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#### Abstract

Motivated by the recent work of Herbert, Hayen, Macaskill and Walter [Interval estimation for the difference of two independent variances. Communications in Statistics, Simulation and Computation, 40: 744-758, 2011.], we investigate, in this paper, the new confidence interval for the difference between two normal population standard deviations based on the simple confidence interval of Donner and Zou [Closed-form confidence intervals for functions of the normal mean and standard deviation, 1-13, 2010.]. For a single confidence interval for a standard deviation, we derived analytic expressions to find the coverage probability and its expected length compared with the standard confidence interval. Monte Carlo simulation results for the difference of standard deviations are given to compare proposed confidence intervals.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, Herbert et al. [2] have argued that methods and analyzes for constructing the confidence interval estimation of the difference between variances have not been described. They proposed a simple analytical method to construct a confidence interval for the difference between two independent samples. It is seen that their proposed confidence interval works well when observations are highly skewed and leptokurtic. Cojbasica and Tomovica [1] showed that the nonparametric confidence interval of the population variance of two-sample problem based on $t$-statistic combined with bootstrap techniques performs very well when data are from exponential families. Phonyiem and Niwitpong [5] proposed the new generalized confidence interval for the difference between the normal variances.Their proposed interval performs very well compared to the existing confidence interval. Related works of the confidence interval for the difference between two variances, the reader is referred to the references cited in the above papers. In this paper, we emphasize only data are from the normal distribution and we propose the new confidence interval for the single and the difference of two normal population standard deviations, based on the simple confidence interval of [3]. For the confidence interval for a standard deviation, we derived analytic expressions to find coverage probability and expected length. We also present the Monte Carlo simulation results for the confidence intervals for the difference of standard deviations.

## II. CONFIDENCE INTERVALS FOR THE DIFFERENCE OF TWO NORMAL POPULATION STANDARD DEVIATIONS

Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ and $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{m}$ be random samples from two independent normal distributions with means $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ and standard deviations $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$, respectively. The sample means and sample variances for $X$ and $Y$ are, respectively, denoted as $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, S_{1}^{2}$ and $S_{2}^{2}$ when
$\bar{X}=n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}, \bar{Y}=m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} Y_{i}, S_{1}^{2}=\frac{1}{(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}$ and $S_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{(m-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}$. We are interested in $100(1-\alpha) \%$ confidence intervals for $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}$.

## A. Confidence Interval for The Single Standard Deviation

It is well known that for a given sample variance $X$ which is $S_{1}^{2}=\frac{1}{(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}$ then $\chi^{2}=\frac{(n-1) S_{1}^{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}$ is the Chi-square distributed with $(n-1)$ degrees of freedom. For given a real number $\alpha$, where $\alpha \in(0,1)$. We can construct the confidence interval for a standard deviation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-\alpha & =P\left(\chi_{(n-1),(\alpha / 2)}^{2} \leq \chi^{2} \leq \chi_{(n-1),(1-\alpha / 2)}^{2}\right) \\
& =P\left(\chi_{(n-1),(\alpha / 2)}^{2} \leq \frac{(n-1) S_{1}^{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}} \leq \chi_{(n-1),(1-\alpha / 2)}^{2}\right) \\
& =P\left(\frac{(n-1) S_{1}^{2}}{\chi_{(n-1),(1-\alpha / 2)}^{2}} \leq \sigma_{1}^{2} \leq \frac{(n-1) S_{1}^{2}}{\chi_{(n-1),(\alpha / 2)}^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

A $100(1-\alpha) \%$ confidence interval for $\sigma_{1}^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C I_{\sigma_{1}^{2}}=\left[\frac{(n-1) S_{1}^{2}}{\chi_{(n-1),(1-\alpha / 2)}^{2}}, \frac{(n-1) S_{1}^{2}}{\chi_{(n-1),(\alpha / 2)}^{2}}\right] . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1), a $100(1-\alpha) \%$ confidence interval for $\sigma_{1}$ is therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
C I_{1}=\left[\sqrt{\frac{(n-1) S_{1}^{2}}{\chi_{(n-1),(1-\alpha / 2)}^{2}}}, \sqrt{\frac{(n-1) S_{1}^{2}}{\chi_{(n-1),(\alpha / 2)}^{2}}}\right] . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## B. Confidence Interval for The Standard Deviation with Known a Coefficient of Variation

