#### Doubt cubic H-ideals of BG-algebra

Devanjan Hazarika Department of Mathematics, D.H.S.K. College, Dibrugarh-786001, Assam, India.

Karabi Dutta Choudhury Department of Mathematics, Assam University, Silchar, Assam-788011, Assam, India.

**Abstract:** In this article we introduce the notion of Doubt cubic H-ideals of BG-algebra and discuss some of their properties.

 $Key\ words:$ BG-algebra, Doubt fuzzy BG-subalgebra, Doubt fuzzy H-ideal of BG algebra, Cubic Set, Doubt Cubic H-ideals of BG-algebra

#### 1 Introduction

the study of BCK- algebra and BCI algebra was initiated by Imai and Iseki [2] in 1966. B-algebra was introduced by Neggers and Kim [8], which is related to BCI/BCK- algebra in many aspects. Kim and Kim[7] generalised B-algebra as BG-algebra and this algebra was fuzzyfied by Ahn and Lee[1]. Khalid and Ahmad [6] introduced fuzzy H-ideals in BCI-algebra in 1999. In 1994, Jun [5] introduced the concept of doubt fuzzy ideals in BCK/BCI- algebras. The notion of doubt fuzzy H-ideals in BCK-algebra was introduced by Zhan and Tan [10]. The concept of interval valued fuzzy sets, an extension of fuzzy sets was due to Zadeh [9] and based upon it, Jun [3] developed the notion of cubic sets. In this approach, doubt cubic H-ideal of BG-algebra is defined and some of its properties, investigated.

# 2 Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** A BG-algebra is a non empty set X with a constant 0 and a binary operation \* satisfying the following: (i) x \* x = 0 (ii) x \* 0 = x . (iii) (x \* y) \* (0 \* y) = x  $\forall x, y \in X$ 

In his case we say (X, \*, 0) is a BG-algebra and by X now onwards we shall mean a BG-algebra. We can define a partial ordering ' $\leq$ ' by  $x \leq y$  if and only if x \* y = 0.

<b>Example 2.2.</b> The set $X =$	$\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$	with the	caley table
-----------------------------------	------------------	----------	-------------

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	3	2	1
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	1	0	3
3	3	2	1	0

is a BG-algebra.

**Definition 2.3.** A non empty subset S of a BG-algebra X is called a sub-algebra of X if  $x * y \in S, \forall x, y \in S$ .

**Definition 2.4.** A non empty subset I of a BG-algebra X is called a BG-ideal or an ideal of X if

(i)  $0 \in I$  and (ii)  $x * y \in I, y \in I \Rightarrow x \in I$ .

**Definition 2.5.** An ideal *I* of a BG-algebra *X* is said to be closed if,

 $0 * x \in I, \forall x \in I.$ 

**Definition 2.6.** A non empty subset *I* of a BG-algebra *X* is called a H-ideal of *X* if

(i)  $0 \in I$  and (ii)  $x * (y * z) \in I, y \in I \Rightarrow x * z \in I$ .

**Definition 2.7.** The fuzzy set A in X is defined as  $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x)) | x \in X\}$ , where  $\mu_A : X \to [0, 1]$  is known as the membership value of x in A. For brevity by  $\mu_A(x)$  we mean the fuzzy set A in X.

**Definition 2.8.** The fuzzy set  $\mu_A$  in X is said to be a fuzzy sub-algebra of X if

 $\mu_A(x*y) \ge \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}, \forall x, y \in X.$ 

**Definition 2.9.** The fuzzy set  $\mu_A$  in X is said to be a fuzzy ideal of X if

(i)  $\mu_A(0) \ge \mu_A(x)$  and (ii)  $\mu_A(x) \ge \min\{\mu_A(x * y), \mu_A(y)\}, \forall x, y \in X.$ 

**Definition 2.10.** The fuzzy set  $\mu_A$  in X is said to be a doubt fuzzy sub-algebra (DF sub-algebra, for brevity) of X if

 $\mu_A(x * y) \le \max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}, \forall x, y \in X.$ 

**Definition 2.11.** The fuzzy set  $\mu_A$  in X is said to be a doubt fuzzy ideal (DF ideal, for brevity) of X if

(i) 
$$\mu_A(0) \le \mu_A(x)$$
 and (ii)  $\mu_A(x) \le \max\{\mu_A(x * y), \mu_A(y)\}, \forall x, y \in X.$ 

**Definition 2.12.** The fuzzy set  $\mu_A$  in X is said to be a fuzzy H-ideal of X if

(i)  $\mu_A(0) \ge \mu_A(x)$  and (ii)  $\mu_A(x * y) \ge \min\{\mu_A(x * (y * z)), \mu_A(y)\}, \forall x, y, z \in X.$ 

**Definition 2.13.** The fuzzy set  $\mu_A$  in X is said to be a doubt fuzzy H-ideal(DF H-ideal, for brevity) of X if

(i)  $\mu_A(0) \le \mu_A(x)$  and (ii)  $\mu_A(x * y) \le \max\{\mu_A(x * (y * z)), \mu_A(y)\}, \forall x, y, z \in X.$ 

By an interval number we mean a closed subinterval given by  $\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+]$  of the interval [0, 1], where  $0 \le a^- \le a^+ \le 1$ . Let us denote the set of all interval numbers by D[0, 1]. Let us consider  $\tilde{a}_1 = [a_1^-, a_1^+]$  and  $\tilde{a}_2 = [a_2^-, a_2^+]$ . Then refined minimum  $(r \min)$  and refined maximum  $(r \max)$  of  $\tilde{a}_1$  and  $\tilde{a}_2$  are defined as

$$r \min\{\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2\} = [\min\{a_1^-, a_2^-\}, \min\{a_1^+, a_2^+\}]$$

$$r \max\{\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2\} = [\max\{a_1^-, a_2^-\}, \max\{a_1^+, a_2^+\}]$$
For  $a_i \in D[0, 1]; i = 1, 2, 3, ...,$  we define
$$r \inf \tilde{a}_1 = [r \inf a_i^-, r \inf a_i^+] \quad \text{and} \quad r \sup \tilde{a}_1 = [r \sup a_i^-, r \sup a_i^+]$$
We also define the symbols  $\succeq, \preceq$  and  $=$  as follows:
$$\tilde{a}_1 \succeq \tilde{a}_2 \Leftrightarrow a_1^- \ge a_2^- \text{ and } a_1^+ \ge a_2^+$$
Also  $\tilde{a}_1 \succ \tilde{a}_2$  means  $\tilde{a}_1 \succeq \tilde{a}_2$  and  $\tilde{a}_1 \neq \tilde{a}_2$ .

