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#### Abstract

In developing unorganised business sector it is necessary to utilise the resources in optimal way. Analysis of the resources like manpower, material, marketing etc. is essential to entrepreneur. This study addresses the issue that an unorganised business sector faces during the production, their objectives are clear but knowingly or un-knowingly they may over use resources. Here we have collected data form food factory which is producing multi object in a day and massive amount of resource is used during the pre production. Problem has been formulated in LPP and applied linear and exponential function to get efficient solution. Certain adjustment parameter are derived which can further assist entrepreneur to take decision in future. Here LINGO15 is deployed to solve the MLPP. Such a complex problem can be divided in to multi stage and further analyse for more simplicity.
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## 1. Introduction

Generally, manufacturing environment can be characterised by the following features: dynamic, global, and customer-driven. The trend to tailormake products to meet customer needs has led to reduction in batch quantities, increase in product varieties, and shorter product life cycles. The need for flexibility, efficiency, and quality has imposed a major change in manufacturing industries. Consequently, it becomes increasingly difficult for manufacturers to predict customer demands precisely and to formulate effective production and inventory plans. In this connection, most companies do not practise the incorporation of uncertainties (e.g. production and demand uncertainties) into their production planning processes, owing to the difficulties arising in prediction [1]. However, some effective means must be introduced to minimise the negative effects
of uncertainties in designing manufacturing systems to reduce costs and other wastage. Despite the large amount of literature on manufacturing systems design, very few papers have considered the impact of uncertainties in the design process. Bitran and Tirupati [2] considered the resource allocation problem according to the level of uncertainties. Tang [3] investigated the impact of uncertainties on production and inventory in a multistage production system. Wein [4] suggested another approach to managing uncertainties when only a single production line is used, by integrating inventory control and scheduling. However, his model did not include the changeover time for changing the production set-ups because of alterations to the production sequence. Erlebacher and Singh [5] considered the problem of allocating processing time variability in a synchronous assembly line.

Major four recourse namely: manpower, machine power, material, money and method are considered as resources, whether it is use or not it had some cost behind it. If we have to stock it at some place then some cost is required. If we manage all this recourses in optimal way then it can optimize our profit or loss. Certain data like unit per man hour, efficiency, plan conformance etc can actually be derived and further used to plan accordingly. Some time entrepreneur wish to have multi objective for their business i.e. They may aim to minimize cost max profit, minimize risk, minimize the use of manpower maximize the use of machine power etc. This multi objective aim may perplex the entrepreneur while taking the decision.

In this study we have consider a food manufacturing industries which produce multi product multi time using and our target is to optimize their multi objective cost and risk so multi-objective problem is formulated in next coming subsequent section and its solution is
obtained by fuzzy programming technique to solve multi objective linear programming problem.

### 1.1. Single objective linear programming base resource allocation problem.

Some entrepreneur aims for single objective at a time, such single objective recourse allocation problem can be formulated in LPP as follows [7]

Minimum $\mathrm{Z}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} x_{i}$

## Subject to

$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} x_{i}(\leq,=, \geq) B_{j}, j=1,2,3 \ldots n$
( n such constraints)
Where $x_{i} \geq 0$
(1)

Where, $c_{i j}=$ associated cost vector, $B_{j}=$ resource vector, $a_{i}=$ associated quantity of resource required for production of $x_{i}$

This single objective linear programming base resource allocation problem has constraint which can be either in equality or inequality form.

### 1.2. Multi objective linear programming problem.

Most of the entrepreneur now a day's do not have a aim of single objective but they wish to target multi objective i.e.` they not only try to minimize cost but try to minimize some recourse so that their business can grow in best of manner. In competitive world entrepreneur need to be aware of competition and should monopolised business. Their important objective could be to minimize risk using the same set of constraints. Such general multi objective linear programming problem can be defined as under[8].

Minimum $z_{r}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{r} x_{i}, r=1,2,3,4 \ldots k$

## Subject to

$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} x_{i}(\leq,=, \geq) B_{j}, j=1,2,3 \ldots n \quad(\mathrm{n} \quad$ such constraints)

Where $x_{i} \geq 0$

### 1.2. Formulation Resource allocation problem of sandwich factory in MOLPP

In the following sections, the considered sandwich factory resource allocation problem and formulated in Multi objective linear programming problem. First the process system of sandwich industry is introduced. Then the objective as well as constraints are stated, namely to reduce the production costs on the long run by assigning the products and minimize the risk.


