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## Abstract

In this paper, we established some weak and strong convergence theorems for common fixed points of three nonself asymptotically Banach spaces. Our results extended and improve the result announ- ed by Wang[6] [Strong and weak convergence theorems for common fixed points of nonself asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 323(2006)550-557.] and WeiQiDeng, Lin Wang and Yi-Juan Chen[13] [Strong and Weak Convergence Theorems for common fixed points of two asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, International Mathematical Forum, Vol. 7, 2012, no. 9, 407 - 417.]

For a smooth banach space $E$, let us assume that $K$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of with $P$ as a sunny nonexpansive retraction. Let, $\boldsymbol{T}_{1}, \boldsymbol{T}_{2}, \boldsymbol{T}_{3}: \mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ be three weakly inward nonself asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with respect to P with three sequences
$\left\{k_{n}{ }^{(i)}\right\} \quad\left[\quad[1, \infty)\right.$ satisfying $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(k_{n}{ }^{(i)}-1\right)<\infty,(\mathrm{i}=1,2,3)$ and $\mathrm{F}\left(T_{1}\right) \cap \mathrm{F}\left(T_{2}\right) \cap F\left(T_{3}\right)=\left\{x \epsilon k, T_{1} x=T_{2} x=T_{3} x=x\right\}$ respectively

For any given $x_{1} \in k$,suppose that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is sequence generated iteratively by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n+1}=a_{n 1} x_{n}+b_{n 1}\left(P T_{1}\right)^{n} y_{n}+c_{n 1}\left(P T_{2}\right)^{n} y_{n}+d_{n 1}\left(P T_{3}\right)^{n} y_{n} \\
& y_{n=} a_{n 2} x_{n}+b_{n 2}\left(P T_{1}\right)^{n} y_{n}+c_{n 2}\left(P T_{2}\right)^{n} y_{n}+d_{n 2}\left(P T_{3}\right)^{n} y_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

$z_{n}=a_{n 3} x_{n}+b_{n 3}\left(P T_{1}\right)^{n} y_{n}+c_{n 3}\left(P T_{2}\right)^{n} y_{n}+d_{n 3}\left(P T_{3}\right)^{n} y_{n}$
where, $\left\{\boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{n} i}\right\},\left\{\boldsymbol{b}_{n i}\right\},\left\{\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{n} i}\right\},\left\{\boldsymbol{d}_{\boldsymbol{n} i}\right\}$ for $\mathrm{i}=(1,2,3)$ are sequences in $[a, 1-a]$ for some $a \in(0,1)$
satisfying $a_{n i}+b_{n i}+c_{n i}+d_{n i}=1 \quad(\mathrm{i}=1,2,3)$. some a $\in(0,1)$, Under some suitable conditions, the strong and weak convergence theorems of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ to a common fixed point of $T_{1}, T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$ are obtained.
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

For a self-mapping $\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}$, nonexpansive mapping is defined as $\|\mathrm{T} x-\mathrm{Ty}\| \leq\|\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}\|$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{K}$ and asymptotically nonexpansive if there exists a sequence $\left\{\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right\} \subset$ $[1, \infty)$ with $\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{n}} \rightarrow 1$ as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$ such that for all $\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{N}$, where N stands for set of natural number,
$\left\|\boldsymbol{T}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{T}^{n} \boldsymbol{y}\right\| \leq \boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\|\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}\|$
for all $x, y \in K$. $T$ is called uniformly L-Lipschitzian if there exists a real number L>0suchthat $\left\|\boldsymbol{T}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{T}^{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{y}\right\| \leq \quad \mathrm{L}\|\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}\| \quad$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{K}$. and integers $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$.

