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#### Abstract

In this paper, the Leapfrog method is used to study the periodic and oscillatory problems. Results obtained using Leapfrog and Single-term Haar wavelet series (STHW) [10] methods are compared with the exact solutions of the periodic and oscillatory problems. The results obtained using Leapfrog is found to be very closer to the exact solutions of these problems. Error graphs for the obtained results and exact solutions are presented in a graphical form to highlight the efficiency of this method. This Leapfrog can be easily implemented in a digital computer and the solution can be obtained for any length of time.
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## I. Introduction

Oscillatory IVPs frequently arise in areas such as classical mechanics, celestial mechanics, quantum mechanics, and biological sciences. Several numerical methods based on the use of polynomial basis functions have been developed for solving this class of important problems (see Lambert [7,8], Hairer et al in [4], Hairer [5], and Sommeijer [17]). Other methods based on exponential fitting techniques which take advantage of the special properties of the solution that may be known in advance have been proposed (see Simos [16], Vanden et al [18], Franco [3], Fang et al [2], Nguyen et al [9], and Jator et al [6]). The motivation governing the exponentially-fitted methods is inherent in the fact that if the frequency or a reasonable estimate of it is known in advance, these methods will be more advantageous than the polynomial based methods.[3]

The goal of this article is to construct a numerical method for addressing periodic and oscillatory problems by an application of the Leapfrog method which was studied by Sekar and team of his researchers [11-15]. Recently, Sekar et al. [10] discussed the periodic and oscillatory problems using STHW. In this paper, the same periodic and oscillatory problems was considered (discussed by Sekar et al. [10]) but present a different approach using the Leapfrog method with more accuracy for periodic and oscillatory problems.

## II. Leapfrog Method

The most familiar and elementary method for approximating solutions of an initial value problem is Euler's

Method. Euler's Method approximates the derivative in the form of $y^{\prime}=f(t, y), y\left(t_{0}\right)=y_{0}, y \in R^{d}$ by a finite difference quotient $y^{\prime}(t) \approx(y(t+h)-y(t)) / h$. We shall usually discretize the independent variable in equal increments:

$$
t_{n+1}=t_{n}+h, n=0,1, \ldots, t_{0}
$$

Henceforth we focus on the scalar case, $N=1$. Rearranging the difference quotient gives us the corresponding approximate values of the dependent variable:

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right), n=0,1, \ldots, t_{0}
$$

To obtain the leapfrog method, we discretize $t_{n}$ as in $t_{n+1}=t_{n}+h, n=0,1, \ldots, t_{0}$, but we double the time interval, $h$, and write the midpoint approximation $y(t+h)-y(t) \approx h y^{\prime}\left(t+\frac{h}{2}\right)$ in the form

$$
y^{\prime}(t+h) \approx(y(t+2 h)-y(t)) / h
$$

and then discretize it as follows:

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n-1}+2 h f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right), n=0,1, \ldots, t_{0}
$$

The leapfrog method is a linear $m=2$-step method, with $a_{0}=0, a_{1}=1, b_{-1}=-1, b_{0}=2$ and $b_{1}=0$. It uses slopes evaluated at odd values of $n$ to advance the values at points at even values of $n$, and vice versa, reminiscent of the children's game of the same name. For the same reason, there are multiple solutions of the leapfrog method with the same initial value $y=y_{0}$. This situation suggests a potential instability present in multistep methods, which must be addressed when we analyze them-two values, $y_{0}$ and $y_{1}$, are required to initialize solutions of $y_{n+1}=y_{n-1}+2 h f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right), n=0,1, \ldots, t_{0}$ uniquely, but the analytical problem $y^{\prime}=f(t, y), y\left(t_{0}\right)=y_{0}, y \in R^{d}$ only provides one. Also for this reason, one-step methods are used to initialize multistep methods.

## III. Periodic and Oscillatory problems

### 3.1. Inhomogeneous equation.

Consider the following problem

$$
y^{\prime \prime}=-100 y+99 \sin x
$$

with initial condition $y(0)=1$ and $y^{\prime}(0)=11$
Whose analytical solution is $y(x)=\cos 10 x+\sin 10 x+\sin x$.