Similar; y we can define  $\tilde{a}_1 \leq \tilde{a}_2$  and  $\tilde{a}_1 \prec \tilde{a}_2$ .

Finally  $\tilde{a}_1 = \tilde{a}_2 \Leftrightarrow a_1^- = a_2^-, a_1^+ = a_2^+$ .

An interval valued fuzzy set (IVF set)A defined in X is given by

 $A = \{(x, [\mu_A^-(x), \mu_A^+(x)]) | x \in X, \text{ where } \mu_A^- \text{ and } \mu_A^+ \text{ are two fuzzy sets in } X \text{ such that } \mu_A^-(x) \le \mu_A^+(x), \forall x \in X. \text{ An IVF set } A \text{ is briefly denoted by } \tilde{\mu} = [\mu_A^-, \mu_A^+]. \text{ If } M_A^-(x) \le \mu_A^-(x), \forall x \in X. \text{ and } \mu_A^-(x) \ge \mu_A^-(x), \forall x \in X. \text{ and } \mu_A^-(x) \ge \mu_A^-(x), \forall x \in X. \text{ and } \mu_A^-(x) \ge \mu_A^-(x), \forall x \in X. \text{ and } \mu_A^-(x) \ge \mu_A^-(x), \forall x \in X. \text{ and } \mu_A^-(x) \ge \mu_A^-(x), \forall x \in X. \text{ and } \mu_A^-(x) \ge \mu_A^-(x), \forall x \in X. \text{ and } \mu_A^-(x), \forall x \in X. \text{ and } \mu_A^-(x) \ge \mu_A^-(x), \forall x \in X. \text{ and } \mu_A^-(x) \ge \mu_A^-(x), \forall x \in X. \text{ and } \mu_A^-(x) \ge \mu_A^-(x), \forall x \in X. \text{ and } \mu_A^-(x), \forall x \in X. \text{ and } \mu_A^-(x) \ge \mu_A^-(x), \forall x \in X. \text{ and } \mu_A^-(x) \in X. \text{ and } \mu_A^-(x), \forall x \in X. \text{ and } \mu_A^-(x),$ 

in the IVF set  $\tilde{\mu}(x) = [\mu_A^-(x), \mu_A^+(x)], \mu_A^-(x) = c = \mu_A^+(x)$ , where  $0 < c \le 1$ , then  $\tilde{\mu}(x) = [c, c]$ , which is for our convenience is assumed to be a member of D[0, 1]. So  $\tilde{\mu}(x) \in D[0, 1], \forall x \in X$ , where  $\tilde{\mu} : X \to D[0, 1]$ .  $\tilde{\mu}(x)$  is called the degree of the membership of the element x to  $\tilde{\mu}$  and  $\mu_A^-(x), \mu_A^+(x)$  are respectively called lower and upper degrees of membership of x to  $\tilde{\mu}$ . By complement of  $\tilde{\mu}$  we mean  $[1 - \mu_A^-, 1 - \mu_A^+]$ , denoted by  $(\tilde{\mu})^c$ .

**Definition 2.14.** A cubic set A in a non empty X is a structure of the form  $A = \{x, \tilde{\mu}_A(x), \nu_A(x) | x \in X\}$ , where  $\tilde{\mu}_A = [\mu_A^-, \mu_A^+]$  is an IVF set in X and  $\nu_A$  a fuzzy set in X. It is briefly denoted by  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle = \langle [\mu_A^-(x), \mu_A^+(x)], \nu_A(x) \rangle$ .

For two cubic sets A and B in X, their intersection denoted by  $A \sqcap B$  is another cubic set in X given by  $A \sqcap B = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A \cap \tilde{\mu}_B, \nu_A \cup \nu_B \rangle$ , where  $(\tilde{\mu}_A \cap \tilde{\mu}_B)(x) = r \min\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x)\}, \tilde{\mu}_B(x)$  and  $(\nu_A \cup \nu_B)(x) = \max\{\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)\}$ Similarly the union of A and B denoted by  $A \sqcup B$  is another cubic set in X given by  $A \sqcup B = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A \cup \tilde{\mu}_B, \nu_A \cap \nu_B \rangle$ , where  $(\tilde{\mu}_A \cup \tilde{\mu}_B)(x) = r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_B(x)\}$  and  $(\nu_A \cap \nu_B)(x) = \min\{\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)\}.$ 

### 3 Doubt Cubic H-ideals of BG-algebra

**Definition 3.1.** A cubic set  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  of X is said to be a doubt cubic sub algebra of X if for all  $x, y \in X$ , (i)  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x * y) \preceq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\}$  (ii)  $\nu_A(x * y) \ge \min\{\nu_A(x), \nu_A(y)\}$ 

 $(-) \ F A (-) \ F A (-)$ 

**Example 3.2.** Consider the BG-algebra  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  with the caley table

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	2	1	0

We define  $\tilde{\mu}_A(0) = [0.3, 0.4], \tilde{\mu}_A(1) = [0.4, 0.6], \tilde{\mu}_A(2) = [0.3, 0.5], \tilde{\mu}_A(3) = [0.5, 0.9]$  and  $\nu_A(0) = 0.6, \nu_A(1) = 0.5, \nu_A(2) = 0.3, \nu_A(3) = 0.4$ . Then  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  is a doubt cubic sub algebra.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  be a doubt cubic sub algebra of a BG-algebra X. Then (i)  $\tilde{\mu}_A(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$  and  $\nu_A(0) \geq \nu_A(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* We have for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}_A(0) = \tilde{\mu}_A(x * x) \preceq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_A(x)\} = \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$  and  $\nu_A(0) = \nu_A(x * x) \ge \min\{\nu_A(x), \nu_A(x)\} = x$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  be a doubt cubic sub algebra of a BG-algebra X. Then for all  $x \in X$ 

