{x_{3n+1}}) + G(fy, s_{x_{3n+2}}, h_{x_{3n+2}})), \beta (G(fy, ry, g_{x_{3n+1}}) \}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + G(Sx_{3n+2}, fy, gy), + \gamma (G(gx_{3n+1}, tx_{3n+1}, hx_{3n+2}) + G(fy, gx_{3n+1}, tx_{3n+1})) \\
 & \leq \phi \{ \max \{ \alpha (G(y, fy, fy) + G(y, y, y) + G(fy, y, y)), \beta (G(fy, fy, y) + G(y, fy, fy)), \gamma (G(y, y, y) \\
 & \quad + G(fy, y, y)) \} \\
 & \leq \phi \{ \max \{ 3 \alpha G(fy, y, y), 4 \beta G(fy, y, y), \gamma G(fy, y, y) \} \}
 \end{aligned}$$

From the above inequality we have following cases

Case I If $\max = 3 \alpha G(fy, y, y)$ then

$$G(fy, y, y) \leq \phi \{ 3 \alpha G(fy, y, y) \} \text{ as } \phi(t) < t, G(fy, y, y) \leq 3 \alpha G(fy, y, y) \text{ then } G(fy, y, y) = 0 \text{ hence we get } fy = y.$$

Case II If $\max = 4 \beta G(fy, y, y)$ then

$$G(fy, y, y) \leq \phi [4 \beta G(fy, y, y)] \text{ as } \phi(t) < t \text{ then we get } G(fy, y, y) \leq 4 \beta G(fy, y, y) \text{ which implies } fy = y$$

Case III If $\max = \gamma G(fy, y, y)$ then

$$G(fy, y, y) \leq \phi[\gamma G(fy, y, y)] \text{ as } \phi(t) < t \text{ we get } G(fy, y, y) \leq \gamma G(fy, y, y) \text{ which implies } fy = y$$

and as $fy = ry$ we have $fy = ry = y$. Hence y is common fixed point of f and r . As $y = fy \in f(X) \subseteq t(X)$ there exists w such that $tw = y$. We shall now prove that $gw = y$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(y, gw, hx_{3n+2}) & = G(fy, gw, hx_{3n+2}) \\
 & \leq \phi \{ \max [\alpha (G(gw, fy, ry) + G(hx_{3n+2}, gw, tw) + G(fy, sx_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+2})), \beta (G(fy, ry, gw) \\
 & \quad + G(Sx_{3n+2}, fy, ry)), \gamma (G(gw, tw, hx_{3n+2}) + G(fy, gw, tw))] \\
 & \leq \phi \{ \max [\alpha (G(gw, y, y) + G(y, gw, y) + G(y, y, y)), \beta (G(y, y, gw) + G(y, y, y)), \gamma (G(gw, y, y) \\
 & \quad + G(y, gw, y))] \\
 & \leq \phi \{ \max [2\alpha G(gw, y, y), \beta G(gw, y, y), 2\gamma G(gw, y, y)]
 \end{aligned}$$

From the above inequality we have following cases.

Case I If $\max = 2 \alpha G(gw, y, y)$ then we get $G(gw, y, y) \leq \phi [2 \alpha G(gw, y, y)]$ as $\phi(t) < t$ we have

$$G(gw, y, y) \leq 2 \alpha G(gw, y, y) \text{ hence } G(gw, y, y) = 0 \Rightarrow gw = y$$

Case II If $\max = \beta G(gw, y, y)$ then we get $G(gw, y, y) \leq \phi [\beta G(gw, y, y)]$ as $\phi(t) < t$ we have

$$G(gw, y, y) \leq \beta G(gw, y, y) \text{ hence } G(gw, y, y) = 0 \Rightarrow gw = y$$

Case III If $\max = 2\gamma G(gw, y, y)$ we get $G(gw, y, y) \leq \phi[2\gamma G(gw, y, y)]$ as $\phi(t) < t$ we have

$$G(gw, y, y) \leq 2\gamma G(gw, y, y) \text{ hence } G(gw, y, y) = 0 \Rightarrow gw = y$$

Therefore we have $gw = tw = y$. As (g, t) are weakly compatible we get $tgw = gtw$ Hence $ty = gy$.

We shall now prove that $gy = y$. On the contrary Let $gy \neq y$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(fy, gy, hx_{3n+2}) & \leq \phi \{ \max [\alpha (G(gy, fy, ry) + G(hx_{3n+2}, gy, ty) + G(fy, Sx_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+2})), \beta (G(fy, ry, gy) \\
 & \quad + G(Sx_{3n+2}, fy, ry)), \gamma (G(gy, ty, hx_{3n+2}) + G(fy, gy, ty))]
 \end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$G(y, gy, y) \leq \phi \{ \max [\alpha (G(gy, y, y) + G(y, y, gy) + G(y, y, y)), \beta (G(y, y, gy) + G(y, y, y)), \gamma (G(gy, gy, y)) \}$$

$$+ G(y, gy, ty))\}} \\ \leq \phi \{ \max [2\alpha G(gy, y, ry), \beta G(gy, y, y), 4\gamma G(gy, y, y)] \}$$

From the above inequality we have following three cases.

