On some multidimensional integral transforms of multivariable Aleph-functions I

$F.Y. AYANT^1$

1 Teacher in High School, France

ABSTRACT

In this present document, we obtain multidimensional Laplace transforms and Whittaker transforms of Aleph-function of several variables. During the course of finding, we establish several particular cases.

Keywords :Multivariable Aleph-function, multidimensional Laplace transforms, multidimentional Whittaker transforms. Multivariable I-function, Aleph-function of two variables.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 33C99, 33C60, 44A20

1.Introduction and preliminaries.

The function Aleph of several variables generalize the multivariable I-function recently study by C.K. Sharma and Ahmad [5], itself is an a generalisation of G and H-functions of multiple variables. The multiple Mellin-Barnes integral occuring in this paper will be referred to as the multivariables Aleph-function throughout our present study and will be defined and represented as follows.

$$\begin{bmatrix} (c_{j}^{(1)}); \gamma_{j}^{(1)})_{1,n_{1}} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{i^{(1)}}(c_{ji^{(1)}}^{(1)}; \gamma_{ji^{(1)}}^{(1)})_{n_{1}+1,p_{i}^{(1)}} \end{bmatrix}; \cdots; \begin{bmatrix} (c_{j}^{(r)}); \gamma_{j}^{(r)})_{1,n_{r}} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{i^{(r)}}(c_{ji^{(r)}}^{(r)}; \gamma_{ji^{(r)}}^{(r)})_{n_{r}+1,p_{i}^{(r)}} \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (d_{j}^{(1)}); \delta_{j}^{(1)})_{1,m_{1}} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{i^{(1)}}(d_{ji^{(1)}}^{(1)}; \delta_{ji^{(1)}}^{(1)})_{m_{1}+1,q_{i}^{(1)}} \end{bmatrix}; \cdots; \begin{bmatrix} (d_{j}^{(r)}); \delta_{j}^{(r)})_{1,m_{r}} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{i^{(r)}}(d_{ji^{(r)}}^{(r)}; \delta_{ji^{(r)}}^{(r)})_{m_{r}+1,q_{i}^{(r)}} \end{bmatrix} \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

$$=\frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^r}\int_{L_1}\cdots\int_{L_r}\psi(s_1,\cdots,s_r)\prod_{k=1}^r\theta_k(s_k)y_k^{s_k}\,\mathrm{d}s_1\cdots\mathrm{d}s_r\tag{1.1}$$

with $\omega = \sqrt{-1}$

For more details, see Ayant [1].

The reals numbers au_i are positives for $i=1,\cdots,R$, $au_{i^{(k)}}$ are positives for $i^{(k)}=1,\cdots,R^{(k)}$ The condition for absolute convergence of multiple Mellin-Barnes type contour (1.9) can be obtained by extension of the corresponding conditions for multivariable H-function given by as :

$$\begin{aligned} |argz_k| &< \frac{1}{2} A_i^{(k)} \pi , \text{ where} \\ A_i^{(k)} &= \sum_{j=1}^{\mathfrak{n}} \alpha_j^{(k)} - \tau_i \sum_{j=\mathfrak{n}+1}^{p_i} \alpha_{ji}^{(k)} - \tau_i \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} \beta_{ji}^{(k)} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \gamma_j^{(k)} - \tau_{i^{(k)}} \sum_{j=n_k+1}^{p_{i^{(k)}}} \gamma_{ji^{(k)}}^{(k)} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \delta_j^{(k)} - \tau_{i^{(k)}} \sum_{j=m_k+1}^{q_{i^{(k)}}} \delta_{ji^{(k)}}^{(k)} > 0, \text{ with } k = 1 \cdots, r, i = 1, \cdots, R , i^{(k)} = 1, \cdots, R^{(k)} \end{aligned}$$
(1.2)

ISSN: 2231-5373

http://www.ijmttjournal.org

Page 227

1

The complex numbers z_i are not zero. Throughout this document, we assume the existence and absolute convergence conditions of the multivariable Aleph-function.

We may establish the the asymptotic expansion in the following convenient form :

$$\aleph(y_1, \cdots, y_r) = 0(|y_1|^{\alpha_1} \dots |y_r|^{\alpha_r}), max(|y_1| \dots |y_r|) \to 0$$

$$\aleph(y_1, \cdots, y_r) = 0(|y_1|^{\beta_1} \dots |y_r|^{\beta_r}), min(|y_1| \dots |y_r|) \to \infty$$

where, with $k = 1, \cdots, r : \alpha_k = min[Re(d_j^{(k)}/\delta_j^{(k)})], j = 1, \cdots, m_k$ and

