

# Inclusion Attributes with Applications for Certain Subclasses of Analytic Subroutines

<sup>1</sup>Vinod Kumar, <sup>2</sup>Prachi Srivastava

<sup>1</sup>Asst Prof Department of Mathematics KCMT Bareilly,

<sup>2</sup>Asst. Prof Department of Mathematics and statistical Sciences Shri Ramswaroop Memorial University Lucknow,

## 1. Introduction

Let  $A$  be the class of functions  $f$  analytical in the open unit disc  $E = \{z: |z| < 1\}$  and are normalized with the condition  $f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1$ . Also let  $S.S^*(\gamma), C(\gamma)$  announce the subclasses of  $A$  comprising of function that are severally monovalent star like of order  $\gamma$  and convex of order  $\gamma, 0 \leq \gamma < 1$ . In  $E$ .

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be analytic in  $E$  then  $f$  is said to be subordinate to  $g$ , written as  $f \prec g$  and  $f(z) \prec g(z), z \in E$ . If there exists a Schwarz function  $w$  analytic in  $E$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $w(z) < 1$  for  $z \in E$  such that

$$f(z) = g(w(z)).$$

If  $g$  is univalent in  $E$  then  $f \prec g$  if only if  $f(0) = g(0)$  and  $f(E) \subset g(E)$ .

For  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  the convolution (Hadamard product) of  $f$  and  $g$  is defined by  $(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n = (g * f)(z), z \in E$ .

Let  $h$  be analytic, convex and univalent in  $E$  with  $h(0) = 1, \Re h(z) > 0$ . We denote the class of all analytic functions  $p$  with  $p(0) = 1$  as  $p \in \mathcal{H}$  if  $p \prec h$  in  $E$ . Let For  $f, \phi \in A, (f * g)(z) \neq 0$  and let  $f(z) * \phi(z) = f_1(z)$ . Also we define  $F(z) = (1-\lambda)f_1(z) + \lambda z f_1'(z): 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ .

We now define the following.

**Definition 1.** Let  $f, \phi \in A$  and let  $F$  be Defined by (1). Then  $f \in S(h, \phi, \lambda)$  if and only if

$$\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \prec h(z).$$

Where  $h$  is analytic convex and univalent in  $E$  with  $h(0) = 1$ .

In this Case, we say  $F \in S(h)$ .

The corresponding class  $C(h, \phi, \lambda)$  is defined as follows.

Let  $f \in A$ . Then

$$f \in C(h, \phi, \lambda) \text{ if and only if } zF' \in S(h, \phi, \lambda).$$

In other words.

$$f \in C(h, \phi, \lambda) \text{ if and only if } \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \prec h(z), z \in E.$$

**Definition 2.** Let  $f, \phi \in A$  and let  $F$  be defined by (1). Then  $f \in K(h, \phi, \lambda)$  if there exists  $g \in S(h, \phi, \lambda)$  with

$$G = (1 - \lambda)(g * \phi) + \lambda(g * \phi)'$$

Such that  $\frac{zG'(z)}{G(z)} \prec h(z), z \in E$ . Where  $\lambda \in (0,1)$  and  $h$  is analytic convex univalent in  $E, h(0)=1$ .

**Definition 3.** Let  $f, \phi \in A, (f * g)(z) \neq 0$  and let  $h$  be analytic and convex univalent in  $E$  with  $h(0) = 1$ . Then  $f \in R(h, \phi, \lambda)$ , for  $\lambda \geq 0$ . If and only if

$$(f * g)' + \lambda z(f * \phi)'' \prec h, z \in E.$$

The corresponding class  $T(h, \phi, \lambda)$  can be defined as follows. Let  $f \in A$ . Then  $f \in T(h, \phi, \lambda)$  if and only if  $zf' \in R(h, \phi, \lambda)$ .

Let  $S_\sigma$  be the class of prestarlike functions of order  $\sigma \leq 1$ . We recall that  $f \in S_\sigma$  whenever  $f \in A$  and  $f$  satisfies

$$\Re \left\{ f(z) * \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2-2\sigma}} \right\} > \sigma, \text{ if } \sigma <$$

1.

while

$$\Re \frac{f(z)}{z} > \frac{1}{2}, \text{ if } \sigma = 1.$$

For special cases, we have:

(i).  $S_0 = C$

(ii).  $S_{1/2} = S(1/2)$ , the class of starlike functions of order  $1/2$ .

(iii).  $S_1 = \bar{C}oC$ , where  $\bar{C}oC$  is the closed convex hull of  $C$ .

A prestart like functions of order  $\sigma$  is univalent.

## 2 Preliminaries

**Lemma 1([25]).** For  $\sigma \leq 1$ , let  $f \in S_\sigma$ ,  $g$  be starlike of order  $\sigma$ .  $H$  be analytic in  $E$ . Then

$$\frac{f * gH}{f * g}(E) \subset \bar{C}o(H(E)).$$

Also, for  $\sigma < 1$

$$S_\sigma * K(\sigma) \subset K(\sigma).$$

Where  $K(\sigma)$  is the class of close-to-convex functions of order  $\sigma$ .

**Lemma 2([12]).** Let  $p$  be analytic univalent convex in  $E$  with  $h(0)=1$  and  $\Re[\beta h(z) + \delta] > 0, \beta, \delta \in C, z \in E$  If  $p$  is analytic in  $E$  with  $p(0) = h(0)$ , then

$$\left\{ p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \delta} \right\} \prec h(z).$$

Implies

$$P(z) \prec q(z) \prec h(z).$$

Where  $q(z)$  is the best dominant and is given as

$$q(z) = \left[ \left\{ \int_0^1 \exp \int_t^{tz} \frac{h(u)-1}{u} du dt \right\}^{-1} - \frac{\delta}{\beta} \right].$$

**Lemma 3([4]).** Let  $\beta, \gamma$  be complex numbers. Let  $h(z)$  be convex univalent in  $E$  with  $h(0) = 1$ . And  $\Re[\beta h(z) + \gamma] > 0, z \in E$  and  $q \in A$  with  $q(z) \prec h(z), z \in E$ .