Consider $\tau_{1}=\sigma_{1} / \mu_{1}$ where $\tau_{1}$ is a coefficient of variation, we then have $\sigma_{1}=\tau_{1} * \mu_{1}$. For a $100(1-\alpha) \%$ confidence interval for $\sigma_{1}$, when a coefficient of variation is known, can be easily solved which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C I_{2}=\left[\tau_{1}\left(\bar{X}-c_{0} S_{1} / \sqrt{n}\right), \tau_{1}\left(\bar{X}+c_{0} S_{1} / \sqrt{n}\right)\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{0}$ is a $100(1-\alpha / 2) \%$ percentile of a standard $t$-distribution with $n-1$ degrees of freedom.
We now derive coverage probabilities and expected lengths of confidence intervals $C I_{1}$ compared to $C I_{2}$.

Theorem 1 The coverage probability and the expected length of are respectively $E\left[\Phi\left(W_{1}\right)-\Phi\left(-W_{1}\right)\right]$ and $\left(c_{2}-c_{1}\right) \sigma_{1} \sqrt{2 /(\mathrm{n}-1)}(\Gamma(\mathrm{n} / 2) / \Gamma((\mathrm{n}-1) / 2)$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{1}=\frac{S_{1}-c_{2} S_{1}}{\sigma_{1} \sqrt{c_{3}}}, W_{2}=\frac{S_{1}-c_{1} S_{1}}{\sigma_{1} \sqrt{c_{3}}}, c_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{(n-1)}{\chi_{(n-1),(1-\alpha / 2)}^{2}}}, c_{2}=\sqrt{\frac{(n-1)}{\chi_{(n-1),(\alpha / 2)}^{2}}} \text { and } \\
& c_{3}=1-2(\mathrm{n}-1)^{-1}(\Gamma(\mathrm{n} / 2) / \Gamma((\mathrm{n}-1) / 2))^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: Following [4], the coverage probability of $\mathrm{CI}_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-\alpha & =P\left(\chi_{(n-1),(\alpha / 2)}^{2} \leq \chi^{2} \leq \chi_{(n-1),(1-\alpha / 2)}^{2}\right) \\
& =P\left(\chi_{(n-1),(\alpha / 2)}^{2} \leq \frac{(n-1) S_{1}^{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}} \leq \chi_{(n-1),(1-\alpha / 2)}^{2}\right) \\
& =P\left(\frac{(n-1) S_{1}^{2}}{\chi_{(n-1),(1-\alpha / 2)}^{2}} \leq \sigma_{1}^{2} \leq \frac{(n-1) S_{1}^{2}}{\chi_{(n-1),(\alpha / 2)}^{2}}\right) \\
& =P\left(\sqrt{\left.\frac{(n-1) S_{1}^{2}}{\chi_{(n-1),(1-\alpha / 2)}^{2}} \leq \sqrt{\sigma_{1}^{2}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{(n-1) S_{1}^{2}}{\chi_{(n-1),(\alpha / 2)}^{2}}}\right)}\right. \\
& =P\left(c_{1} S_{1} \leq \sigma_{1} \leq c_{2} S_{1}\right), c_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{(n-1)}{\chi_{(n-1),(1-\alpha / 2)}^{2}}}, c_{2}=\sqrt{\frac{(n-1)}{\chi_{(n-1),(\alpha / 2)}^{2}}} \\
& =P\left(S_{1}-c_{2} S_{1} \leq S_{1}-\sigma_{1} \leq S_{1}-c_{1} S_{1}\right) \\
& =P\left(\frac{S_{1}-c_{2} S_{1}}{\sigma_{1} \sqrt{c_{3}}} \leq \frac{S_{1}-\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{1} \sqrt{c_{3}}} \leq \frac{S_{1}-c_{1} S_{1}}{\sigma_{1} \sqrt{c_{3}}}\right), c_{3}=1-2(\mathrm{n}-1)^{-1}(\Gamma(\mathrm{n} / 2) / \Gamma((\mathrm{n}-1) / 2))^{2} \\
& =P\left(\frac{S_{1}-c_{2} S_{1}}{\sigma_{1} \sqrt{c_{3}}} \leq Z \leq \frac{S_{1}-c_{1} S_{1}}{\sigma_{1} \sqrt{c_{3}}}\right) \\
& =E\left[I_{\left\{-W_{1}<Z<W_{1}\right\}}(\tau)\right], I_{\left\{-W_{1}<Z<W_{1}\right\}}(\tau)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \text { if } \tau \in\left\{-W_{1}<Z<W_{1}\right\} \\
0, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right. \\
& =E\left[E\left[I_{\left\{-W_{1}<Z<W_{1}\right\}}(\tau)\right] \mid S^{2}\right] \\
& =E\left[\Phi\left(W_{1}\right)-\Phi\left(-W_{1}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Z \sim N(0 ; 1)$.