Equation has been solved numerically using the STHW and Leapfrog method and the obtained results (with step size time $=0.1)$ along with the exact solutions are presented in Table 1 along with absolute errors calculated between them. A graphical representation is shown for the inhomogeneous equation in Fig. 1, using three-dimensional effects. This result reveals the superiority of the Leapfrog method with less complexity in implementation and at the same time the error reduction is 1000 times less than the STHW method.

### 3.2. Duffing's equation.

Consider the nonlinear undamped Duffing equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+y+y^{3}=B \cos (\omega x)
$$

where $\mathrm{B}=0.002$ and $\omega=1.01$.
The analytical solution of the above equation is given by

$$
y(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{3} A_{2 i+1} \cos [(2 i+1) \omega x]
$$

where $\quad A_{1}=0.200179477536, \quad A_{3}=0.246946143 \times 10^{-3}$, $A_{5}=0.304016 \times 10^{-6}$ and $A_{7}=0.374 \times 10^{-9}$.
Equation (5) has been solved numerically with boundary conditions of the form

$$
y(0)=A_{1}+A_{3}+A_{5}+A_{7}, \quad y^{\prime}(0)=0
$$

The results obtained (with step size time $=1$ ) using the Leapfrog and STHW methods along with exact solutions and absolute errors between them are calculated and are presented in Table 2. A graphical representation is given for Duffing's equation in Fig. 2, using three-dimensional effect. It is inferred that, the Leapfrog method gives better solution for the non-linear undamped Duffing's equation when compared to STHW method.

### 3.3. An orbit problem.

Consider the following 'almost' periodic orbit problem studied by Stiefel and Bettis [13]

$$
z^{\prime \prime}+z=0.001 e^{i x}, \quad z(0)=1, \quad z^{\prime}(0)=0.9995 i, \quad z \in C
$$

whose analytical solution is given by $z(x)=u(x)+i v(x)$, $u, v \in R$
$u(x)=\cos x+0.0005 x \sin x, v(x)=\sin x-0.0005 x \cos x$.
The true solution in equation represents the motion on a perturbation of a circular orbit in the complex plane. Rewriting the equation in the following equivalent form
$u^{\prime \prime}+u=0.001 \cos x, \quad u(0)=1, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0$,
$v^{\prime \prime}+v=0.001 \sin x, \quad v(0)=0, v^{\prime}(0)=0.9995$,
Equation has been solved numerically using the STHW method and Leapfrog method. The obtained results (with step size time $=0.1$ ) along with exact solutions and the absolute errors between them are calculated and are presented in Table 3. A graphical representation is presented for the orbit problem in Fig. 3-5, using three-dimensional effect. From Table 3-5 and the error graphs 3-5 reveals that Leapfrog method works well (with out any error) when compared to STHW method, which yields a little error.

### 3.4. Two-body problem.

Consider the system of coupled differential equations, which is well known as two-body problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{y}{\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}, \\
& z^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{z}{\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}, y(0)=1, y^{\prime}(0)=0, z(0)=0, z^{\prime}(0)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

whose analytical solution is given by

$$
y(x)=\cos (x), z(x)=\sin (x)
$$

The above system of equation has been solved numerically using the STHW method and Leapfrog method. The obtained results (with step size time $=0.1$ ) along with exact solutions and absolute errors between them are calculated and are presented in Table 6-7. A graphical representation is given for the two-body problem in Fig. 6-7, using three-dimensional effect.

