

# On some multidimensional integral transforms of multivariable Aleph-function III

F.Y. AYANT<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Teacher in High School , France

**ABSTRACT**

The object of the paper is to obtain few general multiple integral transformations of the multivariable Aleph-function, as kernel product with Fox's H-function and general class of polynomials. Several cases are also included.

Keywords :Multivariable Aleph-function, multidimensional integral transforms ,General class of polynomials, Multivariable I-function, Aleph-function of two variables,Laguerre polynomials.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 33C99, 33C60, 44A20**

## 1.Introduction and preliminaries.

In this document, we obtain few general multiple integral transformations involving the Fox's h-function of one variable ,see [1], a class of polynomials of one variables and the multivariable Aleph-function. The Aleph-function of several variables generalize the multivariable I-function defined by H.M. Sharma and Ahmad [2] , itself is an a generalisation of G and H-functions of multiple variables. The multiple Mellin-Barnes integral occuring in this paper will be referred to as the multivariables Aleph-function throughout our present study and will be defined and represented as follows.The generalized Aleph-function of several variables is defined as following.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{We have : } \aleph(z_1, \dots, z_r) &= \aleph_{p_i, q_i, \tau_i; R: p_i(1), q_i(1), \tau_i(1); R^{(1)}; \dots; p_i(r), q_i(r), \tau_i(r); R^{(r)}}^{0, n: m_1, n_1, \dots, m_r, n_r} \left( \begin{matrix} z_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ z_r \end{matrix} \right) \\ & [ (a_j; \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)})_{1, n} ] , [ \tau_i(a_{ji}; \alpha_{ji}^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{ji}^{(r)})_{n+1, p_i} ] : \\ & \dots \dots \dots [ \tau_i(b_{ji}; \beta_{ji}^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_{ji}^{(r)})_{m+1, q_i} ] : \\ & \left( \begin{matrix} [(c_j^{(1)}), \gamma_j^{(1)})_{1, n_1}], [\tau_i(1)(c_{ji}^{(1)}, \gamma_{ji}^{(1)})_{n_1+1, p_i(1)}]; \dots ; [(c_j^{(r)}), \gamma_j^{(r)})_{1, n_r}], [\tau_i(r)(c_{ji}^{(r)}, \gamma_{ji}^{(r)})_{n_r+1, p_i(r)}] \\ [(d_j^{(1)}), \delta_j^{(1)})_{1, m_1}], [\tau_i(1)(d_{ji}^{(1)}, \delta_{ji}^{(1)})_{m_1+1, q_i(1)}]; \dots ; [(d_j^{(r)}), \delta_j^{(r)})_{1, m_r}], [\tau_i(r)(d_{ji}^{(r)}, \delta_{ji}^{(r)})_{m_r+1, q_i(r)}] \end{matrix} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^r} \int_{L_1} \dots \int_{L_r} \psi(s_1, \dots, s_r) \prod_{k=1}^r \theta_k(s_k) z_k^{s_k} ds_1 \dots ds_r \tag{1.1} \end{aligned}$$

with  $\omega = \sqrt{-1}$

$$\psi(s_1, \dots, s_r) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_j + \sum_{k=1}^r \alpha_j^{(k)} s_k)}{\sum_{i=1}^R [\tau_i \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \Gamma(a_{ji} - \sum_{k=1}^r \alpha_{ji}^{(k)} s_k) \prod_{j=1}^{q_i} \Gamma(1 - b_{ji} + \sum_{k=1}^r \beta_{ji}^{(k)} s_k)]} \tag{1.2}$$

$$\text{and } \theta_k(s_k) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m_k} \Gamma(d_j^{(k)} - \delta_j^{(k)} s_k) \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} \Gamma(1 - c_j^{(k)} + \gamma_j^{(k)} s_k)}{\sum_{i(k)=1}^{R^{(k)}} [\tau_i^{(k)} \prod_{j=m_k+1}^{q_i^{(k)}} \Gamma(1 - d_{ji}^{(k)} + \delta_{ji}^{(k)} s_k) \prod_{j=n_k+1}^{p_i^{(k)}} \Gamma(c_{ji}^{(k)} - \gamma_{ji}^{(k)} s_k)]} \tag{1.3}$$