Fig: 1 Process Diagram
System: Figure 1 explains the process in which sandwich factory works. In this paper we have directly focus onto production section we have assume that pre products for all the production assembly is available and there is no downtime regards to resource for the product. For production certain resource like man power, material, machinery is required and it should be available before production. Also some pre production is also requiring before the production which we have to assume that, it is available before production. Here we can apply inventory control models for inventory which needs to be stored before preproduction, in production we have applied linear programming problem and solved it using LINGO. In despatch section we can apply transportation problem. So the sandwich factory problem can be divided into three

1) Inventory control model
2) linear programming problem

## 3) Transportation problem.

### 1.2.1 Mathematical Formulation:

For mathematical formulation we assume that sandwich industry is producing ' $n$ ' product and it require some resource like man power, machine power, and material to make such $n$ product. Here we assume that
$\mathrm{X} 1=$ product 1
$\mathrm{X} 2=$ Product 2
$\mathrm{Xn}=$ Product n
$c_{j}, r_{j} \mathrm{j}=1,2 \ldots, \mathrm{n}, \quad$ associated cost vectors (Cost, Risk)
$B_{j}$ be the associated resource vector (Like Bread, Tomato, Cheese, etc.)

Here we assume that the costs associated to produce such product are $c_{j}, r_{j} \mathrm{j}=1,2 \ldots, \mathrm{n}$, and $B_{j}$ be the associated resource vector then the Objective function: cost function Z 1 and risk function Z 2 for ' n ' product can formulated as follows:
$\operatorname{Min} \mathrm{Z} 1=c_{1} x_{1}+c_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+c_{n} x_{n}$
Mini $Z=r_{1} x_{1}+r_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+r_{n} x_{n}$
Other all the constrain associated with different resources can be formulated as follows

Subject to constraints are
Bread constraint
$a_{1} x_{1}+a_{1} x_{2}+\cdots+a_{n} x_{n}(\leq,=, \geq) B_{1}$
Here, $a_{i}$ is amount of bread required $x_{i}$ here
$B_{1}=$ Total amount of bread required for entire production

Tomato constraint
$b_{1} x_{1}+b_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+b_{n} x_{n} \leq,=, \geq B_{2}$
Here, $b_{i}$ is amount of tomato required $x_{i}$ here
$B_{2}=$ Total amount of tomato required for total production

Cheese constraints
$c_{1} x_{1}+c_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+c_{n} x_{n} \leq,=, \geq B_{3}$
Here, $c_{i}$ is amount of cheese required $x_{i}$ here
$B_{3}=$ total amount of cheese required for total production

Potato constraints
$d_{1} x_{1}+d x_{2}+\cdots+d_{n} x_{n} \leq,=, \geq B_{4}$
Here, $d_{i}$ is amount of bread required $x_{i}$ here
$B_{4}=$ Total amount of bread required for total production

Tiki constraints
$e_{1} x_{1}+e_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+e_{n} x_{n} \leq,=, \geq B_{5} \quad$ (tiki constraints)

Here, $e_{i}$ is amount of Tiki required $x_{i}$ here $B_{5}=$ Total amount of tiki required for total production

Manpower constraints
$f_{1} x_{1}+f_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+f_{n} x_{n} \leq,=, \geq B_{6}$
Here, $\quad f_{i}$ is amount of man power required $x_{i}$ here
$B_{6}=$ Total amount of man power required for total production

Machine constraints
$g_{1} x_{1}+g_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+a_{n} x_{n} \leq,=, \geq B_{7}$
Here, $g_{i}$ is amount of bread required $x_{i}$ here
$B_{7}=$ Total amount of bread required for total production