Note that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right) & =\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}^{2}\right)-\left(\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& =\sigma_{1}^{2}-\left(\sigma_{1} \sqrt{2 /(\mathrm{n}-1)} \Gamma(\mathrm{n} / 2) / \Gamma((\mathrm{n}-1) / 2)^{2}\right. \\
& =\sigma_{1}^{2}\left(1-(2 /(\mathrm{n}-1))\left(\Gamma(\mathrm{n} / 2) / \Gamma((\mathrm{n}-1) / 2)^{2}\right) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The expected length for $C I_{1}$ is therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(C I_{1}\right) & =\left(c_{2}-c_{1}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(c_{2}-c_{1}\right) \sigma_{1} \sqrt{2 /(\mathrm{n}-1)}(\Gamma(\mathrm{n} / 2) / \Gamma((\mathrm{n}-1) / 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2 The coverage probability and the expected length of are respectively, $\mathrm{E}\left[\left(W_{2}\right)-\Phi\left(W_{3}\right)\right]$ and $\frac{2 c_{0}}{\sqrt{n}} \tau \sigma \sqrt{2 /(\mathrm{n}-1)}(\Gamma(\mathrm{n} / 2) / \Gamma((\mathrm{n}-1) / 2)$.
Proof: Following [4], the coverage probability of $\mathrm{CI}_{2}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-\alpha & =P\left(\tau_{1}\left(\bar{X}-c_{0} S_{1} / \sqrt{n}\right) \leq \sigma_{1} \leq \tau_{1}\left(\bar{X}+c_{0} S_{1} / \sqrt{n}\right)\right) \\
& =P\left(-\tau_{1}\left(\bar{X}+c_{0} S_{1} / \sqrt{n}\right) \leq-\sigma_{1} \leq-\tau_{1}\left(\bar{X}-c_{0} S_{1} / \sqrt{n}\right)\right) \\
& =P\left(S_{1}-\tau_{1}\left(\bar{X}+c_{0} S_{1} / \sqrt{n}\right) \leq S_{1}-\sigma_{1} \leq S_{1}-\tau_{1}\left(\bar{X}-c_{0} S_{1} / \sqrt{n}\right)\right) \\
& =P\left(\frac{S_{1}-\tau_{1}\left(\bar{X}+c_{0} S_{1} / \sqrt{n}\right)}{\sigma_{1} \sqrt{c_{3}}} \leq \frac{S_{1}-\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{1} \sqrt{c_{3}}} \leq \frac{S_{1}-\tau_{1}\left(\bar{X}-c_{0} S_{1} / \sqrt{n}\right)}{\sigma_{1} \sqrt{c_{3}}}\right) \\
& =P\left(\frac{S_{1}-\tau_{1}\left(\bar{X}+c_{0} S_{1} / \sqrt{n}\right)}{\sigma_{1} \sqrt{c_{3}}} \leq Z \leq \frac{S_{1}-\tau_{1}\left(\bar{X}-c_{0} S_{1} / \sqrt{n}\right)}{\sigma_{1} \sqrt{c_{3}}}\right), \mathrm{c}_{3}=1-(2 /(\mathrm{n}-1))\left(\Gamma(\mathrm{n} / 2) / \Gamma((\mathrm{n}-1) / 2)^{2}\right. \\
& =E\left[I_{\left\{W_{2}<Z<W_{3}\right\}}(\tau)\right], I_{\left\{W_{2}<Z<W_{3}\right\}}(\tau)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \text { if } \tau \in\left\{W_{2}<Z<W_{3}\right\} \\
0, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}, \mathrm{W}_{2}=\frac{S_{1}-\tau_{1}\left(\bar{X}+c_{0} S_{1} / \sqrt{n}\right)}{\sigma_{1} \sqrt{c_{3}}},\right. \\
& =E\left[E\left[I_{\left\{W_{2}<Z<W_{3}\right\}}(\tau)\right] \mid S^{2}\right], \mathrm{W}_{3}=\frac{S_{1}-\tau_{1}\left(\bar{X}-c_{0} S_{1} / \sqrt{n}\right)}{\sigma_{1} \sqrt{c_{3}}} \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left[\left(W_{2}\right)-\Phi\left(W_{3}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The expected length of $\mathrm{CI}_{2}$ is therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(C I_{2}\right)=E\left(\tau_{1}\left(\bar{X}+c_{0} S_{1} / \sqrt{n}\right)-\tau_{1}\left(\bar{X}-c_{0} S_{1} / \sqrt{n}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=E\left(2 c_{0} \tau_{1} S_{1} / \sqrt{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{2 c_{0} \tau_{1}}{\sqrt{n}} E\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right) \\
& =\frac{2 c_{0}}{\sqrt{n}} \tau_{1} \sigma_{1} \sqrt{2 /(\mathrm{n}-1)}(\Gamma(\mathrm{n} / 2) / \Gamma((\mathrm{n}-1) / 2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## C. Confidence Intervals for the Difference between Normal Standard Deviations

