

# Additive-Quartic Functional Equations are Stable in Quasi-Banach Spaces

R.Kodandan<sup>#1</sup>, R.Bhuvana Vijaya<sup>\*2</sup>

<sup>#1</sup>Assistant Professor in Science and Humanities Department,  
Sreenivasa Institute of Technology and Management Studies,  
Chittoor – 517127, Andhra Pradesh India.

<sup>#2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University,  
Anantapuramu - 515002, Andhra Pradesh India.

**Abstract** - In this paper, the authors established the solution and generalized Ulam - Hyers stability of the additive-quartic functional equation

$$f\left[2x_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n x_i\right] + f\left[2x_1 - \sum_{i=2}^n x_i\right] = 4\left[f\left(2x_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n x_i\right) + f\left(2x_1 - \sum_{i=2}^n x_i\right)\right] - 3\left[f\left(\sum_{i=2}^n x_i\right) + f\left(-\sum_{i=2}^n x_i\right)\right] + 10f(x_1) + 14f(-x_1)$$

in Quasi Banach spaces .

**Keywords** — additive-quartic mixed functional equation, Myers- Ulam stability, Quasi Banach spaces, p-Banach space.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B52, 32B72, 32B82.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The study of perturbation problems for functional equations is related to a famous question of S.M. Ulam [28] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms. It was affirmatively answered by Hyers [12] for Banach spaces. It was further generalized and interesting results obtained by number of mathematicians ([2], [8], [22], [23], [25]). For more detailed information about such problems one can see ([2]-[5], [7], [9], [13]-[21]).

In this paper, the authors established the solution and generalized Ulam - Hyers stability of the additive-quartic functional equation of the form

$$f\left[2x_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n x_i\right] + f\left[2x_1 - \sum_{i=2}^n x_i\right] = 4\left[f\left(2x_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n x_i\right) + f\left(2x_1 - \sum_{i=2}^n x_i\right)\right] - 3\left[f\left(\sum_{i=2}^n x_i\right) + f\left(-\sum_{i=2}^n x_i\right)\right] + 10f(x_1) + 14f(-x_1) \quad (1.1)$$

in Quasi Banach spaces using direct method.

## 2. GENERAL SOLUTION OF (1.1)

In this section, we present the solution of the functional equation (1.1). Through out this section let  $X$  and  $Y$  be real vector spaces.

**Theorem 2.1** An odd function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional equation (1.1) then  $f$  is additive.

*Proof.* Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional equation (1.1). Letting  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  by  $0, 0, \dots, 0$  in (1.1), we get  $f(0) = 0$ . Replacing  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  by  $x, 0, \dots, 0$  and  $x, x, \dots, x$  in (1.1) respectively, and using oddness of  $f$ , we obtain  $f(2x) = 2f(x)$ ,  $f(3x) = 3f(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ . Replacing  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  by  $x, y, 0, \dots, 0$  and using oddness in (1.1), we get

$$f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) = 4f(x+y) + f(x-y) + 4f(x) \quad (2.1)$$

Letting  $x+y, x-y$  by  $u, v$  in (2.1), we obtain

$$f(x+u) + f(x+v) = 4f(u) + f(v) - 4f(x) \quad (2.2)$$

Replacing  $u, v$  by  $y, y$  in (2.2), we obtain

$$2f(x+y) = 8f(y) - 4f(x) \quad (2.3)$$

Intrechanging  $x$  and  $y$ , we get

$$2f(x+y) = 8f(x) - 4f(y) \quad (2.4)$$

Adding (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

Hence the equation (1.1) is additive.

**Lemma 2.2** An even function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional equation (1.1) then  $f$  is quartic.

*Proof.* Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional equation (1.1). Using evenness of  $f$  and replacing  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  and  $x, y, 0, \dots, 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) \\ = 4 f(x + y) + f(x - y) + 12[f(x) + f(-x)] \\ - 3[f(y) + f(-y)] - 2[f(x) - f(-x)]. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $f$  is quartic [16].