As a generalization of the class of nonexpansive maps, the class of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings was introduced by Goebel and Kirk [5] in 1972, who proved that if K is a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a real uniformly convex Banach space and T is an asymptotically nonexpansive self-mapping of K , then T has a fixed point. Recently, Chidume et al.[1] further generalized the class of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings introduced by Goebel and Kirk [4], and proposed the concept of nonself asymptotically nonexpansive mapping defined as follows:

Definition1.1.[2] Let K be a nonempty subset of real normed linear space E . Let $\mathrm{P}: \mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}$ be the nonexpansive retraction of E onto K . (1) A nonself mapping $\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ is called asymptotically nonexpansive if there exist sequences $\left\{k_{n}\right.$ $\} \in[1, \infty)$ with $k_{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$ such that
$\left\|T(P T)^{n-1} x-T(P T)^{n-1} y\right\| \leq \boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\|\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}\| \quad$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{K}$.
(2)A nonself mapping $\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ is said to be uniformly LLipschitzian if there exists a constant $L \geq 0$ such that
$\left\|T(P T)^{n-1} x-T(P T)^{n-1} y\right\| \leq \mathrm{L}\|\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}\| \quad$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in$ K.

By using the following iterative algorithm:
$x_{1} \in k, x_{n+1}=\mathrm{P}\left(\left(1-\alpha_{\mathrm{n}}\right) x_{\mathrm{n}}+\alpha_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{PT})^{\mathrm{n}-1} x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$,
$\forall \mathrm{n} \geq 1$
Some authors [2,6,7,11] have studied the strong and weak convergence theorem for such mappings.

As a matter of fact, if T is a self-mapping, then P is a identity mapping. Thus (1.3) and (1.4) reduce to (1.1) and (1.2) as T is a self-mapping, respectively. In addition, if $T: K \rightarrow E$ is asymptotically nonexpansive in light of (1.3) and $\mathrm{P}: \mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}$ is a nonexpansive retraction, then $\mathrm{PT}: \mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}$ is asymptotically
nonexpansive in light of (1.1). Indeed, for all $x, y \in K$ and $n \geq 1$, by (1.3), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|(P T)^{n} x-(P T)^{n} y\right\|=\left\|P T(P T)^{n-1} x P T(P T)^{n-1} y\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|P T(P T)^{n-1} x-P T(P T)^{n-1} y\right\| \\
& \leq k_{n}\|\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}\| \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Conversely, it may not be true. Therefore, Zhou et al.[13] introduced the following generalized definition recently.

Definition 1.2.[9] Let K be a nonempty subset of real normed linear space E . Let $\mathrm{P}: \mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}$ be a nonexpansive retraction of E onto K.
(1) A nonself mapping $\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ is called asymptotically nonexpansive with respect to P if there exist sequences $\{$ $\left.k_{n}\right\} \in[1, \infty)$ with $k_{n} \rightarrow 1$ asn $\rightarrow \infty$ such that , \| $(P T)^{n} x-$ $(P T)^{n} y\left\|\leq k_{n}\right\| \mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y} \| \forall x, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{n} \geq 1(1.7)$
(2) A nonself mapping $\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ is said to be uniformly L Lipschitzian with respect to P if there exists a constant L $\geq 0$ such that
$\left.\left\|(P T)^{n} x-(P T)^{n} y\right\| \leq \mathrm{L}\|\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}\| \mathrm{l} \mathrm{I}_{3}\right)$
$\forall x, y \in K \quad, \mathrm{n} \geq 1$
Furthermore, by studying the following iterative process:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}+\beta_{n}\left(P T_{1}\right)^{n} x_{n}+\gamma_{n}\left(P T_{2}\right)^{n} x_{n} & \forall x_{1} \in k, \\
\mathrm{n} \geq 1 \tag{1.9}
\end{array}
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}$ are three sequences in $[\mathrm{a}, 1-\mathrm{a}]$ for some a $\in(0,1)$, satisfying $\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}+\gamma_{n}=1$, Zhou et al.[3] obtained some strong and weak convergence theorems for common fixed points of nonself asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with respect to P in uniformly convex Banach spaces. As a consequence, the main results of Chidume et al. [1] are deduced.

