

# A Related Fixed Point Theorem of Integral Type on Two Complete Fuzzy Metric Spaces

Pheiroijam Suranjoy Singh

Sagolband Takyel Kolom Leikai,  
Imphal West, Manipur-795001, India.

**Abstract** — A related fixed point theorem for two pairs of mappings on two complete fuzzy metric spaces satisfying integral type inequalities is obtained. The result extends a result of R.K. Namdeo, N.K. Tiwari, B. Fisher and K. Tas [7].

**Keywords** — Fuzzy metric space, fixed point, related fixed point, integral type inequality.

## I. INTRODUCTION

For the past many years, fixed point theorems have been studied and developed by many mathematicians. The concept of fuzzy set was introduced by L. Zadeh [8] in 1965. Fuzzy metric space was introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [6] in 1975. Then, it was modified by George and Veeramani [4] in 1994. Related fixed points are studied in [1, 2, 3, 5, 7] and many others.

The following was proved in [7].

**1.1. Theorem** : Let  $(X, d)$  and  $(Y, \rho)$  be complete metric spaces. Let  $T$  be a mapping of  $X$  into  $Y$  and  $S$  be a mapping of  $Y$  into  $X$  satisfying the inequalities

$$d(Sy, Sy') d(STx, STx') \leq c \max\{ d(Sy, Sy') \rho(Tx, Tx'), d(x', Sy) \rho(y', Tx) d(x, x') d(Sy, Sy'), d(Sy, STx) d(Sy', STx') \}$$

$$\rho(Tx, Tx') \rho(TSy, TSy') \leq c \max\{ d(Sy, Sy') \rho(Tx, Tx'), d(x', Sy) \rho(y', Tx), \rho(y, y') \rho(Tx, Tx'), \rho(Tx, TSy) \rho(Tx', TSy') \}$$

for all  $x, x'$  in  $X$  and  $y, y'$  in  $Y$ , where  $0 \leq c < 1$ . If either  $S$  or  $T$  is continuous, then  $ST$  has a unique fixed point  $z$  in  $X$  and  $TS$  has a unique fixed point  $w$  in  $Y$ . Further,  $Tz = w$  and  $Sw = z$ .

Now, theorem 1.1 is extended to two pairs of mappings in integral and fuzzy metric space settings as follows.

## II. MAIN RESULT

**2.1 Theorem** : Let  $(X, \mu, t)$  and  $(Y, \nu, t)$  be two complete fuzzy metric spaces. Let  $A, B$  be mappings of  $X$  into  $Y$  and  $S, T$  be mappings of  $Y$  into  $X$  satisfying the inequalities

$$\int_1^k \mu(Sy, Ty', t) \mu(SAx, TBx', t) \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\min\{ \mu(Sy, Ty', t) \nu(Ax, Bx', t), \mu(x', Sy, t) \nu(y', Ax, t), \mu(x, x', t) \mu(Sy, Ty', t), \mu(Sy, SAx, t) \mu(Ty', TBx', t) \}} \varphi(s) ds \quad (1)$$

$$\int_1^k \nu(Ax, Bx', t) \nu(BSy, ATy', t) \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\min\{ \mu(Sy, Ty', t) \nu(Ax, Bx', t), \mu(x', Sy, t) \nu(y', Ax, t), \nu(y, y', t) \nu(Ax, Bx', t), \nu(Ax, BSy, t) \nu(Bx', ATy', t) \}} \varphi(s) ds \quad (2)$$

for all  $x, x'$  in  $X$  and  $y, y'$  in  $Y$ , where  $k \in (0, 1)$ . If  $A$  and  $S$  or  $B$  and  $T$  are continuous, then  $SA$  and  $TB$  have a unique common fixed point  $z$  in  $X$  and  $BS$  and  $AT$  have unique common fixed point  $w$  in  $Y$ . Further,  $Az = Bz = w$  and  $Sw = Tw = z$ .