If  $p$  is analytic in  $E$  with  $p(0) = 1, \Re p(z) > 0$ . then

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta q(z) + \gamma} \prec h(z).$$

Implies  $p(z) \prec h(z)$  in  $E$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let  $p(z)$  and  $q(z)$  be analytic in  $E, p(0) = q(0) = 1$  and  $\Re q(z) > \frac{1}{2}$  for  $|z| < p$  ( $0 < p \leq 1$ ). Then the image of  $E_p = \{z: |z| < p\}$  under  $p * q$  is a subset of the closed convex hull of  $p(E)$ .

The above Lemma is a simple consequence of a result due to Nehari and Netanyahu [13].

**Lemma 5.** Let  $g(z)$  be analytic in  $E$  and  $h(z)$  be analytic and convex univalent in  $E$  with  $h(0) = g(0)$ . If

$$\left\{ g(z) + \frac{1}{\delta} zg'(z) \right\} \prec h(z), \quad (\Re(\delta) \geq 0, \delta \neq 0), \quad (2)$$

then

$$g(z) \prec h(z) = \delta z^{-\delta} \int_0^z t^{\delta-1} h(t) dt \prec h(z).$$

And  $h(z)$  is the best dominant of (2).

## 3 Main Results

**Theorem 1.**  $C(h, \phi, \lambda) \subset S(h, \phi, \lambda)$ .

Proof. Let  $f \in C(h, \phi, \lambda)$ . Set

$$\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} = p(z) \tag{3}$$

where F is defined by (1), and p(z) is analytic in E with p(0) = 1.

With simple computation, we get from (3)

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = \frac{(zF'(z))'}{F'(z)} \propto h(z).$$

And using Lemma 2, it follows that

$$P(z) \propto h(z), z \in E.$$

This implies that  $f \in S(h, \phi, \lambda)$  in E.

**Theorem 2.** Let class  $S(h, \phi, \lambda)$  is invariant under convex convolution.

This result also hold for the classes

$$C(h, \phi, \lambda), K(h, \phi, \lambda), R(h, \phi, \lambda) \text{ and } T(h, \phi, \lambda).$$

Proof. Let  $\psi \in C$  and  $f \in S(h, \phi, \lambda)$ . WE want to show that  $(\psi * f) \in S(h, \phi, \lambda)$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{z[(1-\lambda)\{\phi * (\psi * f)\}' + \lambda\{z(\phi * (\psi * f))'\}]}{z[(1-\lambda)\{\phi * (\psi * f)\} + \lambda\{z(\phi * (\psi * f))\}]} \\ &= \frac{\psi * \{[(1-\lambda)z(\phi * f)]' + \lambda\{z(z(\phi * f))'\}\}}{\psi * \{(1-\lambda)(\phi * f) + \lambda z(\phi * f)\}} \end{aligned}$$

For  $\psi \in C, [(1-\lambda)(\phi * f) + \lambda z(\phi * f)]' = F \in S(h)$  and  $S(h) \in S, p = \frac{zF'}{F} \propto h$ . we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{z[(1-\lambda)\{\phi * (\psi * f)\}' + \lambda\{z(\phi * (\psi * f))'\}]}{(1-\lambda)\{\phi * (\psi * f)\} + \lambda\{z(\phi * (\psi * f))'\}} \\ &= \frac{\psi * p\{(1-\lambda)(\phi * f) + \lambda z(\phi * f)\}}{\psi * \{(1-\lambda)(\phi * f) + \lambda z(\phi * f)\}} \tag{4} \end{aligned}$$

WE now apply Lemma 1 with  $\sigma = 0$  to(4) and have

$$(\psi * f) \subset S(h, \phi, \lambda) \text{ in } E.$$

The proof of this result for other classes follows on similar lines.

AS an application of Theorem 2. We have the following.

**Remark 1.** Since the classes  $S(h, \phi, \lambda), K(h, \phi, \lambda), R(h, \phi, \lambda)$  and  $T(h, \phi, \lambda)$ . are preserved under convolution with convex functions, it follows that these classes are invariant under the following integral operators.

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(z) &= \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt = [\log(1-z)] * f(z) \\ &= (\psi_1 * f)(z). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(z) &= \frac{2}{z} \int_0^z f(t) dt \\ &= \left[ \frac{-2}{z} \{z + \log(1-z)\} \right] * f(z) \\ &= (\psi_2 * f)(z). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_3(z) &= \frac{b_1 + 1}{z^{b_1}} \int_0^z t^{b_1-1} f(t) dt, \Re b_1 > -1 \\ &= \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_1 + 1}{b_1 + n} z^n \right) f(z) = (\psi_3 * f)(z). \end{aligned}$$

It can easily be verified that  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in C$  and we refer to [26,27] for  $\psi_3$  to be convex. We apply Theorem 2 to obtain the required result.

**Theorem 3** For  $\lambda \geq 0, S(h, \phi, \lambda) \subset S(h, \phi, 0)$

Proof. The case, When  $\lambda = 0$ , is trivial, so we suppose  $\lambda > 0$ . Let  $f \in S(h, \phi, \lambda)$  and let  $f_1 = f * \phi$ . Define

$$F(z) = (1-\lambda)f_1(z) + \lambda z f_1'(z).$$

Then  $F \in S(h)$ , that is  $\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \propto h(z)$  in E. We want to show that  $\frac{zf_1'(z)}{f_1(z)} \propto h(z)$  in E.