### 3. STABILITY RESULTS OF (1.1): DIRECT METHOD

Throughout this section, let us consider  $E_1$  is a Quasi-Banach space with quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_{E_1}$  and  $E_2$  is a  $p$ - Banach space with  $p$ - norm.  $\|\cdot\|_{E_2}$ . Let  $K$  be the modulus of concavity of  $\|\cdot\|_{E_2}$ . Define a mapping  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  by

$$\begin{aligned} Df_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n} &= f\left[2x_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n x_i\right] + f\left[2x_1 - \sum_{i=2}^n x_i\right] \\ &- 4\left[f\left(2x_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n x_i\right) + f\left(2x_1 - \sum_{i=2}^n x_i\right)\right] \\ &+ 3\left[f\left(\sum_{i=2}^n x_i\right) + f\left(-\sum_{i=2}^n x_i\right)\right] - 10f(x_1) - 14f(-x_1) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for all  $x_i \in E_1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and we state the following Lemma 3.1 [15] without proof, it will be useful in proving our theorems.

**Lemma 3.1**

Let  $0 < p \leq 1$  and let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be non negative real numbers then

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^p \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^p\right). \quad (3.2)$$

**Theorem 3.2**

Let  $\phi : \underbrace{E_1 \times E_1 \times \dots \times E_1}_{n \text{ times}} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a

function such that for all  $x_i \in E_1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (16)^n \phi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}, \frac{x_2}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^n}\right) = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

And

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (16)^{ip} \phi^p\left(\frac{x_1}{2^i}, \frac{x_2}{2^i}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^i}\right) < \infty \quad (3.4)$$

for all  $x_i \in E_1$  and for all

$x_1, x_2 \in \{0, x\}, x_i \in 0$ , where  $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$

Suppose that an even function  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  with  $f(0) = 0$  satisfies the inequality

$$\|Df(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\|_{E_2} \leq \phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \quad \forall x_i \in E_1 \quad (3.5)$$

Then the limit

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 16^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \quad (3.6)$$

exists for all  $x \in E_1$  and  $Q : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  is a unique quartic function satisfying

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\|_{E_2} \leq \frac{k}{16} \psi_e(x)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall x \in E_1 \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\psi_e(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(16)^{ip}}{2^p} \left\{ \phi^p\left(\frac{x}{2^i}, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right) + \phi^p\left(0, \frac{x}{2^i}, 0, \dots, 0\right) \right\}$$

for all  $x \in E_1$ .

**Proof.**

Using evenness of  $f$  and replacing  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  and  $x, y, 0, \dots, 0$  in (3.5), we get

$$\left\| \begin{aligned} f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) - \\ 4 f(x + y) + f(x - y) \\ - 24f(x) + 6f(y) \end{aligned} \right\|_{E_2} \leq \phi(x, y, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (3.8)$$

for all  $x, y \in E_1$  Replacing  $x, y$  by  $y, x$  in (3.8) and using evenness, we have obtain

$$(3.2)$$

$$\left\| \begin{matrix} f(x+2y) + f(x-2y) - \\ 4 f(x+y) + f(x-y) \\ -24f(y) + 6f(x) \end{matrix} \right\|_{E_2} \leq \phi(y, x, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (3.9)$$

for all  $x, y \in E_1$ , from (3.8) and (3.9) and replacing  $y$  by  $0$ , we have

$$\left\| f(2x) - 16f(x) \right\|_{E_2} \leq \frac{k}{2} \left[ \begin{matrix} \phi(x, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \\ + \phi(0, x, 0, \dots, 0) \end{matrix} \right], \quad \forall x \in E_1. \quad (3.10)$$

which can be written as

$$\left\| f(2x) - 16f(x) \right\|_{E_2} \leq \frac{k}{2} \psi_e(x), \quad \forall x \in E_1,$$

and

$$\psi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \begin{matrix} \phi(0, x, 0, \dots, 0) \\ + \phi(0, x, 0, \dots, 0) \end{matrix} \right], \quad \forall x \in E_1, \quad (3.11)$$

in equation (3.10), replace  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}$  and multiplying both sides by  $(16)^n$ , we have

$$\left\| (16)^{n+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) - (16)^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_{E_2} \leq k(16)^n \psi_e\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right), \quad \forall x \in E_1, \quad (3.12)$$