(i)  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x^n * x) \preceq \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$  and  $\nu_A(x^n * x) \ge \nu_A(x)$ , if n is odd. (ii)  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x^n * x) = \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$  and  $\nu_A(x^n * x) = \nu_A(x)$ , if n is even. (iii)  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x * x^n) = \tilde{\mu}_A(0)$  and  $\nu_A(x * x^n) = \nu_A(0)$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* (i) Clearly  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x * x) = \tilde{\mu}_A(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$ , so that the result is true for n = 1. Let the result be true for  $n = 2p - 1, p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x^{2p-1} * x) \leq \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$ . Now  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x^{2(p+1)-1} * x) = \tilde{\mu}_A(x^{2p-1+2} * x) = \tilde{\mu}_A(x^{2p-1} * (x * (x * x)))$  $= \tilde{\mu}_A(x^{2p-1} * (x * 0)) = \tilde{\mu}_A(x^{2p-1} * x) \leq \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$ 

So the result is true for n = 2(p+1) - 1, whenever it is true for n = 2p - 1. Hence by induction the result is true for all odd numbers.

The second part follows similarly. The proofs of (ii) and (iii) are similar to the preceding one.

# 4 Doubt cubic H-ideals of BG-algebra

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  be a cubic set in a BG-algebra X. Then A is called a doubt cubic H-ideal of X if for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

(i)  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x) \succeq \tilde{\mu}_A(0)$ (ii)  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x*z) \preceq r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x*(y*z)), \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\}$ (iii)  $\nu_A(x) \le \nu_A(0)$ (iv)  $\nu_A(x*z) \ge \min\{\nu_A(x*(y*z)), \nu_A(y)\}$ 

**Remark 4.2.** Given  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  is a doubt cubic *H*-ideal of a BG-algebra *X*,  $\tilde{\mu}_A$  is a DF-ideal and  $\nu_A$  is a fuzzy ideal of *X*.

**Example 4.3.** Consider the BG-algebra  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  with the caley table

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	1	0	3
3	3	2	1	0

We define  $\tilde{\mu}_A(0) = [0.2, 0.4], \tilde{\mu}_A(2) = \tilde{\mu}_A(3) = [0.4, 0.8], \tilde{\mu}_A(1) = [0.5, 0.9]$  and  $\nu_A(0) = 0.9, \nu_A(1) = 0.3, \nu_A(2) = \nu_A(3) = 0.7$ . Then by routine calculation it can be shown that  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  is a doubt cubic *H*-ideal of *X*.

**Definition 4.4.** A cubic set  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  in X is called a closed doubt cubic *H*-ideal of X if for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

(i)  $\tilde{\mu}_A(0*x) \preceq \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$ (ii)  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x*z) \preceq r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x*(y*z)), \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\}$ (iii)  $\nu_A(0*x) \le \nu_A(x)$ (iv)  $\nu_A(x*z) \ge \min\{\nu_A(x*(y*z)), \nu_A(y)\}$ 

**Theorem 4.5.** Every closed doubt cubic H-ideal of X is a doubt cubic H-ideal of X.

Proof. Let  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  be a closed doubt cubic *H*-ideal of *X*. Then  $\tilde{\mu}_A(0*x) \preceq \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$  and  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x*z) \preceq r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x*(y*z)), \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\}$  considering the first two conditions. In the second condition putting z = 0, we get  $\forall x, y \in X$   $\tilde{\mu}_A(x*0) = \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \preceq r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x*(y*0)), \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\} = r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x*y), \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\}$ . Replacing x by 0,  $\tilde{\mu}_A(0) = \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \preceq r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(0*y), \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\} \preceq r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(y), \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\} = \tilde{\mu}_A(y)$ . Using the remaining two conditions for  $\nu_A$  it can be easily shown that  $\nu_A(0) \ge \nu_A(x)$ . Hence the proof.

**Remark 4.6.** Every doubt cubic H-ideal of X is not necessarily a closed doubt cubic H-ideal of X. This prompts us to assert that "The class of closed doubt cubic H-ideal of X is a proper subclass of that of the doubt cubic H-ideals of x.

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  be a doubt cubic *H*-ideal of *X*. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in *X*. Then (i) If  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \tilde{\mu}_A(x_n) = [0, 0]$  then  $\tilde{\mu}_A(0) = [0, 0]$ (ii) If  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \nu_A(x_n) = 1$  then  $\nu_A(0) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\tilde{\mu}_A(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_A(x), \forall x \in X$ , we have  $\tilde{\mu}_A(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_A(x_n), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Clearly  $[0,0] \leq \tilde{\mu}_A(0) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \tilde{\mu}_A(x_n) = [0,0]$ . So  $\tilde{\mu}_A(0) = [0,0]$ . Similarly since  $\nu_A(0) \geq \nu_A(x), \forall x \in X$ , we have  $1 \geq \nu_A(0) \geq \lim_{n \to \infty} \nu_A(x_n) = 1$ , so that  $\nu_A(0) = 1$ .

**Theorem 4.8.** For the doubt cubic H-ideal  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  of X,  $\tilde{\mu}_A$  is order preserving and  $\nu_A$  is order reversing.

Proof. Let x ≤ y in X, where x ≤ y means x \* y = 0. Then  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x * 0) \le r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x * (y * 0)), \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\}$ ⇒  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x) \le r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x * y), \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\} = r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(0), \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\} = \tilde{\mu}_A(y).$ Also  $\nu_A(x * 0) \ge \min\{\nu_A(x * (y * 0)), \nu_A(y)\}$ ⇒  $\nu_A(x) \ge \min\{\nu_A(x * y), \nu_A(y)\} = \min\{\nu_A(), \nu_A(y)\} = \nu_A(y).$ 