Let

$$\frac{zf'_1(z)}{f_1(z)} = p(z).$$

Then  $p(z)$  is analytic in  $E$  with  $p(0) = 1$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} &= \frac{zf'_1(z) + z^2f''_1(z)}{(1-\lambda)f_1(z) + \lambda zf'_1(z)} \\ &= \frac{zf'_1(z) + \lambda z(zf'_1(z))' - \lambda zf'_1(z)}{(1-\lambda)f_1(z) + \lambda zf'_1(z)} \\ &= \frac{(1-\lambda)\frac{zf'_1(z)}{f_1(z)} + \lambda z\frac{(zf'_1(z))'}{f_1(z)}}{(1-\lambda) + \lambda\frac{zf'_1(z)}{f_1(z)}} \\ &= \frac{(1-\lambda)p(z) + \lambda(p^2(z) + zp'(z))}{(1-\lambda) + \lambda p(z)} \\ &= \left[ p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z) + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1\right)} \right] \propto h(z), z \in E \end{aligned}$$

We know use Lemma 2 to have  $p(z) \propto h(z)$  in  $E$ .

**Theorem 4.** For  $\lambda \geq 0$ ,  $K(h, \phi, \lambda) \subset K(h, \phi, 0)$ .

Proof. The case  $\lambda = 0$  is trivial. We assume  $\lambda > 0$ ,  
Let

$$F(z) = (1-\lambda)(f^*\phi) + \lambda z(f^*\phi)' \quad \text{and} \quad G(z) = (1-\lambda)(g^*\phi) + \lambda z(g^*\phi)' \tag{5}$$

Let  $f \in K(h, \phi, \lambda)$ . Then there exists  $g \in S(h, \phi, \lambda)$  such that

$$\frac{zF'(z)}{G(z)} \propto h(z), z \in E.$$

Where  $F$  and  $G$  are defined by (5) . Set

$$\frac{z(f * \phi)'(z)}{(f * \phi)(z)} = p(z) \tag{6}$$

We note that  $p$  is analytic in  $E$  with  $p(0) = 1$ .

Then, from (6) and with  $\frac{z(g^*\phi)'}{(g^*\phi)} = p_0 \propto h$ , we have, after some simple computation.

$$\frac{zF'(z)}{G(z)} = p(z) + \frac{\lambda zp'(z)}{(1-\lambda) + \lambda p_0(z)} \propto h(z) \text{ in } E.$$

Using Lemma 3, we obtain the required result, that is

$$\frac{z(f(z) * \phi(z))'}{(f(z) * \phi(z))} = p(z) \propto h(z), z \in E.$$

**Theorem 5.**  $R(h, \phi, \lambda) \subset T(h, \phi, \lambda)$ .

Proof. Let  $f \in R(h, \phi, \lambda)$  and let  $\left\{ (1-\lambda)\frac{(f(z)*\phi(z))'}{z} + \lambda(f(z)*\phi(z))' \right\} = p(z)$ ,

Then  $(f(z)*\phi(z))' + \lambda(f(z)*\phi(z))'' = p(z) + zp'(z)$ .

Since  $f \in R(h, \phi, \lambda)$ , We have  $p + zp' \propto h$  and, applying Lemma 3, it follows that  $p \propto h$  in  $E$ . This proves that

$$f \in T(h, \phi, \lambda)$$

And the inclusion relation is established.

**Theorem 6.** The class  $R(h, \phi, \lambda)$  is a convex set.

Proof. Let  $f_1, f_2 \in R(h, \phi, \lambda)$  and let

$$F_1 = (1-\lambda)(f_1 * \phi)' + \lambda(z(f_1 * \phi))'$$

$$F_2 = (1-\lambda)(f_2 * \phi)' + \lambda(z(f_2 * \phi))'$$

Let

$$F(z) = \alpha[F_1(z) + (1-\alpha)F_2(z)], 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1.$$

Then

$$F'(z) + \lambda F''(z) = \alpha[F_1'(z) + (1-\alpha)F_2'(z)] + \lambda z[\alpha F_1''(z) + (1-\alpha)F_2''(z)] = \alpha p_1(z) + (1-\alpha)p_2(z) = p(z).$$

Where  $p_i(z) = F_i'(z) + \lambda z F_i''(z), i = 1, 2, p_i \in h$ .

Since  $P(h)$  is a convex set,  $P \in h$  and hence  $F \in R(h, \phi, \lambda)$  in  $E$ .

Remark 2. Functions in  $R(h, \phi, \lambda)$  can be obtained by taking convolution (Hadamard product) of the function

$$k(z) = \frac{1}{\lambda} z^{1-\frac{1}{\lambda}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1}}{1-t} dt, \lambda > 0 \tag{7}$$

With function

$$j(z) = \int_0^z p(t) dt, \quad p \in h \tag{8}$$

The following facts about the classes  $R(h, \phi, \lambda)$  and  $T(h, \phi, \lambda)$  can easily be established.

- (i)  $R(h, \frac{z}{1-z}, 0)$  and  $T(h, \frac{z}{1-z}, 1)$  consist entirely of univalent functions.
- (ii)  $T(h, \phi, \lambda)$  is a convex set.
- (iii)  $T(h, \phi, \lambda_1) \subset T(h, \phi, \lambda_2), 0 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_1$ .
- (iv)  $T(h, \phi, \lambda) \subset T(h, \phi, 1), \lambda \geq 1$ .
- (v)  $R(h, \phi, \lambda_1) \subset R(h, \phi, \lambda_2), 0 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_1$ .