Donner and Zou [3] proposed the confidence interval for the difference between $\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}$ which is $C I_{3}=[L, U]$ where $L=\hat{\theta}_{1}-\hat{\theta}_{2}-\sqrt{\left(\hat{\theta}_{1}-l_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{2}-\hat{\theta}_{2}\right)^{2}}$,
$U=\hat{\theta}_{1}+\hat{\theta}_{2}-\sqrt{\left(u_{1}-\hat{\theta}_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\hat{\theta}_{2}-l_{2}\right)^{2}}$. Plug in $\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}=\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}, \hat{\theta}_{1}=c_{4} S_{1}, \hat{\theta}_{2}=c_{5} S_{2}$,
$c_{4}=\sqrt{2 /(\mathrm{n}-1)}\left(\Gamma(\mathrm{n} / 2) / \Gamma((\mathrm{n}-1) / 2), c_{5}=\sqrt{2 /(\mathrm{m}-1)}(\Gamma(\mathrm{m} / 2) / \Gamma((\mathrm{m}-1) / 2)\right.$,
$\left(l_{1}, u_{1}\right)=\left(\sqrt{\frac{(n-1) \mathrm{S}_{1}^{2}}{\chi_{1-\alpha / 2,(n-1)}^{2}}}, \sqrt{\frac{(n-1) \mathrm{S}_{1}^{2}}{\chi_{\alpha / 2,(n-1)}^{2}}}\right), \quad\left(l_{2}, u_{2}\right)=\left(\sqrt{\frac{(m-1) \mathrm{S}_{2}^{2}}{\chi_{1-\alpha / 2,(m-1)}^{2}}}, \sqrt{\frac{(m-1) \mathrm{S}_{2}^{2}}{\chi_{\alpha / 2,(m-1)}^{2}}}\right)$ in to $\quad C I_{3}=[L, U]$, we have a new confidence interval for $\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}$.