for all non-negative integers  $n$ , since  $x \in E_2$  is a  $p$ -Banach space and using (3.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (16)^{n+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) - (16)^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_{E_2}^p \\ & \leq \sum_{i=m}^n \left\| (16)^{i+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right) - (16)^i f\left(\frac{x}{2^i}\right) \right\|_{E_2}^p \\ & \leq k^p \sum_{i=m}^n (16)^{ip} \psi_e^p\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

for all non-negative integers  $n$  and  $m$  with  $n \geq m$  and all  $x \in E_1$ . Now  $0 < p \leq 1$  and with the help of Lemma 3.1, the equation (3.11) can be written as

$$\psi^p(x) = \frac{1}{2^p} \left[ \begin{matrix} \phi^p(x, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \\ + \phi^p(0, x, 0, \dots, 0) \end{matrix} \right], \quad \forall x \in E_1. \quad (3.14)$$

Therefore it follows from (3.4) and (3.14) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (16)^{ip} \psi^p\left(\frac{x}{2^i}\right) \leq \infty \quad (3.15)$$

$x \in E_1$ . Therefore, we conclude from (3.13) and (3.15) that the sequence

$\left\{ (16)^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\}$  is a Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in E_1$ , since  $E_2$  is complete, the sequence  $\left\{ (16)^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\}$  converges for all  $x \in E_1$ . Now

we define the mapping by  $Q: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  by (3.10) (3.6) for all  $x \in E_1$ . Allowing  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f(x) - Q(x) \right\|_{E_2}^p & \leq k^p \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (16)^{ip} \psi^p\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right) \\ & = \frac{k^p}{(16)^p} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \psi^p\left(\frac{x}{2^i}\right), \quad \forall x \in E_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Use (3.11) in the equation (3.16), we arrive the result (3.7). Now, we show that  $Q$  is a quartic it follows from (3.3), (3.5) and (3.6),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| DQ(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \right\|_{E_2} \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (16)^n \left\| Df\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}, \frac{x_2}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^n}\right) \right\|_{E_2} \\ & \leq (16)^n \phi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}, \frac{x_2}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^n}\right), \quad \forall x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in E_1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the mapping  $Q: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies (1.5). Since  $Q(x) = 0$ , then by Lemma 2.1, we obtain that the mapping  $Q: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  is quartic. To prove the uniqueness of  $Q$ , let  $Q': E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  be another quartic mapping satisfying (3.7). Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (16)^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (16)^{ip} \phi^p\left(\frac{x_1}{2^{n+i}}, \frac{x_2}{2^{n+i}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^{n+i}}\right) \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (16)^{ip} \phi^p\left(\frac{x_1}{2^i}, \frac{x_2}{2^i}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^i}\right) = 0, \quad \forall x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in E_1, \end{aligned}$$

and for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \{0, x\}, x_i \in \{0\}$  where  $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$  then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (16)^{np} \psi_e \left( \frac{x}{2^n} \right) = 0, \quad \forall x \in E_1. \quad (3.17)$$

It follows from (3.7) and (3.17),

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q(x) - T x\|_{E_2}^p &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (16)^n \left\| f \left( \frac{x}{2^n} \right) - T \left( \frac{x}{2^n} \right) \right\|_{E_2}^p \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (16)^{np} \psi_e \left( \frac{x}{2^n} \right) = 0, \quad \forall x \in E_1, \end{aligned}$$

so  $Q = T$ .

**Theorem 3.3**

Let  $\phi : E_1 \times E_1 \times \dots \times E_1 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that for all  $x_i \in E_1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(16)^n} \phi(2^n x_1, 2^n x_2, \dots, 2^n x_n) &= 0, \\ \forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in E_1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

And

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(16)^{ip}} \phi^p(2^i x_1, 2^i x_2, \dots, 2^i x_n) < \infty \quad (3.19)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \{0, x\}, x_i \in \{0\}$ , where  $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$ . Suppose that an even function  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  with  $f(0) = 0$  satisfies the inequality (3.5) for all  $x_i \in E_1$ . Then the limit

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(16)^n} f(2^n x), \quad (3.20)$$

exists for all  $x \in E_1$  and  $Q : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  is a unique quartic function satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - Q(x)\|_{E_2} &\leq \frac{k}{16} \psi_e(x)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall x \in E_1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

where

$$\psi_e = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^p (16)^{ip}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi^p(2^i x, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \\ + \phi^p(0, 2^i x, 0, \dots, 0) \end{array} \right\}$$

for all  $x \in E_1$ .