We prove the result (v) as follows, For  $A_2 = 0$  we need the Lemma given below.

**Lemma 6.** Let  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $D(z) \in S(h)$ . Let  $N(z)$  be analytic in  $E$  and  $N(0) = D(0) = 0, N'(0) = D'(0) = 1$ .

Let, for  $z \in E, h$  convex univalent,  $\Re h(z) > 0$ .

$$\left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{N(z)}{D(z)} + \lambda \frac{N'(z)}{D'(z)} \right\} \in h(z),$$

Then

$$\frac{N(z)}{D(z)} \in h(z) \text{ for } z \in E.$$

Proof. The proof of this Lemma is quite straightforward when we put  $\frac{N(z)}{D(z)} = p(z)$ , and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \lambda) \frac{N(z)}{D(z)} + \lambda \frac{N'(z)}{D'(z)} &= \{ p(z) \\ &+ p_0(z) (z p'(z)) \} \in h(z), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Re p_0(z) = \Re \frac{D(z)}{D'(z)} > 0$  in  $E$ . Now using Lemma 3 we have the required result that  $\frac{N(z)}{D(z)} \in h(z)$  in  $E$ .

We now proceed to prove the inclusion result (v). We assume  $\lambda_2 > 0$  and  $f \in R(h, \phi, \lambda_1)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 - \lambda_2)(f * \phi)' + \lambda_2(z(f * \phi))' \\ &= \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \left\{ (1 - \lambda_1)(f * \phi)' + \lambda_1(z(f * \phi))' \right\} \\ &\quad + \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right) (f * \phi)' \\ &= \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} p_1(z) + \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right) p_2(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f \in R(h, \phi, \lambda_1), p_1 \in h$  and from Lemma 6,  $p_2 \in h$ . Now

$$\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} < 1 \text{ and } h(E) \text{ is convex, it follows that}$$

$$\left\{ (1 - \lambda_2)(f * \phi)' + \lambda_2(z(f * \phi))' \right\} \in h$$

Thus  $f \in R(h, \phi, \lambda_2)$ .

**Theorem 7.** Let  $f \in T(h, \phi, \lambda), 0 < \lambda < 1$ . Then  $f \in T(h, \phi, 1)$  and hence univalent for  $|z| < r_0$ , where  $r_0$  is the radius of the largest disc centered at the origin for which  $\Re k'(z) > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $k(z)$  is defined by (7)

and  $r_0$  is given by the smallest positive root of the equation.

$$\frac{\frac{2}{\lambda}-1-r}{1+r} - \frac{2}{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1\right) \int_0^1 \frac{\xi^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1}}{1+\xi r} d\xi = 0. \tag{9}$$

This result is sharp.

Proof. Since  $f \in T(h, \phi, \lambda)$ , we may write, by using Remark 2.

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= zF'(z), \quad F \in R(h, \phi, \lambda) \\ &= z(k(z) * J(z))' \\ &= zp(z) * k(z). \end{aligned}$$

Where  $p \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $k(z)$  is given by (7).

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z) &= \frac{zp(z) * zk'(z)}{z} \\ &= \frac{zp(z) * zk'(z)}{z * zk'(z)} \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Let  $zk'(z) = H(z)$

Then  $H'(z) = k'(z) + zk''(z)$ .

It is easy to see that  $k'(0) = 1$ . Therefore, for  $\Re k'(z) > \frac{1}{2}$  for  $|z| < r_0$ , we have

$$\Re \frac{H(z)}{zH'(z)} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

In  $|z| = r_0$ .

Hence  $H$  is a prestarlike function of order  $\sigma = 1$ . Also, since  $g(z) = z \in S_1$ , we can apply Lemma 1 and it follows  $f \in T(h, \phi, \lambda)$  for  $|z| < r_0$ .

The function  $f_0 \in T(h, \phi, \lambda)$ , defined as

$$f_0(z) = zh(z) * k(z).$$

Shows that the above radius given by (9) is sharp. To find the radius  $r_0$ . We proceed as follows.

From (7), we have

$$k'(z) = \frac{1}{\lambda(1-z)} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1\right) z^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1}}{1-t} dt. \tag{11}$$

Power in (11) are meant as principal values. The function  $k'(z)$  is analytic in  $E$ ,  $k'(0) = 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Re k'(z) - 1 &= \frac{2 - \lambda + \lambda z}{\lambda(1-z)} \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1\right) z^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1}}{1-t} dt. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Re k'(z) - 1 &\geq \frac{\frac{2}{\lambda} - 1 - r}{1+r} \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1\right) \int_0^1 \frac{\xi^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1}}{1+\xi r} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\Re k'(z) > \frac{1}{2}$  for  $|z| < r_0$ , where  $r_0$  is the smallest positive root of (9).

For the function  $f_0(z) = h(z) * k(z)$ ,  $f'(r_0) = 0$ .

This shows that the above result is sharp and proof is complete.

**Theorem 8** Let  $f \in R(h, \phi, 0)$ . Then  $f \in R(h, \phi, \lambda)$  for  $|z| < r_\lambda$ , where

$$r_\lambda = (1 + \lambda^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda.$$

Proof. Let  $F_1(z) = (f * \phi)(z)$ . Then  $F_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ . Now

$$F_1'(z) + (z) + \lambda z F_1''(z) = \psi_\lambda(z) * F_1'(z). \tag{12}$$

Where

$$\Psi_\lambda(z) = \frac{z(1-(1-\lambda)z)}{(1-z)^2} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 + (n-1)\lambda)z^n.$$

It is known (11) that  $\Re \frac{\Psi_\lambda(z)}{z} > \frac{1}{2}$  in  $|z| < r_\lambda$ . Now, from (12) and Lemma 4, it follows that

$$(F'_1 + \lambda z F''_1) \prec h \text{ in } |z| < r_\lambda.$$

This gives us  $F_1 \in R(h, \phi, \lambda)$  in  $|z| < r_\lambda$ , and the proof is complete.

