

# Some Inequalities for the Polar Derivative of a Polynomial

K.K. Dewan<sup>#1</sup>, C.M. Upadhye<sup>\*2</sup>

<sup>#</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Natural Science

Jamia Milia Islamia (Central University),

New Delhi-110025 (INDIA)

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics,

Gargi College (University of Delhi),

Siri Fort Road, New Delhi-110049 (INDIA).

**Abstract**— In this paper we establish  $L^p$  inequalities for polar derivatives of polynomials not vanishing in  $|z| < 1$ . Also we obtain inequalities for polar derivatives of polynomials satisfying  $p(z) = z^n p \frac{1}{z}$ . Our results generalize some well-known results in this direction.

**Keywords**— Inequalities, Polar Derivative, Polynomial.

## I. INTRODUCTION

If  $p(z)$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $n$ , then according to the famous result known as Bernstein's inequality,

$$\max_{|z|=1} |p'(z)| \leq n \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|. \quad (1.1)$$

Here equality holds for  $p(z) = \lambda z^n$ ,  $\lambda$  being a complex number.

For the class of polynomials not vanishing in  $|z| < 1$ , (1.1) can be sharpened. In this connection we have the following inequality, which was conjectured by Erdős and later verified by Lax [9].

$$\max_{|z|=1} |p'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{2} \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|. \quad (1.2)$$

Equality in (1.2) holds for  $p(z) = \alpha + \beta z^n$ , where  $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ .

The  $L^p$  analogue of (1.2) was obtained by de-Bruijn [4]. He proved that

**Theorem A.** If  $p(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$ , having no zeros in  $|z| < 1$ , then for each  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$\left( \int_0^{2\pi} |p'(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq n c_r \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $c_r = \left( \frac{2\pi}{\int_0^{2\pi} |1+e^{i\theta}|^r d\theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$ .

This inequality is sharp and equality holds for  $p(z) = \lambda + \mu z^n$  where  $|\lambda| = |\mu|$ .

Let  $p(z)$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  and  $\alpha$  be any real or complex number. Then the polar derivative of  $p(z)$ , denoted by  $D_\alpha p(z)$ , is defined as

$$D_\alpha p(z) = np(z) + (\alpha - z)p'(z).$$

The polynomial  $D_\alpha p(z)$  is of degree at most  $n-1$  and it generalizes the ordinary derivative  $p'(z)$  of  $p(z)$  in the sense that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D_\alpha p(z)}{\alpha} = p'(z)$$

The polynomial  $D_\alpha p(z)$  is called by Laguerre [8] the “émanant” of  $p(z)$ , by Pólya and Szegő [11] the “derivative of  $p(z)$  with respect to the point  $\alpha$ ” and by Marden [10] simply “the polar derivative of  $p(z)$ ”.

In this paper we firstly obtain integral inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial. In this direction, we prove the following generalizations of de-Brujin's Theorem [4] to the polar derivative.

**Theorem 1.** If  $p(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$ , having no zeros in  $|z| < 1$ , then for every real or complex number  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \geq 1$  and for every given complex number  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| \leq 1$ , we have for  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$\left( \int_0^{2\pi} |D_\alpha \{p(e^{i\theta})\} + \alpha n m \beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq n(|\alpha| + 1) E_r \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta}) + m \beta e^{in\theta}|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \tag{1.4}$$

where  $E_r = \left( \frac{2\pi}{\int_0^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\theta}|^r d\theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$  and  $m = \min_{|z|=1} |p(z)|$ .

Dividing both sides of (1.4) by  $|\alpha|$  and letting  $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$ , we get the following generalization of Theorem A due to Aziz [3].

**Corollary 1.** If  $p(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$ , having no zeros in  $|z| < 1$ , then for every given complex number  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| \leq 1$ , we have for  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$\left( \int_0^{2\pi} |p'(e^{i\theta}) + m n \beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq n E_r \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta}) + m \beta e^{in\theta}|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \tag{1.5}$$

where  $E_r$  is as in Theorem 1.

Letting  $r \rightarrow \infty$  in (1.4) and choosing the argument of  $\beta$ , with  $|\beta| = 1$ , suitably we get the following inequality for polynomials not vanishing in  $|z| < 1$ , which improves upon a result due to Aziz [2]

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_\alpha p(z)| + |\alpha| n m \leq \frac{n}{2} (|\alpha| + 1) [\max_{|z|=1} |p(z)| + m].$$

Equivalently,

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_\alpha p(z)| \leq \frac{n}{2} [(|\alpha| + 1) \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)| - (|\alpha| - 1)m].$$

The above inequality is best possible for the polynomials  $p(z) = \lambda + \mu z^n$  where  $|\lambda| = |\mu|$ .

**Theorem 2.** If  $p(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$ , having all its zeros in  $|z| \geq 1$  and  $s$ -fold zeros at  $z = 0$ , then for every real or complex number  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \geq 1$  and for  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$\left( \int_0^{2\pi} |D_\alpha p(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \{|\alpha| s + (n-s)(|\alpha| + 1) F_r\} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \tag{1.6}$$

where  $F_r = \left( \frac{2\pi}{\int_0^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\theta}|^r d\theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$ .