**Proof:** Let  $x$  be any arbitrary point in  $X$ . We define sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively as follows:

$$S y_{2n-1} = x_{2n-1}, \quad Bx_{2n-1} = y_{2n}, \quad Ty_{2n} = x_{2n}, \quad Ax_{2n} = y_{2n-1}, \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Applying inequality (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^{k\mu(Sy_{2n-1}, Ty_{2n}, t) \mu(SAx_{2n}, TBx_{2n-1}, t)} \varphi(s) ds = \int_1^{k\mu^2(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, t)} \varphi(s) ds \\ & \geq \int_1^{\min\{\mu(Sy_{2n-1}, Ty_{2n}, t) \nu(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}, t), \mu(x_{2n-1}, Sy_{2n-1}, t) \nu(y_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, t), \mu(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t) \mu(Sy_{2n-1}, Ty_{2n}, t), \mu(Sy_{2n-1}, SAx_{2n}, t) \mu(Ty_{2n}, TBx_{2n-1}, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds \\ & = \int_1^{\min\{\mu(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, t) \nu(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t), \mu(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}, t) \nu(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t), \mu(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t) \mu(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, t), \mu(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}, t) \mu(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$\int_1^{k\mu(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\min\{\nu(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t), \mu(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds \tag{3}$$

Applying inequality (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^{k\nu(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}, t) \nu(BSy_{2n-1}, ATy_{2n}, t)} \varphi(s) ds = \int_1^{k\nu^2(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t)} \varphi(s) ds \\ & \geq \int_1^{\min\{\mu(Sy_{2n-1}, Ty_{2n}, t) \nu(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}, t), \mu(x_{2n-1}, Sy_{2n-1}, t) \nu(y_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, t), \nu(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t) \nu(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}, t), \nu(Ax_{2n}, BSy_{2n-1}, t) \nu(Bx_{2n-1}, ATy_{2n}, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds \\ & = \int_1^{\max\{\mu(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, t) \nu(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t), \mu(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}, t) \nu(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t), \nu(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t) \nu(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t), \nu(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t) \nu(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$\int_1^{k\nu(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\min\{\nu(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t), \mu(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds \tag{4}$$

(3) and (4) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^{k\mu(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\min\{\nu(y_{n-1}, y_n, t), \mu(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds \\ & \int_1^{k\nu(y_{n-1}, y_n, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\min\{\nu(y_{n-1}, y_n, t), \mu(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

which can be again written as

$$\int_1^{k\mu(x_{n+1}, x_n, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\min\{\nu(y_{n+1}, y_n, t), \mu(x_{n+1}, x_n, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds \tag{5}$$

$$\int_1^{k\nu(y_{n+1}, y_n, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\min\{\nu(y_{n+1}, y_n, t), \mu(x_{n+1}, x_n, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds \tag{6}$$

From (5) and (6), by induction, we get

$$\int_1^{\mu(x_{n+1}, x_n, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\frac{1}{k^n} \min \{ \nu(y_1, y_2, t), \mu(x_1, x_2, t) \}} \varphi(s) ds$$

$$\int_1^{\nu(y_{n+1}, y_n, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\frac{1}{k^n} \min \{ \nu(y_1, y_2, t), \mu(x_1, x_2, t) \}} \varphi(s) ds$$

Let  $t_1 = \frac{t}{p}$ . Now,

$$\int_1^{\mu(x_n, x_{n+p}, t)} \varphi(s) ds = \int_1^{\mu(x_n, x_{n+p}, t_1 + t_1 + \dots + p \text{ times})} \varphi(s) ds$$

$$\geq \int_1^{\mu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t_1)} \varphi(s) ds * \int_1^{\mu(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t_1)} \varphi(s) ds * \dots * \int_1^{\mu(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p}, t_1)} \varphi(s) ds$$

$$\geq \int_1^{\frac{1}{k^n} \min \{ \nu(y_1, y_2, t), \mu(x_1, x_2, t) \}} \varphi(s) ds * \dots * \int_1^{\frac{1}{k^{n+p-1}} \min \{ \nu(y_1, y_2, t), \mu(x_1, x_2, t) \}} \varphi(s) ds$$

which implies that

$$\lim \int_1^{\mu(x_n, x_{n+p}, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(x_n, x_{n+p}, t) \geq 1$$

It follows that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence with a limit  $z$  in  $X$ .