$$\beta_k = max[Re((c_j^{(k)} - 1)/\gamma_j^{(k)})], j = 1, \cdots, n_k$$

We will use these following notations in this paper

$$U = p_i, q_i, \tau_i; R ; V = m_1, n_1; \cdots; m_r, n_r$$
(1.3)

$$W = p_{i^{(1)}}, q_{i^{(1)}}, \tau_{i^{(1)}}; R^{(1)}, \cdots, p_{i^{(r)}}, q_{i^{(r)}}, \tau_{i^{(r)}}; R^{(r)}$$
(1.4)

$$A = \{ (a_j; \alpha_j^{(1)}, \cdots, \alpha_j^{(r)})_{1,n} \}, \{ \tau_i(a_{ji}; \alpha_{ji}^{(1)}, \cdots, \alpha_{ji}^{(r)})_{n+1, p_i} \}$$
(1.5)

$$B = \{\tau_i(b_{ji}; \beta_{ji}^{(1)}, \cdots, \beta_{ji}^{(r)})_{m+1, q_i}\}$$
(1.6)

$$C_{1} = \{ (c_{j}^{(1)}; \gamma_{j}^{(1)})_{1,n_{1}} \}, \{ \tau_{i^{(1)}}(c_{ji^{(1)}}^{(1)}; \gamma_{ji^{(1)}}^{(1)})_{n_{1}+1, p_{i^{(1)}}} \}, \cdots,$$

$$(1.7)$$

$$C_{r} = \{ (c_{j}^{(r)}; \gamma_{j}^{(r)})_{1,n_{r}} \}, \{ \tau_{i^{(r)}}(c_{ji^{(r)}}^{(r)}; \gamma_{ji^{(r)}}^{(r)})_{n_{r}+1, p_{i^{(r)}}} \}$$

$$(1.8)$$

 $D = \{(d_j^{(1)}; \delta_j^{(1)})_{1,m_1}\}, \tau_{i^{(1)}}(d_{ji^{(1)}}^{(1)}; \delta_{ji^{(1)}}^{(1)})_{m_1+1,q_{i^{(1)}}}\}, \cdots, \{(d_j^{(r)}; \delta_j^{(r)})_{1,m_r}\}, \tau_{i^{(r)}}(d_{ji^{(r)}}^{(r)}; \delta_{ji^{(r)}}^{(r)})_{m_r+1,q_{i^{(r)}}}\}$ (1.9) The multivariable Aleph-function write :

$$\aleph(z_1, \cdots, z_r) = \aleph_{U:W}^{0, \mathfrak{n}:V} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ z_r \end{pmatrix} A : C_1 : \cdots : C_r \\ \cdot \\ B : D \end{pmatrix}$$
(1.10)

2. Multivariable Laplace Transform

Chandel [2] introduced the multivariable Laplace transform

$$L_{a_1,\cdots,a_n}^{\lambda,\mu}\{\} = \frac{\Gamma(a_1+\cdots+a_n)\lambda^{a_1+\cdots+a_n+\mu}}{\Gamma(a_1)\cdots\Gamma(a_n)\Gamma(a_1+\cdots+a_n+\mu)} \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^\mu$$

$$x_1^{a_1-1}\cdots x_n^{a_n-1}\{\} dx_1\cdots dx_n$$
(2.1)
Where $Re(a_i) > 0, j = 1, \cdots, n, Re(\lambda) > 0$ and $Re(a_1+\cdots+a_n+\mu) > 0$

Here we give following additional application of the above operator

Let
$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i, C_1 \cdots, C_n$$
 are defined by (1.7) and (1.8), we have
 $L_{a_1, \cdots, a_n}^{\lambda, \mu} \{ \aleph(u_1 x^{\sigma_1} X^{v_1}, \cdots, u_n x^{\sigma_n} X^{v_n}) \} = \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \cdots + a_n)}{\Gamma(a_1) \cdots \Gamma(a_n) \Gamma(a_1 + \cdots + a_n + \mu)}$

ISSN: 2231-5373

and $W + 1 = p_{i^{(1)}} + 1, q_{i^{(1)}}, \tau_{i^{(1)}}; R^{(1)}, \cdots, p_{i^{(r)}} + 1, q_{i^{(r)}}, \tau_{i^{(r)}}; R^{(r)}$ Provided $Re(a_i) > 0, j = 1, \cdots, n, Re(\lambda) > 0$ and $Re(a_1 + \cdots + a_n + \mu) > 0$ and $|argu_k| < \frac{1}{2}A_i^{(k)}\pi$, where $A_i^{(k)}$ is defined by (1.2); $\sigma_i, v_i > 0$