**Theorem 4.9.** The union of any two doubt cubic H-ideals of X, is again a doubt cubic H-ideal of X.

*Proof.* Let  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  and  $B = \langle \tilde{\mu}_B, \nu_B \rangle$  be two doubt cubic *H*-ideals of *X*. Then  $A \sqcup B = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A \tilde{\cup} \tilde{\mu}_B, \nu_A \cap \nu_B \rangle$ . We have  $(\tilde{\mu}_A \tilde{\cup} \tilde{\mu}_B)(0) = r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(0), \tilde{\mu}_B(0)\} \leq r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_B(x)\} = (\tilde{\mu}_A \tilde{\cup} \tilde{\mu}_B)(x)$ 

And  $(\tilde{\mu}_{A}\tilde{\cup}\tilde{\mu}_{B})(x * z) = r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_{A}(x * z), \tilde{\mu}_{B}(x * z)\}$   $\leq r \max\{r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_{A}(x * (y * z)), \tilde{\mu}_{A}(y)\}, r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_{B}(x * (y * z)), \tilde{\mu}_{B}(y)\}\}$   $\leq r \max\{r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_{A}(x * (y * z)), \tilde{\mu}_{B}(x * (y * z))\}, r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_{A}(y), \tilde{\mu}_{B}(y)\}\}$   $= r \max\{(\tilde{\mu}_{A}\tilde{\cup}\tilde{\mu}_{B})(x * (y * z)), (\tilde{\mu}_{A}\tilde{\cup}\tilde{\mu}_{B})(y)\}$ Again  $(\nu_{A} \cap \nu_{B})(0) = \min\{\nu_{A}(0), \nu_{B}(0)\} \geq \min\{\nu_{A}(x), \nu_{B}(x)\} = (\nu_{A} \cap \nu_{B})(x).$ Finally  $(\nu_{A} \cap \nu_{B})(x * z) = \min\{\nu_{A}(x * z), \nu_{A}(x * z)\}$   $\geq \min\{\min\{\nu_{A}(x * (y * z)), \nu_{A}(y)\}, \min\{\nu_{B}(x * (y * z)), \nu_{B}(y)\}\}$   $= \min\{\min\{\nu_{A}(x * (y * z)), \nu_{B}(x * (y * z))\}, \min\{\nu_{A}(y), \nu_{B}(y)\}\}$   $= \min\{(\nu_{A} \cap \nu_{B})(x * (y * z)), (\nu_{A} \cap \nu_{B})(x)\}.$ Hence  $A \sqcup B$  is a doubt cubic H-ideal of X.

**Theorem 4.10.** (Generalisation) The union of any family of doubt cubic H-ideals of X, is again a doubt cubic H-ideal of X.

**Remark 4.11.** The intersection of any two doubt cubic H-ideals of X will be a doubt cubic H-ideal of X if one is contained in the other.

**Theorem 4.12.** (Generalisation) Let  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  and  $B = \langle \tilde{\mu}_B, \nu_B \rangle$  be two doubt cubic H-ideals of X. Then  $A \sqcap B$  is also doubt cubic H-ideal of X if  $A \subseteq B$  or  $B \subseteq A$ .

 $\begin{array}{l} Proof. \text{ We have } (\tilde{\mu}_{A} \tilde{\cap} \tilde{\mu}_{B})(0) = r \min\{\tilde{\mu}_{A}(0), \tilde{\mu}_{B}(0)\} \preceq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}_{A}(x), \tilde{\mu}_{B}(x)\} = \\ \tilde{\mu}_{A}(x) = (\tilde{\mu}_{A} \tilde{\cap} \tilde{\mu}_{B})(x)[as \ \tilde{\mu}_{A} \preceq \tilde{\mu}_{B}] \\ \text{And } (\tilde{\mu}_{A} \tilde{\cap} \tilde{\mu}_{B})(x \ast z) = r \min\{\tilde{\mu}_{A}(x \ast z), \tilde{\mu}_{B}(x \ast z)\} \\ \preceq r \min\{r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_{A}(x \ast (y \ast z)), \tilde{\mu}_{A}(y)\}, r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_{B}(x \ast (y \ast z)), \tilde{\mu}_{B}(y)\}\} \\ \preceq r \min\{r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_{A}(x \ast (y \ast z)), \tilde{\mu}_{B}(x \ast (y \ast z))\}, r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_{A}(y), \tilde{\mu}_{B}(y)\}\} \\ = r \max\{r \min\{\tilde{\mu}_{A}(x \ast (y \ast z)), \tilde{\mu}_{B}(x \ast (y \ast z))\}, r \min\{\tilde{\mu}_{A}(y), \tilde{\mu}_{B}(y)\}\} \\ = r \max\{(\tilde{\mu}_{A} \tilde{\cap} \tilde{\mu}_{B})(x \ast (y \ast z)), (\tilde{\mu}_{A} \tilde{\cap} \tilde{\mu}_{B})(y)\} \\ \text{Similarly it can be shown that } (\nu_{A} \cup \nu_{B})(0) \ge (\nu_{A} \cup \nu_{B})(x). \\ \text{and } (\nu_{A} \cup \nu_{B})(x \ast z) \ge \min\{(\nu_{A} \cup \nu_{B})(x \ast (y \ast z)), (\nu_{A} \cup \nu_{B})(x)\}. \\ \text{Hence } A \sqcap B \text{ is a doubt cubic } H\text{-ideal of } X. \end{array}$ 

**Theorem 4.13.** The union of any two closed doubt cubic H-ideals of X, is again a closed doubt cubic H-ideal of X.

*Proof.* Let  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  and  $B = \langle \tilde{\mu}_B, \nu_B \rangle$  be two closed doubt cubic *H*-ideals of *X*. Then  $A \sqcup B = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A \tilde{\cup} \tilde{\mu}_B, \nu_A \cap \nu_B \rangle$ . We have  $(\tilde{\mu}_A \tilde{\cup} \tilde{\mu}_B)(0 * x) = r \max{\{\tilde{\mu}_A(0 * x), \tilde{\mu}_B(0 * x)\}}$ 

 $\leq r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_B(x)\} = (\tilde{\mu}_A \tilde{\cup} \tilde{\mu}_B)(x)$ And  $(\nu_A \cap \nu_B)(0 * x) = \min\{\nu_A(0 * x), \nu_B(0 * x)\}$   $\geq \min\{\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)\} = (\nu_A \cap \nu_B)(x).$ 

The remaining part for  $\tilde{\mu}_A \tilde{\cup} \tilde{\mu}_B$  and  $\nu_A \cap \nu_B$  follows exactly as before. Hence  $A \sqcup B$  is a closed doubt cubic *H*-ideal of *X*.

