

Some Fixed Point Theorems for New Contraction Mappings in Dislocated Quasi Metric Spaces

N. Anu

M.phil., Mathematics, Seethalakshmi Achi College for Women-pallathur

Affiliated to Alagappa University - karaikudi, Sivaganga district, Tamilnadu, India.

Abstract

In this paper, I have proved some fixed point theorems for new contraction conditions in dislocated quasi metric spaces. The presented results in this paper extend some well-known theorems in literature.

Keywords - fixed point, dislocated quasi-metric spaces, dq-Cauchy, dq-converges, dq-limit, dq-continuous, contraction mapping, generalized contraction condition

I. INTRODUCTION

Fixed point theory is the most development of nonlinear analysis. In 1922 Banach proved a fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete metric spaces. It is a well-known Banach fixed point theorem. It has many applications in various branches of mathematics such as Differential equation, integral equation etc.

Also many authors study many contractions and proved some fixed point theorems. In 1968 Kannan proved a fixed point theorem for new types of contraction mapping called Kannan mappings in a complete metric spaces. In 1974 Lj.B.Ciric generalizes the Banach contraction principle in metric spaces.

Some important generalizations of metric spaces are Dislocated metric spaces, Quasi metric spaces, Dislocated Quasi metric spaces. The notation of dislocated metric space which the self distance for any point need not be equal to zero and generalized this Banach Contraction Principle in complete dislocated metric space. Dislocated metric spaces plays an essential role in topology but also in logic programming and electronic engineering. The notation of dislocated quasi metric space was introduced by F.M.Zeyada, G.H.Hassan and M.A.Ahmad in 2006. It is a generalization of the result due to Hitzler and Seda in dislocated metric spaces. In 2008 Aage and Salunke[4,5] established some fixed point theorems in dislocated quasimetric spaces, In 2010 A.Isufati [1] proved some fixed point results for continuous contractive condition with rational type expression of a dislocated quasi metric space. In 2013, Patel and

Mitesh Patel, porru [6,7] derived some new fixed point results in a dislocated quasi metric space. In 2013 panthi and jha [5] established a result in dislocated quasi metric spaces, In 2014 muhammad sarwar, mujeeburrahman and goharali [10,11] gave some fixed point result in dq metric spaces, In 2014 A.K.dubey, Reenashukla, and R.P.dubey [2] proved some fixed point result in dislocated quasi metric spaces.

The purpose of this paper to establish a fixed point theorem for new contraction conditions in dislocated quasi metric spaces.

II. PRELIMINARIES

In this section contains some basic definitions, and preliminary fixed point theorems in dislocated quasi metric spaces.

Definition 2.1 A point x in a set X is called a fixed point of a mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$, if $Tx = x$.

Definition 2.2 Let X be a nonempty set and let $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function, called a distance function, satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $d(x, x) = 0$,
- (2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x) = 0$, then $x = y$,
- (3) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$,
- (4) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$,
- (5) $d(x, y) \leq \max\{d(x, z), d(z, y)\}$,

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

If d satisfies conditions (1) to (4) then it is called a metric on X .

If it satisfies conditions (1), (2) and (4), then it is called

a quasi-metric (or simply q - metric) on X .

If it satisfies conditions (2), (3) and (4) then it is called a dislocated metric (or simply d - metric) on X .

If it satisfies conditions (2) and (4) then it is called a dislocated quasi-metric (or simply dq - metric) on X .

If a metric d satisfies the strong triangle inequality (5), then it is called an ultrametric on X .

Clearly every metric space is a dislocated metric space but the converse is not true.

Also every metric space is dislocated quasi - metric space but the converse is not true and every dislocated metric space is dislocated quasi - metric space but the converse is not true.

Definition 2.3 A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in dq - metric space (X, d) is said to be a cauchy sequence if for given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n \geq n_0$, $d(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon$ or $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$, i.e $\min\{d(x_m, x_n), d(x_n, x_m)\} < \varepsilon$.

Definition 2.4 A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in dq - metric space (X, d) is said to be a bi'cauchy sequence if for given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n \geq n_0$, $\max\{d(x_m, x_n), d(x_n, x_m)\} < \varepsilon$.

Note that every 'bi'cauchy sequence is acauchy sequence.

