

## Anti Q-Fuzzy M-Subgroups Of Near Rings

A. Solairaju<sup>1</sup>, P. Sarangapani<sup>2</sup>, R. Nagarajan<sup>3</sup> & P.Muruganatham<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, PG & Research Department of Mathematics, Jamal Mohamed college, Triuchirappalli-20, Tamilnadu, India

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science, Kurinji College of Arts & Science, Tiruchirappalli-02, Tamilnadu, India

<sup>3</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, J J College of Engineering and Technology, Tiruchirappalli-09, Tamilnadu, India

<sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Kurinji College of Arts & Science, Tiruchirappalli-02, Tamilnadu, India

**Abstract:** In this paper, we introduce the notion of Q-fuzzification of M-subgroups in a near-ring and investigate some related properties. Characterization of Anti Q-fuzzy M- subgroups with respect to s-norm is given.

**Keywords:** Q-fuzzy set, Q- fuzzy M-subgroup (sub near rings), anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroups, s-norm.

**AMS Subject Classification (2000):** 03F055, 03E72

### Section - 1: Introduction

The theory of fuzzy sets which was introduced by Zadeh [9] is applied to many mathematical branches. Abou-zoid [1], introduced the notion of a fuzzy sub near-ring and studied fuzzy ideals of near-ring. This concept discussed by many researchers among Cho, Davvaz, Dudek, Jun, Kim [2]. In [5], considered the intuitionistic fuzzification of a right (respectively left) R-subgroup in a near-ring. A.Solairaju and R.Nagarajan [7] introduced the new structures of Q-fuzzy groups and then they investigate the notion Q-fuzzy left R-subgroups of near rings with respect to T-norms in [6]. Also Cho.at.al in [2] the notion of normal intuitionistic fuzzy R-subgroup in a near-ring is introduced and related properties are investigated. The notion of intuitionistic Q-fuzzy semi-primality in a semi group is given by Kim [3]. In this paper, we introduce the notion of Q- fuzzification of left M-subgroups in a near ring and investigate some related properties. Characterizations of anti Q-fuzzy M- subgroups are given.

### Section-2 : Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1:** A non empty set R with two binary operations '+' and '.' is called a near-ring if it satisfies the following axioms

- (i)  $(R, +)$  is a group.
- (ii)  $(R, \cdot)$  is a semi group.
- (iii)  $x \cdot (y+z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$ . Precisely speaking it is a left near-ring. Because it satisfies the left distributive law.

As R – subgroup of a near- ring S is a subset H of R such that

- (i)  $(H, +)$  is a subgroup of  $(R, +)$
- (ii)  $RH \subset H$
- (iii)  $HR \subset H$ . If H satisfies (i) and (ii) then it is called left N- subgroup of R and if N satisfies (i) and (iii) then it is called a right N- subgroup of R.

A map  $f : R \rightarrow S$  is called homomorphism if  $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$  for all  $x, y$  in R.

**Definition 2.2 :** Let M is a left operator sets of group G, N is right operator sets of group G.

**Definition 2.3 :** Let G and  $G^1$  both be M- groups.  $f : G \rightarrow G^1$  be a homomorphism's, If  $f(mx) = mf(x)$  and  $f(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in G$ ,  $m \in M$ , then f is called M -homomorphism.

**Definition 2.4:** Let R be a near ring. A fuzzy set ' $\mu$ ' in R is called Q- fuzzy sub near ring in R if

- (i)  $\mu(x-y, q) \geq \min \{ \mu(x, q), \mu(y, q) \}$

$$(ii) \mu(xy, q) \geq \min\{\mu(x, q), \mu(y, q)\} \text{ for all } x, y \text{ in } R.$$

**Definition 2.5:** A Q-fuzzy set  $\mu$  is called a Anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroup of R over Q if  $\mu$  satisfies

$$(i) \mu(m(x-y), q) \leq \max\{\mu(mx, q), \mu(my, q)\}$$

$$(ii) \mu(x, q) \leq \mu(x, m) \text{ for all } x, y, m \in R \text{ and } q \in Q.$$