where  $j = 1$  to  $r$  and  $k = 1$  to  $r$

Suppose , as usual , that the parameters

$a_j, j = 1, \dots, p; b_j, j = 1, \dots, q;$

$$c_j^{(k)}, j = 1, \dots, n_k; c_{j i^{(k)}}^{(k)}, j = n_k + 1, \dots, p_{i^{(k)}};$$

$$d_j^{(k)}, j = 1, \dots, m_k; d_{j i^{(k)}}^{(k)}, j = m_k + 1, \dots, q_{i^{(k)}};$$

with  $k = 1 \dots, r, i = 1, \dots, R, i^{(k)} = 1, \dots, R^{(k)}$

are complex numbers, and the  $\alpha'$ s,  $\beta'$ s,  $\gamma'$ s and  $\delta'$ s are assumed to be positive real numbers for standardization purpose such that

$$U_i^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^{(k)} + \tau_i \sum_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \alpha_{j i}^{(k)} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \gamma_j^{(k)} + \tau_{i^{(k)}} \sum_{j=n_k+1}^{p_{i^{(k)}}} \gamma_{j i^{(k)}}^{(k)} - \tau_i \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} \beta_{j i}^{(k)} - \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \delta_j^{(k)} - \tau_{i^{(k)}} \sum_{j=m_k+1}^{q_{i^{(k)}}} \delta_{j i^{(k)}}^{(k)} \leq 0 \tag{1.4}$$

The reals numbers  $\tau_i$  are positives for  $i = 1$  to  $R$ ,  $\tau_{i^{(k)}}$  are positives for  $i^{(k)} = 1$  to  $R^{(k)}$

The contour  $L_k$  is in the  $s_k$ -p lane and run from  $\sigma - i\infty$  to  $\sigma + i\infty$  where  $\sigma$  is a real number with loop, if necessary, ensure that the poles of  $\Gamma(d_j^{(k)} - \delta_j^{(k)} s_k)$  with  $j = 1$  to  $m_k$  are separated from those of  $\Gamma(1 - a_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_j^{(k)} s_k)$  with  $j = 1$  to  $n$  and  $\Gamma(1 - c_j^{(k)} + \gamma_j^{(k)} s_k)$  with  $j = 1$  to  $n_k$  to the left of the contour  $L_k$ . The condition for absolute convergence of multiple Mellin-Barnes type contour (1.9) can be obtained by extension of the corresponding conditions for multivariable H-function given by as :

$$|arg z_k| < \frac{1}{2} A_i^{(k)} \pi, \text{ where}$$

$$A_i^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^{(k)} - \tau_i \sum_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \alpha_{j i}^{(k)} - \tau_i \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} \beta_{j i}^{(k)} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \gamma_j^{(k)} - \tau_{i^{(k)}} \sum_{j=n_k+1}^{p_{i^{(k)}}} \gamma_{j i^{(k)}}^{(k)} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \delta_j^{(k)} - \tau_{i^{(k)}} \sum_{j=m_k+1}^{q_{i^{(k)}}} \delta_{j i^{(k)}}^{(k)} > 0, \text{ with } k = 1 \dots, r, i = 1, \dots, R, i^{(k)} = 1, \dots, R^{(k)} \tag{1.5}$$

The complex numbers  $z_i$  are not zero. Throughout this document, we assume the existence and absolute convergence conditions of the multivariable Aleph-function.

We may establish the asymptotic expansion in the following convenient form :

$$\aleph(z_1, \dots, z_r) = O(|z_1|^{\alpha_1} \dots |z_r|^{\alpha_r}), \max(|z_1| \dots |z_r|) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\aleph(z_1, \dots, z_r) = O(|z_1|^{\beta_1} \dots |z_r|^{\beta_r}), \min(|z_1| \dots |z_r|) \rightarrow \infty$$

where, with  $k = 1, \dots, r : \alpha_k = \min[Re(d_j^{(k)} / \delta_j^{(k)})], j = 1, \dots, m_k$  and