Proof of (2.2) : Let
$$M = \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^n} \int_{L_1} \cdots \int_{L_r} \psi(s_1, \cdots, s_n) \prod_{k=1}^n \theta_k(s_k)$$
, we have
 $L_{a_1, \cdots, a_n}^{\lambda, \mu} \{ \aleph(u_1 x^{\sigma_1} X^{v_1}, \cdots, u_n x^{\sigma_n} X^{v_n}) \} = L_{a_1, \cdots, a_n}^{\lambda, \mu} \{ M\{ \prod_{k=1}^n (u_i x^{\sigma_i} X^{v_i})^{s_i} \} ds_1 \cdots ds_n \}$

We interchange the order of integrations (which is permissible under the conditions stated), we obtain

$$M\left\{L_{a_1,\cdots,a_n}^{\lambda,\mu}\left\{\prod_{k=1}^n \left(u_i x^{\sigma_i} X^{v_i}\right)^{s_i}\right\}\right\} \mathrm{d}s_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}s_n$$

Now evaluating the inner multiple Laplace integral, see [1], after simplifications and on reinterpreting the Mellin-Barnes contour integral, we get the desired result

3. Generalized Whittaker transforms

Chandel and Dwivedi [3] and [4] introduced the multivariable Whittaker transform

$$W^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon}_{a_1,\cdots,a_n,\sigma}\left\{\right\} = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i + \sigma + 1 - \mu)\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i)\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}}{\Gamma(a_1)\cdots\Gamma(a_n)\Gamma(\sigma + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i + 1/2 \pm \upsilon)}$$
$$\int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty x_1^{a_1-1}\cdots x_n^{a_n-1}e^{-\lambda(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^\sigma W_{\mu,\upsilon}\left(\lambda\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)\left\{\right\} dx_1\cdots dx_n$$
(3.1)

where $Re(a_i) > 0, j = 1, \cdots, n, Re(\lambda) > 0$ and $Re(\sigma + 1/2 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n \pm v) > 0$

Here we give following additional application of the above operator

Let
$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$
, $C_1 \cdots$, C_n are defined by (1.7) and (1.8), we have

$$W^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon}_{a_1,\cdots,a_n,\sigma}\left\{\aleph(u_1x^{\sigma_1}X^{\rho_1},\cdots,u_nx^{\sigma_n}X^{\rho_n})\right\} = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i + \sigma + 1 - \mu)\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i)}{\Gamma(a_1)\cdots\Gamma(a_n)\Gamma(\sigma + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i + 1/2 \pm v)}$$

$$\aleph_{U_{22}:W+1}^{0,\mathfrak{n}+2:V+1} \begin{pmatrix} u_1/\lambda^{\sigma_1+\rho_1} \\ \cdot \\ \vdots \\ u_n/\lambda^{\sigma_n+\rho_n} \end{pmatrix} (1/2 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i - (\sigma \pm \upsilon) : \sigma_1 + \rho_1, \cdots, \sigma_n + \rho_n), \\ (\mu - \sigma - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i : \sigma_1 + \rho_1, \cdots, \sigma_n + \rho_n),$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A: & (1-a_1;\sigma_1), C_1: \cdots: (1-a_n;\sigma_n), C_n \\
 \dots & & \ddots & & \ddots \\
 (1-\sum_{i=1}^n a_i: \sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_n), B: & \dots & & D \end{array}$$
(3.2)

where $U_{22} = p_i + 2, q_i + 2, \tau_i; R; V + 1 = m_1, n_1 + 1; \cdots; m_r, n_r + 1$ and $W + 1 = p_{i^{(1)}} + 1, q_{i^{(1)}}, \tau_{i^{(1)}}; R^{(1)}, \cdots, p_{i^{(r)}} + 1, q_{i^{(r)}}, \tau_{i^{(r)}}; R^{(r)}$

Provided $Re(a_i) > 0, j = 1, \cdots, n, Re(\lambda) > 0$ and $Re(\sigma + 1/2 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n \pm v) > 0$ and $|argu_k| < \frac{1}{2}A_i^{(k)}\pi$, where $A_i^{(k)}$ is defined by (1.2) $\sigma_i, \rho_i > 0$

Proof of (3.2) : Let $M = \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^n} \int_{L_1} \cdots \int_{L_r} \psi(s_1, \cdots, s_n) \prod_{k=1}^n \theta_k(s_k)$, we have

$$W_{a_1,\cdots,a_n,\sigma}^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon} \{\aleph(u_1 x^{\sigma_1} X^{\upsilon_1},\cdots,u_n x^{\sigma_n} X^{\upsilon_n})\} = W_{a_1,\cdots,a_n,\sigma}^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon} \{M\{\prod_{k=1}^n (u_i x^{\sigma_i} X^{\rho_i})^{s_i}\} \,\mathrm{d}s_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}s_n\}$$

We interchange the order of integrations (which is permissible under the conditions stated), we obtain

$$M\left\{W_{a_1,\cdots,a_n,\sigma}^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon}\left\{\prod_{k=1}^n \left(u_i x^{\sigma_i} X^{\rho_i}\right)^{s_i}\right\}\right\} \mathrm{d}s_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}s_n$$

