

# A Study of $W_4$ Semi-Symmetric Generalized Sasakian-Space-Form

Abhishek Singh

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Babu Banarasi Das University, Lucknow, U.P, India,

**Abstract:** In this paper, we study  $W_4$  semi-symmetric generalized Sasakian-space-form. The Ricci-tensor, the Ricci-operator and the scalar curvature are also found in a  $W_4$  semi-symmetric generalized Sasakian-space-form.

**Keywords:** Sasakian-space-form,  $W_4$  curvature tensor, Ricci-tensor, Ricci-operator and scalar curvature.

## I. Introduction

Generalized Sasakian-space-form was introduced by Alegre et al. [1] and studied the notion of generalized Sasakian-space-form. A generalized Sasakian-space-form is an almost contact metric manifold  $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  whose curvature tensor is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= f_1[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] \\ &+ f_2[g(X, \varphi Z)\varphi Y - g(Y, \varphi Z)\varphi X + 2g(X, \varphi Y)\varphi Z] \\ &+ f_3[\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X \\ &+ g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi], \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $f_1, f_2$  and  $f_3$  are differentiable functions on  $M$  and  $X, Y, Z$  are vector fields on  $M$ . We shall write generalized Sasakian-space-form as  $M(f_1, f_2, f_3)$  in such case. This kind of manifold looks as a natural generalization of Sasakian-space-form  $M(c)$ , which can be obtain as a particular case of generalized Sasakian-space-form by taking  $f_1 = \frac{c+3}{4}$  and  $f_2 = f_3 = \frac{c-1}{4}$ , where  $c$  denotes the constant  $\varphi$ -sectional curvature. Moreover, Kenmotsu space forms, cosymplectic space form, are also particular cases of generalized Sasakian-space-form  $M(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ . Contact metric and trans-Sasakian generalized Sasakian-space-forms are also studied by Alegre and Carriazo [2]. Conformally flat and locally symmetric generalized Sasakian-space-form is studied by Kim [4] in his paper.

In this present paper  $W_4$  semi-symmetric generalized Sasakian-space form has been studied. G. P. Pokhariyal [5] introduced the notion of  $W_4$  curvature tensor. A  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional Riemannian  $M$  is  $W_4$  flat if  $W_4 = 0$ , where  $W_4$  curvature tensor is defined as

$$W_4(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z + \frac{1}{2n}[g(X, Z)QY - g(X, Y)QZ], \tag{1.2}$$

where  $Q$  is the field of symmetric endomorphism corresponding to the Ricci tensor  $S$  i.e.  $g(QX, Y) = S(X, Y)$ .

If a Riemannian manifold satisfies  $R(X, Y)W_4 = 0$ , where  $W_4$  is a  $W_4$  curvature tensor, then the manifold is said to be  $W_4$  semi-symmetric manifold.

**II. Preliminaries**

We recall some definitions and basic formulas in this section which will use later. For this, we recommend the reference [3]. A  $(2n + 1)$ –dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is said to be an almost contact metric manifold if there exist a  $(1, 1)$  tensor field  $\varphi$ , a unique global non-vanishing structural vector field  $\xi$  (called the vector field) and a 1–form  $\eta$  such that

$$\varphi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \varphi\xi = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \tag{2.1}$$

$$d\eta(X, \xi) = 0, \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \tag{2.2}$$

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \tag{2.3}$$

$$d\eta(X, Y) = g(X, \varphi Y), \quad \eta \circ \varphi = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Such a manifold is called contact manifold if  $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0$ , where  $n$  is  $n^{\text{th}}$  exterior power. For contact manifold we also have  $d\eta = \Phi$ , where  $\Phi(X, Y) = g(\varphi X, Y)$  is called fundamental 2–form on  $M$ . If  $\xi$  is killing vector field, then  $M$  is said to be  $K$ –contact manifold. The almost contact metric structure  $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  on  $M$  is said to be normal if

$$[\varphi, \varphi](X, Y) + 2d\eta(X, Y)\xi = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

for all vector field  $X, Y$  on  $M$ , where  $[\varphi, \varphi]$  denotes the Nijenhuis tensor of  $\varphi$  given by

$$[\varphi, \varphi](X, Y) = \varphi^2[X, Y] + [\varphi X, \varphi Y] - \varphi[\varphi X, Y] - \varphi[X, \varphi Y]. \tag{2.6}$$

An almost contact metric manifold  $M$  is said to be  $\eta$ –Einstein if its Ricci-tensor  $S$  is of the form

$$S(X, Y) = cg(X, Y) + d\eta(X)\eta(Y), \tag{2.7}$$

where  $c$  and  $d$  are smooth functions on  $M$ . A  $\eta$ –Einstein manifold becomes Einstein if  $d = 0$ .