Inspired and motivated by those work mentioned above and three step iteration method proposed by Noor[8], in this paper, we construct a three step iteration scheme for approximating common fixed points of three nonself asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with respect to P and to prove some strong and weak convergence theorems for such mappings in uniformly convex Banach spaces.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real uniformly convex Banach space E with retraction P . Let $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}: \mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ be three nonself asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with respect to $P$. For approximating common fixed points of such mappings, we further generalize the iteration scheme(1.9) as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1} \in k \\
& x_{n+1}=a_{n 1} x_{n}+b_{n 1}\left(P T_{1}\right)^{n} y_{n}+c_{n 1}\left(P T_{2}\right)^{n} y_{n}+d_{n 1}\left(P T_{3}\right)^{n} y_{n} \\
& y_{n}=a_{n 2} x_{n}+b_{n 2}\left(P T_{1}\right)^{n} y_{n}+c_{n 2}\left(P T_{2}\right)^{n} y_{n}+d_{n 2}\left(P T_{3}\right)^{n} y_{n} \\
& (2.1)
\end{aligned}
$$

$z_{n}=a_{n 3} x_{n}+b_{n 3}\left(P T_{1}\right)^{n} y_{n}+c_{n 3}\left(P T_{2}\right)^{n} y_{n}+d_{n 3}\left(P T_{3}\right)^{n} y_{n}$
Where, $\left\{a_{n i}\right\},\left\{b_{n i}\right\},\left\{c_{n i}\right\},\left\{d_{n i}\right\}, \mathrm{i}=\{1,2,3\}$ are sequences in $[0,1]$ satisfying
$a_{n i}+b_{n i}+c_{n i}+d_{n i}$ for $\{1,2,3\}$
Let E be a Banach space with dimension $\mathrm{E} \geq 2$. The modulus of E is the function $\delta_{E}(\varepsilon):(0,2] \rightarrow[0,1]$ defined by
$\delta_{E}(\varepsilon)=\inf \left\{1-\left\|\frac{1}{2}(x+y)\right\| ;\|x\| 1,\|y\|=1, \varepsilon=\|x-y\|\right\}$
A Banach space E is uniformly convex if and only if $\delta_{E}(\varepsilon)>0$ for all $\varepsilon \in(0,2]$. Let $E$ be a Banach space and $S(E)=\{x \in E: x$ $=1$ \}. The space $E$ is said to be smooth if

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x+t y\|-\|x\|}{t}
$$

exists for all $x, y \in S(E)$.
A subset $K$ of $E$ is said to be retract if there exists continuous mapping $P: E \rightarrow K$ such that $P x=x$ for all $x \in K$. A mapping $P$ $: \mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ is said to be a retraction if $P^{2}=\mathrm{P}$. Let C and K be subsets of a Banach space E . A mapping P from C into K is called sunny if $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{P} x+\mathrm{t}(x-\mathrm{P} x))=\mathrm{P} x$ for $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{C}$ with $\mathrm{P} x+$ $\mathrm{t}(x-\mathrm{P} x) \in \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{t} \geq 0$. Note that, if mapping P is a retraction, then $\mathrm{Pz}=\mathrm{z}$ for every $\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{P})$ ( the range of P ). It is well-known that every closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space is a retract. For any $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{K}$, the inward set $I_{k}(x)$ is defined as follows: $I_{k}(x)=\{\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{E}: \mathrm{y}=x+\lambda(\mathrm{z}-x), \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{K}, \lambda \geq 0\}$. A mapping $\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ is said to satisfy the inward condition if $T_{x} \in I_{k}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathrm{~K}$. T is said to satisfy the weakly inward
condition if, for each $x \in \mathrm{~K}, T_{x} \in \operatorname{cl} I_{k}(x)\left(\left(\operatorname{cl} I_{k}(x)\right.\right.$ is the closure of : $I_{k}(x)$ ).