Now evaluating the inner multiple Whittaker integral, see [2], after simplifications and on reinterpreting the Mellin-Barnes contour integral, we get the desired result

Special cases of (3.2) **a)** For $\sigma_1 = \cdots \sigma_n = 0$, we derive from (3.2)

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{A} : \mathbf{C}_1 : \cdots : \mathbf{C}_n \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{B} : \ldots \\ \mathbf{D} \end{array}$$
 (3.3)

where $U_{21} = p_i + 2, q_i + 1, \tau_i; R$; Provided $Re(a_i) > 0, j = 1, \cdots, n, Re(\lambda) > 0$ and $Re(\sigma + 1/2 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n \pm v) > 0$

ISSN: 2231-5373

and
$$|argu_k| < rac{1}{2} A_i^{(k)} \pi$$
 , $\$ where $A_i^{(k)}$ is defined by (1.2) $ho_i > 0$

a) For
$$\rho_1 = \cdots \rho_n = 0$$
, we derive from (3.2)

$$W_{a_{1},\cdots,a_{n},\sigma}^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon} \left\{ \aleph(u_{1}x^{\sigma_{1}},\cdots,u_{n}x^{\sigma_{n}}) \right\} = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i}+\sigma+1-\mu)\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i})}{\Gamma(a_{1})\cdots\Gamma(a_{n})\Gamma(\sigma+\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i}+1/2\pm\upsilon)}$$
$$\aleph_{U_{22}:W+1}^{0,n+2:V+1} \begin{pmatrix} u_{1}/\lambda^{\sigma_{1}} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ u_{n}/\lambda^{\sigma_{n}} \end{pmatrix} \frac{(1/2-\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i}-(\sigma\pm\upsilon):\sigma_{1},\cdots,\sigma_{n}), \qquad A: \\ \vdots \\ (\mu-\sigma-\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i}:\sigma_{1},\cdots,\sigma_{n}), \qquad (1-\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i}:\sigma_{1},\cdots,\sigma_{n}), B: \\ (1-a_{1};\sigma_{1}), C_{1}:\cdots:(1-a_{n};\sigma_{n}), C_{n} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ D \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.4)

where
$$U_{22} = p_i + 2, q_i + 2, \tau_i; R; V + 1 = m_1, n_1 + 1; \dots; m_r, n_r + 1$$

and $W + 1 = p_{i^{(1)}} + 1, q_{i^{(1)}}, \tau_{i^{(1)}}; R^{(1)}, \dots, p_{i^{(r)}} + 1, q_{i^{(r)}}, \tau_{i^{(r)}}; R^{(r)}$
Provided $Re(a_i) > 0, j = 1, \dots, n, Re(\lambda) > 0$ and $Re(\sigma + 1/2 + a_1 + \dots + a_n \pm v) > 0$
and $|argu_k| < \frac{1}{2}A_i^{(k)}\pi$, where $A_i^{(k)}$ is defined by (1.2) $\sigma_i > 0$

4. Other Multidimentional Whittaker transform

Chandel and Dwivedi [4] introduced and studied the multidimensional Whittaker transform

$$T^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon}_{\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_n,\sigma}\big\{\big\} = \frac{K\lambda^{\sigma+\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_j} \Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i + \sigma + 1 - \mu) \Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i)}{\Gamma(\beta_1)\cdots\Gamma(\beta_n)\Gamma(\sigma + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i + 1/2 \pm \upsilon)} \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{j} x_{i}\right)^{\beta_{j}-1} e^{-\lambda \left(\sum_{j,i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{j} x_{i}\right)/2} \sum_{j,i=1}^{n} \left(a_{i}^{j} x_{i}\right)^{\sigma} W_{\mu,\nu}\left(\lambda \sum_{j,i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{j} x_{i}\right) \{\} \mathrm{d}x_{1} \cdots \mathrm{d}x_{n}$$

$$(4.1)$$

where $Re(\beta_i) > 0, j = 1, \cdots, n, Re(\lambda) > 0$ and $Re(\sigma + 1/2 + \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_n \pm v) > 0$,