$$\beta_k = \max[Re((c_j^{(k)} - 1) / \gamma_j^{(k)})], j = 1, \dots, n_k$$

We will use these following notations in this paper

$$U = p_i, q_i, \tau_i; R; V = m_1, n_1; \dots; m_r, n_r \tag{1.6}$$

$$W = p_{i^{(1)}}, q_{i^{(1)}}, \tau_{i^{(1)}}; R^{(1)}, \dots, p_{i^{(r)}}, q_{i^{(r)}}, \tau_{i^{(r)}}; R^{(r)} \tag{1.7}$$

$$A = \{(a_j; \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)})_{1,n}\}, \{\tau_i(a_{j i}; \alpha_{j i}^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{j i}^{(r)})_{n+1, p_i}\} \tag{1.8}$$

$$B = \{\tau_i(b_{ji}; \beta_{ji}^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_{ji}^{(r)})_{m+1, q_i}\} \tag{1.9}$$

$$C = \{(c_j^{(1)}; \gamma_j^{(1)})_{1, n_1}, \tau_{i(1)}(c_{ji(1)}^{(1)}; \gamma_{ji(1)}^{(1)})_{n_1+1, p_i(1)}, \dots, \{(c_j^{(r)}; \gamma_j^{(r)})_{1, n_r}, \tau_{i(r)}(c_{ji(r)}^{(r)}; \gamma_{ji(r)}^{(r)})_{n_r+1, p_i(r)}\} \tag{1.10}$$

$$D = \{(d_j^{(1)}; \delta_j^{(1)})_{1, m_1}, \tau_{i(1)}(d_{ji(1)}^{(1)}; \delta_{ji(1)}^{(1)})_{m_1+1, q_i(1)}, \dots, \{(d_j^{(r)}; \delta_j^{(r)})_{1, m_r}, \tau_{i(r)}(d_{ji(r)}^{(r)}; \delta_{ji(r)}^{(r)})_{m_r+1, q_i(r)}\} \tag{1.11}$$

The multivariable Aleph-function write :

$$\aleph(z_1, \dots, z_r) = \aleph_{U:W}^{0, n; V} \left( \begin{array}{c} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ z_r \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{l} A : C \\ \vdots \\ B : D \end{array} \right) \tag{1.12}$$

Srivastava [5] introduced the general class of polynomials :

$$S_N^M(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{[N/M]} \frac{(-N)_{Mk}}{k!} A_{N,k} x^k, N = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{1.19}$$

Where  $M$  is an arbitrary positive integer and the coefficient  $A_{N,k}$  are arbitrary constants, real or complex.

By suitably specialized the coefficient  $A_{N,k}$  the polynomials  $S_N^M(x)$  can be reduced to the classical orthogonal polynomials such as Jacobi, Hermite, Legendre and Laguerre polynomials etc.

## 2. Main Result

$$\int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty x_1^{\sigma_1-1} \dots x_r^{\sigma_r-1} (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^\sigma S_\alpha^\beta [(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^h] \\ H_{p,q}^{m,o} \left[ \zeta(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r}) \middle| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \epsilon_1), \dots, (e_p, \epsilon_p) \\ (g_1, \gamma_1), \dots, (g_q, \gamma_q) \end{array} \right] \aleph_{U:W}^{0, n; V} \left( \begin{array}{c} z_1 X_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ z_r X_r \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{l} A : C \\ \vdots \\ B : D \end{array} \right) dx_1 \dots dx_r \\ = \zeta^{-s} \Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r) \sum_{k=0}^{[\beta/\alpha]} \frac{(-\beta)_{k\alpha}}{k!} A_{\beta,k} \eta^k \zeta^{-hk} \aleph_{U_r+q, p+1; W}^{0, n+r+m; V} \left( \begin{array}{c} Z_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ Z_r \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{l} (1-\rho_j/\sigma_j; \zeta'_j/\sigma_j, \dots, \zeta_j^{(r)}/\sigma_j)_{1,r}, \\ \vdots \\ (1-S+\sigma; N_1 - n_1, \dots, N_r - n_r), \end{array} \right) \\ (1-g_j - (S + hk)\gamma_j; N_1\gamma_j, \dots, N_r\gamma_j)_{1,q}, A : C \\ \vdots \\ (1-e_j - (S + hk)\epsilon_j; N_1\epsilon_j, \dots, N_r\epsilon_j)_{1,p}, B : D \tag{2.1}$$