A Banach space E is said to satisfy Opial's condition if, for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $\mathrm{E}, x_{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{x}$ implies that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left\|x_{n}-x\right\|<\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup }\|x-y\|
$$

for all $y \in \mathrm{E}$ with $y \neq x$, where, $x_{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{x}$ denotes that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $x$.

Let K be a nonempty closed subset of a real Banach space E . T : $K \rightarrow E$ is said to be demicompact if, for any sequence
$\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset k$ with $\left\|x_{n}-\mathrm{T} x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty) \quad$ their exists subsequence $\left\{x_{n j}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $x^{*} \in k$

A mapping $T$ with domain $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{T})$ and range $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{T})$ inE is said to be demi- closed at p if whenever $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{T})$ such that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $x^{*} \in \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{T})$ and $\left\{\mathrm{T} x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to p , then $\mathrm{T} x^{*}=\mathrm{p}$

Lemma 2.1. [12] Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\},\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ be sequences of nonnegative real numbers satisfying
$a_{n}+1 \leq\left(1+\delta_{n}\right) a_{n}+b_{n}, \forall \mathrm{n} \geq 1$, if
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{n}<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}<\infty$ then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}$ exists.
Lemma 2.2. [6] Let E be a real uniformly convex Banach space and let $B_{r}(0)$ be the closed ball of E with center at the origin and radius $\mathrm{r} \geq 0$. Then, there exists a continuous strictly increasing convex function $g:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $g(0)=0$ such that
$||\lambda x+\mu y+\gamma z||^{2} \leq \lambda| | x| |^{2}+\mu\|y\|^{2}+\gamma\|z\|^{2}-\lambda \mu \mathrm{g}(\|x-y\|)$
For all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z} \in B_{r}(0)$ and $\lambda, \mu, \gamma \in[0,1]$ with $\lambda+\mu+\gamma=1$
Lemma 2.3. [7] Let E be a real smooth Banach space, let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of E with P as a sunny nonexpansive retraction, and let $\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ be a mapping satisfying weakly inward condition. Then $F(P T)=F(T)$.

Lemma 2.4. [3] Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space and K a nonempty closed convex subset of E . Let $\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}$ be an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with a sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}$
$\subset[1, \infty)$ such that $\left\{k_{n}\right\} \rightarrow 1$ as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Then I-T is demiclosed at zero, that is, for each sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ \}in K , if the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $\mathrm{q} \in \mathrm{K}$ and $\left\{(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{T}) x_{n}\right.$ converges strongly to 0 , then $(I-T) q=0$.

## 3 MAIN RESULTS

Lemma 3.1. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real uniformly convex Banach space E. Let, $T_{1}, T_{2,} T_{3}, \mathrm{~K} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ be three nonself asymptotically non expansive mappings with respect to P with three sequences $\left\{{k_{n}}^{(1)}\right\},\left\{k_{n}{ }^{(2)}\right\},\left\{k_{n}{ }^{(3)}\right\}, \subset[1, \infty)$ satisfying $\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(\mathrm{i})}-1\right)<\infty \quad(\mathrm{i}=1,2,3)$, respectively. Suppose that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is defined by (2.1), where $\left\{a_{n i}\right\},\left\{b_{n i}\right\}\left\{c_{n i}\right\}$ and $\left\{d_{n i}\right\},(\mathrm{i}=1$ $, 2,3$ ) are sequences in $[m, 1-m]$ for some $m \in(0,1)$. If $F=$ $\left.\mathrm{F}\left(T_{1}\right) \cap \mathrm{F}\left(T_{2}\right)\right) \cap \mathrm{F}\left(T_{3}\right)=\emptyset$, then
(1) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|$ exists, $\forall q \in F$;
(2) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~d}\left(x_{n}, \mathrm{~F}\right)$ exists, where $\mathrm{d}\left(x_{n}, \mathrm{~F}\right)=\inf _{q \in F}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|$;
(3) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-\left(P T_{i,}\right) x_{n}\right\|=0 \quad(\mathrm{i}=1,2,3)$