**Definition 2.6 :** By a s-norm S , we mean a function  $S: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  satisfying the following conditions

$$(S1) S(x, 0) = x$$

$$(S2) S(x, y) \leq S(x, z) \text{ if } y \leq z$$

$$(S3) S(x, y) = S(y, x)$$

$$(S4) S(x, S(y, z)) = S(S(x, y), z), \text{ for all } x, y, z \in [0, 1].$$

**Proposition 2.1:** For a s-norm, then the following statement holds

$$S(x, y) \geq \max\{x, y\}, \text{ for all } x, y \in [0, 1].$$

**Definition 2.8:** Let S be a s-norm. A fuzzy set A in R is said to be sensible with respect to S if  $\text{Im}(A) \subset \Delta_s$ , where  $\Delta_s = \{s(\alpha, \alpha) = \alpha / \alpha \in [0, 1]\}$

### Section 3 : Properties of Anti Q- Fuzzy M-Subgroups

**Proposition 3.1:** Let S be a s-norm. Then every imaginable anti Q- fuzzy M- subgroup  $\mu$  of a near ring R is a Q-fuzzy M-subgroup of R.

**Proof:** Assume  $\mu$  is imaginable anti Q- fuzzy left M- subgroup of R, then we have

$$\mu(m(x-y), q) \leq S\{\mu(mx, q), \mu(my, q)\}$$

and  $\mu(x, q) \leq \mu(x, m)$  for all  $x, y$  in R.

Since  $\mu$  is imaginable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\mu(mx, q), \mu(my, q)\} &= S\{\max\{\mu(mx, q), \mu(my, q)\}, \max\{\mu(mx, q), \mu(my, q)\}\} \\ &\geq S\{\mu(mx, q), \mu(my, q)\} \\ &\geq \max\{\mu(mx, q), \mu(my, q)\} \end{aligned}$$

And so  $S\{\mu(mx, q), \mu(my, q)\} = \max\{\mu(mx, q), \mu(my, q)\}$

It follows that  $\mu(m(x-y), q) \leq S\{\mu(mx, q), \mu(my, q)\}$

$$= \max\{\mu(mx, q), \mu(my, q)\} \text{ for all } x, y \in R$$

Hence  $\mu$  is a Q-fuzzy M- subgroup of R.

**Proposition 3.2:** If  $\mu$  is anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroups of a near ring R and  $\Theta$  is an endomorphism of R, then  $\mu[\Theta]$  is a anti Q- fuzzy M-sub group of R

**Proof:** For any  $x, y \in R$ , we have

$$(i) \begin{aligned} \mu[\Theta](m(x-y), q) &= \mu(\Theta(m(x-y), q)) \\ &= \mu(\Theta(mx, q), \Theta(my, q)) \\ &\leq S\{\mu(\Theta(mx, q)), \mu(\Theta(my, q))\} \\ &= S\{\mu[\Theta](mx, q), \mu[\Theta](my, q)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$(ii) \begin{aligned} \mu[\Theta](x, q) &= \mu(\Theta(x, q)) \\ &\leq \mu(\Theta(x, m)) \\ &\leq \mu[\Theta](x, m) \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu[\Theta]$  is a anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroup of R.

**Proposition 3.3:** An onto homomorphism's of anti Q- fuzzy M-subgroup of near ring R is anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroup.

**Proof:** Let  $f : R \rightarrow R^1$  be an onto homomorphism of near rings and let  $\xi$  be anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroup of  $R^1$  and  $\mu$  be the pre image of  $\xi$  under f, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i)} \quad \mu(m(x-y), q) &= \xi(f(m(x-y), q)) \\
 &= \xi(f(mx, q), f(my, q)) \\
 &\leq S(\xi(f(mx, q)), \xi(f(my, q))) \\
 &\leq S(\mu(mx, q), \mu(my, q)) \\
 \text{(ii)} \quad \mu(x, q) &= \xi(f(x, q)) \\
 &\leq \xi(f(x, q)) \\
 &\leq \mu(x, q)
 \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.4:** An onto homomorphic image of a anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroup with the **inf** property is anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroup.

**Proof:** Let  $f: R \rightarrow R^1$  be an onto homomorphism of near rings and let  $\mu$  be a **inf** property of anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroups of R.