where  $X_i = x_1^{\zeta_1^{(i)}} \dots x_r^{\zeta_r^{(i)}} (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^{\eta_i}$  (2.2)

$$S = \sigma + \frac{\sigma_1}{\rho_1} + \dots + \frac{\sigma_r}{\rho_r} \tag{2.3}$$

$$\Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r) = (\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_r)^{-1} k_1^{-\sigma_1/\rho_1} \dots k_r^{-\sigma_r/\rho_r} \tag{2.4}$$

$$N_i = n_i + \frac{\zeta_1^{(i)}}{\rho_1} + \dots + \frac{\zeta_r^{(i)}}{\rho_r} \tag{2.5}$$

$$Z_i = z_i \zeta^{-N_i} k_1^{-\zeta_1^{(i)}/\rho_1} \dots k_r^{-\zeta_r^{(i)}/\rho_r} \tag{2.6}$$

$$\text{and } U_{r+q,p+1} = p_i + r + q, q_i + p + 1, \tau_i; R \tag{2.7}$$

Provided

a)  $k_i > 0, \rho_i > 0, n_i \geq 0, \zeta_j^{(i)} > 0, i, j = 1, \dots, r$

b)  $Re(\sigma_i) > 0, i = 1, \dots, r$  and

c)  $Re[S + \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \frac{g_j}{\gamma_j} + \sum_{i=1}^r N_i \min_{1 \leq j \leq m_i} \frac{d_j^{(i)}}{\delta_j^{(i)}}] > 0$

d)  $|arg(\zeta)| < \frac{1}{2}\pi \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j - \sum_{j=m+1}^q \gamma_j - \sum_{j=1}^p \epsilon_j \right)$

e)  $|arg z_k| < \frac{1}{2} A_i^{(k)} \pi$ , where  $A_i^{(k)}$  is given in (1.5)

**Proof :** Let  $M = \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^r} \int_{L_1} \dots \int_{L_r} \psi(s_1, \dots, s_r) \prod_{k=1}^r \theta_k(s_k) z_k^{s_k}$

and  $S_r = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty x_1^{\sigma_1-1} \dots x_r^{\sigma_r-1} f[(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})] \mathfrak{N}_{U:W}^{0,n;V} \left( \begin{matrix} z_1 X_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_r X_r \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} A : C \\ \dots \\ B : D \end{matrix} \right) dx_1 \dots dx_r$

where the  $X_i$  is defined by (2.2) and the function f is such that the multiple integral converges. On replacing the multivariable Aleph-function occurring here by contour integral given by (1.1), under the various conditions stated with (2.1), we find that

$$S_r = M \left\{ \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty x_1^{\sigma_1 + \sum_{i=1}^r s_i \zeta_1^{(i)} - 1} \dots x_r^{\sigma_r + \sum_{i=1}^r s_i \zeta_r^{(i)} - 1} (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^{\sum_{i=1}^r n_i s_i} f[(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})] dx_1 \dots dx_r \right\} ds_1 \dots ds_r \tag{2.8}$$

Now we evaluate the innermost  $(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ -integral by using the following form

$$\int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty x_1^{\sigma_1-1} \dots x_r^{\sigma_r-1} (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^\sigma f[(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})] dx_1 \dots dx_r = \Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r) \frac{\Gamma(\sigma_1/\rho_1) \dots \Gamma(\sigma_r/\rho_r)}{\Gamma(\sigma_1/\rho_1 + \dots + \sigma_r/\rho_r)} \int_0^\infty z^{\sigma_1/\rho_1 + \dots + \sigma_r/\rho_r + \sigma - 1} f(z) dz \tag{2.9}$$

where  $\Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r)$  is given by (2.4) and  $min\{k_i, \rho_i, Re(\sigma_i)\} > 0, i = 1, \dots, r$  then (2.8) reduces in the following form

$$S_r = \Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r) M \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\sigma_1^*/\rho_1) \cdots \Gamma(\sigma_r^*/\rho_r)}{\Gamma(\sigma_1^*/\rho_1 + \cdots + \sigma_r^*/\rho_r)} Y_1^{s_1} \cdots Y_r^{s_r} \left\{ \int_0^\infty z^{\sigma_1/\rho_1 + \cdots + \sigma_r/\rho_r + \sigma - 1} f(z) dz \right\} \right] ds_1 \cdots ds_r \tag{2.10}$$

where  $\Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r)$ ,  $N_i$  and  $S$  are given by (2.4), (2.5) and (2.3) and  $Y_i = zk_i^{\sum_{j=1}^r \zeta_j^{(i)}/\rho_j}$ ,

$$\sigma_j^* = \sigma_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \zeta_j^{(i)} s_i, j = 1, \dots, r$$