Using Lemma 6, the following result can be easily proved.

**Theorem 9** Let

$$F = (1 - \lambda)(f * \phi) + \lambda z(f * \phi)', \quad f, \phi \in A, \lambda \geq 0.$$

And

$$G = (1 - \lambda)(g * \phi) + \lambda z(g * \phi)', \quad g \in S(h, \lambda, \phi),$$

Then

$$\frac{(zF'(z))'}{G'(z)} \prec h(z) \text{ implies } \frac{zF'(z)}{G(z)} \prec h(z) \text{ in } E.$$

We prove the following.

**Theorem 10** Let  $f \in R(h, \phi, \lambda)$ ,  $\Re h > 0$ . Then  $(f * \phi) \in C(h)$  for  $|z| < (\sqrt{2} - 1)$ .

This result is sharp.

Proof. Since  $f \in R(h, \phi, \lambda)$ ,  $h \in P$ , we have

$$(f * \phi)'(z) = k(z) * \int_0^z h(t) dt, \quad h(z) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}$$

And  $k(z)$  given by (7) is convex function in  $E$ . If show that

$$J(z) = \int_0^z h(t) dt$$

is convex for  $|z| < (\sqrt{2} - 1)$ , then  $(f * \phi) = k * J$  is also convex for  $(\sqrt{2} - 1)$  due to a well known result, see [27]. Now  $J'(z) = h(z)$ , and

$$1 + \frac{zJ''(z)}{J'(z)} = 1 + \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)}, \quad h \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}$$

Then

$$\Re \left[ 1 + \frac{zJ''(z)}{J'(z)} \right] \geq 1 - \frac{2r}{1-r^2} = \frac{1-2r-r^2}{1-r^2}$$

Since  $\left| \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} \right| \leq \frac{2r}{1-r^2}$ , see (6). Thus  $J \in C$  for  $|z| < (\sqrt{2} - 1)$  and consequently  $f \in C(\phi)$  in  $|z| < (\sqrt{2} - 1)$ . The sharpness follows from the function  $f_1 \in R(h, \phi, \lambda)$  given as

$$(f_1 * \phi)(z) = k(z) * \int_0^z \frac{1+t}{1-t} dt$$

#### 4 Applications

We shall have different choice of analytic functions  $\phi$  and  $h$  to illustrate the application of the main results.

##### I. Choices for $h(z)$

Let

$$h(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad A \in C \text{ and } B \in [-1, 0], A \neq B.$$

For  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ . These functions are called Janowski functions (6). By taking  $A = 1 - 2\alpha$ ,  $B = -1$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . We have

$$h(z) = h_\alpha(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z}.$$

This gives us  $\Re h_\alpha(z) > \alpha$  and with  $\alpha = 0$ , we have

$$h_0(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \Re h(z) > 0. \quad \text{see [6].} \tag{13}$$

II. For  $K \geq 0$ , let  $h(z) = p_k(z)$ , where

$$p_k(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}, k = 0$$

$$p_k(z) = 1 + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \left( \log \frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}} \right)^2, \quad k = 1$$

$$p_k(z) = 1 + \frac{2}{1-k^2} \sin^2 \left[ \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \arccos k \right) \arctan \sqrt{z} \right], \quad 0 < k < 1,$$

$$p_k(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{k^2 - 1} \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2R(t)} \int_0^{\frac{u(z)}{\sqrt{t}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2} \sqrt{(1-tx)^2}} dx \right) + \frac{1}{k^2 - 1}, \quad k > 1$$

Here  $u(z) = \frac{z-\sqrt{t}}{1-\sqrt{tz}}$ ,  $t \in (0,1)$ ,  $z \in E$  and  $z$  is chosen such that  $k = \cosh \left( \frac{\pi R'(t)}{4R(t)} \right)$ ,  $R(t)$  is Legendre's complete elliptic integral of the first kind and  $R'(t)$  is the complementary integral of  $R(t)$ .

The function  $p_k(z)$  play the role of extremal functions mapping  $E$  onto the conic domain  $\Omega_k$  given below

$$\Omega_k = \{u + iv: u > k\sqrt{(u-1)^2 + v^2}, k > 0\} \tag{15}$$

For fixed  $k$ ,  $\Omega_k$  represents the conic region bounded, successively, by the imaginary axis ( $k=0$ ), the right branch of hyperbola ( $0 < k < 1$ ), a parabola ( $k=1$ ) and ( $k > 1$ ). It is noted that the

functions  $p_k(z)$  are univalent in  $E$  and belong to the class Pof Caratheodry functions of positive real part. For detail, we refer to [9,10,15,17,18,19,20,21].

Now, by choosing  $h(z) = p_k(z)$  in Theorem 3, we can easily prove the following.

**Corollary 1.**  $S(p_k, \phi, \lambda) \subset S(q_k, \phi, 0)$ , where

$$q_k(z) = \left[ \int_0^1 \exp \int_t^{tz} \frac{p_k(u)-1}{u} du \right]^{-1}. \tag{16}$$

Some of the special cases are given below.