Let  $x^1, y^1 \in R^1$ , and  $x_0 \in f^{-1}(x^1), y_0 \in f^{-1}(y^1)$  be such that

$$\mu(x_0, q) = \mathbf{inf} \{ \mu(h, q) \mid (h, q) \in f^{-1}(x^1) \}, \quad \mu(y_0, q) = \mathbf{inf} \{ \mu(h, q) \mid (h, q) \in f^{-1}(y^1) \}$$

Respectively, then we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i)} \quad \mu^f(m(x^1-y^1), q) &= \mathbf{inf} \{ \mu(mz, q) \mid (mz, q) \in f^{-1}(m(x^1-y^1), q) \} \\
 &\leq \max \{ \mu(mx_0, q), \mu(my_0, q) \} \\
 &= \max \{ \mathbf{inf} \{ \mu(mh, q) \mid (h, q) \in f^{-1}(x^1, q) \}, \mathbf{inf} \{ \mu(mh, q) \mid (h, q) \in f^{-1}(y^1, q) \} \} \\
 &= \max \{ \mu^f(mx^1, q), \mu^f(my^1, q) \} \\
 \text{(ii)} \quad \mu^f(x, q) &= \mathbf{inf} \{ \mu(z, q) \mid (z, q) \in f^{-1}(r^1x^1, q) \} \\
 &\leq \mu(y_0, q) \\
 &= \mathbf{inf} \{ \mu(h, q) \mid (h, q) \in f^{-1}(y^1, q) \} \\
 &= \mu^f(y^1, q)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu^f$  is a anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroup of  $R^1$ .

**Proposition 3.5:** Let S be a continuous s-norm and let f be a homomorphism on a near ring R. If  $\mu$  is anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroup of R, then  $\mu^f$  is anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroup of  $f(R)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $A_1 = f^{-1}(y_1, q), A_2 = f^{-1}(y_2, q)$  and  $A_{12} = f^{-1}(n(y_1-y_2), q)$  where  $y_1, y_2 \in f(S), q \in Q$ . Consider the set

$$A_1 - A_2 = \{ x \in S \mid (x, q) = (a_1, q) - (a_2, q) \text{ for some } (a_1, q) \in A_1 \text{ and } (a_2, q) \in A_2 \}$$

If  $(x, q) \in A_1 - A_2$ , then  $(x, q) = (x_1, q) - (x_2, q)$  for some  $(x_1, q) \in A_1$  and  $(x_2, q) \in A_2$  so that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x, q) &= f(x_1, q) - f(x_2, q) \\
 &= y_1 - y_2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x, q) &\in f^{-1}((y_1, q) - (y_2, q)) \\
 &= f^{-1}(n(y_1-y_2), q) = A_{12}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $A_1 - A_2 \subset A_{12}$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i)} \quad \mu^f(m(y_1-y_2), q) &= \mathbf{inf} \{ \mu(mx, q) \mid (mx, q) \in f^{-1}(m(y_1, q) - (y_2, q)) \} \\
 &= \mathbf{inf} \{ \mu(mx, q) \mid (x, q) \in A_{12} \} \\
 &\geq \mathbf{inf} \{ \mu(mx, q) \mid (x, q) \in A_1 - A_2 \} \\
 &\geq \mathbf{inf} \{ \mu((mx_1, q) - (mx_2, q)) \mid (x_1, q) \in A_1 \text{ and } (x_2, q) \in A_2 \} \\
 &\geq \mathbf{inf} \{ S(\mu(mx_1, q), \mu(mx_2, q)) \mid (x_1, q) \in A_1 \text{ and } (x_2, q) \in A_2 \}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since S is continuous. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we see that if

$$\mathbf{inf} \{ \mu(mx_1, q) \mid (x_1, q) \in A_1 \} - \mu(mx_1^*, q) \geq \delta \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbf{inf} \{ \mu(mx_2, q) \mid (x_2, q) \in A_2 \} - \mu(mx_2^*, q) \geq \delta$$

$S\{\inf\{\mu(mx_1,q) / (x_1,q) \in A_1\}, \inf\{\mu(mx_2,q) / (x_2,q) \in A_2\} - S((mx_1^*,q), (mx_2^*,q)) \geq \epsilon$

Choose  $(a_1,q) \in A_1$  and  $(a_2,q) \in A_2$  such that

$\inf\{\mu(mx_1,q) / (x_1,q) \in A_1\} - \mu(ma_1,q) \geq \delta$  and

$\inf\{\mu(mx_2,q) / (x_2,q) \in A_2\} - \mu(ma_2,q) \geq \delta$ .