Definition 2.5 A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in dq-metricspace (X, d) is said to be a dq - convergent to x . if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, x_n) = 0$. In this case x is called a dq-limit of $\{x_n\}$, we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

Definition 2.6 A dq - metric space (X, d) is said to be complete if every cauchy sequence in X convergent to a point of X .

Definition 2.7 Let (X, d_1) and (Y, d_2) be dq-metric spaces and let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then T is continuous if for every sequence (x_n) which is d_1 q-convergent to x_0 in X , the sequence $(T(x_n))$ is d_2 q - convergent to $T(x_0)$ in Y .

Definition 2.8 Let (X, d) be a dq-metric space. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called contraction, if there exists $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. where λ is called a contracting constant.

Definition 2.9 Let (X, d) be a dq-metric space, and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self -mapping ,Then T is called Kannan mapping. If $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha\{d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)\}$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $0 \leq \alpha < 1/2$.

Definition 2.10 Let (X, d) be a dq - metric space, a self mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called generalized contraction if and only if for all $x, y \in X$, there exist non-negative numbers α, β, γ and δ such that $\sup\{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta\} < 1$ and $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta d(x, Tx) + \gamma d(y, Ty) + \delta[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)]$

Proposition 2.11 Every convergent sequence in a dq - metric space is 'bi'cauchy.

Lemma 2.12 Every subsequence of dq - convergent sequence to a point x_0 is dq-convergent to x_0 .

Lemma 2.13 dq - limits in a dq - metric space are unique.

Lemma 2.14 Let (X, d) be a dq- metric space. If $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a contraction mapping ,then T is continuous on X .

Proof: Since T is a contraction mapping

$d(Tx, Ty) < d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose $\delta = \varepsilon$.

Then $d(x, y) < \delta$, $d(Tx, Ty) < \varepsilon$.

Therefore T is continuous on X .

Lemma 2.15 Let (X, d) be a dq - metric space. If $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a contraction function, then $(T^n(x_0))$ is a cauchy sequence for each $x_0 \in X$.

Theorem 2.16 Let (X, d) be a complete dq - metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous contraction function. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Theorem 2.17 Let (X, d) be a complete dq-metric space and suppose there exist non negative constants $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu$

with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2(\delta + \mu) < 1$. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mappings satisfying the following condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta d(x, Tx) + \gamma d(y, Ty) + \delta[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)] + \mu[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Theorem 2.18 Let (X, d) be a complete dq-metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping satisfying the follows condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta \frac{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty)}{d(x, y)} + \gamma[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)] + \delta[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)]$$

$$+ \eta[d(x, Tx) + d(x, y)] \\ + \kappa[d(y, Ty) + d(x, y)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \eta, \kappa \geq 0$,

$0 \leq \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + 4\delta + 2\eta + 2\kappa < 1$, then T has unique fixed point.

Theorem 2.18 Let (X, d) be a complete dq-metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping satisfying the follows condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta \frac{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty)}{d(x, y)} \\ + \gamma[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)] \\ + \delta[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] \\ + \eta[d(x, Tx) + d(x, y)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \eta \geq 0$,

$0 \leq \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta + 2\eta < 1$, Then T has unique fixed point.

Theorem 2.19 Let (X, d) be a complete dq-metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping satisfying the follows condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta \frac{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty)}{d(x, y)} + \gamma[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)] \\ + \delta[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] + \eta[d(x, Tx) + d(x, y)] \\ + \kappa[d(y, Ty) + d(x, y)] + \mu \frac{d(x, Ty)d(x, Tx)}{d(x, y)}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \eta, \kappa, \mu \geq 0$,

$0 \leq \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + 4\delta + 2\eta + 2\kappa + 2\mu < 1$,

then T has unique fixed point.

III . MAIN FIXED POINT THEOREMS

Theorem 3.1 Let (X, d) be a complete dq-metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping satisfying the follows condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta d(x, Tx) + \gamma d(y, Ty) \\ + \delta[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)] \\ + \mu[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] \\ + \eta[d(x, Tx) + d(x, y)] \\ + \kappa[d(y, Ty) + d(x, y)] \\ + \xi[d(x, Ty) + d(x, y)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu, \eta, \kappa, \xi \geq 0$,

$0 \leq \alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta + 2\mu + 2\eta + 2\kappa + 3\xi < 1$,

Then T has unique fixed point.

