

Fixed Point Theorems for Multivalued Contractive mappings in b-Metric Space

U. Karuppiah¹ and M. Gunaseelan²

1.Department of Mathematics, St.Joseph's College(Autonomous),
Tiruchirappalli-620 002,India.

2.Department of Mathematics, Sri Sankara Arts and Science College,
Enathur, Kanchipuram-631 561,India.

25 Sep 2016

Abstract

In this paper,we prove a fixed point theorem for multivalued contractive mappings in b-metric spaces.This results offers a generalization of Swati Agarawal,K.Qureshi and Jyoti Nema theorem in [1].An example to support our result is presented

Key words:b-Metric space;Contraction;Multivalued mappings;Fixed point.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

In many branches of science,economics,computer science,engineering and the development of nonlinear analysis,the fixed point theory is one of the most important tool.In 1989,Backhtin [2] introduced the concept of b-metric space.In 1993,Czerwik [6] extended the results of b-metric spaces.Using this idea many researcher presented generalization of the renowned banach fixed point theorem in the b-metric space.Mehmet Kir [8],Boriceanu [5],Czerwik [6],Bota [4],Pacurar [9] extended the fixed point theorem in b-metric space.Various problems of the convergence of measurable functions with respect to measure,Czerwik [6] first presented a generalization of banach fixed point theorem in b-metric spaces.The following definitions will be needed in the sequel:

Definition 1.1. [6] *Let X be a nonempty set and let $s \geq 1$ be a given real number.A function $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is said to be a b-metric if and only if for all $x, y, z \in X$ the following conditions are satisfied :*

(1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;

(2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$;

(3) $d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$.

Then,the triplet (X, d, s) is called a b-metric space.

It is an obvious fact that a metric space is also a b-metric space with $s = 1$, but the converse is not generally true. To support this fact, we have the following example.

Example 1.1. [5] *The set $l_p(\mathbb{R})$ (with $0 < p < 1$), where $l_p(\mathbb{R}) := \{(x_n) \subset \mathbb{R} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p < \infty\}$, together with the function $d : l_p(\mathbb{R}) \times l_p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $d(x, y) = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n - y_n|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ where $x = x_n, y = y_n \in l_p(\mathbb{R})$ is a b-metric space. By an elementary calculation we obtain that $d(x, z) \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} [d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$.*

Example 1.2. [5] *Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $d(2, 0) = d(0, 2) = m \geq 2, d(0, 1) = d(1, 2) = d(1, 0) = d(2, 1) = 1$ and $d(0, 0) = d(1, 1) = d(2, 2) = 0$. then $d(x, y) \leq \frac{m}{2} [d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. If $m > 2$ then the triangle inequality does not hold.*

Example 1.3. [5] *The space $L_p(0 < p < 1)$, for all real function $x(t), t \in [0, 1]$ such that $(\int_0^1 |x(t) - y(t)|^p dt)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.*

Definition 1.2. [5] *Let (X, d) be a b-metric space. Then a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called a Cauchy sequence if and only if for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $n(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n, m \geq n(\epsilon)$ we have $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$.*

Definition 1.3. [5] *Let (X, d) be a b-metric space. Then a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called convergent sequence if and only if there exists $x \in X$ such that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n(\epsilon)$ we have $d(x_n, x) < \epsilon$. In this case we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.*

Definition 1.4. [10] *Let X and Y be nonempty sets. T is said to be multivalued mapping from X to Y if T is a function for X to the power set of Y . we denote a multivalued map: $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$.*

Definition 1.5. [10] *A point of $x_0 \in X$ is said to be a fixed point of the multivalued mappings T if $x_0 \in Tx_0$.*

Definition 1.6. [3] *Let (X, d) be a metric space. A map $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called Contraction if there exists $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ such that $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$.*

Definition 1.7. [3] *Let (X, d) be a metric space. We define the Hausdorff metric on $CB(X)$ induced by d . That is $H(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} d(y, A)\}$ for all $A, B \in CB(X)$, where $CB(X)$ denotes the family of all nonempty closed and bounded subsets of X and $d(x, B) = \inf\{d(x, b) : b \in B\}$, for all $x \in X$.*

Definition 1.8. [3] *Let (X, d) be a metric space. A map $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ is said to be multivalued contraction if there exists $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ such that $H(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$.*

In 2016, Swati Agarawal, K. Qureshi and Jyoti Nema proved the following theorem in [1].