Then we have

$S\{\inf\{\mu(mx_1,q)/(x_1,q) \in A_1\}, \inf\{\mu(mx_2,q)/(x_2,q) \in A_2\} - S(\mu(ma_1,q), \mu(ma_2,q)) \geq \epsilon$

consequently, we have  $\mu^f(m(y_1-y), q) \leq \inf\{S(\mu(mx_1,q), \mu(x_2,q)) / (x_1,q) \in A_1, (x_2,q) \in A_2\}$

$\leq S(\inf\{\mu(mx_1,q) / (x_1,q) \in A_1\}, \inf\{\mu(mx_2,q) / (x_2,q) \in A_2\})$

$\leq S\{\mu^f(my_1,q), \mu^f(my_2,q)\}$

Similarly we can show  $\mu^f(x,q) \leq \mu^f(y,q)$

Hence  $\mu^f$  is anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroup of  $f(R)$ .

**Proposition 3.6:** Let  $\mu$  be anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroup of R. Then the Q-fuzzy subset  $\langle \mu \rangle$  is a anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroup of S generated by  $\mu$ . More over  $\langle \mu \rangle$  is the smallest anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroup containing  $\mu$ .

**Proof:** Let  $x, y \in N$  and let  $\mu(x,q) = t_1$ ,  $\mu(y,q) = t_2$  and  $\mu(m(x-y),q) = t$

Let it possible  $t = \langle \mu \rangle(m(x-y),q) \geq S\{\langle \mu \rangle(mx,q), \langle \mu \rangle(my,q)\}$

$S\{t_1, t_2\} = t_1$  (say)

Then  $t_1 = \langle \mu \rangle(mx,q) = \inf\{k/x \in \langle \mu_k \rangle\} \leq t$ , therefore there exist  $k_1$ , such that  $x \in \langle \mu_{k_1} \rangle$ .

Also  $t_2 = \langle \mu \rangle(my,q) = \sup\{k/y \in \langle \mu_k \rangle\} \leq t$ . Therefore there exists  $k_2 \leq t$  such that  $y \in \langle \mu_{k_2} \rangle$  without loss of generality, we may assume that  $k_1 < k_2$ , so that  $\langle \mu_{k_1} \rangle \subset \langle \mu_{k_2} \rangle$ . Then  $x, y \in \langle \mu_{k_1} \rangle$  that is  $x-y$  which is a contradiction since  $k_2 \leq t$ . therefore  $t \leq t_1$ .

Consequently,  $\mu(m(x-y),q) \leq S\{\langle \mu \rangle(mx,q), \langle \mu \rangle(my,q)\}$  ----- (1)

Now let , if possible,  $t_3 = \langle \mu \rangle(x,q) \leq \langle \mu \rangle(x,q) = t_1$

Then  $t_1 = \langle \mu \rangle(x,q) = \inf\{k/x \in \langle \mu_k \rangle\} \leq t_3$ , therefore there exists  $k$  such that  $x \in \langle \mu_k \rangle$

and  $t_1 \leq k \leq t_3$  so that  $x \in \langle \mu_k \rangle \subset \langle \mu_{t_1} \rangle$  which is a contradiction.

Hence  $t_3 = \langle \mu \rangle(x,q) \leq \langle \mu \rangle(x,q) = t_1$  ----- (2)

Consequently conditions (1) and (2) yield that  $\langle \mu \rangle$  is a anti Q- fuzzy M- subgroup of R. Finally, to show that  $\langle \mu \rangle$  is the smallest anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroup containing  $\mu$ , let us assume that  $\theta$  to be anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroup of R such that  $\mu \subset \theta$  and show that  $\langle \mu \rangle \subset \theta$ .