**Proof.**

If we replacing  $x$  by  $2^n x$  in (3.10) and dividing by  $(16)^{n+1}$  on both sides of (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{(16)^{n+1}} f(2^{n+1} x) - \frac{1}{(16)^n} f(2^n x) \right\|_{E_2} & \quad (3.17) \\ &\leq \frac{k}{(16)^{n+1}} \psi(2^n x) \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

for all  $x \in E_1$  and for all non-negative integers  $n$ . Since  $E_2$  is a  $p$ -Banach space, using (3.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{(16)^{n+1}} f(2^{n+1} x) - \frac{1}{(16)^m} f(2^m x) \right\|_{E_2}^p & \\ &\leq \sum_{i=m}^n \left\| \frac{1}{(16)^{i+1}} f(2^{i+1} x) - \frac{1}{(16)^i} f(2^i x) \right\|_{E_2}^p \\ &\leq \frac{k^p}{(16)^p} \sum_{i=m}^n \frac{1}{(16)^{ip}} \psi^p(2^{i+1} x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

for all non-negative integers  $n$  and  $m$  with  $n \geq m$  and all  $x \in E_1$ . Since

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(3.19)}{(16)^{ip}} \psi^p(2^i x) < \infty, \quad \forall x \in E_1,$$

then (3.23) implies that the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{1}{(16)^n} f(2^n x) \right\}$  is a Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in E_1$ , since  $E_2$  is complete, the

sequence  $\left\{ \frac{1}{(16)^n} f(2^n x) \right\}$  converges for all

$x \in E_1$ . Now we define the mapping by  $Q : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  (3.20) by for all  $x \in E_1$ . Letting  $m=0$  and  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.23), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - Q(x)\|_{E_2}^p & \\ &\leq \frac{k^p}{(16)^p} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(16)^{ip}} \psi^p(2^i x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

$$\forall x \in E_1.$$

Use (3.11) in the equation (3.24), we arrive the result (3.21). Now using (3.24), (3.22) in the equation (3.4), we obtain

$$\|DQ(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\|_{E_2} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(16)^n} \phi(2^n x_1, 2^n x_2, \dots, 2^n x_n),$$

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in E_1.$$

Therefore the mapping  $Q: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies (1.5). Since  $Q(x) = 0$  then by Lemma 2.1, we obtain that the mapping  $Q$  is Quartic. Uniqueness is proved in similar manner, as in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $\lambda, r$  be non negative real numbers such that  $r < 4$ , suppose that an even function  $f: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  which satisfies the inequality

$$\|Df(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\|_{E_2} \leq \lambda \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|_{E_1}^r \right], \forall x_i \in E_1.$$

Then there exists a unique quartic function  $Q: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\|_{E_2} \leq \frac{k}{32} \left[ \frac{1}{|1 - 2^{(r-4)p}|} \|x\|_{E_1}^{rp} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \forall x \in E_1$$

The proof of the following theorem is similar to that of Theorem 3.1 for  $f$  is even. Hence the details of the proof are omitted.

**Theorem 3.5**

Let  $\phi: E_1 \times E_1 \times \dots \times E_1 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that for all  $x_i \in E_1$  where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \phi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}, \frac{x_2}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^n}\right) = 0$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{ip} \phi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^i}, \frac{x_2}{2^i}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^i}\right) < \infty, \quad \forall x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in E_1$$

and for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \{0, x\}$  and  $x_i \in \{0\}$  where  $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$

Suppose that an odd function  $f: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies the inequality (3.5).

Then the limit

$$A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

exists for all  $x \in E_1$  and  $A: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  is a unique additive function satisfying

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\|_{E_2} \leq \frac{k}{2} \phi_o(x)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \forall x \in E_1$$

where

$$\phi_o(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{i-1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi\left(\frac{x}{2^i}, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right) \\ + \phi\left(0, \frac{x}{2^i}, 0, \dots, 0\right) \end{array} \right\}$$

for all  $x \in E_1$ .

**Proof.**

The proof of the following theorem is similar to that of Theorem 3.1 for  $f$  is odd.

Hence the details of the proof are omitted.