(i) Let  $k = 0$ . Then  $f \in S(\frac{1+z}{1-z}, \phi, \lambda)$  implies that  $f \in S(\frac{1}{1-z}, \phi, 0)$ . That is  $\Re \left[ \frac{z(f * \phi)}{f * \phi} \right] > \frac{1}{2}$ , for  $z \in E$ .

(ii) For  $k > 1$  and  $f \in S(p_k, \phi, \lambda)$ , we obtain from Theorem 3 and [18] that

$$f \in S\left(\frac{z}{(z-k) \log(1-\frac{z}{k})}, \phi, 0\right). \text{ That is}$$

$$\left[ \frac{z\{f(z) * \phi(z)\}}{f(z) * \phi(z)} \right] \propto \frac{z}{(z-k) \log(1-\frac{z}{k})}, z \in E.$$

Since, in this case  $q_k(-1) = \frac{1}{(k+1) \log(1+\frac{1}{k})}$ , we have

$$\Re \left[ \frac{z(f(z) * \phi(z))}{f(z) * \phi(z)} \right] > \frac{1}{(k+1) \log(1+\frac{1}{k})}.$$

(iii) For the case  $k=2$ . We note that

$$S(p_2, \phi, \lambda) \subset S(q_2, \phi, \lambda)$$

This gives us

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{z(f(z) * \phi(z))}{f(z) * \phi(z)} \right\} > q_2(-1) = \frac{1}{3 \log \frac{3}{2}} \approx 0.813.$$



(iv) Let  $k=1$ , Then

$$S\left(\left[1 + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \left(\log \frac{1 + \sqrt{z}}{1 - \sqrt{z}}\right)^2\right], \phi, \lambda\right) \subset S(q_1, \phi, 0).$$

And

$$\Re \frac{z(f(z) * \phi(z))'}{f(z) * \phi(z)} > q_2(-1) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

**Corollary 2.** Let

$$h(z) = h_\alpha(z) = \frac{1 - (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z}$$

Then, from Theorem 1 and result given in [12.p 115], it follows that

$$C(h_\alpha, \phi, \lambda) \subset S(q_\alpha, \phi, \lambda).$$

Where

$$q_\alpha(z) = \frac{(1 - 2\alpha)z}{(1 - z)[1 - (1 - z)]^{1-2\alpha}}, \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$$

$$q_\alpha(z) = \frac{z}{(z - 1)\log(1 - z)}, \quad \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}$$

**Corollary 3.** Let  $f \in S(h_\alpha, \phi, \lambda)$  and  $f_2(z) = \frac{2}{z} \int_0^z f(t) dt$ .

Then it follows from Remark 2 and a result in [12.p116] that  $\in S(H_\pi, \phi, \lambda)$ , where

$$H_\alpha(z) = \frac{2\alpha(2\alpha - 1)z^2}{(1 - z)[(1 - z)^{1-2\alpha} + (2\alpha - 1)z - 1]} - 1, \quad \alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}, \alpha \neq 0,$$

$$H_\alpha(z) = \frac{z^2}{(z - 1)[\log(1 - z) + z]} - 1, \alpha = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$H_\alpha(z) = \frac{z^2}{(1 - z)[(1 - z)\log(1 - z) + z]} - 1, \alpha = 0.$$

**(2) Choice** for  $\phi(z)$

**[2(a)]** Consider the operator  $D^n (n \in N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\})$  which is called the Salagcan derivative operator defined as

$$D^n f(z) = D(D^{n-1}f(z)) = Z(D^{n-1}f(z)),$$

With  $D^0 f(z) = f(z)$ . see [28].

Also one-parameter Jung-Kim-Srivastava integral operator [8,29] is defined as

$$I^\sigma f(z) = \frac{2^\sigma}{z\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^z \left(\log \frac{z}{t}\right)^{\sigma-1} f(t) dt, \quad (\sigma \text{ real})$$

$$= z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z}{m+1}\right)^\sigma a_m z^m, \quad (17)$$

The operator  $I^\sigma$  is closely related to the multiplier transformation studied by Flett [5].

We can express

$$D^n f(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m^n a_m z^m, \quad (18)$$

Where  $f(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m^n a_m z^m$

From (17), the following identity can easily be deduced.

$$z[I^{\sigma+1}f(z)]' = 2I^\sigma f(z) - I^{\sigma+1}f(z). \quad (19)$$

Combining the operators  $D^n$  and  $I^\sigma$ , operator

$$I_n^\sigma : A \rightarrow A$$

Is defined by taking

$$F_{n,\sigma}(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m^n \left(\frac{2}{m+1}\right)^\sigma z^m.$$

As follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_n^\sigma f(z) &= D^n(I^\sigma f(z)) = I^\sigma(D^n f(z)) \\
 &= F_{n,\sigma}(z) * f(z) \\
 &= Z \\
 &+ \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m^n \left(\frac{2}{m+1}\right)^\sigma z^m. \tag{20}
 \end{aligned}$$

We note that  $I_n^0 f(z) = D^n f(z), = D^n f(z),$

$$I_0^\sigma f(z) = I^\sigma f(z) .$$

From (20) we easily derive

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{n+1}^{\sigma+1} f(z) &= 2I_n^\sigma f(z) \\
 - I_n^{\sigma+1} f(z) & \tag{21}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, taking  $\phi(z)=F_{n,\sigma}(z),$  we have:

**Theorem 11.**

$$S(h, F_{n+1}^\sigma, \lambda) \subset S(h, F_n^\sigma, \lambda) \subset S(h, F_n^{\sigma+1}, \lambda)$$

[2(b)].  $\Phi(z) = f_{a,b}(z).$

In [3], the operator  $J_{a,b}$  is defined as  $J_{a,b} : A \rightarrow A$  by

$$J_{a,b} f(z) = f_{a,b}(z) * f(z), \quad (a>0, b>0),$$

Where  $\frac{z}{(1-z)^a} * f_{a,b}(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^b}$  see also [14].