Proof: Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X , defined as follows

Let $x_0 \in X$, $T(x_0) = x_1$, $T(x_1) = x_2$,

$T(x_n) = x_{n+1}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Replace $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$ in the given condition

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ \leq \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \beta d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + \gamma d(x_n, Tx_n) \\ + \delta[d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + d(x_n, Tx_n)] \\ + \mu[d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n) + d(x_n, Tx_{n-1})] \\ + \eta[d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] \\ + \kappa[d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] \\ + \xi[d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] \\ = \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \beta d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \gamma d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

$$+ \delta[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})] \\ + \mu[d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_n)] \\ + \eta[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] \\ + \kappa[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] \\ + \xi[d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] \\ d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq (\alpha + \beta + \delta + \mu + 2\eta + \kappa + 2\xi)d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ + (\gamma + \mu + \delta + \kappa + \xi)d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \delta + \mu + 2\eta + \kappa + 2\xi)}{[1 - (\gamma + \mu + \delta + \kappa + \xi)]} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ = \lambda d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

Where $\lambda = \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \delta + \mu + 2\eta + \kappa + 2\xi)}{[1 - (\gamma + \mu + \delta + \kappa + \xi)]}$

Similarly we have $d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \lambda d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})$, and

$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda^2 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})$

In this way, we get $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda^n d(x_0, x_1)$.

Since $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, as $n \rightarrow \infty, \lambda^n \rightarrow 0$, we have $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$.

Similarly we show that, $d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \rightarrow 0$.

Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the complete dislocated quasi-metric space X .

So there is a point $x \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$.

Since T is continuous we have

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = x.$$

Thus $T(x) = x$. Thus T has a fixed point.

Uniqueness:

Let x be a fixed point of T , then by the given condition

$$d(x, x) = d(Tx, Tx) \\ \leq \alpha d(x, x) + \beta d(x, x) + \gamma d(x, x) \\ + \delta[d(x, x) + d(x, x)] \\ + \mu[d(x, x) + d(x, x)] \\ + \eta[d(x, x) + d(x, x)] \\ + \kappa[d(x, x) + d(x, x)] \\ + \xi[d(x, x) + d(x, x)] \\ \leq \alpha d(x, x) + \beta d(x, x) + \gamma d(x, x) + 2\delta d(x, x)$$

$$+2\mu d(x, x) + 2\eta d(x, x) + 2\kappa d(x, x) + 2\xi d(x, x)$$

$$d(x, x) \leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta + 2\mu + 2\eta + 2\kappa + 2\xi)d(x, x)$$

which is true only if $d(x, x) = 0$,

since $0 \leq \alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2(\delta + \mu) < 1$ and $d(x, x) \geq 0$.

Thus $d(x, x) = 0$, if x is a fixed point of T .

Now, Let $x, y \in X$ be fixed point of T .

That is, $Tx = x, Ty = y$.

Then by the given condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) = d(Tx, Ty) &\leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta d(x, x) + \gamma d(y, y) \\ &\quad + \delta [d(x, x) + d(y, y)] \\ &\quad + \mu [d(x, y) + d(y, x)] \\ &\quad + \eta [d(x, x) + d(x, y)] \\ &\quad + \kappa [d(y, y) + d(x, y)] \\ &\quad + \xi [d(x, y) + d(x, y)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e. } d(x, y) \leq (\alpha + 2\mu + \eta + \kappa + 2\xi)d(x, y)$$

$$\text{Similarly, } d(y, x) \leq (\alpha + 2\mu + \eta + \kappa + 2\xi)d(y, x)$$

$$\text{Hence } |d(x, y) - d(y, x)| \leq (\alpha + 2\mu + \eta + \kappa + 2\xi) |d(x, y) - d(y, x)|$$

Which gives $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$,

Since $0 \leq (\alpha + 2\mu + \eta + \kappa + 2\xi) < 1$.

Again from the given condition

$$d(x, y) \leq (\alpha + 2\mu + \eta + \kappa + 2\xi)d(x, y)$$

which gives $d(x, y) = 0$,

since $d(x, y) \geq 0, 0 \leq (\alpha + 2\mu + \eta + \kappa + 2\xi) < 1$.