**Theorem 4.14.** (Generalisation) The union of any family of closed doubt cubic H-ideals of X, is again a closed doubt cubic H-ideal of X.

**Remark 4.15.** The intersection of any two closed doubt cubic H-ideals of X will be a closed doubt cubic H-ideal of X if one is contained in the other.

**Theorem 4.16.** Let  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  be a doubt cubic *H*-ideal of *X*. Then the sets  $X_{\tilde{\mu}_A} = \{x \in X | \tilde{\mu}_A(x) = \tilde{\mu}_A(0)\}$  and  $X_{\nu_A} = \{x \in X | \nu_A(x) = \nu_A(0)\}$  are *H*-ideals of *X*.

Proof. Clearly  $0 \in X_{\tilde{\mu}_A}$ . Next for  $x * (y * z), y \in X_{\tilde{\mu}_A}$ , we have  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x * (y * z)) = \tilde{\mu}_A(0) = \tilde{\mu}_A(y)$ . And  $\forall x, z \in X, \tilde{\mu}_A(0) \preceq \tilde{\mu}_A(x * z)$ . Again  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x * z) \preceq r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x * (y * z)), \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\} = r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(0), \tilde{\mu}_A(0)\} = \tilde{\mu}_A(0)$ . So  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x * z) = \tilde{\mu}_A(0)$  and hence  $x * z \in X_{\tilde{\mu}_A}$ . Thus for  $x * (y * z), y \in X_{\tilde{\mu}_A}$ , we get  $x * z \in X_{\tilde{\mu}_A}$ . So  $X_{\tilde{\mu}_A}$  is a *H*-ideal of *X*. Similarly it can be shown that  $X_{\nu_A}$  is also a *H*-ideal of *X*.

**Theorem 4.17.** Let  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  be a cubic set in X. Then A is a doubt cubic H-ideal of X if and only if  $\tilde{\mu}_A^c = [1 - \mu_A^+, 1 - \mu_A^-]$  and  $\nu_A$  are fuzzy H-ideals of X.

 $\begin{array}{l} Proof. \mbox{ Let } A = <\tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A > \mbox{ be a doubt cubic } H\mbox{-ideal of } X. \mbox{ Then it follows immediately that } \nu_A \mbox{ is a fuzzy ideal of } X. \mbox{ Now }\\ \tilde{\mu}_A(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \Rightarrow [\mu_A^-(0), \mu_A^+(0)] \leq [\mu_A^-(x), \mu_A^+(x)] \\ \Rightarrow \mu_A^-(0) \leq \mu_A^-(x); \mu_A^+(0) \leq \mu_A^+(x) \\ \Rightarrow 1 - \mu_A^-(0) \geq 1 - \mu_A^-(x); 1 - \mu_A^+(0) \geq 1 - \mu_A^+(x) \\ \Rightarrow [1 - \mu_A^+(0), 1 - \mu_A^-(0)] \geq [1 - \mu_A^+(x), 1 - \mu_A^-(x)] \Rightarrow \tilde{\mu}_A^c(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_A^c(x) \\ \mbox{Secondly } \tilde{\mu}_A(x * z) \leq r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x * (y * z)), \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\} \\ \Rightarrow \mu_A^-(x * z) \leq \max\{\mu_A^-(x * (y * z)), \mu_A^-(y)\} \\ \Rightarrow 1 - \mu_A^-(x * z) \geq 1 - \max\{\mu_A^-(x * (y * z)), \mu_A^-(y)\} \\ \mbox{similarly } 1 - \mu_A^-(x * (y * z)), 1 - \mu_A^-(y)\} \\ \mbox{Similarly } 1 - \mu_A^+(x * z) \geq \min\{1 - \mu_A^+(x * (y * z)), 1 - \mu_A^+(y)\} \\ \mbox{So } \tilde{\mu}_A^c(x * z) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}_A^c(x * (y * z)), \tilde{\mu}_A^c(y)\} \\ \mbox{Thus } \tilde{\mu}_A^c \mbox{ is a fuzzy } H\mbox{-ideal of } X. \\ \mbox{Conversely let } \tilde{\mu}_A^c \mbox{ and } \nu_A \mbox{ be fuzzy } H\mbox{-ideals of } X. \\ \mbox{Thus } \tilde{\mu}_A^c(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_A^c(x) \\ \Rightarrow [1 - \mu_A^+(0), 1 - \mu_A^-(0)] \geq [1 - \mu_A^+(x), 1 - \mu_A^-(x)] \end{aligned}$ 

 $\Rightarrow [\mu_A^-(0), \mu_A^+(0)] \preceq [\mu_A^-(x), \mu_A^+(x)]$  $\Rightarrow \tilde{\mu}_A(0) \preceq \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$  $\text{And } \tilde{\mu}_A^c(x * z) \succeq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}_A^c(x * (y * z)), \tilde{\mu}_A^c(y)\}$  $\Rightarrow 1 - \mu_A^+(x * z) \ge \min\{1 - \mu_A^+(x * (y * z)), 1 - \mu_A^+(y)\}$  $= 1 - \max\{\mu_A^+(x * (y * z)), \mu_A^+(y)\}$  $\Rightarrow \tilde{\mu}_A^+(x * z) \le r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A^+(x * (y * z)), \tilde{\mu}_A^+(y)\}$  $\text{Likewise } \tilde{\mu}_A^-(x * z) \le r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x * (y * z)), \tilde{\mu}_A^-(y)\}$  $\text{Hence } \tilde{\mu}_A(x * z) \preceq r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x * (y * z)), \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\} \\ \text{Secondly } \nu_A \text{ being a fuzzy } H\text{-ideal of } X,$  $\nu_A(0) \ge \nu_A(x) \text{ and } \nu_A(x * z) \ge \min\{\nu_A(x * (y * z)), \nu_A(y)\} \\ \text{Hence } A = < \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A > \text{ is a doubt cubic } H\text{-ideal of } X.$ 

**Definition 4.18.** Let  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  be a cubic set in X. Let  $\tilde{q} = [q^-, q^+]$  be an interval number and  $r \in [0, 1]$ . Then the set  $L_{\tilde{q}} = \{x \in X | \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \leq \tilde{q}\}$  and  $U_r\{x \in X | \nu_A(x) \geq r\}$  are respectively called lower  $\tilde{q}$ -level set of A and upper rlevel cut of A. The cubic level set of A is the set given by  $(U, L) = \{x \in X | \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \geq \tilde{q}, \nu_A(x) \geq r\}$ .