We note that, by taking  $a= n+1, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $b=2.$  We obtain the operator considered by Noor [16,19]. Also  $J_{a,b} = L(b, a)$  is Carlson-Shaffer operator introduced in [2] as follows.

$$L(b, a)f(z) = \Psi(b, a, z) * f(z),$$

Where

$$\Psi(b, a, z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b)_m}{(a)_m} z^{m+1}, \quad a \neq 0, -1 \dots$$

Is an incomplete beta function related to the Gauss hypergeometric function by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi(b, a; z) &= {}_2F_1(1, b; a; z) \text{ and } (b)_m \\
 &= b(b+1) \dots (b+m-1), (b)_0 \\
 &= 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

We note that , by taking  $a= n+1, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $b= 2,$  we obtain the operator considered in [15,16],and

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{1,n+1} f(z) &= L(n+1, 1) f(z) \\
 &= D^n f(z) \\
 &= \frac{z(z^{n-1} f(z))^{(n)}}{n!}
 \end{aligned}$$

The Rusheweyh derivative of order  $n.$

The following identities hold for  $a>0, b>0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 z(J_{a,b} f)' &= aJ_{a,b} f - (a-1)J_{a+1,b} f, \\
 z(J_{a,b} f)' &= bJ_{a,b+1} - (b-1)J_{a,b}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using [22] and some computations, we have:

**Corollary 4. (i).** For  $b \geq 1.$

$$S(h, f_{a,b+1}, \lambda) \subset S(h, f_{a,b}, \lambda), z \in E.$$

**(ii).** For  $0 \leq \delta \leq 1, b \geq 1.$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &S\left(\frac{1+(1-2\delta)z}{1-z}, f_{a,b+1}, \lambda\right) \\
 &\subset S\left(\frac{1+(1-2\beta)z}{1-z}, f_{a,b}, \lambda\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\beta \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \{ -(2b-2\delta-1) \\
 &+ \sqrt{(2b-2\delta-1)^2 + 8(2\delta b-2\delta+1)} \}
 \end{aligned}$$

The result (ii) has been established in [15].

As a special case of Corollary 4, we deduce that ,for  $\lambda = 0, a = b = 1,$

$$S\left(\frac{1 + (1 - 2\delta)z}{1 - z}, f_{1,2}, 0\right) \subset S\left(\frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta_1)z}{1 - z}, f_{1,1}, 0\right)$$

Where  $\beta_1$  is given by (22) with  $b= 1.$  That is  $C(\delta) \subset S(\beta_1).$

$$\beta_1 = \frac{1}{4}\{(2\delta - 1) + \sqrt{4\delta^2 - 4\delta + 9}\}. \tag{22}$$

For  $\delta = 0,$  we obtain a well known result that every convex function is starlike of order  $\frac{1}{2}.$  For more results related to Corollary 4. we refer to [14].

[2(c)]  $\phi(z) = f_{\gamma,\mu}^s(z), f(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_m z^m .$

Define  $f_{\gamma,\mu}^s(z)$  as  $f_{\gamma}^s(z) * f_{\gamma,\mu}^s(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^\mu}, (\mu > 0, z \in E).$  (23)

Where  $f_{\gamma}^s(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{m+\gamma}{1-\gamma}\right)^s z^m, (\gamma > -1).$

Then, using (24), the operator  $L_{\gamma,\mu}^s : A \rightarrow A$  is introduced as

$$L_{\gamma,\mu}^s f(z) = f_{\gamma,\mu}^s(z) * f(z), (f \in A; s \in \mathbb{R}; \lambda > -1, \mu > 0), \tag{24}$$

We note that

$$L_{0,z}^0 f(z) = z f'(z), L_{0,2}^1 f(z) = f(z),$$

$$L_{1,1}^{-1} f(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} a_m z^m = \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt ,$$

And obtain the following relation

$$z \left( L_{\gamma,\mu}^s f(z) \right)' = \mu L_{\gamma,\mu+1}^s f(z) - (\mu - 1) L_{\gamma,\mu}^s f(z) \tag{25}$$

$$z \left( L_{\gamma,\mu}^{s+1} f(z) \right)' = (\gamma + 1) L_{\gamma,\mu}^s f(z) - (\gamma) L_{\gamma,\mu}^{s+1} f(z) \tag{26}$$

We can now derive the following results easily

**Corollary 5.**

$$S(p_k, f_{\gamma,\mu}^s, \lambda) \subset S\left(\frac{1-(1-2p)z}{1-z}, f_{\gamma,\mu}^{s+1}, \lambda\right)$$

Where

$$p = \frac{2(1+2p_0\gamma)}{[1-2(\gamma-p_0)] + \sqrt{([1+2(\gamma-p_0)]^2 + 8(1+2p_0\gamma))}} \cdot \frac{k}{k+1} \quad \text{and } p_0 =$$

For this result we refer to [17,18].

**Corollary 6.** Let  $f_3(z)$  be defined as in Remark 1, with  $f \in R(p_k, f_{\gamma,m,\mu}^s, \lambda).$  Then  $f_3 \in R(q_k, f_{\gamma,\mu}^s, \lambda)$  in E.

