The Forcing Monophonic Hull Number of a Graph

J. John^{#1}, V. Mary Gleeta^{*2}

[#]Department of Mathematics

Government college of Engineering, Cape Institute of Technology Thirunelveli-627 007, India, Levengipuram-627 114, India

Abstract — For a connected graph G = (V, E), let a set M be a minimum monophonic hull set of G. A subset $T \subseteq M$ is called a forcing subset for M if M is the unique minimum monophonic hull set containing T. A forcing subset for M of minimum cardinality is a minimum forcing subset of M. The forcing monophonic hull number of M, denoted by $f_{mh}(M)$, is the cardinality of a minimum forcing subset of M. The forcing monophonic hull number of G, denoted by $f_{mh}(G)$, is $f_{mh}(G)$ =min{ $f_{mh}(M)$ }, where the minimum is taken over all minimum monophonic hull sets in G. Some general properties satisfied by this concept are studied. The forcing monophonic hull numbers of certain classes of graphs are determined. It is shown that, for every pair a, b of integers with $0 \le a \le b$ and $b \ge 2$, there exists a connected graph G such that $f_{mh}(G) = a$ and mh(G) = b.

Keywords: hull number, monophonic hull number, forcing hull number, forcing monophonic hull number.

AMS Subject Classification: 05C12, 05C05.

I. INTRODUCTION

By a graph G = (V, E), we mean a finite undirected connected graph without loops or multiple edges. The order and size of G are denoted by p and q respectively. For basic graph theoretic terminology, we refer to Harary [1, 9]. A convexity on a finite set V is a family C of subsets of V, convex sets which is closed under intersection and which contains both V and the empty set. The pair (V, E) is called a convexity space. A finite graph convexity space is a pair (V,E), formed by a finite connected graph G = (V, E) and a convexity C on V such that (V, E) is a convexity space satisfying that every member of C induces a connected sub graph of G. Thus, classical convexity can be extended to graphs in a natural way. We know that a set X of \mathbb{R}^n is convex if every segment joining two points of X is entirely contained in it. Similarly a vertex set W of a finite connected graph is said to be convex set of G if it contains all the vertices lying in a certain kind of path connecting vertices of W[2,8]. The distance d(u, v) between two vertices u and v in a connected graph G is the length of a shortest u-v path in G. An u-v path of length d(u,v) is called an u-v geodesic. A vertex x is said to lie on a u-v geodesic P if x is a vertex of P including the vertices u and v. For two vertices u and v, let I[u,v] denotes the set of all vertices which lie on u - v geodesic. For a set S of vertices, let $I[S] = \bigcup_{u \in S} I[u, v]$. The set S is convex if I[S] =S. Clearly if $S = \{v\}$ or S = V, then S is convex. The *convexity* number, denoted by C(G), is the cardinality of a maximum