**Theorem 4.19.** Let  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  be a doubt cubic *H*-ideal of *X*. Then  $L_{\tilde{q}}$  and  $U_r$  are *H*-ideals of *X*.

Proof. We have  $L_{\tilde{q}} = \{x \in X | \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \leq \tilde{q}\}$ . Clearly  $\tilde{\mu}_A(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_A(x * z), \forall x, z \in X$ . So  $\tilde{\mu}_A(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_A(x * z) \leq \tilde{q}$ , whenever  $x * z \in L_{\tilde{q}}$  i.e.,  $0 \in L_{\tilde{q}}$ . Secondly for  $x * (y * z), y \in L_{\tilde{q}}$  we have  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x * (y * z)) \leq \tilde{q}$  and  $\tilde{\mu}_A(y) \leq \tilde{q}$ . So  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x * z) \leq r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x * (y * z)), \tilde{\mu}_A(y))\} = r \max\{\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}\} = \tilde{q}$  i.e.,  $x * z \in L_{\tilde{q}}$ . Therefore for  $x * (y * z) \in L_{\tilde{q}}, x * z \in L_{\tilde{q}}$ . Thus  $L_{\tilde{q}}$  is a *H*-ideal of *X*. Next we have  $U_r\{x \in X | \nu_A(x) \geq r\}$ . Since  $\nu_A(0) \geq \nu_A(x * z) \forall x, z \in X$ , so  $\nu_A(0) \geq \nu_A(x * z) \geq r$ , whenever  $x * z \in U_r$ i.e.,  $0 \in U_r$ . Secondly for  $x * (y * z), y \in U_r$  we have  $\nu_A(x * (y * z)) \geq r$  and  $\nu_A(y) \geq r$ . So  $\nu_A(x * z) \geq \min\{\nu_A(x * (y * z)), \nu_A(y))\} = \min\{r, r\} = r$  i.e.,  $x * z \in U_r$ . Therefore for  $x * (y * z) \in U_r, x * z \in U_r$ . Thus  $U_r$  is a *H*-ideal of *X*.

**Theorem 4.20.** Let  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  be a cubic set in X such that the lower  $\tilde{q}$ -level set  $L_{\tilde{q}}$  and upper r-level cut  $U_r$  of A are H-ideals of X. Then A is a doubt cubic H-ideal of X.

*Proof.* Let us assume that A is not a doubt cubic H-ideal of X. Then we will find that  $L_{\tilde{q}}$  and  $U_r$  are not H-ideals of X. By assumption there exist  $x, y, z \in X$  such that  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x * z) \succ r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x * (y * z)), \tilde{\mu}_A(y))\}$ . Then there exists an interval

number  $\tilde{q}$  (say) such that  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x*z) \succ \tilde{q} \succ r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x*(y*z)), \tilde{\mu}_A(y))\}$ . Thus  $x*(y*z), y \in L_{\tilde{q}}$ . But  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x*z) \succ \tilde{q}$  i.e.,  $x*z \notin L_{\tilde{q}}$ . So  $L_{\tilde{q}}$  is not a *H*-ideals of *X*. Secondly by our accumption there exist  $x \neq x \in C$  such that y

Secondly by our assumption there exist  $x, y, z \in X$  such that  $\nu_A(x*z) < \min\{\nu_A(x*(y*z)), \nu_A(y))\}$ . Then there exists a member  $r \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\nu_A(x*z) < r < \min\{\nu_A(C), \nu_A(y))\}$ . Thus  $x*(y*z), y \in U_r$ . But  $\nu_A(x*z) < r$  i.e.,  $x*z \notin U_r$ . So  $U_r$  is not a *H*-ideals of *X*. Hence the assertion.

**Theorem 4.21.** Any *H*-ideal of *X* can be realised as a  $\tilde{\mu}$ -level doubt fuzzy *H*-ideal and  $\nu$ -level doubt fuzzy *H*-ideal for some doubt cubic *H*-ideal of *X*.

*Proof.* Let I be a H-ideal of X and  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  a cubic set in X defined as

$$\tilde{\mu}_A(x) = \begin{cases} \tilde{u}, & x \in I \\ \tilde{v}, & otherwise \end{cases}$$
$$\nu_A(x) = \begin{cases} w, & x \in I \\ r, & otherwise \end{cases}$$

Where  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in D[0, 1], \ \tilde{0} = [0, 0] \leq \tilde{u} \leq \tilde{v}$  and  $w, r \in [0, 1], w \geq r$ . By hypothesis  $x * (y * z), y \in I, \forall x, y, z \in X$ . Then  $x * z \in I$ .

Now  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x * z) = \tilde{u} = r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x * (y * z)), \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\}$  and  $\nu_A(x * z) = w = \min\{\nu_A(x * (y * z)), \nu_A(y))\}$ 

If at least one x \* (y \* z) and y is not in I, then at least one of  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x * (y * z))$  and  $\tilde{\mu}_A(y)$  is equal to  $\tilde{v}$  and at least one of  $\nu_A(x * (y * z))$  and  $\nu_A(y)$  is equal to r so that

 $\tilde{\mu}_A(x*z) \preceq \tilde{v} = r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x*(y*z)), \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\}$  and  $\nu_A(x*z) \ge w = \min\{\nu_A(x*(y*z)), \nu_A(y))\}.$ 

Since  $0 \in I$ , by definition of  $\tilde{\mu}_A$  and  $\nu_A$ , we have  $\tilde{\mu}_A(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_A(x), \forall x \in X$  and  $\nu_i(0) \geq \nu_A(x), \forall x \in X$ . Therefore  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  is a doubt cubic *H*-ideal of *X*.