Another confidence interval for $\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}$ is $C I_{4}=\left[L_{1}, U_{1}\right]$, where
$L_{1}=\hat{\theta}_{1}-\hat{\theta}_{2}-\sqrt{\left(\hat{\theta}_{1}-l_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{4}-\hat{\theta}_{2}\right)^{2}}, U_{1}=\hat{\theta}_{1}+\hat{\theta}_{2}-\sqrt{\left(u_{3}-\hat{\theta}_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\hat{\theta}_{2}-l_{4}\right)^{2}}$,
$\left(l_{3}, u_{3}\right)=\left(\tau_{1}\left(\bar{X}-c_{1} S_{1} / \sqrt{n}\right), \tau_{1}\left(\bar{X}+c_{1} S_{1} / \sqrt{n}\right)\right)$,
$\left(l_{4}, u_{4}\right)=\left(\tau_{2}\left(\bar{Y}-c_{1} S_{2} / \sqrt{m}\right), \tau_{2}\left(\bar{Y}+c_{1} S_{2} / \sqrt{m}\right)\right)$.

## III. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, using the R program (version 3.01), we compare our proposed confidence intervals via Monte Carlo simulation. We set the sample $n=m=10,30,50,100,200,\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)=(0.05,0.05)$, (0.05,0.10), (0.10, 0.10), (0.10, 0.20),
$(0.20,0.20),(0.20,0.30),(0.30,0.30),(0.30,0.40),(0.40,0.40),(0.40,0.50),(0.50,0.50),(0.50,0.60)$,
$(0.60,0.60),(0.60,0.70),(0.70,0.70),(0.70,0.80),(0.80,0.80),(0.80,0.90),(0.90,0.90),(0.90,1.00)$.
The coverage probabilities and the expected lengths for confidence intervals $C I_{3}=[L, U]$ compared to $C I_{4}=\left[L_{1}, U_{1}\right]$ are reported in Table 1 with 10,000 simulation runs.
TABLE 1 COVERAGE PROBABILITY AND EXPECTED LENGTH FOR CONFIDENCE INTERVALS $C I_{3}, C I_{4}$ WITH $1-\alpha=0.95$.

| n | M | $\tau_{1}$ | $\tau_{2}$ | COV1 | COV2 | LENGH1 | LENGTH2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | 10 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.9571 | 0.6108 | 0.0864 | 0.0303 |
|  |  | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.9544 | 0.6353 | 0.1331 | 0.0487 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.9566 | 0.6943 | 0.1731 | 0.0628 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.9547 | 0.6991 | 0.2665 | 0.1090 |
|  |  | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.9559 | 0.8310 | 0.3459 | 0.1436 |
|  |  | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.9582 | 0.7680 | 0.4363 | 0.2063 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.9554 | 0.8894 | 0.5165 | 0.2539 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.9545 | 0.8366 | 0.6053 | 0.3330 |
|  |  | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.9565 | 0.9231 | 0.6910 | 0.4043 |
|  |  | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.9548 | 0.8909 | 0.7806 | 0.5101 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.9534 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 5 1 9}$ | 0.8659 | 0.5985 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.9567 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 3 3 0}$ | 0.9521 | 0.7169 |
|  |  | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.9550 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 6 5 2}$ | 1.0379 | 0.8298 |
|  |  | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.9533 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 5 2 3}$ | 1.1249 | 0.9710 |
|  |  | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.9533 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7 5 0}$ | 1.2084 | 1.0951 |
|  |  | 0.70 | 0.80 | 0.9543 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7 1 7}$ | 1.2996 | 1.2720 |
|  |  | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.9565 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 8 1 7}$ | 1.3868 | 1.4258 |
|  |  | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.9554 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7 9 6}$ | 1.4759 | 1.6119 |
|  |  | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.9581 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 8 3 3}$ | 1.5514 | 1.7685 |
|  |  | 0.90 | 1.00 | 0.9540 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 8 3 0}$ | 1.6430 | 1.9809 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 30 | 30 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.9500 | 0.5887 | 0.0397 | 0.0166 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.9522 | 0.6168 | 0.0622 | 0.0266 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.9510 | 0.6819 | 0.0795 | 0.0349 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.9526 | 0.6704 | 0.1243 | 0.0589 |
|  |  | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.9536 | 0.8099 | 0.1589 | 0.0786 |
|  |  | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.9497 | 0.7595 | 0.2020 | 0.1118 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.9506 | 0.8632 | 0.2383 | 0.1379 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.9509 | 0.8352 | 0.2813 | 0.1831 |
|  |  | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.9529 | 0.9145 | 0.3178 | 0.2175 |
|  |  | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.9482 | 0.8800 | 0.3601 | 0.2739 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.9506 | 0.9449 | 0.3977 | 0.3214 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.9492 | 0.9453 | 0.4381 | 0.3800 |
|  |  | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.9534 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 5 1 6}$ | 0.4769 | 0.4402 |
|  |  | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.9483 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 4 4 5}$ | 0.5186 | 0.5209 |
|  |  | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.9522 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 6 3 8}$ | 0.5564 | 0.5864 |
|  |  | 0.70 | 0.80 | 0.9532 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 6 1 9}$ | 0.5976 | 0.6764 |
|  |  | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.9550 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7 5 0}$ | 0.6360 | 0.7536 |
|  |  | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.9515 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7 3 3}$ | 0.6763 | 0.8552 |
|  |  | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.9535 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 8 1 8}$ | 0.7159 | 0.9434 |
|  |  | 0.90 | 1.00 | 0.9538 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 8 2 0}$ | 0.7578 | 1.0556 |