$$\begin{split} ℜ(\sigma+1+\beta_1+\dots+\beta_n-\mu)>0 \text{ and } K = \begin{vmatrix} a_1^{i_1} & \cdots & a_n^{i_n} \\ \cdots & & \\ a_1^n & \cdots & a_n^n \end{vmatrix} \neq 0 \\ &\text{Let } X_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^1 x_i, \cdots, X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^n x_i \\ &T_{\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_n, \sigma}^{\lambda, \mu, \upsilon} \{\aleph(u_1 X_1^{\eta_1}, \cdots, u_n X_n^{\eta_n})\} = \frac{K \lambda^{\sigma + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_j} \Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i + \sigma + 1 - \mu) \Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i)}{\Gamma(\beta_1) \cdots \Gamma(\beta_n) \Gamma(\sigma + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i + 1/2 \pm \upsilon)} \\ &\aleph_{U_{22}:W+1}^{0, n+2:V+1} \begin{pmatrix} u_1/\lambda^{\eta_1} \\ \cdot \\ \vdots \\ u_n/\lambda^{\eta_n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 1/2 - (\sigma \pm \upsilon) - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i : \eta_1, \cdots, \eta_n \end{pmatrix}, &A: \\ &(\mu - \sigma - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i : \eta_1, \cdots, \eta_n), &(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i : \eta_1, \cdots, \eta_n), B: \end{split}$$

ISSN: 2231-5373

$$(1-\beta_1;\sigma_1), C_1: \cdots: (1-\beta_n;\sigma_n), C_n$$

$$\cdots$$

$$\cdots$$

$$D$$

$$(4.2)$$

where $U_{22} = p_i + 2, q_i + 2, \tau_i; R; V + 1 = m_1, n_1 + 1; \dots; m_r, n_r + 1$ and $W + 1 = p_{i^{(1)}} + 1, q_{i^{(1)}}, \tau_{i^{(1)}}; R^{(1)}, \dots, p_{i^{(r)}} + 1, q_{i^{(r)}}, \tau_{i^{(r)}}; R^{(r)}$ Provided $Re(a_i) > 0, j = 1, \dots, n, Re(\lambda) > 0$ and $Re(\sigma + 1/2 + a_1 + \dots + a_n \pm v) > 0$

$$Re(\sigma+1+\beta_1+\cdots+\beta_n-\mu)>0 \text{ and } K = \begin{vmatrix} a_1^1 & \cdots & a_n^1 \\ \cdots & & \\ a_1^n & \cdots & a_n^n \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$$

and $|argu_k| < rac{1}{2} A_i^{(k)} \pi$, where $A_i^{(k)}$ is defined by (1.2) $\sigma_i > 0$

Proof of (4.2) : Let
$$M = \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^n} \int_{L_1} \cdots \int_{L_r} \psi(s_1, \cdots, s_n) \prod_{k=1}^n \theta_k(s_k)$$
, we have
 $T^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon}_{\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_n,\sigma} \{ \aleph(u_1 x^{\sigma_1} X^{\upsilon_1}, \cdots, u_n x^{\sigma_n} X^{\upsilon_n}) \} = T^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon}_{\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_n,\sigma} \{ M\{\prod_{k=1}^n (u_i x^{\sigma_i} X^{\eta_i})^{s_i} \} ds_1 \cdots ds_n \}$

We interchange the order of integrations (which is permissible under the conditions stated), we obtain

$$M\{T^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon}_{\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_n,\sigma}\{\prod_{k=1}^n \left(u_i x^{\sigma_i} X^{\eta_i}\right)^{s_i}\}\}ds_1\cdots ds_n$$

Now evaluating the inner multiple Whittaker integral, see [3], after simplifications and on reinterpreting the Mellin-Barnes contour integral, we get the desired result.

Let
$$X_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^1 x_i, \cdots, X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^n x_i \text{ and } X_{nn} = \sum_{j,i=1}^n a_i^j x_i, \text{ we have}$$

 $T_{\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_n,\sigma}^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon} \{ \aleph(u_1 X_1^{\eta_1} X_{nn}^{\zeta_1}, \cdots, u_n X_n^{\eta_n} X_{nn}^{\zeta_n}) \} = \frac{K \lambda^{\sigma + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_j} \Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i + \sigma + 1 - \mu) \Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i)}{\Gamma(\beta_1) \cdots \Gamma(\beta_n) \Gamma(\sigma + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i + 1/2 \pm v)}$
 $\aleph_{U_{22}:W+1}^{0,n+2:V+1} \begin{pmatrix} u_1/\lambda^{\eta_1+\zeta_1} \\ \vdots \\ u_n/\lambda^{\eta_n+\zeta_n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 - (\sigma \pm v) - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i : \eta_1 + \zeta_1, \cdots, \eta_n + \zeta_n), \\ \vdots \\ (\mu - \sigma - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i : \eta_1 + \zeta_1, \cdots, \eta_n + \zeta_n), \\ \vdots \\ (1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i : \eta_1, \cdots, \eta_n), B : \dots D \end{pmatrix}$