Case I If $\max = 2\alpha G(gy, y, y)$ then

$$G(gy, y, y) \leq \phi [2\alpha G(gy, y, y)] \text{ as } \phi(t) < t \text{ we get } G(gy, y, y) \leq 2\alpha G(gy, y, y) \text{ hence } G(gy, y, y) = 0 \text{ or } gy = y.$$

Case II If $\max = \beta G(gy, y, y)$ then

$$G(gy, y, y) \leq \phi [\beta G(gy, y, y)] \text{ as } \phi(t) < t \text{ we get } G(gy, y, y) \leq \beta G(gy, y, y) \text{ hence } G(gy, y, y) = 0 \text{ or } gy = y.$$

Case III If $\max = 4\gamma G(gy, y, y)$ then

$$G(gy, y, y) \leq \phi [4\gamma G(gy, y, y)] \text{ as } \phi(t) < t \text{ we get } G(gy, y, y) \leq 4\gamma G(gy, y, y) \text{ hence } G(gy, y, y) = 0 \text{ or } gy = y.$$

Also $gy = ty = y$. Hence we get y is a common fixed point of g and t . Similarly since $y = gy \in g(X) \subset s(X)$,

there exist $v \in X$ such that $sv = y$. We now prove that $hv = y$ If $hv \neq y$, we have

$$G(y, y, hv) = G(fy, gy, hv) \\ \leq \phi \{ \max [\alpha (G(gy, fy, ry) + G(hv, gy, ty) + G(fy, sv, hv)), \beta (G(fy, ry, gy) + G(sv, fy, ry)), \gamma (G(gy, ty, hv) + G(fy, gy, ty))] \} \\ \leq \phi \{ \max [\alpha (G(y, y, y) + G(hv, y, y) + G(y, y, hv)), \beta (G(y, y, y) + G(y, y, y)), \gamma (G(y, y, hv) + G(y, y, y))] \} \\ \leq \phi \{ \max [2\alpha G(hv, y, y), \gamma G(hv, y, y)] \}$$

From the above inequality we have following case

Case I If $\max = 2\alpha G(hv, y, y)$ then

$$G(hv, y, y) \leq \phi [2\alpha G(hv, y, y)] \text{ as } \phi(t) < t \text{ we get } G(hv, y, y) \leq 2\alpha G(hv, y, y) \text{ hence } G(hv, y, y) = 0 \text{ i.e. } hv = y.$$

Case II If $\max = \gamma G(hv, y, y)$ then

$$G(hv, y, y) \leq \phi [\gamma G(hv, y, y)] \text{ as } \phi(t) < t \text{ we get } G(hv, y, y) \leq \gamma G(hv, y, y) \text{ hence } G(hv, y, y) = 0 \text{ i.e. } hv = y.$$

Thus $hv = sv = y$. As the pair (h, s) are weakly compatible we have $shv = hsv$. Hence $sy = hy$. Now we shall prove that $hy = y$.

$$G(y, y, hy) = G(fy, gy, hy)$$

$$\leq \phi \{ \max [\alpha (G(gy, fy, ry) + G(hy, gy, ty) + G(fy, sy, hy)), \beta (G(fy, ry, gy) + G(sy, fy, ry)), \gamma (G(gy, ty, hy) + G(fy, gy, ty))] \} \\ \leq \phi \{ \max [\alpha (G(y, y, y) + G(hy, y, y) + G(y, y, hy)), \beta (G(y, y, y) + G(y, y, y)), \gamma (G(y, y, hy) + G(y, y, y))] \} \\ \leq \phi \{ \max [2\alpha G(hy, y, y), \gamma G(y, y, hy)] \}$$

From the above inequality we have following cases.

Case I If $\max = 2\alpha G(hy, y, y)$ then

$$G(y, y, hy) \leq \phi [2\alpha G(hy, y, y)] \text{ as } \phi(t) < t \text{ we get } G(y, y, hy) \leq 2\alpha G(hy, y, y) \text{ hence } G(y, y, hy) = 0 \text{ i.e. } hy = y.$$

Case II- If $\max = \gamma G(y, y, hy)$ then

$$G(y, y, hy) \leq \phi [\gamma G(y, y, hy)] \text{ as } \phi(t) < t \text{ we get } G(y, y, hy) \leq \gamma G(y, y, hy) \text{ hence } G(y, y, hy) = 0 \text{ i.e. } hy = y.$$

Since $sy = hy = y$. We have y is a common fixed point of s and h . Thus f, g, h, s, t, r have a common fixed point y .