Similarly,  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence with a limit  $w$  in  $Y$ .

Now, on using the continuity of  $A$  and  $S$  respectively, we get

$$w = \lim y_{2n-1} = \lim Ax_{2n} = Az \quad \text{and} \quad z = \lim x_{2n} = \lim Sy_{2n} = Sw$$

so that we get

$$Az = w \tag{7}$$

$$Sw = z \tag{8}$$

From (7) and (8), we get

$$SAz = z \tag{9}$$

Again applying inequality (1), we get

$$\int_1^{k\mu(SAx_{2n}, TBx_{2n-1}, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\min \{ \nu(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}, t), \nu(y_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, t), \mu(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, t) \}} \varphi(s) ds \tag{10}$$

On letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\int_1^{k\mu(Sw, TBz, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{v(Az, w, t)} \varphi(s) ds$$

By (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^{k\mu(Sw, TBz, t)} \varphi(s) ds &\geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow k\mu(Sw, TBz, t) &\geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$Sw = TBz$$

and from (8) , we get

$$z = TBz \tag{11}$$

From (9) and (11), we get

$$SAz = z = TBz \tag{12}$$

Now, (10) gives

$$\int_1^{k\mu(x_{2n-1}, Ty_{2n}, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\min\{v(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}, t), v(y_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, t), \mu(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds$$

On letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^{k\mu(z, Tw, t)} \varphi(t) dt &\geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow k\mu(z, Tw, t) &\geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$z = Tw \tag{13}$$

Again applying inequality (2), we get

$$\int_1^{k\nu(BSy_{2n-1}, ATy_{2n}, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\min\{\mu(Sy_{2n-1}, Ty_{2n}, t), \mu(x_{2n-1}, Sy_{2n-1}, t), v(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t), v(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds \tag{14}$$

On letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^{k\nu(BSw, ATw, t)} \varphi(s) ds &\geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow k\nu(BSw, ATw, t) &\geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$BSw = ATw \tag{15}$$

Now, (14) gives

$$\int_1^{k\nu(y_{2n}, ATy_{2n}, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\min\{\mu(Sy_{2n-1}, Ty_{2n}, t), \mu(x_{2n-1}, Sy_{2n-1}, t), v(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t), v(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds$$

On letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\int_1^{k\nu(w, ATw, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow k\nu(w, ATw, t) \geq 1$$

which implies that

$$w = ATw \tag{16}$$

From (15) and (16), we get

$$BSw = w = ATw \tag{17}$$

From (8) and (17), we get

$$Bz = w \tag{18}$$

From (7) and (18), we get

$$Az = Bz = w \tag{19}$$

From (8) and (13), we get

$$Sw = Tw = z \tag{20}$$

Similarly, on using the continuity of  $B$  and  $T$ , the above results hold.

To prove the uniqueness, let  $SA$  and  $TB$  have a second distinct common fixed point  $z'$  in  $X$  and  $BS$  and  $AT$  have a second distinct common fixed point  $w'$  in  $Y$ .

Applying inequality (1), we have

$$\int_1^{k\mu^2(z, z', t)} \varphi(s) ds$$

$$\geq \int_1^{\min\{\mu(z, z', t)\nu(Az, Bz', t), \mu(z', z', t)\nu(Bz', Az, t), \mu(z, z', t)\mu(z, z', t), \mu(z', z', t)\mu(z, z', t)\}} \varphi(s) ds$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_1^{k\mu(z, z', t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\min\{\nu(Az, Bz', t), \nu(Bz', Az, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_1^{k\mu(z, z', t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\nu(Az, Bz', t)} \varphi(s) ds \tag{21}$$

Applying inequality (2), we get

$$\int_1^{k\nu^2(Az, Bz', t)} \varphi(s) ds$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \int_1^{\min\{\mu(z, z', t)\nu(Az, Bz', t), \mu(z', z', t)\nu(Bz', Az, t), \nu(Az, Bz', t)\nu(Az, Bz', t), \nu(Az, Bz', t)\nu(Bz', Az, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds \\ \Rightarrow \int_1^{k\nu(Az, Bz', t)} \varphi(s) ds &\geq \int_1^{\mu(z, z', t)} \varphi(s) ds \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