Proof: Setting $\quad k_{n}=\operatorname{maxim}\left(k_{n}{ }^{(1)}, k_{n}{ }^{(2)}, \quad k_{n}{ }^{(3)}\right\} \quad$ since, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(k_{n}{ }^{(i)}-1\right)<\infty \quad(i=1,2,3)$

So, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(k_{n}-1\right)<\infty$.
For any $q \in F$, by (2.1) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|z_{n}-q\right\|=\| \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} 3}\left(x_{n}-q\right)+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n} 3}\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) x_{n}-q\right)+ \\
& \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 3}\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) x_{n}-q\right)+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n} 3}\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) x_{n}-q\right) \| \\
& \leq \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} 3}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n} 3} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}\left\|x_{n} q\right\|+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 3} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n} 3} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}} \| x_{n}- \\
& q \| \\
& \leq \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\| . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.1) and (3.1) we have
$\left\|y_{n}-q\right\|=\| \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} 2}\left(x_{n}-q\right)+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n} 2}\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) z_{n}-q\right)+$
$\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 2}\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) z_{n}-q\right)+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n} 2}\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) z_{n}-q\right) \|$
$\leq \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{2}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|$.
And hence, it follows from (2.1) and (3.2)
$\left|\mid x_{n+1}-q\|=\| \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} 1}\left(x_{n}-q\right)+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n} 1}\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n}-q\right)+\right.$ $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 1}\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n}-q\right)+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n} 1}\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{q}\right) \|$
$\leq$
$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} 1}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n} 1} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{3}\left\|x_{n} q\right\|+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 1} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{3}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n} 1} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{3} \| x_{n}-$ $q \|$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{3}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\| \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\quad \delta_{n}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{3}-1$ satisfying $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{n}<\infty$, since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}-1\right)<\infty$ is equivalent to

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}^{3}-1\right)<\infty \text {.Thus by (3.3) and lemma (2.1) }
$$

, we obtain that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\| \text { exists, } \forall \mathrm{q} \in F
$$

(2)This conclusion can be easily shown by taking infimum in (3.3) for all $q \in F$.
(3) Assume, by conclusion of (1), $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mid\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|=\mathrm{d}$ and from lemma (2.2) , we have,

$$
\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}=
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\| \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} 1}\left(x_{n}-\mathrm{q}\right)+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n} 1}\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{q}\right)+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 1}\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{q}\right)^{2} \\
+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n} 1}\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{3}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{q} \|\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

$\leq \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} 1}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n} 1}\left\|\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n}-q\right)\right\|^{2}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 1} \|\left(\mathrm{PT}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n}-$ $q\left\|^{2}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n} 1}\right\|\left(\mathrm{PT}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n}-\mathrm{q}\left\|^{2}-\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} 1} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{n} 1} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 1} g_{1}\right\| x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n} \|$

$$
\leq \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} 1}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}+\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n} 1}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 1}+\right.
$$

$$
\left.\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n} 1}\right) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}\left\|\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{q}\right\|^{2}-\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{~g}_{1}\left\|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\|
$$

$$
\leq\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} 1}+\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n} 1}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 1}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n} 1}\right) k_{n}^{4}\right)\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}-m^{3} g_{1} \| x_{n}-
$$

$$
\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n} \|
$$

$$
\leq k_{n}{ }^{4}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}-m^{3} g_{1}\left\|x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n}\right\|
$$

which implies that $g_{1}\left\|x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ asn $\rightarrow \infty$. Since $g_{1}$ : $[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $g_{1}(0)=0$ is a continuous strictly increasing convex function, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n}\right\|= \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