Further $d(x, y) = d(y, x) = 0$,

Hence $x = y$.

Hence fixed point of T is unique.

Corollary 3.2 Let (X, d) be a complete dq-metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping satisfying the follows condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta d(x, Tx) + \gamma d(y, Ty)$$

for all $x, y \in X, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0, 0 \leq \alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$,

then T has unique fixed point.

Proof : put $\delta = \mu = \eta = \kappa = \xi = 0$ in the above theorem 3.1, it can be easily proved.

Corollary 3.3 Let (X, d) be a complete dq-metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping satisfying the follows condition

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) &\leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta d(x, Tx) + \gamma d(y, Ty) \\ &\quad + \delta [d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \geq 0, 0 \leq \alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta < 1$,

then T has unique fixed point. (it is called a generalized contraction)

Proof : put $\mu = \eta = \kappa = \xi = 0$ in the above theorem 3.1, it can be easily proved.

Corollary 3.4 Let (X, d) be a complete dq-metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping satisfying the follows condition

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) &\leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta d(x, Tx) + \gamma d(y, Ty) \\ &\quad + \delta [d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)] \\ &\quad + \mu [d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu \geq 0, 0 \leq \alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta + 2\mu < 1$, then T has unique fixed point.

Proof : put $\eta = \kappa = \xi = 0$ in the above theorem 3.1, it can be easily proved.

Theorem 3.5 Let (X, d) be a complete dq- metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mappings satisfying the condition

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) &\leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta \frac{d(y, Ty)[1 + d(x, Tx)]}{[1 + d(x, y)]} \\ &\quad + \gamma \left(\frac{d(x, y)d(x, Ty)}{d(x, y) + d(y, Ty)} \right) + \delta \left(\frac{d(x, y)d(x, Ty)}{d(x, y) + d(x, Tx)} \right) \\ &\quad + \mu [d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu > 0$ and

$\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta + 2\mu < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof: Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X , defined as follows

Let $x_0 \in X, T(x_0) = x_1, T(x_1) = x_2, \dots$

$T(x_n) = x_{n+1}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Replace $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$ in the given condition

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\quad + \beta \frac{d(x_n, Tx_n)[1 + d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})]}{[1 + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)]} \\ &\quad + \gamma \left(\frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n)}{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, Tx_n)} \right) \\ &\quad + \delta \left(\frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n)}{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})} \right) \\ &\quad + \mu [d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n) + d(x_n, Tx_{n-1})] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\quad + \beta \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1})[1 + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)]}{1 + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)} \\ &\quad + \gamma \left(\frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})}{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})} \right) \\ &\quad + \delta \left(\frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})}{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)} \right) \\ &\quad + \mu [d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_n)] \\ &\leq \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \beta d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \gamma \left(\frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})}{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})} \right) \\
 & + \delta \left(\frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})}{2d(x_{n-1}, x_n)} \right) \\
 & + \mu [d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})] \\
 & \leq \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \beta d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\
 & + \gamma d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \frac{\delta}{2} d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) \\
 & + \mu d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \mu d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\
 & \leq \left(\alpha + \gamma + \frac{\delta}{2} + \mu \right) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\
 & + \left(\beta + \frac{\delta}{2} + \mu \right) d(x_n, x_{n+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Therefore, } d(x_n, x_{n+1}) & \leq \left(\frac{\alpha + \gamma + \frac{\delta}{2} + \mu}{1 - (\beta + \frac{\delta}{2} + \mu)} \right) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\
 & = \lambda d(x_{n-1}, x_n)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \lambda = \left(\frac{\alpha + \gamma + \frac{\delta}{2} + \mu}{1 - (\beta + \frac{\delta}{2} + \mu)} \right) < 1$$

In the same way, $d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \lambda d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})$, and

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda^2 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})$$

Continue this process, in general

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda^n d(x_0, x_1).$$

Since $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda^n \rightarrow 0$.

Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a dq- Cauchy sequence in X.

Thus $\{x_n\}$ dislocated quasi converges to some x in X.

Since T is continuous we have

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = x.$$

Thus $T(x) = x$. Then x is a fixed point T.