**Theorem 3.6**

Let  $\phi: E_1 \times E_1 \times \dots \times E_1 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \phi(2^n x_1, 2^n x_2, \dots, 2^n x_n) = 0, \quad \forall x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in E_1,$$

$$\text{and } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{ip}} \phi^p(2^i x_1, 2^i x_2, \dots, 2^i x_n) < \infty$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \{0, x\}, x_i \in \{0\}$ ,

where  $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$ . Suppose that an odd function  $f: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  with  $f(0) = 0$  satisfies the inequality (3.5)

$$\text{Then the limit } A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$$

exists for all  $x \in E_1$  and  $A: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  is a unique additive function satisfying

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\|_{E_2} \leq \frac{k}{2} \phi_o(x)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \forall x \in E_1$$

where

$$\phi_o = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i+1} p} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi^p(2^i x, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \\ + \phi^p(0, 2^i x, 0, \dots, 0) \end{array} \right\}$$

for all  $x \in E_1$ .

**Proof.**

The proof of the following theorem is similar to that of Theorem 3.2 for  $f$  is odd

**Corollary 3.7.** Let  $\lambda$  be non negative real number and be  $r$  real number such that

$r < 1$ , suppose that an odd function  $f: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  which satisfies the inequality

(3.21) Then there exists a unique additive function  $A: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\|_{E_2} \leq \frac{k}{4} \left[ \frac{1}{|1 - 2^{(4-r)p}|} \|x\|_{E_1}^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall x \in E_1$$

The proof of the following theorem is similar to that of Theorem 3.7 for  $f$  is odd. Hence the details of the proof are omitted.

**Theorem 3.8.**

Let  $\phi : E_1 \times E_1 \times \dots \times E_1 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function satisfies (3.3) and (3.4) for all  $x_i \in E_1$ , and for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \{0, x\}, x_i \in \{0\}$  where  $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$ . Suppose that a function  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies the inequality (3.5) with  $f(0) = 0$  for all  $x \in E_1$ , then there exists a unique quartic function  $Q : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  and a unique additive function  $A : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies (1.5) and

$$\|f(x) - Q(x) - A(x)\|_{E_2} \leq \frac{k^3}{32} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \psi_e(x) + \psi_e(-x)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ + 8 \varphi_o(x) + \varphi_o(-x)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{array} \right\}, \quad \forall x \in E_1$$

Where  $\psi_e(x)$  and  $\varphi_o(x)$  are already given.

**Proof.**

The proof of this Theorem follows from Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.5 and so the proof is omitted here.

**Theorem 3.9.**

Let  $\phi : E_1 \times E_1 \times \dots \times E_1 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function satisfies (3.36) and (3.37) for all  $x_i \in E_1$ , and for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \{0, x\}, x_i \in \{0\}$  where  $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$ . Suppose that a function  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies the inequality (3.5) with  $f(0) = 0$  for all  $x \in E_1$ , then there exists a unique

quartic function  $Q : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  and a unique additive function  $A : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies (1.5) and

$$\|f(x) - Q(x) - A(x)\|_{E_2} \leq \frac{k^3}{32} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \psi_e(x) + \psi_e(-x)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ + 8 \varphi_o(x) + \varphi_o(-x)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{array} \right\}, \quad \forall x \in E_1$$

Where  $\psi_e(x)$  and  $\varphi_o(x)$  are already defined, for all  $x \in E_1$ .

**Proof.**

The proof of this Theorem follows from Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.7 and it is very similar to the Theorem 3.8 and so the proof is omitted here.

**Corollary 3.10.** Let  $\lambda$  be non negative real number and be  $r$  real number such that  $r < 4$ , suppose that an function

$f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  which satisfies the inequality (3.21) then there exists a unique quartic function

$Q : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  and a unique additive function  $A : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies (1.5) then

$$\|f(x) - Q(x) - A(x)\|_{E_2} \tag{3.51}$$

$$\leq \frac{k}{2^{p+1}} \left[ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{8|1 - 2^{(r-4)p}|} \\ + \frac{1}{|1 - 2^{(r-1)p}|} \end{array} \right\} \|x\|_{E_1}^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall x \in E_1$$