So $fy = gy = hy = sy = ty = ry = y$. We shall now prove that y is a unique fixed point of f, g, h, s, t, r . Let y' is the another fixed point of f, g, h, r, s, t .

$$G(y, y, hy) = G(fy, gy, hy)$$

$$\leq \phi \{ \max [\alpha (G(gy, fy, ry) + G(hy', gy, ty) + G(fy, sy', hy')), \beta (G(fy, ry, gy) + G(sy', fy, ry)), \gamma (G(gy, ty, hy') + G(fy, gy, ty))] \}$$

$$G(y, y, y) \leq \phi \{ \max [\alpha (G(y, y, y) + G(y', y, y) + G(y', y', y')), \beta (G(y, y, y) + G(y', y, y)), \gamma (G(y, y, y') + G(y, y, y))] \}$$

$$\leq \phi \{ \max [\alpha G(y', y, y), \beta G(y', y, y), \gamma G(y', y, y)] \}$$

From the above inequality we have three cases.

Case I . If $\max = \alpha G(y', y, y)$ then

$$G(y, y, y') \leq \phi [\alpha G(y', y, y)] \text{ as } \phi(t) < t \text{ we get } G(y, y, y') \leq \alpha G(y', y, y) \text{ hence } G(y, y, y') = 0 \text{ or } y = y'$$

Case II . If $\max = \beta G(y', y, y)$ then

$$G(y, y, y') \leq \phi [\beta G(y', y, y)] \text{ as } \phi(t) < t \text{ we get } G(y, y, y') \leq \beta G(y', y, y) \text{ hence } G(y, y, y') = 0 \text{ or } y = y'$$

Case III . If $\max = \gamma G(y', y, y)$ then

$G(y, y, y') \leq \phi [\gamma G(y', y, y)]$ as $\phi(t) < t$ we get $G(y, y, y') \leq \gamma \alpha G(y', y, y)$ hence $G(y, y, y') = 0$ or $y = y'$. Hence y is unique common fixed point of f, g, h, s, t, r . This completes the proof of the theorem.

====

REFERENCES

- [1] B.C. Dhage, *Generalized metric spaces and mapping with fixed points*. Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 84(1992),329-336.
- [2] B. C. Dhage, *On generalized metric spaces and topological structure II*, Pure Appl. Math. Sci. 40 (1994), 37-41.
- [3] B. C. Dhage, *A common fixed point principle in D-metric spaces*. Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 91 (1999), 475-480.
- [4] B. C. Dhage, *Generalized metric spaces and topological structure. I*. Annalele Stiintifice ale Universitatii Al.I. Cuza, (2000).
- [5] G.Jungck, *Compatible mappings and common fixed points*, Int. J. Math. Sci., 9 (4) (1986), 771-779.
- [6] G.Jungck, *Common fixed points for noncontinuous nonself maps on non-metric spaces*, far East J.Math.Sci.,4(1996),199-215.
- [7] G.Jungck, B.E. Rhoades, *Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.29(1998),227-238.
- [8] M. Abbas, B.E. Rhoades, *Common fixed point results for noncommuting mappings without continuity in generalized metric spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput. 215 (2009). 262-269.
- [9] M. Abbas, T. Nazir, S. Radenovic, *Some periodic point results in generalized metric spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput. 217 (2010). 4094-4099.
- [10] R.Chugh, T. Kadian, A. Rani, B. E. Rhoades, *Property P in G-metric spaces*. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2010 (2010), Article ID 401684.
- [11] S. Sessa, *On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point consideration*, Publ. Inst. Math. Soc. 32 (1982), 149-153.
- [12] S.S. Tomer, D. Singh, M.S. Rathore, *Common fixed point theorems via weakly compatible mappings in complete G-metric spaces: Using control functions*. Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 4 (2014) No. 2, 245-262
- [13] W.Shatanawi, *Fixed point theory for contractive mappings satisfying U-maps in G-metric spaces*. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2010 (2010), Article ID 181650.
- [14] Z. Mustafa, B. Sims, *Some remarks concerning D-metric spaces*, in Proceedings of the Internatinal Conferences on Fixed Point Theory and Applications, pp. 189-198, Valencia, Spain, July 2003.
- [15] Z. Mustafa, B. Sims, *A new approach to generalized metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 7 (2006), 289-297.
- [16] Z. Mustafa, H. Obiedat, F. Awawdeh, *Some fixed Point theorem for mapping on complete G- metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2008 (2008), Article ID 189870.
- [17] Z. Mustafa, B. Sims, *Fixed point theorems for contractive mapping in complete G -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2009 (2009), Article ID 917175.
- [18] Z. Muilata, W. Shatanawi, M. Bataineh, *Existence of fixed point results in G-metric spaces*, Int. Math. Math. Sci. 2009 (2009), Article ID 283028.