Uniqueness:

Let $x \in X$ is a fixed point. Then by the given condition,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x, x) = d(Tx, Tx) & \leq \alpha d(x, x) + \beta \frac{d(x, x)[1 + d(x, x)]}{[1 + d(x, x)]} \\
 & + \gamma \frac{d(x, x)d(x, x)}{[d(x, x) + d(x, x)]} \\
 & + \delta \frac{d(x, x)d(x, x)}{[d(x, x) + d(x, x)]} \\
 & + \mu [d(x, x) + d(x, x)]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$d(x, x) \leq (\alpha + \beta + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} + 2\mu) d(x, x)$$

which is true only if $d(x, x) = 0$,

since $0 \leq (\alpha + \beta + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} + 2\mu) < 1$ and $d(x, x) \geq 0$.

Thus $d(x, x) = 0$ if x is fixed point of T.

Let x, y be fixed point, (i.e.) $Tx = x, Ty = y$,

Then by the given condition we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x, y) = d(Tx, Ty) & \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta \frac{d(y, y)[1 + d(x, x)]}{1 + d(x, y)} \\
 & + \gamma \frac{d(x, y)d(x, y)}{d(x, y) + d(y, y)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \delta \frac{d(x, y)d(x, y)}{d(x, y) + d(x, x)} \\
 & + \mu [d(x, y) + d(y, x)]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$d(x, y) \leq (\alpha + \gamma + \delta + 2\mu) d(x, y)$$

$$\text{Similarly } d(y, x) \leq (\alpha + \gamma + \delta + 2\mu) d(y, x)$$

$$\text{Hence } |d(x, y) - d(y, x)| \leq (\alpha + \gamma + \delta + 2\mu)$$

$$|d(x, y) - d(y, x)|,$$

Which implies $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$.

Since $0 \leq (\alpha + \gamma + \delta + 2\mu) < 1$.

Again from the given condition we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x, y) & \leq (\alpha + \gamma + \delta + 2\mu) d(x, y) \text{ which gives, } d(x, y) \\
 & = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq (\alpha + \gamma + \delta + 2\mu) < 1$.

Further $d(x, y) = d(y, x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$.

Hence fixed point of T is unique.

Corollary 3.6 Let (X, d) be a complete dq- metric space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping satisfying the condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta \frac{d(y, Ty)[1 + d(x, Tx)]}{[1 + d(x, y)]}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and $\alpha + \beta < 1$.

Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof: put $\gamma = \delta = \mu = 0$ in the above theorem 3. 5, it can be easily proved.

Corollary 3.7 Let (X, d) be a complete dq- metric space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping satisfying the condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \mu [d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $\alpha, \mu > 0$ and $\alpha + 2\mu < 1$.

Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof: put $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0$ in the above theorem 3. 5, it can be easily proved.

Theorem 3.8 Let (X, d) be a complete dq-metric space and Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping satisfying the following condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, Tx) + \beta \left(\frac{d(x, Tx)d(x, y)}{d(x, y) + d(x, Tx)} \right)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $\alpha + \beta < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof : Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X, defined as follows

$$\text{Let } x_0 \in X, T(x_0) = x_1, T(x_1) = x_2, \dots$$

$$T(x_n) = x_{n+1}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Replace $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$ in the given condition

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \alpha d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + \beta \left(\frac{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})} \right) \\ &\leq \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\quad + \beta \left(\frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)} \right) \\ &\leq \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \frac{\beta}{2} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore, } d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{2} \right) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq \lambda d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Where } \lambda = \left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{2} \right), 0 \leq \lambda < 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Similarly we have } d(x_{n-1}, x_n) &\leq \lambda d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}), \text{ and} \\ d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \lambda^2 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{In this way, we get } d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda^n d(x_0, x_1).$$

$$\text{Since } 0 \leq \lambda < 1, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \lambda^n \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\text{Similarly we show that } d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the complete dislocated quasi-metric space X .

So there is a point such that $x \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$.

Since T is continuous we have

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = x.$$

Thus $T(x) = x$. Thus T has a fixed point.