**Theorem 4.22.** A cubic set  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  of X is a doubt cubic H-ideal of X if and only if  $\tilde{\mu}_A$  is a doubt fuzzy H-ideal and  $\nu_A = 1 - \nu_A$  is a doubt fuzzy H-ideal of X.

Proof. Let  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  be a doubt cubic *H*-ideal of *X*. Then it immediately follows that  $\tilde{\mu}_A$  s a doubt fuzzy *H*-ideal of *X*. Secondly  $\nu_A(0) \ge \nu_A(x) \Rightarrow 1 - \nu_A(0) \le 1 - \nu_A(x) \Rightarrow \bar{\nu}_A(0) \le \bar{\nu}_A(x), \forall x \in X$ And  $\nu_A(x * z) \ge \min\{\nu_A(x * (y * z))), \nu_A(y)\}$  $\Rightarrow 1 - \nu_A(x * z) \le 1 - \min\{\nu_A(x * (y * z))), \nu_A(y)\}$  $\Rightarrow \bar{\nu}_A(x * z) \le \max\{1 - \nu_A(x * (y * z))), 1 - \nu_A(y)\} = \max\{\bar{\nu}_A(x * (y * z))), \bar{\nu}_A(y)\}.$ So  $\bar{\nu}_A$  is a doubt fuzzy *H*-ideal of *X*. Conversely let  $\tilde{\mu}_A$  be a doubt fuzzy *H*-ideal of *X* and  $\nu_A$  be a doubt fuzzy *H*-ideal of *X*. Since  $\tilde{\mu}_A$  be a doubt fuzzy *H*-ideal of *X*, so  $\tilde{\mu}_A(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$  and  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x*z) \leq r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x*(y*z)), \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\} \forall x, y, z \in X$ . Secondly, since  $\nu_A$  be a doubt fuzzy *H*-ideal of *X*, so  $\bar{\nu}_A(0) \leq \bar{\nu}_A(x) \Rightarrow 1 - \nu_A(0) \leq 1 - \nu_A(x) \Rightarrow \nu_A(0) \geq \nu_A(x), \forall x \in X$ And  $\bar{\nu}_A(x*z) \leq \max\{\bar{\nu}_A(x*(y*z))), \bar{\nu}_A(y)\} \Rightarrow 1 - \nu_A(x*z) \leq \max\{1 - \nu_A(x*(y*z))), 1 - \nu_A(y)\} = 1 - \min\{\nu_A(x*(y*z)), \nu_A(y)\}$  i.e.,  $\nu_A(x*z) \geq \min\{\nu_A(x*(y*z)), \nu_A(y)\}$ So  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  is a doubt cubic *H*-ideal of *X*.

**Theorem 4.23.** A cubic set  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  of X is a closed doubt cubic H-ideal of X if and only if  $\tilde{\mu}_A$  is a closed doubt fuzzy H-ideal and  $\nu_A = 1 - \nu_A$  is a closed doubt fuzzy H-ideal of X.

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of the preceding theorem.

# 5 Homomorphism of doubt cubic *H*-ideals of BG-algebra

Let X and Y be two BG-algebra and  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(Y)$  denote the family of cubic sets in X and Y respectively. A mapping  $f : X \to Y$  induces two mappings  $\mathcal{C}_f : \mathcal{C}(X) \to \mathcal{C}(Y)$  given by  $A \mapsto \mathcal{C}_f(A)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_f^{-1} : \mathcal{C}(Y) \to \mathcal{C}(X)$  given by  $B \mapsto \mathcal{C}_f^{-1}(B)$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_f(A)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_f^{-1}(B)$  are given by,

$$\mathcal{C}_{f}(\tilde{\mu}_{A})(y) \begin{cases} = r \inf\{\tilde{\mu}_{A}(x)|y=f(x)\}, & f^{-1} \neq \phi \\ = [1,1], & otherwise \end{cases}$$
$$\mathcal{C}_{f}(\nu_{A})(y) = \begin{cases} \sup\{\nu_{A}(x)|y=f(x)\}, & f^{-1} \neq \phi \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$$

for all  $y \in Y$ .

And  $\mathcal{C}_f^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}_B)(x) = \tilde{\mu}_B(f(x)), \mathcal{C}_f^{-1}(\nu_B)(x) = \mu_B(f(x))$  for all  $x \in X$ .

The mappings  $C_f$  and  $C_f^{-1}$  are respectively known as cubic and inverse cubic transformations induced by  $f: X \to Y$ . The cubic set  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  in X is said to have cubic property if for any subset B of X there exists  $x_0 \in B$  such that  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x_0) = r \inf{\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x) | x \in B\}}$  and  $\nu_A(x_0) = \sup{\{\nu_A(x) | x \in B\}}$ 

**Theorem 5.1.** For a transformation  $f: X \to Y$ , where X and Y are BG-algebra, let  $C_f: C(X) \to C(Y)$  and  $C_f^{-1}: C(Y) \to C(X)$  be the cubic transformation and inverse cubic transformation respectively induced by f. (i) If  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  is a doubt cubic H-ideal of X, then  $C_f(A)$  is a doubt cubic H-ideal of Y. (ii) If  $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, \nu_A \rangle$  is a doubt cubic H-ideal of Y then  $C_f^{-1}(A)$  is a doubt cubic

H-ideal of X.