## IV.Numerical Treatment

| Time <br> t | Discrete solution for inhomogeneous equation |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Exact <br> Solutions | STHW <br> Solutions | STHW <br> Error | Leapfrog <br> Solutions | Leapfrog <br> Error |
| 0 | 1.0000000 | 1.0000000 | 0 | 1.0000000 | 0 |
| 0.1 | 1.4816067 | 1.4816067 | 0 | 1.4816067 | 0 |
| 0.2 | 0.6918199 | 0.6918200 | $1 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.6918199 | $1 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.3 | -0.5533524 | -0.5533525 | $1 \mathrm{E}-07$ | -0.5533524 | $2 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.4 | -1.0210278 | -1.0210279 | $1 \mathrm{E}-07$ | -1.0210278 | $3 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.5 | -0.1958365 | -0.1958368 | $3 \mathrm{E}-07$ | -0.1958365 | $4 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.6 | 1.2453975 | 1.2453978 | $3 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.2453975 | $5 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.7 | 2.0551066 | 2.0551069 | $3 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 2.0551066 | $6 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.8 | 1.5612134 | 1.5612137 | $3 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.5612134 | $7 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.9 | 0.2843139 | 0.2843144 | $5 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.2843139 | $8 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 1 | -0.5416219 | -0.5416224 | $5 \mathrm{E}-07$ | -0.5416219 | $9 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 1.1 | -0.1043556 | -0.1043561 | $5 \mathrm{E}-07$ | -0.1043556 | $1 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.2 | 1.2393225 | 1.2393232 | $7 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.2393225 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.3 | 2.2911729 | 2.2911736 | $7 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 2.2911729 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.4 | 2.1127925 | 2.1127932 | $7 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 2.1127925 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.5 | 0.8880915 | 0.8880922 | $7 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.8880915 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.6 | -0.2459909 | -0.2459919 | $1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | -0.2459909 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.7 | -0.2448940 | -0.2448950 | $1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | -0.2448940 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.8 | 0.8831813 | 0.8831823 | $1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.8831813 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.9 | 2.0848846 | 2.0848857 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 2.0848846 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 2 | 2.2303235 | 2.2303247 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 2.2303235 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-08$ |

Table 1 Results for the inhomogeneous equation at various values of " $x$ ".

| Time <br> t | Discrete solution for Duffing equation |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Exact <br> Solutions | STHW <br> Solutions | STHW <br> Error | Leapfrog <br> Solutions | Leapfrog <br> Error |
| 0 | 0.2004294 | 0.2004294 | 0 | 0.2004294 | 0 |
| 1 | 0.3066526 | 0.3066526 | 0 | 0.3066526 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.2199634 | 0.2199634 | 0 | 0.2199634 | 0 |
| 3 | 0.0207932 | 0.0207932 | 0 | 0.0207932 | 0 |
| 4 | -0.1036664 | -0.1036664 | 0 | -0.1036664 | 0 |
| 5 | -0.0375666 | -0.0375667 | $1 \mathrm{E}-07$ | -0.0375666 | $1 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 6 | 0.1578427 | 0.1578428 | $1 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.1578427 | $2 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 7 | 0.2990128 | 0.2990129 | $1 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.2990128 | $3 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 8 | 0.2543050 | 0.2543051 | $1 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.2543050 | $4 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 9 | 0.0651066 | 0.0651069 | $3 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.0651066 | $5 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 10 | -0.0919355 | -0.0919358 | $3 \mathrm{E}-07$ | -0.0919355 | $6 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 11 | -0.0682613 | -0.0682613 | $6 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -0.0682613 | $7 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 12 | 0.1123593 | 0.1123593 | $8 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 0.1123593 | $8 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 13 | 0.2815429 | 0.2815434 | $5 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.2815429 | $9 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
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| 14 | 0.2809778 | 0.2809783 | $5 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.2809778 | $1 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 15 | 0.1111868 | 0.1111875 | $7 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.1111868 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 16 | -0.0689371 | -0.0689377 | $6 \mathrm{E}-07$ | -0.0689371 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 17 | -0.0905881 | -0.0905889 | $8 \mathrm{E}-07$ | -0.0905881 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 18 | 0.0662643 | 0.0662653 | $1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.0662643 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 19 | 0.2550834 | 0.2550844 | $1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.2550834 | $1 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 20 | 0.2986884 | 0.2986894 | $1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.2986884 | $2 \mathrm{E}-09$ |


| 1.5 | 0.9974419 | 0.9974419 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.9974419 | $5 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1.6 | 0.9995969 | 0.9995969 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.9995969 | $7 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 1.7 | 0.9917743 | 0.9917743 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.9917743 | $7 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 1.8 | 0.9740520 | 0.9740520 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.9740520 | $9 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 1.9 | 0.9466071 | 0.9466071 | $2 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.9466071 | $9 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 2 | 0.9097134 | 0.9097134 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.9097134 | $1 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| Table 4 Results for an orbit problem at various values of " $z$ ". |  |  |  |  |  |