$$(4.3)$$

where $U_{22} = p_i + 2, q_i + 2, \tau_i; R; V + 1 = m_1, n_1 + 1; \dots; m_r, n_r + 1$ and $W + 1 = p_{i^{(1)}} + 1, q_{i^{(1)}}, \tau_{i^{(1)}}; R^{(1)}, \dots, p_{i^{(r)}} + 1, q_{i^{(r)}}, \tau_{i^{(r)}}; R^{(r)}$ Provided $Re(a_i) > 0, j = 1, \dots, n, Re(\lambda) > 0$ and $Re(\sigma + 1/2 + a_1 + \dots + a_n \pm v) > 0$

$$Re(\sigma+1+\beta_1+\cdots+\beta_n-\mu)>0 \text{ and } K = \begin{vmatrix} a_1^1 & \cdots & a_n^1 \\ \cdots & & \\ a_1^n & \cdots & a_n^n \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$$

and $|argu_k| < \frac{1}{2}A_i^{(k)}\pi$, where $A_i^{(k)}$ is defined by (1.5) $\sigma_i > 0$. To prove (4.3), the method is similar that (4.2).

5. Multivariable I-function

In these section, we get several multidimensional transforms concerning the multivariable I-function defined by Sharma et al [5] Let $\tau_i = \tau_{i^{(1)}} = \cdots = \tau_{i^{(r)}} = 1$, we have the following relations.

$$\begin{array}{c} (1-a_n;\sigma_n), C'_n \\ & \ddots \\ & \mathbf{D}' \end{array} \right)$$

$$(5.1)$$

where $L_{a_1,\dots,a_n}^{\lambda,\mu}$ { } is the multivariable Laplace transform defined by Chandel [1] and $X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$

which holds true under the same conditions from (2.2)

$$\mathbf{b} W_{a_{1},\cdots,a_{n},\sigma}^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon} \left\{ I(u_{1}x^{\sigma_{1}}X^{\rho_{1}},\cdots,u_{n}x^{\sigma_{n}}X^{\rho_{n}}) \right\} = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i}+\sigma+1-\mu)\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i})}{\Gamma(a_{1})\cdots\Gamma(a_{n})\Gamma(\sigma+\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i}+1/2\pm\upsilon)}$$

$$I_{U_{22}:W+1}^{0,\mathfrak{n}+2:V+1} \begin{pmatrix} u_{1}/\lambda^{\sigma_{1}+\rho_{1}} \\ \vdots \\ u_{n}/\lambda^{\sigma_{n}+\rho_{n}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (1/2-\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i}-(\sigma\pm\upsilon):\sigma_{1}+\rho_{1},\cdots,\sigma_{n}+\rho_{n}), \\ \vdots \\ (\mu-\sigma+\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i}:\sigma_{1}+\rho_{1},\cdots,\sigma_{n}+\rho_{n}), \\ \vdots \\ (1-a_{1};\sigma_{1}),C_{1}':\cdots:(1-a_{n};\sigma_{n}),C_{n}' \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ (1-\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i}:\sigma_{1},\cdots,\sigma_{n}),B': \\ \vdots \\ \ldots \\ D' \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(5.2)$$

where $W_{a_1,\dots,a_n,\sigma}^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon}$ {} is multivariable Whittaker transform defined by Chandel and Dwivedi [2] and [3] and $X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$ which holds true under the same conditions from (3.2)

c)
$$T^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon}_{\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_n,\sigma}\left\{I(u_1X_1^{\eta_1},\cdots,u_nX_n^{\eta_n})\right\} = \frac{K\lambda^{\sigma+\sum_{i=1}^n\beta_j}\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^n\beta_i+\sigma+1-\mu)\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^n\beta_i)}{\Gamma(\beta_1)\cdots\Gamma(\beta_n)\Gamma(\sigma+\sum_{i=1}^n\beta_i+1/2\pm v)}$$

ISSN: 2231-5373

$$I_{U_{22}:W+1}^{0,\mathfrak{n}+2:V+1} \begin{pmatrix} u_1/\lambda^{\eta_1} \\ \cdot \\ u_n/\lambda^{\eta_n} \\ u_n/\lambda^{\eta_n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \cdot (\sigma \pm v) - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i : \eta_1, \cdots, \eta_n), & A: \\ \cdot \\ \mu - \sigma - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i : \eta_1, \cdots, \eta_n), & (1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i : \eta_1, \cdots, \eta_n), B: \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(1-\beta_1;\sigma_1), C_1: \cdots: (1-\beta_n;\sigma_n): C_n$$

$$\cdots$$

$$\cdots$$

$$D$$
(5.3)

where $T^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon}_{\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_n,\sigma}$ { } is multivariable Whittaker transform defined by Chandel and Dwivedi [4], and

$$X_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^1 x_i, \cdots, X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^n x_i$$
 which holds true under the same conditions from (3.2)

