# A Prey-Predator Model with Third order Interaction

Bakare E.A<sup>1,2</sup>, Adekunle Y.A<sup>3</sup>, Kadiri K.O<sup>4</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Department of Computer and Info Sc Lead City University, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria.
 <sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria.
 <sup>3</sup> Department of Computer and Mathematics Babcock University, Ilishan-Remo, Ogun State, Nigeria.
 <sup>4</sup> Department of Electrical/Electronics Engineering Federal Polythecnic, offa, Kwara State, Nigeria.

*Abstract*: We present a mathematical model for preypredator model. We assume that the interaction is nonlinear and of third order. We discuss in detail the stability of the critical points.

*Keywords*: Prey-Predator model, non-linear, critical points.

## I. INTRODUCTION

When species interact, the population dynamics of each species is affected. In general there is a whole web of interacting species, called a trophic web, which makes for structurally complex communities. We consider here systems involving two or more species, concentrating particularly on two-species systems. There are three main types of interaction. (i)If the growth rate of one population is decreased and the other increased the populations are in a predator-prey situation. (ii)If the growth rate of each population is decreased, then it is competition.

(iii)If each population's growth rate is enhanced, then it is called mutualism or symbiosis [8].

Some mathematical models have been developed in this area.In 1926, Volterra [13] first proposed a simple model for the predation of one species by another to explain the oscillatory levels of certain fish catches in the Adriatic. This model was based on four assumptions. First, the prey grows unboundedly in a Malthusian way in the absence of any predation. Secondly; the effect of the predation is to reduce the prey's per capita growth rate by a term proportional to the prey and the predator populations. Thirdly, in the absence of any prey for sustenance the predator's death rate results in exponential decay. Fourthly, the prey's contribution to the predator's growth is proportional to the available prey as well as the size of the predator population. The model, is

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = N(a-bp)$$
 and  $\frac{dP}{dt} = P(cN-d)$ 

When N is the prey population and P is the predator population. This model also called Lokta-Volterra model was analyzed. Murray [8] modified the Lokta-Volterra Model by changing of the assumptions made by Volterra. The model he obtained is:

$$\frac{dN_1}{dt} = r_1 N_1 \left[ 1 - \frac{N_1}{K_1} - b_{12} \frac{N_2}{K_2} \right],$$
  
$$\frac{dN_2}{dt} = r_2 N_2 \left[ 1 - \frac{N_2}{K_2} - b_{21} \frac{N_1}{K_2} \right] \text{ where } r_1, k_1, r_2$$

 $,k_2,b_{12},b_{21}$  are all positive constants. This model was analyzed and the conditions for stability established.Beddington et al [2] presented some results on the dynamic complexity of coupled predator-prey systems. Dunbar [3,4] studied in detail a modified Lotka-Volterra system with logistic growth of the prey and with both predator and prey dispersing by diffusion. "Predator-Prey models are arguably the building blocks of the bio and ecosystems as biomasses are grown out of their resource masses. Species compete, evolve and disperse simply for the purpose of seeking resources to sustain their struggle for their very existence. Depending on their specific settings of applications, they can take the forms of resource- consumer, plantherbivore, parasite-host, tumor cells (virus)-immune system, susceptible-infectious interactions, etc. They deal with the general loss-win interactions and hence may have applications outside of ecosystems. When seemingly competitive interactions are carefully examined, they are often in fact some forms of predator-prey interaction in disguise" [5]. Another approach to modeling the interaction between prev and predators was developed to account as well for organisms (such as bacteria) taking up nutrients and this is called Jacob-Mond Model. This model was discovered independently in the several diverse applications. It is akin to the Haldane-Briggs Model and Michaelis-Menten Model in Biochemistry the Jacob-Mond Model in microbial ecology and the Beverton-Holt model in fisheries. It serves as one of the important building blocks in studies of complex biochemical reactions and in ecology [12]. In this work, we consider the classical predator-prey problem. We study an ecological situation involving two similar species competing for a limited food supply for example, two species of fish in a pond that do not prey on each other but do compete for the available food. Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be the populations of the two species at time t. The objective of this study is to present a mathematical model for prey-predator model(Lokta-Volterra equations). We assume that the interaction is non-linear and of third order. We discuss the stability of the critical points.

#### **II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Consider the general prey-predator model for an n-species system

$$\frac{dR_i}{dt} = R_i \left[ b_i + \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} R_i \right]$$
  
i=1, 2 ...n (1)

The equation represent multispecies prey-predator cases where  $R_i$ 's represents the population of different species at time t where  $a_i > 0$ ,  $b_i > 0$  are constants and represents a given finite source of food.