proper convex subset of V. The smallest convex set containing S is denoted by $I_h(S)$ and called the *convex hull* of S. Since the intersection of two convex sets is convex, the convex hull is well defined. Note that $S \subseteq I[S] \subseteq I_h(S) \subseteq V$. A subset $S \subseteq V$ is called a geodetic set if I[S] = V and a hull set if $I_h(S) = V$. The geodetic number g(G) of G is the minimum order of its geodetic sets and any geodetic set of order g(G) is a minimum geodetic set or simply a g- set of G. Similarly, the hull number h(G) of G is the minimum order of its hull sets and any hull set of order h(G) is a *minimum hull set* or simply a *h*- set of *G*. The geodetic number of a graph is studied in [1,4,10] and the hull number of a graph is studied in [1,6]. A subset $T \subseteq S$ is called a *forcing subset* for S if S is the unique minimum hull set containing T. A forcing subset for S of minimum cardinality is a minimum forcing subset of M. The forcing hull *number* of S, denoted by $f_h(S)$, is the cardinality of a minimum forcing subset of S. The forcing hull number of G, denoted by $f_h(G)$, is $f_h(G) = \min\{f_h(S)\}$, where the minimum is taken over all minimum hull sets S in G. The forcing hull number of a graph is studied in [3,14]. A *chord* of a path u_0 , u_1 , u_2 , ..., u_n is an edge $u_i u_i$ with $j \ge i + 2$. $(0 \le i, j \le n)$. A u - v path P is called *monophonic path* if it is a chordless path. A vertex x is said to lie on a u - v monophonic path P if x is a vertex of P including the vertices u and v. For two vertices u and v, let J[u,v] denotes the set of all vertices which lie on u - vmonophonic path. For a set M of vertices, let $J[M] = \bigcup_{u,v \in M}$ J[u, v]. The set M is monophonic convex or m-convex if J[M]= M. Clearly if $M = \{v\}$ or M = V, then M is m-convex. The mconvexity number, denoted by $C_m(G)$, is the cardinality of a maximum proper m-convex subset of V. The smallest mconvex set containing M is denoted by $J_h(M)$ and called the monophonic convex hull or m-convex hull of M. Since the intersection of two m-convex set is m-convex, the m-convex hull is well defined. Note that $M \subseteq J[M] \subseteq J_h(M) \subseteq V$. A subset $M \subseteq V$ is called a *monophonic set* if J[M] = V and a *m*hull set if $J_h(M) = V$. The monophonic number m(G) of G is the minimum order of its monophonic sets and any monophonic set of order m(G) is a minimum monophonic set or simply a m- set of G. Similarly, the monophonic hull number mh(G) of G is the minimum order of its m-hull sets and any *m*-hull set of order mh(G) is a minimum monophonic set or simply a mh- set of G. The monophonic number of a graph is studied in [5,7,11,13] and the monophonic hull number of a graph is studied in [12,13]. A vertex v of G is said to be a *monophonic vertex* of a graph G if v belongs to every minimum monophonic set of G. A vertex v is an extreme vertex of a graph G if the sub graph induced by its

neighbors is complete. Throughout the following G denotes a connected graph with at least two vertices.

The following theorem is used in sequel.

Theorem 1.1.[12] Let *G* be a connected graph. Then each extreme vertex of *G* belongs to every monophonic hull set of *G*. mh(G) = p if and only if $G = K_p$.

II. THE FORCING MONOPHONIC HULL NUMBER OF A GRAPH

Definition 2.1. Let *G* be a connected graph and *M* a minimum monophonic hull set of *G*. A subset $T \subseteq M$ is called a *forcing subset* for *M* if *M* is the unique minimum monophonic hull set containing *T*. A forcing subset of *M* of minimum cardinality is a *minimum forcing subset* of *M*. The *forcing monophonic hull number* of *M*, denoted by $f_{mh}(M)$, is the cardinality of a minimum forcing subset of *M*. The *forcing monophonic hull number* of *G*, denoted by $f_{mh}(G)$, is $f_{mh}(G) = \min\{f_{mh}(M)\}$, where the minimum is taken over all minimum monophonic hull sets *M* in *G*.

Example 2.2. For the graph *G* given in Figure 2.1, $M = \{v_1, v_8\}$ is the unique minimum monophonic hull set of *G* so that mh(G) = 2 and $f_{mh}(G) = 0$. Also $S_1 = \{v_1, v_5, v_8\}$ and $S_1 = \{v_1, v_5, v_8\}$ are the only two *h*-sets of *G* such that $f_h(S_1)=1$, $f_h(S_2)=1$ so that $f_h(G) = 1$. For the graph *G* given in Figure 2.2, $M_1 = \{v_1, v_4\}, M_2 = \{v_1, v_6\}, M_3 = \{v_1, v_7\}$ and $M_4 = \{v_1, v_8\}$ are the only four *mh*-sets of *G* such that $f_{mh}(M_1) = 1, f_{mh}(M_2) = 1, f_{mh}(M_3) = 1$ and $f_{mh}(M_4) = 1$ so that $f_{mh}(G) = 1$. Also, $S = \{v_1, v_7\}$ is the unique minimum hull set of *G* so that h(G) = 2 and $f_h(G) = 0$.



The next theorem follows immediately from the definitions of the monophonic hull number of a connected graph G.

Theorem 2.3. For every connected graph G, $0 \le f_{mh}(G) \le mh(G)$.

The following theorems characterizes graphs for which the bounds in Theorem 2.3 are attained and also graphs for which $f_{mh}(G) = 1$.

Theorem 2.4. Let *G* be a connected graph. Then

 $f_{mh}(G) = 0$ if and only if G has a unique mh-set.