$$\mathbf{d} T^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon}_{\beta_{1},\cdots,\beta_{n},\sigma} \left\{ I(u_{1}X_{1}^{\eta_{1}}X_{nn}^{\zeta_{1}},\cdots,u_{n}X_{n}^{\eta_{n}}X_{nn}^{\zeta_{n}}) \right\} = \frac{K\lambda^{\sigma+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\beta_{i}}\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\beta_{i}+\sigma+1-\mu)\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\beta_{i})}{\Gamma(\beta_{1})\cdots\Gamma(\beta_{n})\Gamma(\sigma+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\beta_{i}+1/2\pm\upsilon)} \\ I^{0,n+2:V+1}_{U_{22}:W+1} \begin{pmatrix} u_{1}/\lambda^{\eta_{1}+\zeta_{1}} \\ \cdot \\ \vdots \\ u_{n}/\lambda^{\eta_{n}+\zeta_{n}} \end{pmatrix} \left| (1/2-(\sigma\pm\upsilon)-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\beta_{i}:\eta_{1}+\zeta_{1},\cdots,\eta_{n}+\zeta_{n}), \\ \cdot \cdots \\ (\mu-\sigma-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\beta_{i}):\eta_{1}+\zeta_{1},\cdots,\eta_{n}+\zeta_{n}), \\ A: \\ \cdot \cdots \\ (1-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\beta_{i}:\eta_{1},\cdots,\eta_{n}), B: \\ \cdot \cdots \\ D \end{pmatrix} \right|$$
(5.4)

where $W_{a_1,\dots,a_n,\sigma}^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon}$ {} is multivariable Whittaker transform defined by Chandel and Dwivedi [3] and [4] and

$$X_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^1 x_i, \cdots, X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^n x_i \text{ and } \operatorname{Let} X_{nn} = \sum_{j,i=1}^n a_i^j x_i \text{ which holds true under the same conditions}$$

from (4.2)

6. Aleph-function of two variables

In these section, we get several multidimensional transforms concerning oncerning the Aleph-function of two variables defined by K. Sharma [6].

$$\mathbf{a} L_{a_1,a_2}^{\lambda,\mu} \{\aleph(u_1 x^{\sigma_1} X^{v_1}, u_2 x^{\sigma_2} X^{v_2})\} = \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + a_2)}{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(a_2)\Gamma(a_1 + a_2 + \mu)} \aleph_{U_{11}:W+1}^{0,\mathfrak{n}+1:V+1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1/\lambda^{\sigma_1+v_1} \\ \cdot \\ \mathbf{u}_2/\lambda^{\sigma_2+v_2} \\ \mathbf{u}_2/\lambda^{\sigma_2+v_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

ISSN: 2231-5373

where $L_{a_1,a_2}^{\lambda,\mu}$ {} is the doubleLaplace transform and $X = \sum_{i=1}^{2} x_i$ which holds true under the same conditions from (2.2) with n = 2

$$\mathbf{b} W_{a_{1},a_{2},\sigma}^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon} \{ \aleph(u_{1}x^{\sigma_{1}}X^{\rho_{1}}, u_{2}x^{\sigma_{2}}X^{\rho_{2}}) \} = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^{2}a_{i} + \sigma + 1 - \mu)\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^{2}a_{i})}{\Gamma(a_{1})\Gamma(a_{2})\Gamma(\sigma + \sum_{i=1}^{2}a_{i} + 1/2 \pm v)} \\ \aleph_{U_{2}:W+1}^{0,\mathfrak{n}+2:V+1} \begin{pmatrix} u_{1}/\lambda^{\sigma_{1}+\rho_{1}} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ u_{2}/\lambda^{\sigma_{2}+\rho_{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (1/2 - \sum_{i=1}^{2}a_{i} - (\sigma \pm v) : \sigma_{1} + \rho_{1}, \sigma_{2} + \rho_{2}), & A^{"}: \\ \vdots \\ (\mu - \sigma + \sum_{i=1}^{2}a_{i} : \sigma_{1} + \rho_{1}, \sigma_{2} + \rho_{2}), & (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{2}a_{i} : \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}), B^{''}: \end{cases}$$

where $W_{a_1,a_2,\sigma}^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon}$ { } double Whittaker transform and $X = \sum_{i=1}^{2} x_i$ which holds true under the same conditions from (3.2) with n =2