Letting  $r \rightarrow \infty$  in (1.6), we get

**Corollary 2.** If a polynomial  $p(z)$  has all zeros in  $|z| \geq 1$  and a zero of order  $s$  at  $z = 0$ , then for every real or complex number  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \geq 1$ ,

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_\alpha p(z)| \leq \left[ |\alpha| s + \frac{(n-s)(|\alpha| + 1)}{2} \right] \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|. \tag{1.7}$$

(1.7) is best possible. Equality holds for  $p(z) = z^s (z+1)^{n-s}$ .

For  $s=0$ , Corollary 2 reduces to a result due to Aziz [2].

Dividing both sides of (1.6) by  $|\alpha|$  and letting  $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

**Corollary 3.** If  $p(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$ , having all its zeros in  $|z| \geq 1$ , with  $s$ -fold zeros at origin, then for  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$\left( \int_0^{2\pi} |p'(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq G_r \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \tag{1.8}$$

where  $G_r = s + \frac{n-s}{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |1+e^{i\theta}|^r d\theta}^{\frac{1}{r}}$ .

For  $s=0$ , Corollary 3 reduces to Theorem A due to de-bruijn [4].

Finally, we consider the class of self-reciprocal polynomials i.e. the polynomials satisfying  $p(z) \equiv z^n p(1/z)$  and prove the following result for the polar derivatives of polynomials.

**Theorem 3.** If  $p(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  satisfying  $p(z) = z^n p(1/z)$  with all its coefficients lying in a sector of opening at most  $\gamma$  where  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 2\pi/3$ , then for every real or complex number  $\alpha$ ,

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_\alpha p(z)| \leq \frac{n(|\alpha|+1)}{2 \cos \frac{\gamma}{2}} \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|. \tag{1.9}$$

For  $\alpha=0$  the above result is best possible for  $0 \leq \gamma \leq \pi/2$  with equality holding for the polynomial  $p(z) = z^n + 2e^{i\gamma} z^{n/2} + 1$ ,  $n$  being even.

Dividing both sides of (1.9) by  $|\alpha|$  and Lemma 2 making  $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$ , Theorem 3 reduces to a result due to Govil and Vetterlein [6]. This result can also be obtained directly from (1.9) by putting  $\alpha=0$ .

For  $\gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , Theorem 3 extends a result of Jain [7] to the polar derivative.

## II. LEMMAS

For the proofs of the theorems we required following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** If  $p(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  having no zeros in  $|z| < 1$ , then for  $r \geq 1$  and for every real or complex number  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \geq 1$ ,

$$\left( \int_0^{2\pi} |D_\alpha p(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq n(|\alpha|+1)F_r \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $F_r = \left( \frac{2\pi}{\int_0^{2\pi} |1+e^{i\theta}|^r d\theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$ .

In the limiting case, when  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , the above inequality is sharp and equality holds for the polynomial  $p(z) = \lambda + \mu z^n$ ,  $|\lambda| = |\mu|$ .

The above lemma was proved by Govil, Nyuydinkong and Tameru [5].

**Lemma 2.** If  $p(z)$  is a polynomial satisfying  $p(z) = z^n p(1/z)$ , with all its coefficients lying in a sector of opening at most  $\gamma$  where  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 2\pi/3$ , then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |p'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{2 \cos \frac{\gamma}{2}} \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|. \tag{2.2}$$

This lemma is due to Govil and Vetterlein [6].

III. PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS

**Proof of Theorem 1.** By hypothesis, the polynomial  $p(z)$  does not vanish in  $|z| < 1$  and  $m = \min_{|z|=1} |p(z)|$ , therefore,  $m \leq |p(z)|$  for  $|z| \leq 1$ . We first show that for any given complex number  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| \leq 1$ , the polynomial  $G(z) = p(z) + m\beta z^n$  has no zeros in  $|z| < 1$ . If  $m=0$ , then this is obvious. Therefore, for  $m > 0$  let us assume that  $G(z)$  has a zero in  $|z| < 1$ , say at  $z = z_0$  with  $|z_0| < 1$ , then we have  $p(z_0) + m\beta z_0^n = G(z_0) = 0$ . This gives  $|p(z_0)| = |m\beta z_0^n| \leq m |z_0|^n < m$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $G(z)$  has no zeros in  $|z| < 1$  for every  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| \leq 1$ . Applying Lemma 1 to the polynomial  $G(z)$ , we get

$$\left( \int_0^{2\pi} |D_\alpha [p(e^{i\theta}) + m\beta e^{in\theta}]|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq n(|\alpha| + 1) E_r \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta}) + m\beta e^{in\theta}|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

where  $E_r = \left( \frac{2\pi}{\int_0^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\theta}|^r d\theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$

or

$$\left( \int_0^{2\pi} |n [p(e^{i\theta}) + m\beta e^{in\theta}] + (\alpha - e^{i\theta}) \{p'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}\}|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq n(|\alpha| + 1) E_r \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta}) + m\beta e^{in\theta}|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