*Proof.* Let  $f(x) \in f(X)$ . Then there exists  $x_0 \in f^{-1}(f(x))$  such that  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x_0) = r \inf\{\tilde{\mu}_A(a) | a \in f^{-1}(f(x))\} \text{ and } \nu_A(x_0) = \sup\{\nu_A(a) | a \in f^{-1}(f(x))\}.$ Now  $C_f(\tilde{\mu}_A)(f(0)) = r \inf{\{\tilde{\mu}_A(z) | z \in f^{-1}(f(0))\}} = \tilde{\mu}_A(0_0),$ where  $0_0 \in f^{-1}(f(0))$ . In particular when  $0_0 = 0$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}_A(0) \preceq \tilde{\mu}_A(x_0)$  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_f(\tilde{\mu}_A)(f(0)) \preceq r \inf\{\tilde{\mu}_A(a) | a \in f^{-1}(f(x))\} = \mathcal{C}_f(\tilde{\mu}_A)(f(x))$ And  $C_f(\nu_A)(f(0)) = \sup\{\nu_A(z) | z \in f^{-1}(f(0))\}$ , where  $0_0 \in f^{-1}(f(0))$ . In particular when  $0_0 = 0, \nu_A(0) \ge \nu_A(x_0)$  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_f(\nu_A)(f(0)) \ge \sup\{\nu_A(a) | a \in f^{-1}(f(x))\} = \mathcal{C}_f(\nu_A)(f(x))$ Again  $C_f(\tilde{\mu}_A)(f(x) * f(z)) = C_f(\tilde{\mu}_A)(f(x * z))$  $= r \inf\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x'*z') | x'*z' \in f^{-1}(f(x*z))\} = \tilde{\mu}_A(x_0*z_0)$  $\leq r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x_0 * (y_0 * z_0)), \tilde{\mu}_A(y_0)\}$  $= r \max\{r \inf\{\tilde{\mu}_A(a * (b * c)) | a * (b * c) \in f^{-1}(f(x * (y * z)))\}, r \inf\{\tilde{\mu}_A(d) | d \in f^{-1}(f(x * (y * z)))\}\}$  $f^{-1}(f(y))\} = r \max\{\mathcal{C}_f(\tilde{\mu}_A)(f(x*(y*z)), \mathcal{C}_f(\tilde{\mu}_A)(f(y))\}\}$  $= r \max\{\mathcal{C}_f(\tilde{\mu}_A)(f(x) * f(y * z)), \mathcal{C}_f(\tilde{\mu}_A)(f(y))\}\}$  $= r \max\{\mathcal{C}_f(\tilde{\mu}_A)(f(x) * (f(y) * f(z))), \mathcal{C}_f(\tilde{\mu}_A)(f(y))\}\}$ And  $C_f(\nu_A)(f(x) * f(z)) = C_f(\nu_A)(f(x * z))$  $= \sup\{\nu_A(x' * z') | x' * z' \in f^{-1}(f(x * z))\} = \nu_A(x_0 * z_0)$  $\geq \min\{\nu_A(x_0 * (y_0 * z_0)), \nu_A(y_0)\}$  $= \min\{\sup\{\nu_A(a*(b*c)) | a*(b*c) \in f^{-1}(f(x*(y*z)))\}, \sup\{\nu_A(d) | d \in f^{-1}(f(y))\}\}$  $= \min\{\mathcal{C}_f(\nu_A)(f(x*(y*z))), \mathcal{C}_f(\nu_A)(f(y))\}\}$  $= \min\{\mathcal{C}_f(\nu_A)(f(x) * f(y * z))), \mathcal{C}_f(\nu_A)(f(y))\}$  $= \min\{\mathcal{C}_{f}(\nu_{A})(f(x) * (f(y) * f(z))), \mathcal{C}_{f}(\nu_{A})(f(y))\}\}$ Therefore  $\mathcal{C}_f(A)$  is a doubt cubic *H*-ideal of *Y*. (ii) Let  $x \in X$  and  $f(x) \in Y$ . Now  $C_f^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}_A)(0) = \tilde{\mu}_A(f(0)) \preceq \tilde{\mu}_A(f(x)) = C_f^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}_A)(x)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{f}^{-1}(\nu_{A})(0) = \nu_{A}(f(0)) \ge \nu_{A}(f(x)) = \mathcal{C}_{f}^{-1}(\nu_{A})(x)$ Again  $\mathcal{C}_f^{-1}(\nu_A)(x*z) = \tilde{\mu}_A(f(x*z)) = \tilde{\mu}_A(f(x)*f(z))$  $\leq r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(f(x) * (f(y) * f(z))), \tilde{\mu}_A(f(y))\}$  $= r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(f(x) * (f(y * z))), \tilde{\mu}_A(f(y))\}$  $= r \max\{\tilde{\mu}_A(f(x \ast (y \ast z)), \tilde{\mu}_A(f(y))\}\$  $= r \max\{\mathcal{C}_{f}^{-1}(\tilde{\mu})(x * (y * z)), \mathcal{C}_{f}^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}_{A})(y)\}.$ And  $C_f^{-1}(\nu_A)(x * z) = \nu_A(f(x * z)) = \nu_A(f(x) * f(z))$  $\geq \min\{\nu_A(f(x) * (f(y) * f(z))), \nu_A(f(y))\} = \min\{\nu_A(f(x) * (f(y * z)), \nu_A(f(y)))\} = \min\{\nu_A(f(x * (y * z)), \nu_A(f(y)))\} = \min\{\mathcal{C}_f^{-1}(\nu_A)(x * (y * z)), \mathcal{C}_f^{-1}(\nu_A)(y)\}.$ So  $\mathcal{C}_f^{-1}(A)$  is a doubt cubic *H*-ideal of *X*.

# References

- S.S. Ahn and H. D. Lee, Fuzzy subalgebras of BG-algebras, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 19 (2) (2004), 243-251.
- [2] Y. Imai and J. Iseki, On axiom System of Propositional Calculi 15, Proc. Japan Academy, 42 (1966), 19-22.
- [3] Y. B. Jun, C. S. Kim and K. O. Yang, Cubic sets 2012, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, Vol. 4 No.1, pp-83-98.
- [4] Y. B. Jun, C. S. Kim and J. B. Kang, 2011, Cubic q-ideals of BCI-algebras, 2011,
- [5] Y. B. Jun, Doubt fuzzy BCK/BCI-algebras, Soochow Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 20 No.3, 351-358, July 1994.
- [6] H. M. Khalid and B. Ahmad, Fuzzy sets and systems, Vol. 101, Issue 1, January 1999,153-158.
- [7] C. B. Kim and H. S. Kim, On BG-algebras, *Demonstratio Math.* 41 (3) (2008), 497-505.
- [8] J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, On BG-algebras, Math. Vensik, 54 (2002), 21-29.
- [9] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Information and Control, 8 (1965), 338-353.
- [10] J. Zhan and Z. Tan, Soochow Journal of Mathematics, Vol.29, No. 3, pp-293-298, July 2003.