And
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n}\right\|=0$
Noting that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n}-q\right\| & =\left\|x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n}\right\|+\left\|\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n}-\mathrm{q}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n}\right\|+k_{n}\left\|y_{n}-q\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain from (3.4) that, by taking liminf on both sides in the inequality above,

$$
\mathrm{d}=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}| | x_{n}-q\left\|\left|\leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\right|\left|y_{n}-q \|=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\right| \mid y_{n}-\right.
$$ $q \|$

In addition, it follows from (3.2) that $\quad \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \| y_{n}-$
$q \| \leq \mathrm{d}$, thus
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}| | y_{n}-q \|=d$
Hence, by (2.1), (3.1), (3.6) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|y_{n}-q\right\|^{2}=\| \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} 2}\left(x_{n}-q\right)+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n} 2}\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) z_{n}-q\right)+ \\
& \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 2}\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) z_{n}-q\right)+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n} 2}\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{3}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) z_{n}-\mathrm{q} \|^{2}\right. \\
& \leq \\
& \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} 2}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n} 2}\left\|\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) z_{n}-q\right)\right\|^{2}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 2} \|\left(\mathrm{PT}_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) z_{n}- \\
& q\left\|^{2}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n} 2}\right\|\left(\mathrm{PT}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) z_{n}-\mathrm{q}\left\|^{2}-\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} 2} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{n} 2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 2} g_{2}\right\| x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) z_{n} \| \\
& \leq \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} 2}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}+\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n} 2}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 2}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n} 2}\right) k_{n}^{2}\left\|z_{n}-q\right\|^{2}- \\
& m^{3} g_{2}\left\|x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) z_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} 2}+\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n} 2}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 2}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n} 2}\right) k_{n}^{3}\right)\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}-m^{3} g_{2} \| x_{n}- \\
& \left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) z_{n} \| \\
& \leq k_{n}{ }^{3}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}-m^{3} g_{1}\left\|x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) z_{n}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $g_{2}\left\|x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n}\right\| \quad \rightarrow 0$ asn $\rightarrow \infty$.
Since $g_{2}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $g_{1}(0)=0$ is a continuous strictly increasing convex function, it follows that
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) z_{n}\right\|=0$
Similarly we have,
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) z_{n}\right\|=0$
And
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) z_{n}\right\|=0$
Noting that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|=\| x_{n}- & \left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) z_{n}\|+\|\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) z_{n}-\mathrm{q} \| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n}\right\|+k_{n}\left\|z_{n}-q\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain from (3.4) that, by taking liminf on both sides in the inequality above,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}| | x_{n}-q| | \leq & \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}| | z_{n}-q| | \\
& =\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}| | z_{n}-q \|
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, it follows from (3.2) that $\quad \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \| z_{n}-$ $q \| \leq \mathrm{d}$, thus
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}| | z_{n}-q| |=d$
Next, it follows from (2.1), (3.11) and Lemma 2.2 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|z_{n}-q\right\|^{2}=\| \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} 3}\left(x_{n}-q\right)+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n} 3}\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) x_{n}-q\right)+ \\
& \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 3}\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) x_{n}-q\right)+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n} 3}\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) x_{n}-\mathrm{q} \|^{2}\right. \\
& \leq \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} 3}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n} 3}\left\|\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) x_{n}-q\right)\right\|^{2}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 3} \|\left(\mathrm{PT}_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) x- \\
& q\left\|^{2}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n} 3}\right\|\left(\mathrm{PT}_{3}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) x_{n}-\mathrm{q}\left\|^{2}-\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} 3} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{n} 3} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 3} g_{3}\right\| x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) x_{n} \| \\
& \leq \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} 3}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}+\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n} 3}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 3}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n} 3}\right) k_{n}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}- \\
& m^{3} g_{2}\left\|x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} 3}+\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n} 3}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 3}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n} 3}\right){k_{n}^{2}}^{2}\right)\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}-m^{3} g_{2} \| x_{n}- \\
& \left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) x_{n} \| \\
& \leq k_{n}{ }^{2}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}-m^{3} g_{3}\left\|x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) x_{n}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $g_{2}\left\|x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ asn $\rightarrow \infty$. Since $g_{3}$ : $[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $g_{3}(0)=0$ is a continuous strictly increasing convex function, it follows that
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) x_{n}\right\|=0$
Similarly we have,
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) x_{n}\right\|=0$
And
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{PT}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) z_{n}\right\|=0$
Furthermore, we claim that $\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, by (2.1), we have
$\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|=\| \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n} 1}\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n}-x_{n}\right)+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 1}\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n}-x_{n}\right)+$ $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n} 1}\left(\left(\mathrm{PT}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n}-x_{n}\right) \|$
$\leq$
$\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n} 1}\left\|\left(\mathrm{PT}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} 1}\left\|\left(\mathrm{PT}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n} 1} \|\left(\mathrm{PT}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) y_{n}-$
$x_{n} \|$
Hence, it follows from (3.4) , (3.5) and(3.6)
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|=0$
Since any asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with respect to P must be uniformly L-Lipschitzian with respect to P , where $\mathrm{L}=$ $\sup _{n \geq 1}\left\{k_{n}\right\} \geq 1$ we have,