If  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n}, \xi\}$  is a local orthonormal basis of vector fields in an almost contact metric manifold  $M$  of dimension  $(2n + 1)$ , then  $\{\varphi e_1, \varphi e_2, \dots, \varphi e_{2n}, \xi\}$  is also a local orthonormal basis. It is easy to verify that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n} g(e_i, e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} g(\varphi e_i, \varphi e_i) = 2n, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} g(e_i, Y) S(X, e_i) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2n} g(\varphi e_i, Y) S(X, \varphi e_i) \\ &= S(X, Y) - S(X, \xi)\eta(Y), \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

for all  $X, Y \in T(M)$ . In view of (2.4) and (2.9) and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} g(e_i, \varphi Y) S(\varphi X, e_i) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2n} g(\varphi e_i, \varphi Y) S(\varphi X, \varphi e_i) \\ &= S(\varphi X, \varphi Y). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

### III. Some results on generalized Sasakian-space –form

For a generalized Sasakian-space–form  $M(f_1, f_2, f_3)$  of dimension  $(2n + 1)$ , we have

$$R(X, Y)\xi = (f_1 - f_3)[\eta(Y) X - \eta(X) Y], \tag{3.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S(X, Y) &= (2nf_1 + 3f_2 - f_3) g(X, Y) \\ &\quad - (3f_2 + (2n - 1)f_3) \eta(X) \eta(Y). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

From (3.1), we get

$$R(X, \xi) \xi = (f_1 - f_3)[X - \eta(X) \xi], \tag{3.3}$$

$$R(X, \xi) Y = (f_1 - f_3)(\eta(Y) X - g(X, Y) \xi), \tag{3.4}$$

$$Q(X) = (2nf_1 + 3f_2 - f_3) X - (3f_2 + (2n - 1) f_3) \eta(X) \xi. \tag{3.5}$$

$$r = 2n(2n + 1)f_1 + 6nf_2 - 4nf_3, \tag{3.6}$$

where  $Q$  denotes Ricci operator and  $r$  is said to be scalar curvature of  $M(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ . From (3.2) and (3.5), we get

$$S(X, \xi) = 2n(f_1 - f_3) \eta(X) \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$Q\xi = 2n(f_1 - f_3) \xi. \tag{3.8}$$

from (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} S(e_i, e_i) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2n} S(\varphi e_i, \varphi e_i) \\ &= r - 2n(f_1 - f_3), \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where  $r$  is scalar curvature. In a generalized Sasakian-space-form  $M(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, \xi, \xi, Y) &= R(\xi, X, Y, \xi) \\ &= (f_1 - f_3)g(\varphi X, \varphi Y), \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} R(e_i, X, Y, e_i) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2n} R(\varphi e_i, X, Y, \varphi e_i) \\ &= S(X, Y) - (f_1 - f_3)g(\varphi X, \varphi Y), \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

for all  $X, Y \in T(M)$ .

### IV. $W_4$ semi-symmetric generalized Sasakian- space-form

Let  $M(f_1, f_2, f_3)$  be a  $(2n + 1)$ –dimensional generalized Sasakian-space-form. We obtain from equation (1.2) by using equations (2.2), (3.1) and (3.7)