COV1 stands for the coverage probability for $\mathrm{CI}_{3}$ COV2 stands for the coverage probability for $\mathrm{CI}_{4}$ LENGTH1 stands for the expected length of $\mathrm{CI}_{3}$ LENGTH2 stands for the expected length of $\mathrm{CI}_{4}$

TABLE 1 (CONTINUE)

| n | m | $\tau_{1}$ | $\tau_{2}$ | COV1 | COV2 | LENGH1 | LENGTH2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 50 | 50 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.9522 | 0.5859 | 0.0295 | 0.0128 |
|  |  | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.9510 | 0.6143 | 0.0463 | 0.0203 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.9516 | 0.6886 | 0.0590 | 0.0264 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.9507 | 0.6770 | 0.0927 | 0.0451 |
|  |  | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.9496 | 0.7976 | 0.1181 | 0.0602 |
|  |  | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.9523 | 0.7547 | 0.1499 | 0.0842 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.9466 | 0.8629 | 0.1772 | 0.1067 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.9511 | 0.8250 | 0.2084 | 0.1385 |
|  |  | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.9482 | 0.9013 | 0.2363 | 0.1669 |
|  |  | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.9500 | 0.8730 | 0.2672 | 0.2081 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.9532 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 4 4 8}$ | 0.2953 | 0.2448 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.9557 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 4 8 1}$ | 0.3259 | 0.2933 |
|  |  | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.9512 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 5 3 5}$ | 0.3546 | 0.3389 |
|  |  | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.9487 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 4 6 9}$ | 0.3846 | 0.3942 |
|  |  | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.9532 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 6 2 9}$ | 0.4127 | 0.4483 |
|  |  | 0.70 | 0.80 | 0.9495 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 5 8 6}$ | 0.4429 | 0.5136 |
|  |  | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.9485 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7 5 4}$ | 0.4722 | 0.5763 |
|  |  | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.9500 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 6 8 1}$ | 0.5030 | 0.6529 |
|  |  | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.9513 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7 7 3}$ | 0.5317 | 0.7186 |
|  |  | 0.90 | 1.00 | 0.9541 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7 8 0}$ | 0.5614 | 0.7992 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 100 | 100 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.9523 | 0.5844. | 0.0202 | 0.0090 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.9493 | 0.6044 | 0.0318 | 0.0142 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.9526 | 0.6778 | 0.0404 | 0.0187 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.9521 | 0.6780 | 0.0637 | 0.0317 |
|  |  | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.9503 | 0.7937 | 0.0809 | 0.0422 |
|  |  | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.9513 | 0.7544 | 0.1029 | 0.0595 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.9504 | 0.8620 | 0.1214 | 0.0738 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.9528 | 0.8239 | 0.1429 | 0.0975 |
|  |  | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.9533 | 0.9032 | 0.1616 | 0.1164 |
|  |  | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.9465 | 0.8736 | 0.1831 | 0.1462 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.9520 | 0.9383 | 0.2022 | 0.1701 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.9526 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 4 5 2}$ | 0.2234 | 0.2056 |
|  |  | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.9450 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 5 0 6}$ | 0.2428 | 0.2366 |
|  |  | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.9529 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 4 0 5}$ | 0.2636 | 0.2772 |
|  |  | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.9504 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 6 0 5}$ | 0.2831 | 0.3147 |
|  |  | 0.70 | 0.80 | 0.9489 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 6 0 4}$ | 0.3040 | 0.3598 |
|  |  | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.9509 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7 3 9}$ | 0.3238 | 0.4061 |
|  |  | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.9497 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 6 9 9}$ | 0.3447 | 0.4583 |
|  |  | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.9540 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7 9 0}$ | 0.3640 | 0.5032 |
|  |  | 0.90 | 1.00 | 0.9482 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7 9 9}$ | 0.3848 | 0.5648 |