 $f_{mh}(G) = 1$ if and only if G has at least two *mh*-sets, one of which is a unique *mh*-set containing one of its elements, and

 $f_{mh}(G) = mh(G)$ if and only if no *mh*-set of *G* is the unique *mh*-set containing any of its proper subsets.

Proof. (a) Let $f_{mh}(G) = 0$. Then, by definition, $f_{mh}(S) = 0$ for some minimum monophonic hull set *S* of *G* so that the empty set ϕ is the minimum forcing subset for *S*. Since the empty set ϕ is a subset of every set, it follows that *S* is the unique minimum monophonic hull set of *G*. The converse is clear.

(b) Let $f_{mh}(G) = 1$. Then by Theorem 2.4(a), *G* has at least two minimum monophonic hull sets. Also, since $f_{mh}(G) = 1$, there is a singleton subset *T* of a minimum monophonic hull set *S* of *G* such that *T* is not a subset of any other minimum monophonic hull set of *G*. Thus *S* is the unique minimum monophonic hull set containing one of its elements. The converse is clear.

(c) Let $f_{mh}(G) = m(G)$. Then $f_{mh}(S) = mh(G)$ for every minimum monophonic hull set *S* in *G*. Also, by Theorem 2.3, $mh(G) \ge 2$ and hence $f_{mh}(G) \ge 2$. Then by Theorem 2.4(a), *G* has at least two minimum monophonic hull sets and so the empty set ϕ is not a forcing subset for any minimum monophonic hull set of *G*. Since $f_{mh}(S) = mh(G)$, no proper subset of *S* is a forcing subset of *S*. Thus no minimum monophonic hull set of *G* is the unique minimum monophonic hull set of *G* contains more than one minimum monophonic hull set and no subset of any minimum monophonic hull set *S* other than *S* is a forcing subset for *S*. Hence it follows that $f_{mh}(G) = mh(G)$.

Definition 2.5. A vertex v of a graph G is said to be a *monophonic hull vertex* if v belongs to every *mh*-set of G.

Theorem 2.6. Let *G* be a connected graph and let \mathfrak{F} be the set of relative complements of the minimum forcing subsets in their respective minimum monophonic hull sets in *G*. Then $\bigcap_{F \in \mathfrak{T}} F$ is the set of monophonic hull vertices of *G*. **Proof.** Let *W* be the set of all monophonic hull vertices of *G*. We are to show that $W = \bigcap_{F \in \mathfrak{T}} F$. Let $v \in W$. Then *v* is a monophonic hull vertex of *G* that belongs to every minimum monophonic hull set *S* of *G*. Let $T \subseteq S$ be any minimum forcing subset for any minimum monophonic hull set *S* of *G*. We claim that $v \notin T$. If $v \in T$, then $T' = T - \{v\}$ is a proper subset of *T* such that *S* is the unique minimum monophonic hull set containing *T'* so that *T'* is a forcing subset for *S* with |T'| < |T|, which is a contradiction to *T* is a minimum forcing subset for *S*. Thus $v \notin T$ and so $v \in F$, where *F* is the relative complement of T in S. Hence $v \in \bigcap_{F \in \mathfrak{I}} F$ so that $W \subseteq \bigcap_{F \in \mathfrak{I}} F$

 $\bigcap_{F\in\mathfrak{I}}F.$

Conversely, let $v \in \bigcap_{F \in \mathfrak{I}} F$. Then v belongs to the relative complement of T in S for every T and every S such that $T \subseteq S$, where T is a minimum forcing subset for S. Since F is the relative complement of T in S, we have $F \subseteq S$ and thus $v \in S$ for every S, which implies that v is a monophonic hull vertex of G. Thus $v \in W$ and so $\bigcap_{F \in \mathfrak{I}} F \subseteq W$. Hence

$$W = \bigcap_{F \in \mathfrak{I}} F .$$

Corollary 2.7. Let G be a connected graph and S a minimum monophonic hull set of G. Then no monophonic hull vertex of G belongs to any minimum forcing set of S.

Proof. The proof is contained in the proof of the first part of Theorem 2.6.

Theorem 2.8. Let *G* be a connected graph and *S* be the set of all monophonic hull vertices of *G*. Then $f_{mh}(G) \le mh(G) - |S|$.

Proof. Let *M* be any *mh*-set of *G*. Then mh(G) = |M|, *S* \subseteq *M* and *M* is the unique *mh*-set containing *M* - *S*. Thus $f_{mh}(G) \leq |M - S| = |M| - |S| = mh(G) - |S|$.