#### One species:

In this case equation (1) is reduced to one species competing for a given finite source of food:

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = R(b + aR)$$

Where a>0, b>0 are constants and R (0)>0. This equation has an exact solution.

R (t) = 
$$\frac{be^{bt}}{\frac{b+aR(0)}{R(0)}-ae^{bt}}$$
 for b \ne 0  
(3)

R (t) = 
$$\frac{R(0)}{1 - aR(0)t}$$
 for b = 0  
(4)

Two species: (of the third order) competing for a common ecological niche. The predator-prey model for this case takes the following form  $\frac{dR_1}{dR_1} = R_1 b_1 + a_{11} R_1^3 + a_{12} R_1 R_2$ 

$$\frac{dR_2}{dt} = R_2 b_2 + a_{22} R_2^3 + a_{21} R_1 R_2$$
(6)

From (5)  $R_1(b_1 + a_{11}R_1^2 + a_{12}R_2) = 0$ 

 $R_1 = 0$  and  $b_1 + a_{11}R_1^2 + a_{12}R_2 = 0$  critical point (0, 0)

$$b_1 + a_{11}R_1^2 + a_{12}R_2 = 0$$
$$a_{12}R_2 = \frac{-b_1 - a_{11}R_1^2}{a_{12}} \text{ since } R_1 = 0 \text{ then } R_2 = \frac{-b_1}{a_{12}}$$

: 
$$R_1 = 0$$
 and  $R_2 = \frac{-b_1}{a_{12}}$ 

From (6): 
$$R_2(b_2 + a_{22}R_2^2 + a_{21}R_1) = 0$$

$$R_2 \neq 0$$
 and  $b_2 + a_{22}R_2^2 + a_{21}R_1 = 0$   
since  $R_1 = 0$  and  $R_2(b_2 + a_{22}R_2^2) = 0$ 

 $R_2 \neq 0$   $\Rightarrow R_2^2 = \frac{-b_2}{a_{22}}$ Since  $R_2 = 0$ ,  $R_1 = \frac{-b_2}{a_{21}}$ ,  $R_2^2 = \frac{b_1^2}{a_{12}^2} = \frac{-b_2}{a_{22}}$ 

Hence, the critical points are (0, 1) if 
$$b_2 = -1$$
,  
 $b_1 = -1$ ,  $a_{12} = a_{22}$  then  $R_2 = -1$ .  

$$\frac{dR_1}{dt} = R_1 b_1 + a_{11} R_1^3 + a_{12} R_1 R_2$$

$$\frac{dR_2}{dt} = R_2 b_2 + a_{22} R_2^3 + a_{21} R_1 R_2$$
Similarly,  $R_1 \neq 0$  and  $R_2 = 0$   
 $R_2 (b_2 + a_{22} R_2^2 + a_{21} R_1) = 0$   
If  $R_2 (b_2 + a_{21} R_1) = 0$ ,  $R_1 = \frac{-b_2}{a_{21}}$   
 $R_1 (1 + a_{11} R_1^2)) = 0$  then  
 $R_1^2 = \frac{-1}{a_{11}} = (\frac{-b_2}{a_{21}})^2$   
 $\Rightarrow \frac{-1}{a_{11}} = \frac{b_2^2}{a_{21}^2}$ 

e.g.  $a_{11} = -1$ ,  $b_2 = 1$ ,  $a_{21} = 1$ ,  $R_1 = -1$ critical point (-1, 0).

Hence, the critical point are (0, 0), (-1, 0), (0, -1)

critical solution (0, 0).

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{dR_1}{dt} \\ \frac{dR_2}{dt} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_1 \\ R_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}R_1^3 + a_{12}R_1R_2 \\ a_{22}R_2^3 + a_{21}R_1R_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
  
Let A =  $\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{pmatrix}$ 

Then  $|A - \lambda I| = 0$  implies that

$$\begin{vmatrix} b_1 - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$
$$(b_1 - \lambda)(b_2 - \lambda) = 0$$
$$\therefore \lambda_1 = b_1 \text{ and } \lambda_2 = b_2$$

Hence the critical solution (0, 0) is

Case1: If  $b_1 < 0$  and  $b_2 < 0$  then (0, 0) is asymptotically stable.

Case 2: If  $b_1$  or  $b_2 > 0$  then (0, 0) is unstable.