Chicken constraints
$h_{1} x_{1}+h_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+h_{n} x_{n} \leq,=, \geq B_{8}$
Here, $h_{i}$ is amount of chicken required $x_{i}$ here
$B_{8}=$ Ttotal amount of chicken required for total production

|  | $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ | ......... | $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|ll} \hline f_{1} \mathrm{x}_{1}, & \mathrm{x}_{2}, \\ \mathrm{x}_{3} \ldots \ldots \ldots \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n})} & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{Z}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{Z}_{21}$ | ........ | $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k} 1}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline f_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2},\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{x}_{3} \ldots \ldots . . \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Z}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{Z}_{22}$ | ......... | $\mathrm{Z}_{2 \mathrm{k}}$ |
| ...... |  |  | ......... |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline f_{n}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2},\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{x}_{3} \ldots \ldots . . \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {ln }}$ | $\mathrm{Z}_{2 \mathrm{n}}$ | ......... | $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{kn}}$ |

So the general multi-objective mathematical model for the sandwich factory can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Min} \mathrm{Z} 1=c_{1} x_{1}+c_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+c_{n} x_{n} \\
& \operatorname{Mini} \mathrm{Z} 2=r_{1} x_{1}+r_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+r_{n} x_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Subject to constraints are
$a_{1} x_{1}+a_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+a_{n} x_{n} \leq,=, \geq B_{1} \quad$ (Bread constraints)

$$
b_{1} x_{1}+b_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+b_{n} x_{n} \leq,=, \geq B_{2}
$$

(tomato constraints)
$c_{1} x_{1}+c_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+c_{n} x_{n} \leq,=, \geq B_{3}$ (cheese
constraints)
$d_{1} x_{1}+d x_{2}+\cdots+d_{n} x_{n} \leq,=, \geq B_{4}$
(Potato
constraints)
$e_{1} x_{1}+e_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+e_{n} x_{n} \leq,=, \geq B_{5}$
constraints)
$f_{1} x_{1}+f_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+f_{n} x_{n} \leq,=, \geq \quad$ (manpower constraints)
$g_{1} x_{1}+g_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+a_{n} x_{n} \leq,=, \geq \quad$ (machine constraints)
$h_{1} x_{1}+h_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+h_{n} x_{n} \leq,=, \geq B_{8}$
(chicken
constraints)
where $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots \ldots, x_{n} \geq$
0

## 2: Method to solve LPP using fuzzy linear and exponential membership function:

Step 1: The formulated linear programming problem first solve by using single objective function. Here we have use LINGO15 software to solve this single objective LPP and derive optimal solution say $f_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3} \ldots \ldots . . \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$, for first objective $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ and then obtain other objective value with the same solution. Procedure repeat same for $\mathrm{Z}_{2} \ldots \ldots . .$.

Step 2: Corresponding to above data we can construct matrix which can give various alternate optimal value.

Here,
Zij : indicated optimal solution of objective i using solution of objective $j, i=1,2, \ldots, k$ and $j=1,2,3 \ldots n$.

Here two different membership function are utilized to find efficient solution of this multiobjective resource allocation problem.

Fuzzy linear membership function is defined as follows[8]
$\sigma^{l}\left(z_{k}\right)=$
$\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}0, & \text { if } & z_{k} \leq L_{k} \\ 1-\frac{z_{k}-L_{k}}{U_{k}-L_{k}}, & \text { if } & L_{K}<Z_{k}<U_{k} \\ 1, & \text { if } & z_{k} \geq U_{k}\end{array}\right.$
Here for any $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}$, minimum $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k} 1}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k} 2} \ldots \ldots . . ., \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{kn}}\right)=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and Maximum $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k} 1}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k} 2} \ldots \ldots . . ., \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{kn}}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}\right.$

Corresponding to data adjustment parameter: $\sigma$ can be obtained which will give us degree of satisfaction of both the objectives.

