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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Abstract } \\
& \text { In this paper an attempt has been made to find the sum of squares of consecutive p } \\
& \text { familiarly known as the maximal gap between consecutive primes. Here conjectures of }[1,2] \text { namely } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{c}
G(x) \sim \log x(\log x-2 \log \log x+C), \\
G(x) \sim(\log x)^{2}, \\
G(x) \sim \log x(\log x+\log \log \log x)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

In this paper an attempt has been made to find the sum of squares of consecutive primes using $\boldsymbol{G}(\boldsymbol{x})$,
have been considered. Relations among values of $x$ and the gaps between consecutive primes are presented here. The results are analyzed for the primes $\leq 10^{6}$ and to a gap of 72 .

Keywords- Maximal gaps between primes, Polygonal numbers.
Notations- $\boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{n}^{-}}$polygonal number of rank $n$ and side $\boldsymbol{m} .[x]$-integral part of $\boldsymbol{x}$.

## Introduction

In [3] Guangshi Lii showed that each sufficiently large integer $N \not \equiv 1$ (3) can be written as $p_{1}+p_{2}^{2}+$ $p_{3}^{2}+p_{4}^{2}$ with $\left|p-\frac{N}{5}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{N}{5}} U$ where $U=N^{\frac{41}{100}+\epsilon}$ and $p, p_{j}$ are primes. This result was an improvement on a previous result with $U=N^{\frac{41}{100}+\epsilon}$ replaced by $U=N^{\frac{5}{11}+\epsilon}$. Continued with the study of [3] the exceptional set of integers not restricted by elementary congruence conditions which cannot be represented as sums of 3 or 4 squares of primes was improved in [4,5,6,7]. Motivated by the above results, we propose to find the sum of squares of consecutive primes.

Here, our study is based on $G(x)$, the maximal gap between consecutive primes. Though there are many papers providing bounds for $G(x)$, for analytic calculation we use only three conjectures which provide approximate values for sum of squares of consecutive primes.

## Analysis

Denoting the $n^{t h}$ prime as $p_{n}, p_{n+1}$ is taken $\operatorname{as} p_{n}+G(x)$. Here $x$ is taken as an integer which provides the gap.

The 3 conjectures used are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{1}(x) \sim \log x(\log x-2 \log \log x+C), \\
& G_{2}(x) \sim(\log x)^{2}, \\
& G_{3}(x) \sim \log x(\log x+\log \log \log x)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the first conjecture given here the value of $x$ which gives the gap is nearly 2.5 times the gap. In symbols, $x \sim g a p \times 2.5$. In the second and third conjectures $x \sim$ gap $\times .25$

From the illustration exhibited below in table I it is observed that the sum of squares using the first conjecture gives the value nearest to the actual sum of squares.

Table I: Numerical Illustration

| $P_{n}$ | $P_{n+1}$ | gap | $P_{n}^{2}+P_{n+1}^{2}$ | $P_{n}^{2}+\left(P_{n}+G_{1}(x)\right)^{2}$ | $P_{n}^{2}+\left(P_{n}+G_{2}(x)\right)^{2}$ | $P_{n}^{2}+\left(P_{n}+G_{3}(x)\right)^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99989 | 99991 | 2 | 19996000202 | 19996020371 | 19996118250 | 19996049045 |
| 99877 | 99881 | 4 | 19951629290 | 19951630887 | 19951694028 | 19951689627 |
| 99923 | 99929 | 6 | 19970410970 | 19970420337 | 19970445897 | 19970496018 |
| 99809 | 99817 | 8 | 19925269970 | 19925272002 | 19925275380 | 19925298330 |
| 99377 | 99391 | 14 | 19754359010 | 19754359834 | 19754388157 | 19754391220 |
| 99971 | 99989 | 18 | 19992000962 | 19992001152 | 19992010903 | 19992003813 |
| 99881 | 99901 | 20 | 19956423962 | 19956424024 | 19956433262 | 19956428177 |
| 99529 | 99551 | 22 | 19816423442 | 19816424805 | 19816425183 | 19816440344 |
| 88609 | 88643 | 34 | 15709136330 | 15709136737 | 15709138254 | 15709139327 |
| 98737 | 98773 | 36 | 19505100698 | 19505100798 | 19505104052 | 19505105974 |
| 98057 | 98101 | 44 | 19238981450 | 19238981536 | 19238981629 | 19238984741 |
| 95651 | 95707 | 56 | 18308943650 | 18308943654 | 18308945059 | 18308945031 |

## Definition:

We choose $x$ in such a way that $G(x)$ gives the gap between consecutive primes. Index of the gap is defined as $\left[\frac{x}{g a p}\right]$.We present below some of the indices of the gaps.

TableII:Some of the indices of the gaps

| Gap | Index [1] | Index [2] | Index[3] |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\left[\frac{10}{2}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{5}{2}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{6}{2}\right]$ |
| 10 | $\left[\frac{140}{10}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{24}{10}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{23}{10}\right]$ |
| 26 | $\left[\frac{1432}{26}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{164}{26}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{131}{26}\right]$ |
| 34 | $\left[\frac{3304}{34}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{341}{34}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{262}{34}\right]$ |
| 46 | $\left[\frac{9608}{46}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{883}{46}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{651}{46}\right]$ |
| 58 | $\left[\frac{24163}{58}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{2030}{58}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{1452}{58}\right]$ |
| 72 | $\left[\frac{62625}{72}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{4843}{72}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{3374}{72}\right]$ |