or

$$\left( \int_0^{2\pi} |D_\alpha [p(e^{i\theta})] + \alpha nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq n(|\alpha| + 1) E_r \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta}) + m\beta e^{in\theta}|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

which is (1.4). Hence the Theorem is proved.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** By hypothesis, the polynomial  $p(z)$  has  $s$  zeros at the origin and  $n - s$  zeros in  $|z| \geq 1$ , therefore we can take

$$p(z) = z^s h(z), \tag{3.1}$$

where  $h(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n - s$ , having all zeros in  $|z| \geq 1$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha p(z) &= np(z) + (\alpha - z)p'(z) = n[z^s h(z)] + (\alpha - z)[sz^{s-1}h(z) + z^s h'(z)] \\ &= z^s [(n - s)h(z) + (\alpha - z)h'(z)] + \alpha s z^{s-1} h(z), \end{aligned}$$

which implies for  $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$

$$\begin{aligned} |D_\alpha p(e^{i\theta})| &= |e^{is\theta} [(n - s)h(e^{i\theta}) + (\alpha - e^{i\theta})h'(e^{i\theta})] + \alpha s e^{i(s-1)\theta} h(e^{i\theta})| \\ &= |e^{i\theta} D_\alpha \{h(e^{i\theta})\} + \alpha s h(e^{i\theta})|. \end{aligned}$$

This gives by using Minkowski's inequality for every  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |D_\alpha [p(e^{i\theta})]|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} &= \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |\alpha s h(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\theta} D_\alpha [h(e^{i\theta})]|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\leq \left( \int_0^{2\pi} s^r |\alpha|^r |h(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |D_\alpha [h(e^{i\theta})]|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Now since  $h(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $(n - s)$ , having all zeros in  $|z| \geq 1$ , therefore, applying Lemma 1 to the polynomial  $h(z)$ , we get

$$\left( \int_0^{2\pi} |D_\alpha h(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq (n-s)(|\alpha|+1)F_r \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |h(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \tag{3.3}$$

where  $F_r = \left( \frac{2\pi}{\int_0^{2\pi} |1+e^{i\theta}|^r d\theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$ .

Combining (3.2) and (3.3) and noting that

$$|h(e^{i\theta})| = |e^{is\theta} h(e^{i\theta})| = |p(e^{i\theta})| \text{ for } |z|=1, \text{ we get for every } r \geq 1,$$

$$\left( \int_0^{2\pi} |D_\alpha p(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \{|\alpha|s + (n-s)(|\alpha|+1)F_r\} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

**Proof of Theorem 3.** Since  $p(z)$  is a self-reciprocal polynomial of degree  $n$ , we have

$$p(z) = z^n p(1/z) \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This implies

$$z^{n-1} p'(1/z) = np(z) - zp'(z),$$

which in particular gives

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{|z|=1} |p'(z)| &= \max_{|z|=1} |z^{n-1} p'(1/z)| \\ &= \max_{|z|=1} |np(z) - zp'(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

Now for  $|z|=1$

$$\begin{aligned} |D_\alpha p(z)| &= |np(z) + (\alpha - z)p'(z)| \\ &\leq |\alpha| |p'(z)| + |np(z) - zp'(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{|z|=1} |D_\alpha p(z)| &\leq |\alpha| \max_{|z|=1} |p'(z)| + \max_{|z|=1} |np(z) - zp'(z)| \\ &= (|\alpha| + 1) \max_{|z|=1} |p'(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

Combining above inequality with (2.2) of Lemma 2, we conclude that'

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_\alpha p(z)| \leq \frac{n(|\alpha| + 1)}{2 \cos \frac{\gamma}{2}} \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|.$$

This proves the desired result.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Aziz, Inequalities for the derivative of a polynomial, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 89(1983), 259--266.  
 [2] A. Aziz, Inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial, J. Approx. Theory, 55(1988), 183--193.  
 [3] A. Aziz, A new proof and generalization of theorem of de-Bruijn, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 106(1989), 345--350.  
 [4] N.G. de-Bruijn, Inequalities concerning polynomials in the complex domain, Nederl. Akad. Wetench. Proc. Ser. A, 50(1947), 1265--1272; Indag. Math., 9(1947), 591--598.  
 [5] N.K. Govil, G. Nyuydinkong and B. Tameru, Some  $L^p$  inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 254(2001), 618--626.  
 [6] N.K. Govil and D.H. Vetterlein, Inequalities for a class of polynomials satisfying  $P(z) = z^n P(1/z)$ , Complex Variables, 29(1996), 1--7.  
 [7] V.K. Jain, Inequalities for polynomials satisfying  $P(z) = z^n P(1/z)$ , II, J. Indian Math. Soc., 59(1993), 167--170.  
 [8] E. Laguarre, "Oeuvres", 1 Gauthier-villars, Paris, (1898).  
 [9] P.D. Lax, Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdos on the derivative of a polynomial, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 50(1944), 509--513.  
 [10] M. Marden, Geometry of the zeros of polynomials in a complex variable, Math. Surveys, No. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1949.  
 [11] G. Polya and G. Szego, Aufgaben and Lehratze ous der Analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1925.