$$
x_{n} \|
$$

$$
\text { 1) }\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|
$$

Consequently, by (3.13), (3.14), and (3.15), it can be obtained that,
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}| | x_{n+1}-\left(\mathrm{P} T_{i}\right) x_{n+1}| |=0$
( $\mathrm{i}=1,2,3$ )
This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.2. Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real uniformly convex and smooth Banach space E with P as a
sunny nonexpansive retraction. Let $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}: \mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ be three weakly inward nonself asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with respect to P with two sequences $\left\{k_{n}{ }^{(1)}\right\},\left\{k_{n}{ }^{(2)}\right\},\left\{k_{n}{ }^{(3)}\right\}$ ,$\subset[1, \infty) \quad$ satisfying $\quad$ satisfying $\quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(k_{n}{ }^{(i)}-1\right)<$ $\infty$, ( $\mathrm{i}=1,2,3$ ) respectively.suppose that sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ defined by (2.1)
where $\left\{a_{n i}\right\},\left\{b_{n i}\right\}\left\{c_{n i}\right\}$ and $\left\{d_{n i}\right\},(\mathrm{i}=1,2,3)$ are sequences in $[m, 1-m]$ for some $m \in(0,1)$.

If $\mathrm{P} T_{1}$ and $\mathrm{P} T_{2}$ and $P T_{3}$ satisfy Condition (B) with respect to the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$, i.e., there exists a nondecreasing function $\mathrm{f}:$ [0 $, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $\mathrm{f}(0)=0$ and $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{r})>0$ for all $\mathrm{r} \in(0, \infty)$ such that $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{d}\left(x_{n}, F_{1}\right)\right) \quad \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq 3}| | x_{n}-$
$\left(\mathrm{P} T_{i}\right) x_{n} \|$ and $F_{1}=\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{P} T_{1}\right) \cap \mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{P} T_{2}\right) \cap \mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{P} T_{3}\right)=\left\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{K}: \mathrm{P} T_{1} \mathrm{x}\right.$ $\left.=\mathrm{P} T_{2} x=P T_{3} x=\mathrm{x}\right\}=\emptyset$, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a common fixed point of $T_{1}, T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that $F_{1}=\mathrm{F}$, where F is the common fixed point set of $T_{1}, T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$. Since $\mathrm{P} T_{1}$, $\mathrm{PT}_{2}$ and $_{1} P T_{3}$ satisfy Condition (B) with respect to the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$, that is to say
$\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{d}\left(x_{n}, F\right)\right) \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq 3}| | x_{n}-\left(\mathrm{P} T_{i}\right) x_{n}| |$
Taking limsup as $n \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides in the inequality above, we get
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{d}\left(x_{n}, F\right)\right)=0$
which implies $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{d}\left(x_{n}, F\right)\right)=0$, by the definition of the function f .