$$\mathbf{c} T^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon}_{\beta_{1},\beta_{2},\sigma} \{ \aleph(u_{1}X_{1}^{\eta_{1}}, u_{2}X_{2}^{\eta_{2}}) \} = \frac{k\lambda^{\sigma + \sum_{i=1}^{2}\beta_{j}} \Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^{2}\beta_{i} + \sigma + 1 - \mu) \Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^{2}\beta_{i})}{\Gamma(\beta_{1}) \Gamma(\beta_{2}) \Gamma(\sigma + \sum_{i=1}^{2}\beta_{i} + 1/2 \pm v)} \\ \aleph^{0,\mathfrak{n}+2:V+1}_{U_{2}:W+1} \begin{pmatrix} u_{1}/\lambda^{\eta_{1}} \\ \vdots \\ u_{2}/\lambda^{\eta_{2}} \\ u_{2}/\lambda^{\eta_{2}} \end{pmatrix} \binom{1/2 - (\sigma \pm v) - \sum_{i=1}^{2}\beta_{i} : \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}), \qquad A^{"}: \\ \vdots \\ (\mu - \sigma - \sum_{i=1}^{2}\beta_{i}) : \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}), \qquad (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{2}\beta_{i} : \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}), B^{"}: \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1-\beta_1;\sigma_1)C_1'':(1-\beta_2;\sigma_2),C_2''\\ \dots & \dots\\ \dots & D^{"} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(6.3)$$

where $T^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon}_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\sigma}ig \}$ is doubleWhittaker transform and

$$X_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^1 x_i, X_2 = \sum_{i=1}^2 a_i^2 x_i$$
 which holds true under the same conditions from (4.2) with $n=2$

$$\mathbf{d} T^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon}_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\sigma} \{\aleph(u_1 X_1^{\eta_1} X_{22}^{\zeta_1}, u_2 X_2^{\eta_2} X_{22}^{\zeta_2})\} = \frac{k\lambda^{\sigma + \sum_{i=1}^2 \beta_j} \Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^2 \beta_i + \sigma + 1 - \mu) \Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^2 \beta_i)}{\Gamma(\beta_1) \Gamma(\beta_2) \Gamma(\sigma + \sum_{i=1}^2 \beta_i + 1/2 \pm v)}$$

$$\aleph_{U_{2}:W+1}^{0,\mathfrak{n}+2:V+1} \begin{pmatrix} u_{1}/\lambda^{\eta_{1}+\zeta_{1}} \\ \vdots \\ u_{2}/\lambda^{\eta_{2}+\zeta_{2}} \\ u_{2}/\lambda^{\eta_{2}+\zeta_{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \cdot (\sigma \pm \upsilon) - \sum_{i=1}^{2} \beta_{i} : \eta_{1}+\zeta_{1}, \eta_{2}+\zeta_{2}), \\ \vdots \\ (\mu - \sigma - \sum_{i=1}^{2} \beta_{i}) : \eta_{1}+\zeta_{1}, \eta_{2}+\zeta_{2}), \end{pmatrix}$$

ISSN: 2231-5373

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
 A'': & (1-\beta_1;\sigma_1)C_1'':(1-\beta_2;\sigma_2), C_2'' \\
 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
 (1-\sum_{i=1}^2 \beta_i:\eta_1,\eta_2), B'': & \ddots & \ddots & D'' \end{array}$$
(6.4)

where $W_{a_1,a_2,\sigma}^{\lambda,\mu,\upsilon}$ { } is the double Whittaker transform and $X_1 = \sum_{i=1}^2 a_i^1 x_i, X_2 = \sum_{i=1}^2 a_i^2 x_i$ and

$$X_{22} = \sum_{j,i=1} a_i^j x_i$$
 which holds true under the same conditions from (4.2) with $n=2$

6. Conclusion

The aleph-function of several variables presented in this paper, is quite basic in nature. Therefore, on specializing the parameters of this function, we may obtain various other special functions such as , multivariable H-function , defined by Srivastava et al [7], the Aleph-function of two variables defined by K.sharma [6].

REFERENCES

[1] Ayant F.Y. An integral associated with the Aleph-functions of several variables. *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology (IJMTT)*. 2016 Vol 31 (3), page 142-154.

[2] Chandel R.C.S the products of certain classical polynomials and the generalized Laplacian operator. Ganita 20(1969) p.79-87

[3] Chandel R.C.S and Dwivedi B.N. Generalized Whittaker transforms of hypergeometric functions of several variables. Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica 8, no4 (1980), p.595-601.

[4] Chandel R.C.S and Dwivedi B.N. Multidimensional Whittaker transforms, Indian. J. Math. 24 (1982), p. 49-53

[5] Sharma C.K.and Ahmad S.S.: On the multivariable I-function. Acta ciencia Indica Math , 1994 vol 20,no2, p 113-116.

[6] Sharma K. On the integral representation and applications of the generalized function of two variables , International Journal of Mathematical Engineering and Sciences , Vol 3 , issue1 (2014) , page1-13.

[7] Srivastava H.M.And Panda R. Some expansion theorems and generating relations for the H-function of several complex variables. Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. 24(1975), p.119-137.

Personal adress : 411 Avenue Joseph Raynaud Le parc Fleuri , Bat B 83140 , Six-Fours les plages Tel : 06-83-12-49-68 Department : VAR Country : FRANCE