By using linear membership function The LPP described in (3) can be converted into crisp model as follows

Maximum $\sigma$
Subject to
$Z_{k}+\sigma\left(U_{k}-L_{k}\right) \leq U_{k}$
$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} x_{i}(\leq,=, \geq) B_{j}, j=1,2,3 \ldots n \quad(\mathrm{n} \quad$ such constraints) Where $x_{i} \geq 0$

Where $x_{i j} \geq 0$
Fuzzy exponential membership function is defined as follows[8]
$\sigma^{l}\left(z_{k}\right)=$
$\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}1, & \text { if } & z_{k} \leq L_{K} \\ \frac{e^{-s \beta_{k}(x)}-e^{-s}}{1-e^{-s}}, & \text { if } & L_{K}<Z_{k}<U_{k} \\ 0, & \text { if } & z_{k} \geq U_{k}\end{array}\right.$
Where $\beta_{k}(x)=\frac{z_{k}-L_{k}}{U_{k}-L_{k}}, k=1,2,3 \ldots ., K$

Corresponding to this exponential membership function the linear programming problem will be as under

Maximum $=\sigma$

Subject to
$\left.e^{-s \beta_{k}(x)}-\left(1-e^{-s}\right) \sigma \geq e^{-s}\right)$
$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} x_{i}(\leq,=, \geq) B_{j}, j=1,2,3 \ldots n \quad$ ( $\mathrm{n} \quad$ such constraints) Where $x_{i} \geq 0$

Solution of this model will give you an efficient solution with respect to different shape parameter s.

### 3.0. Case study

In this paper we have taken the case study of a sandwich factory XYZ. This factory have production capacity of 200000 sandwich per day and it requires tremendous amount of resource like manpower, machine power, material, money and proper method to achieve target per day. This factory is divided in to units and each unit are divided into lines. So in total approximate 30 lines require 1000 people and huge amount of machinery to achieve daily target. Here we have taken the data from 1 line and similarly we can get the data for 30 lines. One line that work in two shifts require manpower, machine power, material following data have been collected.

Table-1 cost of product per unit

| product | Cost per unit |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cheese $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{w}$ | 20 |
| Cheese burger | 30 |
| Allo tiki | 20 |
| Chicken pasta | 40 |

Table- 1 cost of product per unit
Cost table Indicates cost per item

| product | Cheese <br> s/w | Cheese <br> burger | Allo tiki | Chicken <br> pasta |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bread Rs. <br> Per unit | 3 | 7 | 4 | 10 |
| Tomato <br> Rs. Per unit | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Potato <br> Rs. Per unit | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cheese <br> Rs. Per unit | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Rent <br> Rs. Per unit | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Tiki | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 |


| Rs. Per unit |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chicken <br> Rs. Per unit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Pasta <br> Rs. Per unit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Manpower <br> Rs. Per unit | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Machine <br> Rs. Per unit | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Other <br> Rs. Per unit | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 |

Table-2: Cost table Indicates cost per item

Requirement of resource to produce all type of sandwich

| product | Chees <br> $\mathbf{e}$ <br> $\mathbf{s} / \mathbf{w}$ | Chees <br> $\mathbf{e}$ <br> burge <br> $\mathbf{r}$ | All <br> $\mathbf{o}$ <br> tiki | Chicke <br> $\mathbf{n}$ <br> pasta | Availabilit <br> $\mathbf{y}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bread | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 210 |
| Tomato | 30 | 30 | 50 | 50 | 4000 |
| Potato | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15000 |
| Cheese | 20 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 4000 |
| Tiki | 0 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 110 |
| Chicken | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 4000 |
| Pasta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 3000 |
| Manpowe <br> r | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 960 |
| Machine | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 600 |
| Other | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 210 |

Table-3: Requirement of resource to produce all type of sandwich

By considering all this data the MOLPP can be formulated as follows
$x_{1}=$ Number of Chess $s / w$ sandwich
$x_{2}=$ Number of Cheese burger sandwich
$\mathrm{x}_{3}=$ Number of Allo tiki sandwich
$\mathrm{x}_{4}=$ Number of Chicken pasta sandwich
By using this variables objectives related with cost and risk can be formulated as follows

Cost $=(3+2+2+4+3+4+2+0+2+2+4) \mathrm{x}_{1}$
$+(7+1+0+5+2+5+0+0+3+3+4) x_{2}+(4$
$+2+0+5+2+5+0+0+2+0+1) \mathrm{x}_{3}$

$$
+(10+2+0+5+2+0+10+5+3+3+2) x_{4}
$$

Risk $=15 \mathrm{x}_{1}+17.5 \mathrm{x}_{2}+7 \mathrm{x}_{3}+10 \mathrm{x}_{4}$
Both the objective can be written in notation form as follows