Now we show that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. By (3.3), we may assume that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta_{n}=\mathrm{M} \geq 0$ Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathrm{~d}\left(x_{n}, \mathrm{~F}\right)=0\right.$, then for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a positive integer N such that $\mathrm{d}\left(x_{n}, \mathrm{~F}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2 e^{M}}$ for all $\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{N}$. On the other hand, there exists a $\mathrm{p} \in \mathrm{F}$ such that $\left.\left|\mid x_{N}-\mathrm{P} \|=\mathrm{d}\left(x_{N}, \mathrm{~F}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2 e^{M}}\right.$ because $\left.\mathrm{d}\left(x_{N}, \mathrm{~F}\right)=\inf f_{q \in F}\right|\left|x_{N}-\mathrm{q}\right| \right\rvert\,$ and F is closed. Thus, for any $\mathrm{n}>\mathrm{N}$, it follows from (3.3) that $\left|\left|x_{n}-\mathrm{p}\right|\right|=\left(1+\delta_{n}\right)| | x_{n}-\mathrm{p}| | \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+\delta_{i}\right)| | x_{N}-\mathrm{p}| |$
$\leq e^{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+\delta_{i}\right)}| | x_{N}-\mathrm{p}| |$

$$
\leq e^{M}| | x_{N}-\mathrm{p}| |
$$

Hence, for any $n, m>N$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left|x_{n}-x_{m}\right|\right| \leq & \left|\left|x_{n}-\mathrm{p}\right|\right|+\left|\left|x_{m}-\mathrm{p}\right|\right| \\
& \leq 2 e^{M}| | x_{N}-\mathrm{p}| |<\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Thus, there exists a $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{K}$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{x}$ as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$, since E is complete. Then, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~d}\left(x_{n}, F\right)=0$ yields that $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{F})=0$. Further, it follows from the closedness of $F$ that $x \in F$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.3. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space E satisfying Opial's condition with $P$ as a sunny nonexpansive retraction. Let $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}: \mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ be two weakly inward nonself asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with respect to P with two sequences $\left\{k_{n}{ }^{(1)}\right\},\left\{k_{n}{ }^{(2)} \quad\right\},\left\{k_{n}{ }^{(3)} \quad\right\}, \subset[1, \infty)$ satisfying $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(k_{n}{ }^{(i)}-1\right)<\infty \quad, \quad(\mathrm{i}=1,2,3)$ respectively.suppose that sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ defined by (2.1)where $\left\{a_{n i}\right\},\left\{b_{n i}\right\}\left\{c_{n i}\right\}$ and $\left\{d_{n i}\right\}$, $(i=1,2,3)$ are sequences in $[m, 1-m]$ for some $m \in$ $(0,1)$.

If $\mathrm{F}:=\mathrm{F}\left(T_{1}\right) \cap \mathrm{F}\left(T_{2}\right) \cap \mathrm{F}\left(T_{3}\right)=\emptyset$, then $\left.\left\{x_{n}\right)\right\}$ converges weakly to some common fixed point of $T_{1}, T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$.

Proof. For any $q \in F$, by Lemma 3.1, we know that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}| | x_{n}-$ $\mathrm{q}\left|\mid\right.$ exists. We now prove that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ has a unique weakly subsequential limit in F . First of all, since $\mathrm{P} T_{1}, \mathrm{P} T_{2}$ and $\mathrm{P} T_{3}$ are self-mappings from K into itself, therefore, Lemmas $2.3,2.4$, and 3.1 guarantee that each weakly subsequential limit of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a common fixed point of $T_{1}, T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$. Secondly, Opial's condition guarantees that the weakly subsequential limit of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is unique. Consequently, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to a common fixed point of $T_{1}, T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$. This completes the proof.
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