Theorem 1.4. *Let (X, d) be a complete b-metric space. Let T be a mappings $T: X \rightarrow X$ such that*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq a \max\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, y)\} + b\{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)\}$$

where $a, b > 0$ such that $a + 2bs \leq 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $s \geq 1$ then T has a unique fixed point.

In 1996, B.E. Rhoades define the contractive definition as follows:
Let $F: X \rightarrow CB(X)$. For each $x, y \in X$,

$$H(Fx, Fy) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta \max\{d(x, Fx), d(y, Fy)\} + \gamma [d(x, Fy) + d(y, Fx)], \tag{1}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ and such that

$$s := \left(\frac{1 + \alpha + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma}\right) \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \gamma}\right) < 1.$$

In this paper, we study the existence of fixed point on above contractive mapping under b-metric space. Our results generalization of [1].

2 Main results

Theorem 2.1. *Let (X, d) be a complete b-metric space with constant $s \geq 1$ and the mapping $T: X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be multivalued map satisfying*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq ad(x, y) + b \max\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\} + c[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] \tag{2}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, and $a, b, c \in [0, 1)$ are constant such that $a + b + c < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point in X .

Proof. For every $x_0 \in X$ and $n \geq 1, x_1 \in Tx_0$ and $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$. we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) &\leq H(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1}) \\ &\leq ad(x_n, x_{n-1}) + b \max\{d(x_n, Tx_n), d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})\} \\ &\quad + c[d(x_n, Tx_{n-1}) + d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n)] \\ &\leq ad(x_n, x_{n-1}) + b \max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\} \\ &\quad + c[d(x_n, x_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] \\ &\leq (a + c)d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + b \max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\} \\ &\leq (a + c)d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + bM_1 \end{aligned}$$

where $M_1 = \max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\}$

Now two cases arises,

Case I: If suppose that $M_1 = d(x_n, x_{n+1})$ then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq (a + c)d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + bd(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{a + c}{1 - b}\right)d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \\ &\leq kd(x_n, x_{n-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $k = \left(\frac{a+c}{1-b}\right) < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq kd(x_{n-1}, x_n), \\ d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq k^2d(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}) \end{aligned}$$

Continuing this process, we obtain

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq k^n d(x_0, x_1).$$

Case II: If suppose that $M_1 = d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq (a + c)d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + bd(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq (a + b + c)d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \\ &\leq kd(x_n, x_{n-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $k = a + b + c < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq kd(x_{n-1}, x_n), \\ d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq k^2d(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}) \end{aligned}$$

Continuing this process, we obtain

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq k^n d(x_0, x_1).$$

Let $m, n \in N, m > n$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m) &\leq s[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_m)], \\ &\leq sd(x_n, x_{n+1}) + s^2[d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+2}, x_m)], \\ &\leq sd(x_n, x_{n+1}) + s^2d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + s^3d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) + \dots \\ &\leq sk^n d(x_0, x_1) + s^2k^{n+1}d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + s^3k^{n+2}d(x_0, x_1) + \dots \\ &\leq sk^n d(x_0, x_1)[1 + sk + (sk)^2 + (sk)^3 + \dots] \\ &\leq \frac{sk^n}{1 - sk} d(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Since $k < 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{sk^n}{1 - sk} d(x_0, x_1) = 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in (X, d) . Since (X, d) is a complete b-metric space, there exists $z \in X$

such that $x_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(z, Tz) &\leq s[d(z, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Tz)] \\
 &\leq sd(z, Tx_n) + sH(Tx_n, Tz) \\
 &\leq sd(z, x_{n+1}) + s[ad(x_n, z) + b \max\{d(x_n, Tx_n), d(z, Tz)\} \\
 &\quad + c(d(x_n, Tz) + d(z, Tz))] \\
 &\leq sd(z, x_{n+1}) + s[ad(x_n, z) + b \max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(z, Tz)\} \\
 &\quad + c(d(x_n, Tz) + d(z, Tz))] \\
 &\leq sd(z, x_{n+1}) + sad(x_n, z) + sb \max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(z, Tz)\} \\
 &\quad + sc(d(x_n, Tz) + d(z, Tz)) \\
 &\leq sd(z, x_{n+1}) + sad(x_n, z) + sbM_2 + sc(d(x_n, Tz) + d(z, Tz)) \quad (6)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $M_2 = \max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(z, Tz)\}$.