Minz1 $=20 \mathrm{x}_{1}+30 \mathrm{x}_{2}+20 \mathrm{x}_{3}+40 \mathrm{x}_{4}$
Minz2 $=15 \mathrm{x}_{1}+17.5 \mathrm{x}_{2}+7 \mathrm{x}_{3}+10 \mathrm{x}_{4}$
All the constraints of the problem related with resource can be written as
$\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2}+\mathrm{x}_{3}+\mathrm{x}_{4}<=210 \quad$ (Bread
constraint)
$30 \mathrm{x}_{1}+30 \mathrm{x}_{2}+50 \mathrm{x}_{3}+50 \mathrm{x}_{4}>=4000$
constraint)
$20 x_{1}+25 x_{2}+25 x_{3}+25 x_{4}>=4000$
constraint)
$30 \mathrm{x}_{1}<=15000$
constraint)
$0 \mathrm{x}_{1}+1 \mathrm{x}_{2}+1 \mathrm{x}_{3}+0.0 \mathrm{x}_{4}<=110$
constraint)
$3 \mathrm{x}_{1}+3 \mathrm{x}_{2}+3 \mathrm{x}_{3}+3 \mathrm{x}_{4}<=960 \quad$ (manpower
constraint)
$2 \mathrm{x}_{1}+2 \mathrm{x}_{2}+2 \mathrm{x}_{3}+2 \mathrm{x}_{4}<=600$
constraint)
$0.04 \mathrm{x}_{4}<=4000$
constraint)
$0.025 \mathrm{x}_{4}<=3000$
constraint)
$\mathrm{x}_{1}>=20$
constraint)
$x_{2}>=20$
constraint)
$x_{3}>=20$
constraint)
$\mathrm{X}_{4}>=20$
constraint)
$x_{1}>=0, x_{2}>=0, x_{3}>=0, x_{4}>=0$

## Solution Methods:

By using LINGO solution of $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ objective individually can obtained as follows

Global optimal solution found.
Objective Min $Z_{1}$ : 3950, where
$x_{1}=37.50, x 2=20, \quad x_{3}=90, \quad x_{4}=20$
Objective Min $Z_{2}$ : 1620 , where
$x_{1}=20, \quad x_{2}=20, \quad x_{3}=90, \quad x_{4}=34$
Using this method described above we can find matrix which can give various alternate optimal value as follows

|  | $z_{1}$ <br> (cost) | $z_{k}$ <br> (minimum risk) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $f_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3} \ldots \ldots . . \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ |  |  |
| $f_{1}(37,20,90,20)$ | 3950 | 1735 |
| $f_{1}(20,20,90,34)$ | 4160 | 1620 |

By considering maximum and minimum value objective function of cost and minimum

| $z_{k}$ | $z_{1}$ <br> $($ cost $)$ | $z_{2}$ <br> (minimum risk) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $f_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3} \ldots \ldots \ldots \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ |  |  |
| $f_{1}(37,20,90,20)$ | $3950 \quad\left(L_{1}\right)$ | 1735 |
| $f_{1}(20,20,90,34)$ | $4160 \quad\left(u_{2}\right)$ |  |

Using the linear membership function we get the following model with additional constraints as follows