REFERENCES

- [1] J.Aczel and Dhombres J., Functional Equations in Several Variables, Cambridge Univ, Press, 1989.
- [2] T.Aoki, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 2 (1950), 64-66.
- [3] M.Arunkumar.,J.M.Rassias., On the generalized Ulam-Hyers stability of an AQ-mixed type functional equation with counter examples, Far East Journal of Applied Mathematics, Volume 71, No. 2, (2012), 279-305.
- [4] M. Arunkumar.,P.Agilan., Additive Quadratic functional equation are stable in Banach space: A Fixed Point Approach, International Journal of pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 86 No.6, 951-963, (2013).
- [5] M.Arunkumar., P.Agilan., Additive Quadratic functional equation are stable in Banach space: A Direct Method, Far

- East Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Volume 80, No. 1, (2013), 105 – 121.
- [6] S. S. Chang, Y. J. Cho, and S. M. Kang, *Nonlinear Operator Theory in Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, Nova Science Publishers, Huntington, NY, USA, 2001.
- [7] Czerwik S., *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [8] P.Gavruta ., A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 184 (1994), 431-436.
- [9] M. Eshaghi Gordji., N.Ghobadipour ., J.M. Rassias ., Fuzzy stability of additive quadratic functional Equations, arXiv:0903.0842v1 [math.FA] 4 Mar 2009.
- [10] O.Hadzic ., *Fixed Point Theory in Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, vol. 536 of *Mathematics and its Applications*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2001.
- [11] O.Pap E Pap . and Budincevic M., Countable extension of triangular norms and their applications to the fixed point theory in probabilistic metric spaces, *Kybernetika*, vol. 38, no. 3, (2002) 363-382.
- [12] D.H.Hyers., On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad.Sci.,U.S.A.*, 27, (1941), 222-224.
- [13] D.H.Hyers ., G. Isac., Th.M.Rassias ., *Stability of unctional equations in several variables*, Birkhauser, Basel, 1998.
- [14] H.M.Jun.. M.Kim., On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a generalized quadratic and additive type functional equation, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* 42(1), (2005), 133-148.
- [15] S.M.Jung., *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, 2001.
- [16] S.H.Lee., S.M.Im ., I.S.Hwang., Quartic functional equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 307, (2005), 387-394.
- [17] P.L.Kannappan., *Functional Equations and Inequalities with Applications*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 2009.
- [18] S.Murthy., M.Arunkumar., G.Ganapathy., P. Rajarethinam ., Stability of mixed type additive quadratic functional equation in Random Normed space, *International Journal of Applied Mathematics* Vol. 26. No. 2 (2013), 123-136.
- [19] A.Najati., M.B.Moghimi ., On the Stability of a quadratic and additive functional equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 337 (2008), 399-415.
- [20] C.Park., Orthogonal Stability of an Additive-Quadratic Functional Equation, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2001 2011:66.
- [21] J.M.Rassias., M Arunkumar ., S.Ramamoorthi., Stability of the Leibniz additive-quadratic functional equation in Quasi-Beta normed space: Direct and fixed point methods, *Journal of Concrete and Applicable Mathematics (JCAAM)*, (Accepted).
- [22] J.M.Rassias., On approximately of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, *J. Funct. Anal. USA*, 46, (1982) 126-130.
- [23] Th.M.Rassias., On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 72 (1978), 297-300.
- [24] Th.M.,Rassias., *Functional Equations, Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Acedamic Publishers, Dordrecht, Bostan London, 2003.
- [25] K.Ravi., M.Arunkumar. and Rassias J. M., On the Ulam stability for the orthogonally general Euler-Lagrange type functional equation, *International Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, Autumn 2008 Vol.3, No. 08, 36-47.
- [26] B. schweizer and A. Sklar, *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, North-Holland Series in Probability and Applied Mathematics, North-Holland Publishing, New York, NY, USA, 1983.
- [27] A.N.Sherstnev ., On the notion of a random normed space, *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, vol. 149, (1963) 280-283, (Russian).
- [28] S.M.Ulam., *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Science Editions, Wiley, New York, 1964.
- [29] G.Zamani Eskandani., Hamid Vaezi, Y.N.Dehghan ., Stability of A Mixed Additive and Quadratic Functional Equation In Non-Archimedean Banach Modules, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 14, No. 4, (2010), 1309-1324.