Case I: If suppose that $M_2 = d(x_n, x_{n+1})$ then we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(z, Tz) &\leq sd(z, x_{n+1}) + sad(x_n, z) + sbd(x_n, x_{n+1}) + sc(d(x_n, Tz) + d(z, Tz)) \\
 &\leq sd(z, x_{n+1}) + sad(x_n, z) + s^2bd(x_n, z) + s^2bd(z, x_{n+1}) \\
 &\quad + s^2cd(x_n, z) + s^2cd(z, Tz) + scd(z, Tz) \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{s + s^2b}{1 - s^2c - sc}\right)d(z, x_{n+1}) + \left(\frac{sa + s^2b + s^2c}{1 - s^2c - sc}\right)d(x_n, z) \quad (7)
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $d(z, Tz) = 0$.

Thus, $Tz = z$. Therefore z is the fixed point of T .

Case II: If suppose that $M_2 = d(z, Tz)$ then we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(z, Tz) &\leq sd(z, x_{n+1}) + sad(x_n, z) + sd(z, Tz) + sc(d(x_n, Tz) + d(z, Tz)) \\
 &\leq sd(z, x_{n+1}) + sad(x_n, z) + sd(z, Tz) \\
 &\quad + s^2cd(x_n, z) + s^2cd(z, Tz) + scd(z, Tz) \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{s}{1 - s - s^2c - sc}\right)d(z, x_{n+1}) + \left(\frac{sa + s^2b}{1 - s - s^2c - sc}\right)d(x_n, z) \quad (8)
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $d(z, Tz) = 0$.

Thus, $Tz = z$. Therefore z is the fixed point of T . Now we show that z is the unique fixed point of T . Assume that u is another fixed point of T . Then we have $Tv = v$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(u, v) &= d(Tz, Tv) \\
 &\leq s[d(u, Tv) + d(v, Tu)]
 \end{aligned}$$

we obtain, $d(u, v) \leq 2sd(u, v)$. This implies that $u = v$. Therefore T has a fixed point in X .

Example 2.2. Let $X = [0, 1]$. We define $d: X \times X \rightarrow X$ by $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then (X, d) is a complete b -metric space. Define $T: X \rightarrow CB(X)$ by $Tx = \frac{x}{7}$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then,

$$H(Tx, Ty) = \frac{1}{49}d(x, y)$$

[where, $b = c = 0, a = \frac{1}{49}$].

Therefore, $0 \in X$ is the unique fixed point of T .

□

References

- [1] Swati Agrawal, K. Qureshi and Jyoti Nema, "A fixed point theorem for b -metric" *Int. J. of Pure and Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Volume 9, Number 1 (2016), pp. 45-50.
- [2] I. A. Bakhtin, "The contraction mappings principle in quasi-metric spaces," *Functional Analysis*, vol. 30, pp. 26-37, 1989 (Russian).
- [3] Banach, S., "Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales," *Fundam. Math.* 3, 133-181 (1922).
- [4] Bota, M., Molnar, A. and Varga, C., "On ekeland's variational principle in b -metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory* 12 (2011), no. 2, 21-28.
- [5] Boriceanu, M., "Fixed point theory for multivalued generalized contraction on a set with two b -metrics," *Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai-Series Mathematica*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 3-14, 2009.
- [6] S. Czerwick, "Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces," *Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis*, vol. 1, pp. 5-11, 1993.
- [7] S. Czerwick, "Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b -metric spaces," *Atti del Seminario Matematico e Fisico dell'Università di Modena*, vol. 46, pp. 263-276, 1998.
- [8] Kir Mehmet, Kiziltune, Hukmi, "On some well known fixed point theorems in b -metric spaces," *Turkish Journal of analysis and number theory*, 2013, vol. 1, no. 13-16.
- [9] Pacurar, M., "Sequence of almost contractions and fixed points in b -metric spaces," *Analele Universităt de Vest, Timisoara, Seria Matematică Informatică XLVIII (2010)*, no. 3, 125-137.
- [10] J. Nadler, "Multi-valued contraction mappings," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 30, pp. 445-488, 1969.

- [11] Billy E. Rhoades, "A fixed point theorem for a multivalued non-self mapping," *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 37(1996), No. 2, 401-404.