From (21) and (22), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^{k^2\mu(z, z', t)} \varphi(s) ds &\geq \int_1^{\mu(z, z', t)} \varphi(s) ds \\ \Rightarrow \int_1^{\mu(z, z', t)} \varphi(s) ds &\geq \int_1^{\frac{1}{k^2}\mu(z, z', t)} \varphi(s) ds \\ \Rightarrow \int_1^{\mu(z, z', t)} \varphi(s) ds &\geq \int_1^{\frac{1}{k^2}\mu(z, z', t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \dots \geq \int_1^{\frac{1}{k^n}\mu(z, z', t)} \varphi(s) ds \\ \Rightarrow \int_1^{\mu(z, z', t)} \varphi(s) ds &\geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^{\frac{1}{k^n}\mu(z, z', t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq 1 \\ \Rightarrow \mu(z, z', t) &\geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$z = z'$$

This proves the uniqueness of  $z$ . Similarly, the uniqueness of  $w$  can be proved.

The following corollary is a fuzzy metric space version of theorem 1.1 in integral setting.

**2.2 Corollary :** Let  $(X, \mu, \nu, t)$  and  $(Y, \nu, \mu, t)$  be two complete fuzzy metric spaces. Let  $S$  be mappings of  $X$  into  $Y$  and  $T$  be mappings of  $Y$  into  $X$  satisfying the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^{k\mu(Ty, Ty', t)\mu(TSx, TSx', t)} \varphi(s) ds &\geq \int_1^{\min\{\mu(Ty, Ty', t)\nu(Sx, Sx', t), \mu(x', Ty, t)\nu(y', Sx, t), \\ &\mu(x, x', t)\mu(Ty, Ty', t), \mu(Ty, TSx, t)\mu(Ty', TSx', t)\}} \varphi(s) ds \\ \int_1^{k\nu(Sx, Sx', t)\nu(STy, STy', t)} \varphi(s) ds &\geq \int_1^{\min\{\mu(Ty, Ty', t)\nu(Sx, Sx', t), \mu(x', Ty, t)\nu(y', Sx, t), \\ &\nu(y, y', t)\nu(Sx, Sx', t), \nu(Sx, STy, t)\nu(Sx', STy', t)\}} \varphi(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, x'$  in  $X$  and  $y, y'$  in  $Y$ , where  $k \in (0, 1)$ . If either  $S$  or  $T$  is continuous, then  $TS$  has a unique fixed point  $z$  in  $X$  and  $ST$  has a unique fixed point  $w$  in  $Y$ . Further,  $Sz = w$  and  $Tw = z$ .

**Proof:** The proof easily follows by putting  $A = B = S$  and  $S = T = T$  in theorem 2.1.

**References**

- [1] Aliouche A. and Fisher B., *A related fixed point theorem for two pairs of mappings on two complete metric spaces*, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, Vol. 34, (2005), 39 - 45.
- [2] Cho Y.J., Kang S.M. and Kim S.S., *Fixed points in two metric spaces*, Novi Sad Journal Math., 29 (1), (1999), 47- 53.
- [3] Fisher B. and Rao K.P.R., *A related fixed point theorem on three metric space*, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, Vol. 36 (2), (2007), 143 - 146.
- [4] George A. and Veeramani P., *On some results in fuzzy metric space*, Fuzzy Set and Systems, Vol. 64 (1994) 395-399.
- [5] Jain S. and Fisher B., *A related fixed point theorem for three metric spaces*, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Vol. 31, (2002), 19 - 24.
- [6] Kramosil O. and Michalek J., *Fuzz metric and statistical metric spaces*, Kybernetika Vol. 11 (1975) 326 - 334.
- [7] R.K. Namdeo, N.K. Tiwari, B. Fisher and K. Tas, *Related fixed point theorems on two complete and compact metric spaces*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., Vol. 21, No. 3, (1998), 559 – 564.
- [8] Zadeh L.A., *Fuzzy sets*, Information and control, 8 (1965), 338 - 353.