Maximum: $\sigma$
Subject to
$20 \mathrm{x} 1+30 \times 2+20 \times 3+40 \times 4+\sigma(210) \leq 4160 ;$ $\left(Z_{1}+\sigma\left(U_{1}-L_{1}\right) \leq U_{1}\right)$
$15 \mathrm{x} 1+17.5 \mathrm{x} 2+7 \mathrm{x} 3+10 \mathrm{x} 4+\sigma(115) \leq$
1735; $\quad\left(Z_{2}+\sigma\left(U_{2}-L_{2}\right) \leq U_{2}\right)$
$\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2}+\mathrm{x}_{3}+\mathrm{x}_{4}<=210 \quad$ (Bread
constraint)
$30 x_{1}+30 x_{2}+50 x_{3}+50 x_{4}>=4000 \quad$ (tomato constraint)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\begin{array}{lr}
20 x_{1}+25 x_{2}+25 x_{3}+25 x_{4}>=4000 \\
\text { constraint) }
\end{array}\right) \text { (cheese } \\
& \text { constraint) } \\
& 3 x_{1}+3 x_{2}+3 x_{3}+3 x_{4}<=960 \quad \text { (manpower } \\
& \text { constraint) } \\
& 2 \mathrm{x}_{1}+2 \mathrm{x}_{2}+2 \mathrm{x}_{3}+2 \mathrm{x}_{4}<=600 \quad \text { (machine } \\
& 0.04 \mathrm{x}_{4}<=4000 \text { constraint) (chicken } \\
& 0.025 \mathrm{x}_{4}<=3000 \text { constraint) (Bread } \\
& \begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{x}_{1}>=20 & \text { constraint) } & \text { (minimum } \\
\mathrm{x}_{2}>=20 & \text { constraint) } & \text { (minimum } \\
\mathrm{x}_{3}>=20 & \text { constraint) } & \text { (minimum } \\
\mathrm{x}_{4}>=20 & \text { (minimum } \\
& \mathrm{x}_{1}>=0, \mathrm{x}_{2}>=0, \mathrm{x}_{3}>=0, \mathrm{x}_{4}>=0
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

By using LINGO15 solution can be obtain as follows

Objective value $\sigma$ : 0.4842105 , $\mathrm{x}_{1}=28.47368, \mathrm{x} 2=20, \mathrm{x} 3=90, \mathrm{x}_{4}=27.22105$

Using this exponential membership function and solving it with lingo we get following LPP

Maximum $=\sigma$
Subject to:
$e^{-1\left(\frac{20 * \mathrm{x} 1+30 * \mathrm{x} 2+20 * \mathrm{x} 3+40 * \mathrm{x} 4-3950)}{210}\right)}-\left(1-e^{-1}\right) \sigma \geq$
$e^{-1}$
$e^{-1\left(\frac{15 * \mathrm{x} 1+17.5 * \mathrm{x} 2+7 * \mathrm{x} 3+10 * \mathrm{x} 4-1620)}{115}\right)}-\left(1-e^{-1}\right) \sigma$

$$
\geq e^{-1}
$$

$\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2}+\mathrm{x}_{3}+\mathrm{x}_{4}<=210 \quad$ (Bread
constraint)
$30 x_{1}+30 x_{2}+50 x_{3}+50 x_{4}>=4000 \quad$ (tomato
constraint)
$20 x_{1}+25 x_{2}+25 x_{3}+25 x_{4}>=4000 \quad$ (cheese
constraint)
$30 \mathrm{x}_{1}<=15000$
(potato
constraint)
$0 \mathrm{x}_{1}+1 \mathrm{x}_{2}+1 \mathrm{x}_{3}+0.0 \mathrm{x}_{4}<=110$
(tiki
constraint)
$3 x_{1}+3 x_{2}+3 x_{3}+3 x_{4}<=960 \quad$ (manpower
constraint)
$2 \mathrm{x}_{1}+2 \mathrm{x}_{2}+2 \mathrm{x}_{3}+2 \mathrm{x}_{4}<=600 \quad$ (machine
constraint)
$0.04 \mathrm{x}_{4}<=4000 \quad$ (chicken
constraint)
$0.025 \mathrm{x}_{4}<=3000$
(Bread
constraint)
$\mathrm{x}_{1}>=20$ (minimum
constraint)
$x_{2}>=20$
constraint)
$\mathrm{x}_{3}>=20$ (minimum
constraint)
$\mathrm{x}_{4}>=20$
(minimum
constraint)
$\mathrm{x}_{1}>=0 ; \mathrm{x}_{2}>=0 ; \mathrm{x}_{3}>=0 ; \mathrm{x}_{4}>=0$

Solution of this LPP will be given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Objective value: } & \sigma=0.6071889 \\
\mathrm{x}_{1}=28.47368, & \mathrm{x}_{2}=20.00000 \\
\mathrm{x}_{3}=90.00000, & \mathrm{x}_{4}=27.22105
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. CONCLUSION

Fuzzy theory based solution of multi objective resource allocation problem has been derived. We
got high degree of satisfaction using exponential membership function compare to linear membership function for this resource allocation problem.
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