Proof. The operator defined by  $f_3$  is known as Bernardi integral operator for  $b_1=1,2,3,\dots$  see[1]. We have

$$f_3(z) = \frac{b_1 + 1}{z^{b_1}} \int_0^z t^{b_1-1} f(t) dt, \quad b_1 > -1, f \in R(q_k, f_{\gamma,\mu}^s, \lambda).$$

Then

$$(b_1 + 1)f(z) = z f'_3(z) + b_1 f_3(z). \tag{27}$$

Now writing

$$h(z) = (1 - \lambda) \left( L_{\gamma,\mu}^s f_3(z) \right)' + \lambda \left[ z \left( L_{\gamma,\mu}^s f_3(z) \right) \right]'$$

We obtain from (27).

$$(1 - \lambda) \left( L_{\gamma,\mu}^s f_3(z) \right)' + \lambda \left( z \left( L_{\gamma,\mu}^s f_3(z) \right) \right)' = h(z) + z \frac{1}{b_1 + 1} h'(z).$$

Since  $f \in R(p_k, f_{\gamma, \mu}^S f(z), \lambda)$ , it follows that

$$\left( h + \frac{1}{b_1 + 1} zh \right) \prec p_k, \quad z \in E.$$

Applying Lemma 5, we have, for  $z \in E$

$$h(z) \prec \widetilde{q}_k(z) \prec p_k(z).$$

Where

$$\widetilde{q}_k(z) = \frac{b_1 + 1}{z^{b_1}} \int_0^z t^{b_1} p_k(t) dt.$$

There  $h \prec \widetilde{q}_k$  and consequently  $f_3 \in R(\widetilde{q}_k, f_{\gamma, \mu}^S, \lambda)$ .

## References

- [1] S. D. Bernardi, Convex and starlike univalent functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (1969) 429-446.
- [2] B. C. Carlson, B. B. Shaffer, Starlike and prestart like hypergeometric functions, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **159** (1984) 737-745.
- [3] J. H. Choi, M. Saigo, H. M. Srivastava, Some inclusion properties of a certain family of integral operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **276** (2002), 432-445.
- [4] P. Eenigenburg, P. T. Mocanu, S. S. Miller, M. O. Reade, On a Briot-Bouquet differential subordination in General inequalities, **64** (1983), Internationale Schriftenreihe Numerischen Mathematik, 329-348, Birkhauser, Basel, Switzerland.
- [5] T. M. Flett, The dual of an inequality of Hardy and Littlewood and some related inequalities, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **38** (1972), 746-765.
- [6] A. W. Goodman, Univalent Functions, **I,II**, Polygonal Publishing House, Washington, New Jersey, (1983).
- [7] D. J. Hallenbeck, T. H. MacGregor, Linear problems and convexity techniques in geometric function theory, Pitman Publ. Ltd., London, (1984).
- [8] I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim, H. M. Srivastava, The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **176** (1993), 138-147.
- [9] S. Kanas, Techniques of differential subordination for domains bounded by conic sections, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **38** (2003), 2389-2400.
- [10] S. Kanas, A. Wisniowska, Conic regions and k-uniform convexity, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **105** (1999), 327-336.
- [11] J-L. Liu, K. I. Noor, On subordinations for certain analytic functions associated with Noor Integral operator, Appl. Math. Compu. **187** (2007), 1453-1460.
- [12] S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu, Differential Subordination Theory and Applications, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, Basel, (2000).
- [13] Z. Nehari, E. Netanyahu, On the coefficients of meromorphic schlicht functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8**, (1957), 15-23.
- [14] K. I. Noor, On some applications of certain integral operators, Appl. Math. Comput. **188** (2007), 814-823.
- [15] K. I. Noor, On a generalization of uniformly convex and related functions, Comput. Math. Appl. **61** (2011), 117-125.
- [16] K. I. Noor, Some classes of  $p$ -analytic functions defined by certain integral operator, Math. Inequal. Appl., **9** (2006), 117-123.
- [17] K. I. Noor, Applications of certain operators to the classes of analytic functions related with generalized conic domains, Comput. Math. Appl. **62** (2011), 4194-4206.
- [18] K. I. Noor, On generalizations of uniformly convex and related functions, Comput. Math. Appl. **61** (2011), 117-125.
- [19] K. I. Noor, M. A. Noor, On integral operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **238** (1999), 341-352.
- [20] K. I. Noor, M. A. Noor, Higher-order close-to-convex functions related with conic domain, Appl. Math. Inform. Sci. **8**, (2014).
- [21] K. I. Noor, M. Arif, W. Ul-Haq, On k-uniformly close-to-convex functions of complex order, Appl. Math. Comput. **215**, (2009) 629-635.
- [22] K. I. Noor, R. Fayyaz, M. A. Noor, Some classes of k-uniformly functions with bounded radius rotation, Appl. Math. Inform. Sci. **8** (2014), 527-533.
- [23] K. I. Noor, W. Ul-Haq, M. Arif, S. Mustafa, On bounded boundary and bounded radius rotation, J. Inequal. Appl. 2009 (2009), Article ID 813687, 12 pages.
- [24] Ch. Pommerenke, Univalent Functions, Vanderhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen, (1975).
- [25] S. Ruscheweyh, Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory, Les Presse de universite de Montreal, Montreal, (1982).
- [26] S. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for Univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **49** (1975), 109-115.
- [27] S. Ruscheweyh, T. Shiel-Small, Hadamard product of schlicht functions and the Polya-Schoenberg Conjecture, Commen. Math. Helv. **48** (1973), 119-135.
- [28] G. S. Salagean, Subclasses of Univalent functions, Lectur e Notes in Math. Springer Verlag, Berlin, **1013** (1983), 362-372.
- [29] H. M. Srivastava, N-Eng Xu, Diang-Gong Yang, Inclusion relations and convolution properties of a certain class of analytic functions associated with Ruscheweyh derivatives, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **331** (2007), 686-700.