(ii) Critical solution (-1, 0)

$$\frac{dR_1}{dt} = R_1 + R_1^3 + R_1R_2$$

$$\frac{dR_2}{dt} = R_2 + R_2^3 + R_1R_2$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{dR_1}{dt} \\ \frac{dR_2}{dt} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_1 \\ R_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} R_1^3 + R_1R_2 \\ R_2^3 + R_1R_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 
Then  $|A - \lambda I| = 0 \Rightarrow$ 

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 - \lambda \\ 0 & 1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$
 $(1 - \lambda_1)(1 - \lambda_2) = 0$ 
 $\therefore \lambda_1 = 1 \text{ and } \lambda_2 = 1$ 

Hence the critical solution (-1, 0) is unstable since  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2 > 0$ .

(iii) Critical solution (0, -1)

$$\frac{dR_1}{dt} = R_1 - R_1^3 + R_1 R_2$$
$$\frac{dR_2}{dt} = -R_2 + R_2^3 + R_1 R_2$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{dR_1}{dt} \\ \frac{dR_2}{dt} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_1 \\ R_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} R_1R_2 - R_1^3 \\ R_2^3 + R_1R_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let 
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
  
Then  $|A - \lambda I| = 0 \Rightarrow$ 

Then 
$$|A - \lambda I| = 0 \Rightarrow$$
  
 $\begin{vmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & -1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$   
 $(1 - \lambda_1)(-1 - \lambda_2) = 0$   
 $\therefore \lambda_1 = 1 \text{ and } \lambda_2 = -1$ 

Hence the critical solution (-1, 0) is not asymptotically stable and it is not stable since  $\lambda_1 > 0$ and  $\lambda_2 < 0$ .

## **III. NUMERICAL SIMULATION**



Fig1:The above shows the population of R1 and R2 over a given period of time.

## **III. CONCLUSION**

In this paper we have been able to discuss the result of the mathematical model for prey-predator model with third order interaction. We also discuss in details the stability of the critical points.

#### IV REFERENCES

[1] Abulwafa, E.M., Abdou, M.A. and Mahmoud, A.A., 2006.*The* 

solution of nonlinear coagulations problem with mass loss,

Chaos, Solution and Fractals, in press

- [2] Beddington, J.R., Free, C.A., Lawton, J.H. (1975). Dynamic Complexity in Predator-prey Models Framed in difference equations. Journal of Nature 255, 58-60.
- [3] Dunbar, S.R. (1984). Traveling wave Solutions of diffusive Lokta-Volterra equations. Journal of Mathematical Biology, 17, 11-32.
- [4] Dunbar, S.R. (1984). Traveling wave Solutions of diffusive Lokta-Volterra equations: A Heteroclinic connection in R<sup>4</sup> .Trans. American Mathematical Society, 268, 557-594.
- [5] Francis Benyah (2008). Introduction to Epidemiological modeling. 10<sup>th</sup> Regional College on Modelling, Simulation and Optimization.
- [6] Hoppensteadt, F. (2006). Predator-prey Model Scholarpedia

(the free peer-reviewed encyclopedia) p.5765-5772.Available on line

(http://www.scholarpedia.org/article/predator-prey\_model)

- [7] Khaled Batiha., 2007.Numerical solutions of the Multispecies Predator-Prey Model by Variational Iteration Method.
- [8] May, R.M. and Leonard W.J., 1975.Nonlinear aspects of competition between three species. SIAM J.Appl.Math. 29:243-253.
- [9] Murray, J.D. (1989). Mathematical Biology, 2nd Edition.
   Springer-Verlag, New York 72-78.
- [10] Murray, J.D. (1989). Mathematical Biology, 2nd Edition. Springer-Verlag, New York 78-83.
- [11] Olek S., 1994. An accurate solution to the multispeciesLokta-Volterra equations. SIAM Review, 36:480-488.
- [12] S. Pathak et al.(2010). Rich Dynamics of an SIR epidemic Model. Vol. 15 Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control, 71-81.
- [13] Simeon, C.I., (2008). Predator-prey mathematical model using Van Der Pol's equation. Journal of Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics. Volume 12 (May, 2008), 435-438
- [14] Smith, H. and Waltman, P. (1997). *The Mathematical Theory* of Chemostats, Cambridge University Press.
- [15] Volterra, V. (1926). Variations and Fluctuations of a number of individuals in animal species living together. [In R.N.
   Animal Ecology, New York, McGraw Hill, 1931, 409-448]