In the table II above Index [j] represents index of $\operatorname{gap} G_{j}, j=1,2,3$ respectively
Observation:
If the index $[j], j=1,2,3$ is represented as $\left[\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right], i=1$ to 36 , it satisfies the relations

$$
\left[\frac{p_{i+\alpha}+p_{i+\gamma}}{p_{i+\beta}}\right]=\left[\frac{q_{i+\alpha}+q_{i+\gamma}}{q_{i+\beta}}\right] \text { and }\left[\frac{p_{i+\alpha}+p_{i+\gamma}}{p_{i+\beta}}\right]=1
$$

where $0 \leq \alpha<\beta<\gamma, 0 \leq i+\alpha \leq 33,3 \leq i+\gamma \leq 36$
In Particular,

$$
\left[\frac{p_{i-1}+p_{i+1}}{p_{i}}\right]=\frac{q_{i-1}+q_{i+1}}{q_{i}}
$$

Also it is noted that

$$
\left[\frac{p_{i-1}+p_{i+1}}{p_{i}}\right]=2
$$

For simplicity and clear understanding a few example are given below for $G_{1}(x)$
For $i=1 \quad\left[\frac{p_{0}+p_{2}}{p_{1}}\right]=\left[\frac{10+55}{28}\right] ; \quad \frac{q_{0}+q_{2}}{q_{1}}=\frac{2+6}{4}$
For $i=18 \quad\left[\frac{p_{17}+p_{19}}{p_{18}}\right]=\left[\frac{3999+5761}{4813}\right] ; \quad \frac{q_{17}+q_{19}}{q_{18}}=\frac{36+40}{38}$
For $i=35 \quad\left[\frac{p_{34}+p_{36}}{p_{35}}\right]=\left[\frac{48239+62625}{55019}\right] ; \frac{q_{34}+q_{36}}{q_{35}}=\frac{68+72}{70}$
Similar results are true for $G_{2}(x)$ and $G_{3}(x)$.

Representation of $x$ in terms of polygonal numbers:
We represent $x$ as a sum of a polygonal number and sum of squares of integers.

## Proof:

Let the gap between primes be $m$.
We Know that $t_{m, n}=\frac{(m-2) n(n-1)}{2}+n$ denotes a polygonal number of rank $n$ and side $m$.

Taking $m=g a p$, we have $\quad \frac{(m-2) n(n-1)}{2}+n=x$

$$
(m-2) n^{2}-(m-4) n-2 x=0
$$

Treating the above equation as a quadratic in $n$ and solving for $n$, we have

$$
n=\frac{(m-4) \pm \sqrt{(m-4)^{2}+4(m-2)(2 x)}}{2(m-2)}=\mathcal{N}, \text { say }
$$

The negative sign before the square root on the right hand side of the above equation is neglected since $n$ is to be considered as the rank of polygonal number. For given $x$ and $m$,consider $n$ as $n=[\mathcal{N}]$. It is observed that the value of $x$ is represented as the sum of $t_{m,[\mathcal{N}]}$ and squares of integers. A few illustrations are exhibited in Table III below:

Table III: Illustrations.

| $x$ in |  |  |  |  | Representation of $x$ in |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $m$ | $G_{1}(x)$ | $G_{2}(x)$ | $G_{3}(x)$ |  | $G_{1}(x)$ | $G_{2}(x)$ |  |
| 6 | 55 | 12 | 13 | $t_{6,5}+3^{2}+1^{2}$ | $t_{6,2}+2^{2}+1^{2}+1^{2}$ | $G_{3}(x)$ |  |
| 12 | 205 | 32 | 30 | $t_{12,6}+7^{2}$ | $t_{12,2}+4^{2}+2^{2}$ | $t_{6,3}+2^{2}+1^{2}+1^{2}+1^{2}$ |  |
| 24 | 1137 | 135 | 109 | $t_{24,10}+11^{2}+4^{2}$ | $t_{24,3}+8^{2}+1^{2}+1^{2}$ | $t_{12,2}+4^{2}+1^{2}+1^{2}$ |  |
| 30 | 2209 | 240 | 188 | $t_{30,13}+3^{2}+1^{2}+1^{2}+1^{2}$ | $t_{30,4}+8^{2}+2^{2}$ | $t_{24,3}+6^{2}+2^{2}$ |  |
| 40 | 5761 | 559 | 420 | $t_{40,17}+24^{2}$ | $t_{40,5}+13^{2}+2^{2}+1^{2}$ | $t_{30,4}+4^{2}$ |  |
| 48 | 11299 | 1021 | 748 | $t_{48,22}+25^{2}+5^{2}+1^{2}+3^{2}+1^{2}+1^{2}+1^{2}$ | $t_{40,5}+5^{2}+3^{2}+1^{2}$ |  |  |
| 56 | 20882 | 1779 | 1278 | $t_{56,28}+21^{2}+1^{2}$ | $t_{56,8}+16^{2}+1^{2}+1^{2}+1^{2}$ | $t_{48,6}+7^{2}+1^{2}+1^{2}+1^{2}$ |  |
| 60 | 27882 | 2313 | 1647 | $t_{60,31}+29^{2}+6^{2}+2^{2}$ | $t_{64,10}+13^{2}+3^{2}+1^{2}+1^{2}+1^{2}$ | $t_{56,7}+11^{2}+4^{2}$ |  |
| 64 | 36846 | 2981 | 2107 | $t_{64,34}+45^{2}+2^{2}+1^{2}$ | $t_{70,11}+23^{2}+4^{2}+2^{2}+1^{2}$ | $t_{60,8}+3^{2}+2^{2}+1^{2}+1^{2}$ |  |
| 70 | 55019 | 4301 | 3006 | $t_{70,40}+44^{2}+1^{2}+1^{2}+1^{2}$ | $4_{64,8}+19^{2}+1^{2}+1^{2}$ |  |  |
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