Uniqueness:

Let x be a fixed point of T . then by the given condition

$$d(x, x) = d(Tx, Tx) \leq \alpha d(x, x) + \beta \left(\frac{d(x, x)d(x, x)}{d(x, x) + d(x, x)} \right)$$

$$d(x, x) \leq \left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{2} \right) d(x, x)$$

$$\text{which is true only if } d(x, x) = 0,$$

$$\text{since } 0 \leq \left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{2} \right) < 1 \text{ and } d(x, x) \geq 0.$$

$$\text{Thus } d(x, x) = 0, \text{ if } x \text{ is a fixed point of } T.$$

Now, let $x, y \in X$ be fixed point of T . That is, $Tx = x$, $Ty = y$

Then by the given condition, we have

$$d(x, y) = d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta \left(\frac{d(x, x)d(x, y)}{d(x, y) + d(x, x)} \right)$$

$$d(x, y) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$$

$$\text{Similarly } d(y, x) \leq \alpha d(y, x)$$

$$\text{Hence } |d(x, y) - d(y, x)| \leq \alpha |d(x, y) - d(y, x)|,$$

$$\text{Which implies } d(x, y) = d(y, x) \text{ since } 0 \leq \alpha < 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Again from the given condition we have } d(x, y) &\leq \alpha d(x, y) \text{ which gives } d(x, y) = 0. \text{ Since } 0 \leq \alpha < 1. \\ \text{Further } d(x, y) = d(y, x) = 0 &\Rightarrow x = y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence fixed point of T is unique.

IV . CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, I have proposed a fixed point theorems for new contraction condition in dislocated quasi metric spaces the presented results generalizes some existing results

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thank God and Referees who supported me to bring this.

REFERENCES

- [1] A .Isufati, "Fixed point theorem in dislocated quasi-metric spaces" *Applied Mathematical sciences*, vol. 4, pp. 217-223, 2010.
- [2] A.K.Dubey, Reena Shukla, R.P. Dubey, "Some Fixed Point Results in Dislocated Quasi-Metric Space", *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology* – Vol 9 Num 1 – May 2014
- [3] A.Muralirajetal, "Generalized Fixed Point Theorems in dislocated Quasi Metric Spaces", *Advance in Inequalities and Applications*, ISSN 2050-7461, 2014, 2014:17.
- [4] C.T .Aage and J.N.Salunke "Some results of fixed point theorem in dislocated quasi- metric space", *Bulletin of Marathadawa mathematical society*, vol. 9, pp 1-5, 2008.
- [5] C.T .Aage and J.N.Salunke, "The results on fixed points in dislocated and dislocated quasi-metric space", *Applied Mathematical science*, 2(59):2941-2948,2008
- [6] D.Panthi, K.Jha "A Result in dislocated quasi- metric space" *Kathmandu university journal of science, engineering and technology* vol 9, no 2, dec 2013,pp 22-27.
- [7] D.Panthi, K .Jha, G.Porru "A fixed point theorem in dislocated quasi- metric space", *Amer. J. Math. Stat.* 3 (2013) 153.
- [8] F.M.Zeyada, G.H.Hassan.,and M.A.Ahmed., "A generalization of a fixed point theorem due to Hitzler and Seda in dislocated quasi-metric spaces". *The Arabian Journal for Sci.and Eng.*, 31(1A) : 111: 114, 2005.
- [9] K.Zoto, E.Hoxa and A.Isufati, "Some new results in dislocated and dislocated quasi-metric spaces", *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, vol.6,pp.3519-3526,2012.
- [10] Muhammad Sarwar, Mujeeb Ur Rahman and Gohar Ali "Some fixed point results in dislocated quasi metric (dq-metric) spaces" Sarwar et al. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2014, 2014:278
- [11] Mujeeb Ur Rahman and Muhammad Sarwar, Fixed Point Results in Dislocated Quasi Metric Spaces ,*International Mathematical Forum*, Vol. 9, 2014, no. 14, 677 – 682
- [12] R.Shrivastava, M.Kohli, M.Sharma: Some results on fixed point theorems in dislocated quasi metric space. *Int. J.Theoret. Appl. Sci.* 2, 27-28 (2010)
- [13] ST .Patel, M.Patel "Some results of fixed point theorem in dislocated quasi metric space". *Int. J. Res.Mod. Eng. Technol.* 1, 20-24 (2013)