Now in the integral (2.10), we get  $f(z) = S_\alpha^\beta [(\gamma z^h) H_{p,q}^{m,o} \left[ z^\zeta \left| \begin{matrix} (e_1, \epsilon_1), \dots, (e_p, \epsilon_p) \\ (g_1, \gamma_1), \dots, (g_q, \gamma_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right]]$  \tag{2.11}

and evaluate the z-integral by following formula (when n = 0), expressing the Mellin transform of Fox's H-function [5,p.311,eq(3.3)].

$$\mathfrak{M}\{H_{p,q}^{m,n}(zx) : s\} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(\beta_j + B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1 - \alpha_j - A_j s)}{\prod_{j=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - \beta_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma(\alpha_j + A_j s)} z^{-s} \tag{2.12}$$

Interpret the resulting  $(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ -integral as a multivariable Aleph-function, we obtain the desired result (2.1)

### 3. Particular cases

For the general class of polynomials, we take the cases of Hermite polynomials [9,p.106,eq.(5.54)] and [8,p.158] by setting  $S_\beta^2 = z^{\beta/2} H_\beta \left[ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{z}} \right]$  in which case  $\alpha = 2, A_{\beta,k} = (-)^k$

the result (2.1) reduces in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{a) } \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty x_1^{\sigma_1-1} \cdots x_r^{\sigma_r-1} (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \cdots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^{\sigma + \beta h/2} H_\beta \left[ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\eta(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \cdots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^h}} \right] \\ & H_{p,q}^{m,o} \left[ \zeta(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \cdots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r}) \left| \begin{matrix} (e_1, \epsilon_1), \dots, (e_p, \epsilon_p) \\ (g_1, \gamma_1), \dots, (g_q, \gamma_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \mathfrak{N}_{U:W}^{0,n:V} \left( \begin{matrix} z_1 X_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ z_r X_r \end{matrix} \left| \begin{matrix} A : C \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ B : D \end{matrix} \right. \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_r \\ & = \zeta^{-s} \Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r) \sum_{k=0}^{[\beta/2]} \frac{\beta!(-)^k}{(\beta - 2k)!k!} \eta^k \zeta^{-hk} \mathfrak{N}_{U_{r+q,p+1}:W}^{0,n+r+m:V} \left( \begin{matrix} Z_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ Z_r \end{matrix} \left| \begin{matrix} (1-\rho_j/\sigma_j; \zeta_j'/\sigma_j, \dots, \zeta_j^{(r)}/\sigma_j)_{1,r}, \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ (1-S+\sigma; N_1 - n_1, \dots, N_r - n_r), \end{matrix} \right. \right) \\ & \left. \left. \begin{matrix} (1-g_j - (S + hk)\gamma_j; N_1\gamma_j, \dots, N_r\gamma_j)_{1,q}, A : C \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ (1-e_j - (S + hk)\epsilon_j; N_1\epsilon_j, \dots, N_r\epsilon_j)_{1,p}, B : D \end{matrix} \right) \right) \tag{3.1} \end{aligned}$$

valid under the same conditions and notations that (2.1)

b) If we set  $\alpha = 1$  and  $A_{\beta,k} = \binom{\beta + v}{\beta} \frac{1}{(v + 1)_k}$ , the general class of polynomials reduces in Laguerre

polynomials [9, p.106,eq.(15,16)] and [8,p.159] where Laguerre polynomials is given by

$$L_{\beta}^v(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\beta} \binom{\beta + v}{\beta - k} \frac{(-z)^k}{k!}$$