Let it possible,  $t = \langle \mu \rangle(x,q) \geq \theta(x,q)$  for some  $x \in N, q \in Q$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, then

$t = \mu_t = \sup\{k/x \in \langle \mu_k \rangle\}$ . Therefore there exists  $k$  such that  $x \in \langle \mu_k \rangle$  and  $t - \epsilon \geq k \geq t$  so that  $x \in \langle \mu_k \rangle \subset \langle \mu_{t-\epsilon} \rangle$ , for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Now  $x = \hat{a}_1 x_1 + \hat{a}_2 x_2 + \dots + \hat{a}_n x_n, \hat{a}_i \in N, x_i$  belongs to  $t - \epsilon$ .  $x_i \in \langle \mu_{t-\epsilon} \rangle$  implies  $\mu(x_i, q) \leq t - \epsilon$ , that is  $\theta(x_i, q) \leq t - \epsilon$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Therefore  $\theta(x, q) \leq S\{\theta(x_1, q), \theta(x_2, q) \dots \theta(x_n, q)\} \leq t - \epsilon$  for  $\epsilon > 0$

Hence  $\theta(x, q) = t$  which is a contradiction to our assumption.

**Proposition 3.7:** Let  $\mu$  be a anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroup of a near ring R and let  $\mu^*$  be a Q-fuzzy set in N defined by  $\mu^*(x,q) = \mu(x,q) + 1 - \mu(0,q)$  for all  $x \in N$ . Then  $\mu^*$  is a normal anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroup of R containing  $\mu$ .

**Proof :** For any  $x, y \in R$  and  $q \in Q$  we have

$$\mu^*(m(x-y),q) = \mu(m(x-y),q) + 1 - \mu(0,q) \leq S(\mu(mx,q) + 1 - \mu(0,q), (\mu(my,q) + 1 - \mu(0,q))) = T(\mu^*(mx,q), \mu^*(my,q)).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(x,q) &= \mu(x,q) + 1 - \mu(0,q) \\ &\leq \mu(x,q) + 1 - \mu(0,q) \\ &= \mu(x,q) \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.8:** Let  $\mu$  be anti Q- fuzzy M- subgroup of near ring R. Let  $\mu^+$  be a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -cut set in R defined by  $\mu^+(x,q) = \mu(x,q) + 1 - \mu(0,q)$  for  $x \in R, q \in Q$ . Then  $\mu^+$  is  $\alpha$ - cut normal anti Q-fuzzy M- subgroup of R which contains  $\mu$ .

**Proof:** For any  $x, y \in R$ , we have  $\mu^+(x,q) + 1 - \mu(0,q)$  and  $\mu^+(x,q) \leq \alpha$  for all  $x \in R, m \in M$ .

$$\mu^+(m(x-y), q) = \mu(m(x-y), q) + 1 - \mu(0,q)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \max \{ \mu(m_x, q), \mu(m_y, q) \} + 1 - \mu(0, q) \\
 &= \max \{ \mu(m_x, q) + 1 - \mu(0, q), \mu(m_y, q) + 1 - \mu(0, q) \} \\
 &= \max \{ \mu^+(m_x, q), \mu^+(m_y, q) \} \\
 &\leq \max \{ \alpha, \alpha \} \leq \alpha \\
 \mu^+(x, q) &= \mu(x, q) + 1 - \mu(0, q) \\
 &\leq \mu(x, q) + 1 - \mu(0, q) \\
 &= \mu^+(x, q) \\
 &\leq \alpha
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\mu^+$  is a  $\alpha$ -cut normal Anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroup of R.

**Definition 3.9:** Let u and v be Q-fuzzy subsets in R. Then the S-product of u and v written as  $[u, v]_S(x, q) = S(u(x, q), v(x, q))$  for all  $x \in R, q \in Q$ .

**Proposition 3.10 :** If u and v be Anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroups of R, then the S-product of Anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroups of R is Anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroups of R.

**Proof:** For any  $x, y \in R, q \in Q$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [u, v]_S(m(x-y), q) &= S\{u(m(x-y), q), v(m(x-y), q)\} \\
 &\leq S\{\max\{u(m_x, q), u(m_y, q)\}, \max\{v(m_x, q), v(m_y, q)\}\} \\
 &\leq \max\{S\{u(m_x, q), v(m_x, q)\}, S\{v(m_y, q), v(m_y, q)\}\} \\
 &\leq \max\{[u, v]_S(m_x, q), [u, v]_S(m_y, q)\} \\
 [u, v]_S(x_n, q) &= S\{u(x_n, q), v(x_n, q)\} \\
 &\leq S\{u(x, q), v(x, q)\} \\
 &\leq [u, v]_S(x, q)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence S-product of Anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroups of R is Anti Q-fuzzy left M-subgroups of R.