TABLE 1 (CONTINUE)

| n | m | $\tau_{1}$ | $\tau_{2}$ | COV1 | COV2 | LENGH1 | LENGTH2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 200 | 200 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.9512 | 0.5880 | 0.0140 | 0.0063 |
|  |  | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.9520 | 0.6074 | 0.0222 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.9504 | 0.6737 | 0.0281 | 0.0131 |
|  |  | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.9487 | 0.6735 | 0.0444 | 0.0222 |
|  |  | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.9523 | 0.7951 | 0.0563 | 0.0297 |
|  |  | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.9517 | 0.7584 | 0.0716 | 0.0419 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.9526 | 0.8644 | 0.0844 | 0.0524 |
|  |  | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.9456 | 0.8191 | 0.0994 | 0.0675 |
|  |  | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.9500 | 0.8950 | 0.1125 | 0.0820 |
|  |  | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.9511 | 0.8726 | 0.1274 | 0.1029 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.9502 | 0.9397 | 0.1407 | 0.1203 |
|  |  | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.9517 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 4 3 3}$ | 0.1554 | 0.1442 |
|  |  | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.9470 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 4 9 0}$ | 0.1690 | 0.1670 |
|  |  | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.9496 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 3 6 7}$ | 0.1835 | 0.1939 |
|  |  | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.9520 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 6 3 8}$ | 0.1971 | 0.2214 |
|  |  | 0.70 | 0.80 | 0.9518 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 6 0 2}$ | 0.2116 | 0.2547 |
|  |  | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.9487 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7 1 8}$ | 0.2252 | 0.2839 |
|  |  | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.9516 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7 2 3}$ | 0.2398 | 0.3197 |
|  |  | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.9508 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7 8 8}$ | 0.2533 | 0.3559 |
|  |  | 0.90 | 1.00 | 0.9523 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7 8 3}$ | 0.2679 | 0.3972 |

COV1 stands for the coverage probability for $\mathrm{Cl}_{3}$
COV2 stands for the coverage probability for $\mathrm{CI}_{4}$
LENGTH1 stands for the expected length of $\mathrm{CI}_{3}$
LENGTH2 stands for the expected length of $\mathrm{CI}_{4}$

To compare confidence intervals, we prefer confidence interval which has coverage probability at least 0.95 and has shortest expected length. From Table 1, we prefer the confidence interval
$C I_{3}=[L, U]$ to the confidence interval $C I_{4}=\left[L_{1}, U_{1}\right]$ when $\mathrm{n}=10,30,50,100,200$ and small values of coefficients of variation i.e. $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \leq 0.5$.
otherwise we choose the confidence interval $C I_{4}=\left[L_{1}, U_{1}\right]$ which has a coverage probability better than the confidence interval $C I_{3}=[L, U]$.

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we drive analytics expressions to find the coverage probability and the expected length for the confidence interval for a standard deviation $C I_{1}$ compared to $C I_{2}$. For the confidence interval for the difference between standard deviations, we compared $C I_{3}$ to $C I_{4}$,
Monte Carlo simulations are carried out. It turned out that $C I_{3}$ performed better than $C I_{4}$ for small and moderate values of coefficients of variation, i.e. $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \leq 0.5$. The large values of the quantities $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$, resulting to the better coverage probabilities of $C I_{4}$ and also a larger of expected lengths of $C I_{4}$.
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