the result (2.1) reduces in the following form

$$b) \int_0^{\infty} \dots \int_0^{\infty} x_1^{\sigma_1-1} \dots x_r^{\sigma_r-1} (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^{\sigma} L_{\beta}^v [(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^h]$$

$$H_{p,q}^{m,o} \left[ \zeta (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r}) \middle| \begin{matrix} (e_1, \epsilon_1), \dots, (e_p, \epsilon_p) \\ (g_1, \gamma_1), \dots, (g_q, \gamma_q) \end{matrix} \right] \mathbb{N}_{U:W}^{0,n:V} \left( \begin{matrix} z_1 X_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ z_r X_r \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} A : C \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ B : D \end{matrix} \right) dx_1 \dots dx_r$$

$$= \zeta^{-s} \Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r) \sum_{k=0}^{\beta} \binom{\beta + v}{\beta - k} \frac{(-\eta)^k}{k!} \zeta^{-hk} \mathbb{N}_{U_{r+q,p+1}:W}^{0,n+r+m:V} \left( \begin{matrix} Z_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ Z_r \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (1-\rho_j/\sigma_j; \zeta'_j/\sigma_j, \dots, \zeta_j^{(r)}/\sigma_j)_{1,r}, \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ (1-S+\sigma; N_1 - n_1, \dots, N_r - n_r), \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$\left. \begin{matrix} (1-g_j - (S + hk)\gamma_j; N_1\gamma_j, \dots, N_r\gamma_j)_{1,q}, A : C \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ (1-e_j - (S + hk)\epsilon_j; N_1\epsilon_j, \dots, N_r\epsilon_j)_{1,p}, B : D \end{matrix} \right) \tag{3.2}$$

valid under the same conditions and notations that (2.1)

For the Jacobi polynomials [9, p.68,eq.(15,16)] and [8,p.159] by setting

$$S_{\beta}^1(z) = P_{\beta}^{(s,t)}(1 - 2z) \text{ in which case } \alpha = 1 \text{ and } A_{\beta,k} = \binom{\beta + s}{\beta} \frac{(s + t + \beta + 1)_k}{(s + 1)_k}$$

the result (2.1) reduces in the following form

$$c) \int_0^{\infty} \dots \int_0^{\infty} x_1^{\sigma_1-1} \dots x_r^{\sigma_r-1} (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^{\sigma} P_{\beta}^{(s,t)} [1 - 2\eta(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^h]$$

$$H_{p,q}^{m,o} \left[ \zeta (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r}) \middle| \begin{matrix} (e_1, \epsilon_1), \dots, (e_p, \epsilon_p) \\ (g_1, \gamma_1), \dots, (g_q, \gamma_q) \end{matrix} \right] \mathbb{N}_{U:W}^{0,n:V} \left( \begin{matrix} z_1 X_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ z_r X_r \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} A : C \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ B : D \end{matrix} \right) dx_1 \dots dx_r$$

$$= \zeta^{-s} \Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r) \sum_{k=0}^{\beta} \binom{\beta + s}{\beta} \binom{\beta + t + k + s}{k} \zeta^{-hk} \mathbb{N}_{U_{r+q,p+1}:W}^{0,n+r+m:V} \left( \begin{matrix} Z_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ Z_r \end{matrix} \middle| \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \begin{aligned} & (1-\rho_j/\sigma_j; \zeta'_j/\sigma_j, \dots, \zeta_j^{(r)}/\sigma_j)_{1,r}, (1-g_j - (S + hk)\gamma_j; N_1\gamma_j, \dots, N_r\gamma_j)_{1,q}, A : C \\ & \dots \\ & (1-S+\sigma; N_1 - n_1, \dots, N_r - n_r), (1-e_j - (S + hk)\epsilon_j; N_1\epsilon_j, \dots, N_r\epsilon_j)_{1,p}, B : D \end{aligned} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

valid under the same conditions and notations that (2.1)

#### 4. Multivariable I-function

If  $\tau_i, \tau_{i(1)}, \dots, \tau_{i(r)} \rightarrow 1$ , the Aleph-function of several variables degenerate to the I-function of several variables. The multiple integral have been derived in this section for multivariable I-functions defined by Sharma et al [2].