$$\eta (W_4(X, Y) Z)(f_1 - f_3) [\eta(X) g(Y, Z) - \eta(Z) g(X, Y)]. \tag{4.1}$$

On taking  $Y = \xi$  in the equation (4.1), we get

$$\eta (W_4(X, \xi) Z) = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

The condition of quasi-conformally semi-symmetric manifold is

$$R(X, Y).W_4 = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

In virtue of above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y) W_4(U, V) W - W_4(R(X, Y)U, V) W \\ - W_4(U, R(X, Y) V) W - W_4(U, V) R(X, Y) W = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Operating  $\eta$  both side and putting  $X = \xi$  in (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(\xi, Y)W_4(U, V) W, \xi) - g(W_4(R(\xi, Y) U, V) W, \xi) \\ - g(W_4(U, R(\xi, Y) V) W, \xi) - g(W_4(U, V) R(\xi, Y) W, \xi) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Using (2.3), (3.4) and (3.10) in above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (f_1 - f_3)[W_4(U, V, W, Y) - \eta(Y) \eta(W_4(U, V) W) \\ + \eta(U) \eta(W_4(Y, V) W) + \eta(V) \eta(W_4(U, Y) W) \\ \eta(W) \eta(W_4(U, V) Y) - g(Y, U) \eta(W_4(\xi, V) W) \\ - g(Y, V) \eta(W_4(U, \xi) W) - g(Y, W) \eta(W_4(U, V) \xi)] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

The above equation states that either  $f_1 = f_3$  or

$$\begin{aligned} W_4(U, V, W, Y) - \eta(V) \eta(W_4(U, V) W) \\ + \eta(U) \eta(W_4(Y, V) W) + \eta(V) \eta(W_4(U, Y) W) \\ + \eta(W) \eta(W_4(U, V) Y) - g(Y, U) \eta(W_4(\xi, V) W) \\ - g(Y, V) \eta(W_4(U, \xi) W) - g(Y, W) \eta(W_4(U, V) \xi) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

If  $f_1 \neq f_3$ , then equation (4.7) must be true. Now we proceed under the assumption that  $f_1 \neq f_3$ . Putting  $U = Y$  in (4.7) and using equations (4.1) and (4.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} W_4(U, V, W, Y) + \eta(V) \eta(W_4(Y, Y) W) \\ + \eta(W) \eta(W_4(Y, V) Y) - g(Y, Y) \eta(W_4(\xi, V) W) \\ - g(Y, W) \eta(W_4(Y, V) \xi) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Let  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n}, e_{2n+1}, \xi\}$  is a local orthonormal basis of vector fields in  $M (f_1, f_2, f_3)$ , Putting  $Y = e_i$  in the above equation and taking the summation over  $1 \leq i \leq 2n + 1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} W_4(e_i, V, W, e_i) + \eta(V) \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \eta(W_4(e_i, e_i)W) \\ & + \eta(W) \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \eta(W_4(e_i, V)e_i) - (2n + 1) \eta(W_4(\xi, V)W) \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} g(e_i, W) \eta(W_4(e_i, V)\xi) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Now using the equations (1.2), (2.1), (2.2), (2.8), (2.9), (3.11) and (4.1), we get

$$S(V, W) = 2n(f_1 - f_3)g(V, W). \tag{4.10}$$

On taking  $W = \xi$  in the equation (4.10), we get

$$QV = 2n(f_1 - f_3)V. \tag{4.11}$$

and on taking  $V = W = \xi$  in the equation(4.10), we get

$$r = 2n(2n + 1)(f_1 - f_3). \tag{4.12}$$

**Theorem 4.1** The Ricci-tensor  $S$  and the Ricci-operator  $Q$  and the scalar curvature  $r$  of a  $W_4$  semi-symmetric generalized Sasakian-space-form are given by the equations (4.10), (4.11) and (4.12) respectively.

#### Acknowledgment

The author is thankful to Professor Ram Nivas, Department of Mathematics and Astronomy, University of Lucknow, providing suggestions for the improvement of this paper.

#### References

- [1] P. Alegre, D. E. Blair, and A. Carriazo, Generalized Sasakian Space Form, Israel J. Math. 14 (2004) 157-183.
- [2] P. Alegre and A. Carriazo, Structures on Generalized Sasakian-space-form, Diff. Geo. and its Application, Vol. 26(6)(2008) 656-666.
- [3] D. E. Blair, Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic manifolds, Birkhauser Boston, (2002).
- [4] U. K. Kim, Conformally flat Generalized Sasakian-space-forms and locally symmetric Generalized Sasakian-space-forms, Note di Mathematica 26(1)(2006) 55-67.
- [5] G. P. Pokhariyal, Curvature tensors and their relative significance III, Yokohama Math. J., 20(1973) 115-119.