Corollary 2.9. If *G* is a connected graph with *k* extreme vertices, then $f_{mh}(G) \le mh(G) - k$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 1.1(a) and Theorem 2.8.

Theorem 2.10. For any complete graph $G = K_p (p \ge 2)$ or any non-trivial tree G = T, $f_{mh}(G) = 0$.

Proof. For $G = K_p$, it follows from Theorem 1.1(a) that the set of all vertices of *G* is the unique monophonic hull set. Hence it follows from Theorem 2.4(*a*) that $f_{mh}(G) = 0$. For any non-trivial tree *G*, the monophonic hull number mh(G) equals the number of end vertices in *G*. In fact, the set of all end vertices of *G* is the unique *mh*- set of *G* and so $f_{mh}(G) = 0$ by Theorem 2.4(a).

Theorem 2.11. For a complete bi-partite graph $G = K_{m,n}(2 \le m \le n)$, $S = \{u, v\}$ is a minimum monophonic hull set of *G* if and only if *u* and *v* are independent.

Proof. Let $S = \{u, v\}$, be a minimum monophonic hull set of *G*. Suppose that *u* and *v* are adjacent. Then *uv* is a chord for the path *u*-*v* and so $\{u, v\}$ is not a monophonic hull set of *G*, which is a contradiction. Conversely, let $S = \{u, v\}$, where *u* and *v* are independent. It is clear that *S* is a monophonic hull set of *G*. Since |S| = 2, *S* is a minimum monophonic hull set of *G*.

Theorem 2.12. For a complete bipartite graph $G = K_{m,n}$, (0; $m = 1, n \ge 2$

 $f_{mh}(G) = \begin{cases} 0 ; m = 1, n \ge 2\\ 1 ; m = 2, n \ge 2\\ 2 ; 3 \le m \le n \end{cases}$

Proof. If m = 1, $n \ge 2$, the result follows from Theorem 2.10. For $m = 2, n \ge 2$, let $U = \{u_1, u_2\}$ and $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be the bipartite sets of G. Then $S = \{u_1, u_2\}$ is a *mh*-set of G. It is clear that S is the only *mh*-set containing u_1 so that $f_{mh}(G) = 1$. For $3 \le m \le n$, let $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\}$ and

 $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be the bipartite sets of *G*. By Theorem 2.11, mh(G) = 2 and by Theorem 2.3, $0 \le f_{mh}(G) \le 2$. Suppose $0 \le f_{mh}(G) \le 1$. Since mh(G) = 2 and the *mh*-set of *G* is not unique, by Theorem 2.4 (b), $f_{mh}(G) = 1$. Let $S = \{u, v\}$ be a *mh*-set of *G*. Let us assume that $f_{mh}(S) = 1$. By Theorem 2.4 (b), *S* is the only *mh*-set containing *u* or *v*. Let us assume that *S* is the only *mh*-set containing *u*. Then m = 2, which is a contradiction to $m \ge 3$. Therefore $f_{mh}(G) = 2$.

Theorem 2.13. For any cycle $G = C_p (p \ge 4)$, $S = \{u, v\}$ is a minimum monophonic hull set of *G* if and only if *u* and *v* are independent.

Proof. Let $S = \{u, v\}$, be a minimum monophonic hull set of *G*. Suppose that *u* and *v* are adjacent. Then *uv* is a chord for the path *u*-*v* and so $\{u, v\}$ is not a monophonic hull set of *G*, which is a contradiction. Conversely, let $S = \{u, v\}$, where *u* and *v* are independent. It is clear that *S* is a monophonic hull set of *G*. Since |S| = 2, *S* is a minimum monophonic hull set of *G*.

Theorem 2.14. For any cycle $G = C_p (p \ge 5), f_{mh}(G) = 2$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.13, mh(G) = 2 and by Theorem 2.3, $0 \le f_{mh}(G) \le 2$. Suppose $0 \le f_{mh}(G) \le 1$. Since mh(G) = 2 and the *mh*-set of *G* is not unique by Theorem 2.4 (b), $f_{mh}(G) = 1$. Let $S = \{u, v\}$, be a *mh*-set of *G*. Let us assume that $f_{mh}(S) = 1$. By Theorem 2.4 (b), *S* is the only *mh*-set containing *u* or *v*. Let us assume that *S* is the only *mh*-set containing *u*. By Theorem 2.13, *u* is adjacent to more than two vertices of *G*, which is a contradiction to *G* is a cycle. Therefore $f_{mh}(G) = 2$.