| Time <br> t | Discrete solution for orbit problem |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | STHW <br> Solutions | STHW <br> Error | Leapfrog <br> Solutions | Leapfrog <br> Error |  |
| 0 | 1.0000000 | 1.0000000 | $1 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.0000000 | $1 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.1 | 0.9950091 | 0.9950091 | $2 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.9950091 | $2 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.2 | 0.9800864 | 0.9800864 | $3 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.9800864 | $3 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.3 | 0.9553807 | 0.9553807 | $4 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.9553807 | $4 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.4 | 0.9211388 | 0.9211388 | $5 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.9211388 | $5 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.5 | 0.8777024 | 0.8777024 | $6 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.8777024 | $6 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.6 | 0.8255050 | 0.8255050 | $7 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.8255050 | $7 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.7 | 0.7650676 | 0.7650676 | $8 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.7650676 | $8 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.8 | 0.6969935 | 0.6969935 | $9 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.6969935 | $9 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.9 | 0.6219623 | 0.6219623 | $1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.6219623 | $1 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1 | 0.5407229 | 0.5407229 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.5407229 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.1 | 0.4540861 | 0.4540861 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.4540861 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.2 | 0.3629168 | 0.3629168 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.3629168 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.3 | 0.2681249 | 0.2681249 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.2681249 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.4 | 0.1706567 | 0.1706567 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.1706567 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.5 | 0.0714850 | 0.0714850 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.0714850 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.6 | -0.0284001 | -0.0284001 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-06$ | -0.0284001 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.7 | -0.1280018 | -0.1280018 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-06$ | -0.1280018 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.8 | -0.2263259 | -0.2263259 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-06$ | -0.2263259 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.9 | -0.3223908 | -0.3223908 | $2 \mathrm{E}-06$ | -0.3223908 | $2 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 2 | -0.4152377 | -0.4152377 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | -0.4152377 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-08$ |


| Time <br> t | Discrete solution for orbit problem |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Exact <br> Solutions | STHW <br> Solutions | STHW <br> Error | Leapfrog <br> Solutions | Leapfrog <br> Error |
| 0 | 1.0000000 | 1.0000000 | 0 | 1.0000000 | 0 |
| 0.1 | 1.0947928 | 1.0947928 | $1 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.0947928 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.2 | 1.1786577 | 1.1786577 | $1 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.1786577 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.3 | 1.2507576 | 1.2507576 | $1 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.2507576 | $4.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.4 | 1.3103730 | 1.3103730 | $1 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.3103730 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.5 | 1.3569085 | 1.3569085 | 3E-07 | 1.3569085 | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.6 | 1.3898999 | 1.3898999 | 3E-07 | 1.3898999 | $7.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.7 | 1.4090176 | 1.4090176 | 3E-07 | 1.4090176 | $8.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.8 | 1.4140710 | 1.4140710 | $3 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.4140710 | $9.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.9 | 1.4050096 | 1.4050096 | 3E-07 | 1.4050096 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1 | 1.3819239 | 1.3819239 | 5E-07 | 1.3819239 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.1 | 1.3450441 | 1.3450441 | $5 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.3450441 | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.2 | 1.2947385 | 1.2947385 | $5 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.2947385 | $1.30 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.3 | 1.2315093 | 1.2315093 | $5 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.2315093 | $1.40 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.4 | 1.1559875 | 1.1559875 | 5E-07 | 1.1559875 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.5 | 1.0689270 | 1.0689270 | $7 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.0689270 | $1.60 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.6 | 0.9711968 | 0.9711968 | $7 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.9711968 | $1.70 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.7 | 0.8637724 | 0.8637724 | $7 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.8637724 | $1.80 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.8 | 0.7477261 | 0.7477261 | $7 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.7477261 | $1.90 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.9 | 0.6242161 | 0.6242161 | $1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.6242161 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 2 | 0.4944756 | 0.4944756 | $1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.4944756 | $2.10 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| Table 5 Results for an orbit problem at various values of " $z$ ". |  |  |  |  |  |