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty x_1^{\sigma_1-1} \dots x_r^{\sigma_r-1} (k_1x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_rx_r^{\rho_r})^\sigma S_\alpha^\beta [(k_1x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_rx_r^{\rho_r})^h] \\ & H_{p,q}^{m,o} \left[ \zeta(k_1x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_rx_r^{\rho_r}) \middle| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \epsilon_1), \dots, (e_p, \epsilon_p) \\ (g_1, \gamma_1), \dots, (g_q, \gamma_q) \end{array} \right] I_{U:W}^{0,n:V} \left( \begin{array}{c} z_1X_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_rX_r \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{l} A : C \\ \dots \\ B : D \end{array} \right) dx_1 \dots dx_r \\ & = \zeta^{-s} \Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r) \sum_{k=0}^{[\beta/\alpha]} \frac{(-\beta)_{k\alpha}}{k!} A_{\beta,k} \eta^k \zeta^{-hk} I_{U_{r+q,p+1}:W}^{0,n+r+m:V} \left( \begin{array}{c} Z_1 \\ \vdots \\ Z_r \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{l} (1-\rho_j/\sigma_j; \zeta'_j/\sigma_j, \dots, \zeta_j^{(r)}/\sigma_j)_{1,r}, \\ \dots \\ (1-S+\sigma; N_1 - n_1, \dots, N_r - n_r), \end{array} \right. \\ & \left. \begin{aligned} & (1-g_j - (S + hk)\gamma_j; N_1\gamma_j, \dots, N_r\gamma_j)_{1,q}, A : C \\ & \dots \\ & (1-e_j - (S + hk)\epsilon_j; N_1\epsilon_j, \dots, N_r\epsilon_j)_{1,p}, B : D \end{aligned} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

valid under the same conditions and notations that (2.1) with  $\tau_i, \tau_{i(1)}, \dots, \tau_{i(r)} \rightarrow 1$

#### 5. Aleph-function of two variables

If  $r = 2$ , we obtain the Aleph-function of two variables defined by K.Sharma [4], and we have the following multiple integral.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty x_1^{\sigma_1-1} x_2^{\sigma_2-1} (k_1x_1^{\rho_1} + k_2x_2^{\rho_2})^\sigma S_\alpha^\beta [(k_1x_1^{\rho_1} + k_2x_2^{\rho_2})^h] \\ & H_{p,q}^{m,o} \left[ \zeta(k_1x_1^{\rho_1} + k_2x_2^{\rho_2}) \middle| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \epsilon_1), \dots, (e_p, \epsilon_p) \\ (g_1, \gamma_1), \dots, (g_q, \gamma_q) \end{array} \right] \aleph_{U:W}^{0,n:V} \left( \begin{array}{c} z_1X_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_2X_2 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{l} A : C \\ \dots \\ B : D \end{array} \right) dx_1 \dots dx_r \\ & = \zeta^{-s} \Psi(k_1, k_2) \sum_{k=0}^{[\beta/\alpha]} \frac{(-\beta)_{k\alpha}}{k!} A_{\beta,k} \eta^k \zeta^{-hk} \aleph_{U_{2+q,p+1}:W}^{0,n+2+m:V} \left( \begin{array}{c} Z_1 \\ \vdots \\ Z_2 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{l} (1-\rho_j/\sigma_j; \zeta_j^{(1)}/\sigma_j, \zeta_j^{(2)}/\sigma_j)_{1,2}, \\ \dots \\ (1-S+\sigma; N_1 - n_1, N_2 - n_2), \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} &(1-g_j - (S + hk)\gamma_j; N_1\gamma_j, N_2\gamma_j)_{1,q}, A : C \\ &\quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \\ &(1-e_j - (S + hk)\epsilon_j; N_1\epsilon_j, N_2\epsilon_j)_{1,p}, B : D \end{aligned} \right) \tag{5.1}$$

valid under the same conditions and notations that (2.1) with  $r = 2$

### 6. I-function of two variables

If  $\tau_i, \tau'_i, \tau''_i \rightarrow 1$ , then the Aleph-function of two variables degenerates in the I-function of two variables defined by sharma et al [3] and we obtain the same formulae with the I-function of two variables.