In view of Theorem 2.3, we have the following realization result.

Theorem 2.15. For every pair *a*, *b* of integers with $0 \le a \le b$ and $b \ge 2$, there exists a connected graph *G* such that $f_{mh}(G) = a$ and mh(G) = b.

Proof. If a = 0, let $G = K_b$. Then by Theorems1.1(b), mh(G) = b and by Theorem 2.10, $f_{mh}(G) = 0$. For $a \ge 1$, let Q_i : $u_i, v_i, x_i, y_i, w_i, u_i$ $(1 \le i \le a)$ be a copy of cycle C_5 . Let H be the graph obtained from Q_i by adding new vertex x and joining the edges and the edges xv_i , xw_i $(1 \le i \le a)$. Let G be the graph given in Figure 2.3 is obtained from H by adding new vertices $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{b-a}$ and joining the edges xz_i $(1 \le i \le b-a)$. Let Z = $\{z_1, z_2, ..., z_{b-a}\}$ be the set of end vertices of G. By Theorem 1.2(a), Z is a subset of every monophonic hull set of G. For $1 \le i \le a$, let $F_i = \{u_i, x_i, y_i\}$. We observe that every *mh*-set of G must contain at least one vertex from each F_i so that $mh(G) \ge$ b - a + a = b. Now $M_1 = Z \cup \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_a\}$ is a monophonic hull set of *G* so that $mh(G) \le b - a + a = b$. Thus mh(G) = b. Next we show that $f_{mh}(G) = a$. Since every *mh*-set contains Z, it follows from Theorem 2.8 that $f_{mh}(G) \leq mh(G)$ -|Z|=b-(b-a)=a. Now, since mh(G)=b and every mh-set of G contains Z, it is easily seen that every mh-set M is of the form $Z \cup \{d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots, d_a\}$, where $d_i \in F_i (1 \le i \le a)$. Let T be any proper subset of M with |T| < a. Then it is clear that there exists some *j* such that $T \cap F_j = \Phi$, which shows that $f_{mh}(G) =$ a.



REFERENCES

- [1] F. Buckley and F. Harary, *Distance in Graphs*, Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, CA, 1990.
- [2] G. Chartrand and Ping Zhang, Convex sets in graphs, *Congressess Numerantium* 136(1999), pp.19-32.

- [3] G. Chartrand and P. Zhang, The forcing hull number of a graph, J. Combin Math. Comput. 36(2001), 81-94.
- [4] G. Chartrand, F. Harary and P. Zhang, On the geodetic number of a graph, *Networks*, (2002) 1-6.
- [5] Carmen Hernando, Tao Jiang, Merce Mora, Ignacio. M. Pelayo and Carlos Seara, On the Steiner, geodetic and hull number of graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 293 (2005) 139 - 154.
- [6] M. G. Evertt, S. B. Seidman, The hull number of a graph, *Mathematics*, 57 (19850 217-223.
- [7] Esamel M. paluga, Sergio R. Canoy, Jr, Monophonic numbers of the join and Composition of connected graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 307 (2007) 1146 - 1154.
- [8] M. Faber, R.E. Jamison, convexity in graphs and hypergraphs, SIAM Journal Algebraic Discrete Methods 7(1986) 433-444.
- [9] F. Harary, Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley, 1969.
- [10] F. Harary, E. Loukakis and C. Tsouros, The geodetic number of a graph, *Math. Comput Modeling* 17(11)(1993) 89-95.
- [11] J.John and S.Panchali, The Upper Monophonic number of a graph, International J.Math.Combin 4(2010),46-52.
- [12] J.John and V. Mary Gleeta, Monophonic hull sets in graphs (submitted).
- [13] Mitre C. Dourado, Fabio protti and Jayme. L. Szwarcfiter, Algorithmic Aspects of Monophonic Convexity, Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics 30(2008) 177-182.
- [14] L-D. Tong, The forcing hull and forcing geodetic numbers of graphs Discrete Applied Math.157(2009)1159-1163.