**Definition 3.11:** Anti Q-fuzzy M- subgroup near ring R is said to Anti Q-fuzzy characteristic, if  $A^f(x, q) = A(x, q)$  for all  $x \in R, q \in Q$ .

**Proposition 3.12 :** Let  $f : R \rightarrow R'$  be an epimorphism of A, A is an anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroups of R then  $A^f$  is anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroups of  $R'$ .

**Proof:** Let  $x, y \in R$  and  $q \in Q$

$$\begin{aligned}
 A^f(m(x-y), q) &= A f(m(x-y), q) \\
 &= A (f(mx) - f(my), q) \\
 &\leq \max \{ A (f(mx), q), A (f(my), q) \} \\
 &\leq \max \{ A^f(mx, q), A^f(my, q) \} \\
 A^f(x, q) &= A f(x, q) \\
 &\leq A f(x, q) \\
 &\leq A^f(x, q)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $A^f$  is anti Q-fuzzy M-N subgroup of  $R'$ .

**Proposition 3.13 :** Let  $f : R \rightarrow R'$  be epimorphism. If  $A^f$  is anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroup of  $R'$ , then A is anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroup of R.

**Proof:** Let  $x, y \in R, q \in Q$ , then there exists  $a, b \in X$  such that  $f(a, q) = x$  and  $f(b, q) = y$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{It follows that } A(x, q) &= A f(a, q) = A^f(a, q) \\
 A(m(x-y), q) &= A f(a, q) = A^f(a, q) \leq \max \{A^f(a, q), A^f(b, q)\} \\
 &= \max \{A(a, q), A(b, q)\} \\
 &\leq \max \{A(x, q), A(y, q)\} \\
 A(xn, q) &= A f(a, q) = A^f(a, q) \leq A f(a, q) \leq A f(x, q)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore A is anti Q-fuzzy M- subgroup of R.

**Conclusion:** Osman kazanci , Sultanyamark and Serifeyilmaz introduced the intuitionistic Q-fuzzy R-subgroups of near rings. A.Solairaju and R.Nagarajan investigate the notion of Q-fuzzy left R-subgroup of near rings with respect to T-norms. In this paper we investigate the notion of anti Q-fuzzy M-subgroup of near ring with respect to s-norm and the characterization of them.

**References:**

- [1]. S. Abou-Zoid , “ On fuzzy sub near rings and ideals” , Fuzzy sets. Syst. 44 (1991), 139-146.
- [2]. Y.U. Cho, Y.B.Jun, “ On intuitionistic fuzzy R- subgroup of near rings” , J. Appl. Math. and Computing , 18 (1-2) (2005), 665-677.
- [3]. K.H.Kim , Y.B. Jun, “On fuzzy R- subgroups of near rings, J. fuzzy math 8 (3) (2000), 549-558.
- [4]. K.H.Kim, Y.B.Jun, “ Normal fuzzy R- subgroups of near rings”, J. fuzzy sets. Syst.121(2001), 341-345.
- [5]. Osman Kazanci, Sultan Yamark and Serife Yimaz “ On intuitionistic Q- fuzzy R- subgroups of near rings, International mathematical forum, 2, 2007, 59, (2899-2910)
- [6]. A. Solairaju and R. Nagarajan, “Q-fuzzy left R-subgroups of near rings with respect to T-norm”, Antarctica Journal of Mathematics, 5(2)(2008), 59-63.
- [7]. A. Solairaju and R. Nagarajan, “A New structure and construction of Q-fuzzy groups”, Advanced Fuzzy Mathematics, 4(1)(2009), 23-29.
- [8]. Solairaju A, P.Sarangapani, R.Nagarajan, Union fuzzy soft N-groups, International Research Journal of Pure Algebra, Vol.3,No.6(2013), PP 242-246.
- [9]. Zadeh L.A, ”Fuzzy Sets”, Information and Control Vol.8,(1965) pp 338-353.