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty x_1^{\sigma_1-1} x_2^{\sigma_2-1} (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + k_2 x_2^{\rho_2})^\sigma S_\alpha^\beta [(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + k_2 x_2^{\rho_2})^h]$$

$$H_{p,q}^{m,o} \left[ \zeta(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + k_2 x_2^{\rho_2}) \left| \begin{array}{l} (e_1, \epsilon_1), \dots, (e_p, \epsilon_p) \\ (g_1, \gamma_1), \dots, (g_q, \gamma_q) \end{array} \right. \right] I_{U:W}^{0,n:V} \left( \begin{array}{c} z_1 X_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ z_2 X_2 \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} A : C \\ \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \\ B : D \end{array} \right. \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_r$$

$$= \zeta^{-s} \Psi(k_1, k_2) \sum_{k=0}^{[\beta/\alpha]} \frac{(-\beta)_{k\alpha}}{k!} A_{\beta,k} \eta^k \zeta^{-hk} I_{U_{2+q,p+1}:W}^{0,n+2+m:V} \left( \begin{array}{c} Z_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ Z_2 \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} (1-\rho_j/\sigma_j; \zeta_j^{(1)}/\sigma_j, \zeta_j^{(2)}/\sigma_j)_{1,2}, \\ \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \\ (1-S+\sigma; N_1 - n_1, N_2 - n_2), \end{array} \right. \right)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} &(1-g_j - (S + hk)\gamma_j; N_1\gamma_j, N_2\gamma_j)_{1,q}, A : C \\ &\quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \\ &(1-e_j - (S + hk)\epsilon_j; N_1\epsilon_j, N_2\epsilon_j)_{1,p}, B : D \end{aligned} \right) \tag{6.1}$$

valid under the same conditions and notations that (2.1) with  $r = 2$

Remark

If  $\tau_i, \tau_{i(1)}, \dots, \tau_{i(r)} \rightarrow 1$  and  $R = R^{(1)} = \dots = R^{(r)} = 1$  the Aleph-function of several variables degenerates to the H-function of several variables defined by Srivastava et al [6]. The results have been by Srivastava et al [7].

### 7. Conclusion

In this paper we have evaluated several multiple integrals involving the multivariable Aleph-function, a class of polynomials and Fox's H-function of one variable. The multiple integrals established in this paper is of very general nature as it contains Multivariable Aleph-function, which is a general function of several variables studied so far. Thus, the integral established in this research work would serve as a key formula from which, upon specializing the parameters, as many as desired results involving the special functions of one and several variables can be obtained.

### REFERENCES

[1] Fox C. The G and H-function as symmetrical Fourier Kernels. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 98 (1961), page 395-429  
 [2] Sharma C.K. and Ahmad S.S.: On the multivariable I-function. Acta ciencia Indica Math , 1994 vol 20, no2, p 113-



116.

- [3] C.K. Sharma and P.L. mishra : On the I-function of two variables and its properties. Acta Ciencia Indica Math , 1991 Vol 17 page 667-672.
- [4] Sharma K. On the integral representation and applications of the generalized function of two variables , International Journal of Mathematical Engineering and Sciences , Vol 3 , issue1 ( 2014 ) , page1-13.
- [5] Srivastava H.M. A contour integral involving Fox's H-function. Indian J. Maths 14 (1972), page 1-6.
- [6] H.M. Srivastava And R.Panda. Some expansion theorems and generating relations for the H-function of several complex variables. Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. 24(1975), p.119-137.
- [7] H.M. Srivastava And R.Panda. Some multiple integral transformations involving the H-function of several variables . Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser A 82(3), (1979), page 353-362.
- [8] Srivastava H.M. and Singh N.P. The integration of certain products of the multivariable H-function with a general class of polynomials. Rend. Cir. Mat. Palermo 32(2), (1983), page 157-187.
- [9] Szego G. Orthogonal polynomials. Amer. Math Soc. Colloq. Publ. 23, Fourth edition, amer, math, soc, Providence, Rhode Island (1975).

Personal adress : 411 Avenue Joseph Raynaud  
Le parc Fleuri , Bat B  
83140 , Six-Fours les plages  
Tel : 06-83-12-49-68  
Department : VAR  
Country : FRANCE