Fig. 2 Error graph for inhomogeneous equation

| Time <br> t | Discrete solution for inhomogeneous equation |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Exact <br> Solutions | STHW <br> Solutions | STHW <br> Error | Leapfrog <br> Solutions | Leapfrog <br> Error |
| 0 | 0.0000000 | 0.0000000 | $1 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.0000000 | $1 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.1 | 0.0997836 | 0.0997836 | $2 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.0997836 | $1 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.2 | 0.1985713 | 0.1985713 | $3 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.1985713 | $3 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.3 | 0.2953769 | 0.2953769 | $4 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.2953769 | $3 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.4 | 0.3892341 | 0.3892341 | $5 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.3892341 | $5 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.5 | 0.4792061 | 0.4792061 | $6 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.4792061 | $5 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.6 | 0.5643948 | 0.5643948 | $7 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.5643948 | $7 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.7 | 0.6439500 | 0.6439500 | $8 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.6439500 | $7 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.8 | 0.7170774 | 0.7170774 | $9 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.7170774 | $9 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.9 | 0.7830472 | 0.7830472 | $1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.7830472 | $9 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 1 | 0.8412008 | 0.8412008 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.8412008 | $1 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 1.1 | 0.8909579 | 0.8909579 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.8909579 | $1 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 1.2 | 0.9318217 | 0.9318217 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.9318217 | $3 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 1.3 | 0.9633843 | 0.9633843 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.9633843 | $3 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 1.4 | 0.9853307 | 0.9853307 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.9853307 | $5 \mathrm{E}-09$ |


| Fig. 2 Error graph for Duffing equation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time t | Discrete solution for inhomogeneous equation |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exact <br> Solutions | STHW <br> Solutions | STHW <br> Error | Leapfrog Solutions | Leapfrog Error |
| 0 | 1.0000000 | 1.0000000 | 0 | 1.0000000 | 0 |
| 0.1 | 0.9950041 | 0.9950041 | 1E-07 | 0.9950041 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.2 | 0.9800665 | 0.9800665 | 1E-07 | 0.9800665 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.3 | 0.9553365 | 0.9553365 | 1E-07 | 0.9553365 | $4.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.4 | 0.9210609 | 0.9210609 | 1E-07 | 0.9210609 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.5 | 0.8775825 | 0.8775825 | 3E-07 | 0.8775825 | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.6 | 0.8253356 | 0.8253356 | 3E-07 | 0.8253356 | $7.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.7 | 0.7648421 | 0.7648421 | 3E-07 | 0.7648421 | $8.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.8 | 0.6967066 | 0.6967066 | 3E-07 | 0.6967066 | $9.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 0.9 | 0.6216098 | 0.6216098 | 3E-07 | 0.6216098 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1 | 0.5403022 | 0.5403022 | 5E-07 | 0.5403022 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.1 | 0.4535959 | 0.4535959 | 6E-07 | 0.4535959 | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.2 | 0.3623575 | 0.3623575 | 5E-07 | 0.3623575 | $1.30 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.3 | 0.2674986 | 0.2674986 | 5E-07 | 0.2674986 | $1.40 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.4 | 0.1699669 | 0.1699669 | 5E-07 | 0.1699669 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.5 | 0.0707369 | 0.0707369 | 7E-07 | 0.0707369 | $1.60 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
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| 1.6 | -0.0291997 | -0.0291997 | $7 \mathrm{E}-07$ | -0.0291997 | $1.70 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.7 | -0.1288447 | -0.1288447 | $7 \mathrm{E}-07$ | -0.1288447 | $1.80 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.8 | -0.2272024 | -0.2272024 | $7 \mathrm{E}-07$ | -0.2272024 | $1.90 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1.9 | -0.3232898 | -0.3232898 | $7 \mathrm{E}-07$ | -0.3232898 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 2 | -0.4161470 | -0.4161470 | $1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | -0.4161470 | $2.10 \mathrm{E}-08$ |




Fig. 6 Error graph for " $y$ " at various time intervals


Fig. 7 Error graph for " $z$ " at various time intervals

## V. Conclusions

The obtained results of the periodic and oscillatory problems using Leapfrog is very closer to these exact solutions of the problem when compared to the STHW method. From the Table 1-7, one can observe that for most of the time intervals, the absolute error is less in Leapfrog when compared to the STHW method which yields a little error, along with the exact solutions. From Fig. 1-7, one can predict that the error is very less in Leapfrog when compared to the STHW method and especially Leapfrog method works well for the orbit problem and the two body problem. Hence, the Leapfrog method is more suitable for studying the periodic and oscillatory problems and especially it is recommended for the problems of orbit and two-body problems.
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