Fixed Point Theorems for Self-Mappings in a Menger Space using Contractive Control Function under CLR / JCLR-Property

S. Rajesh

Research Scholar in Rayalaseema University (part time), Asst. Prof., G.V.P. College for Degree and P.G. Courses, School of Engineering, Rushikonda, Visakhapatnam-45, India.

Abstract. In this paper, using contractive control function and CLR / JCLR property two fixed point theorems for self-mappings in a Menger space are mainly proved and also considered a variant of those theorems. Examples are provided in support of the theorems.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H20, 54H25.

Key words and phrases: Menger space, triangular norm, weakly compatible mappings, common fixed point.

1. INTRODUCTION

K. P. R. Sastry et. al[2] proved a fixed point theorem for four self-mappings on a complete Menger space using compatible, weakly compatible and continuity concepts. Now, this result is extended to six self-mappings and proved under weaker conditions using CLR / JCLR property.

Throughout this paper i and i⁺ stand for the set of all reals and the set of all non-negative reals respectively.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND BASIC RESULTS

Definition 2.1. ([3]) A function $F: ; \rightarrow ;^+$ is said to be a distribution function if and only if

- (i) F is non-decreasing (i.e. monotonic increasing),
- (ii) F is left continuous and

(iii) $\inf\{F(u) : u \in j\} = 0 \text{ and } \sup\{F(u) : u \in j\} = 1.$

D denotes the family of all distribution functions on i . H is a special element of D defined by

$$H(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u \le 0\\ 1 & \text{if } u > 0. \end{cases}$$

(H is called the Heaviside function.)

H(u - d(x, y)) for all x, y in X.

Definition 2.2. ([3]) Let X be a non-empty set and D denotes the set of all distribution functions. The ordered pair (X, F) is called a probabilistic metric space if and only if F is a mapping from $X \times X$ into D satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $F_{x,y}(u) = H(u)$ if and only if y = x; (ii) $F_{x,y}(u) = F_{y,x}(u)$; (iii) $F_{x,y}(0) = 0$, and (iv) if $F_{x,z}(u) = 1$, $F_{z,y}(v) = 1$ then $F_{x,y}(u + v) = 1$ for all x, y, z in X and u, v>0. ($F_{x,y}$ is the distribution function F (x, y) associated with (x, y)). Every metric space (X, d) can be viewed as a probabilistic metric space by taking $F_{x,y}(u) = 0$

Definition 2.3. ([3]) A mapping $*: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] - [0, 1]$ is said to be a triangular norm (known as t-norm) if and only if * satisfies the following conditions. For all a,b,c in [0,1],

(i) *(a, 1) = a and *(0, 0) = 0;
(ii) *(a, b) = *(b, a);
(iii) *(a, b) ≤ *(c, d) whenever one of a, b is ≤c and the other is ≤ d, and
(iv) *(*(a, b), c) = *(a, *(b, c)).
(*(a, b) is denoted by a * b)

If further * is continuous on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ (under the usual metric) then it is called a continuous triangular norm.

Definition 2.4. ([3]) A Menger space is an ordered triad (X, F, *) where * is a triangular norm and (X, F) is a probabilistic metric space satisfying the following condition :

$$F_{x,z}(u+v) \ge *(F_{x,y}(u), F_{y,z}(v)) = F_{x,y}(u) * F_{y,z}(v)$$

for all x, y, z in X and u, v > 0.

Definition 2.5. ([4]) Self maps A and B of a Merger space (X, F, *) are said to be weakly compatible if and only if they commute at their coincidence points; i.e., if Ax = Bx for some $x \in X$ then ABx = BAx.

Definition 2.6. ([2]) A mapping $\zeta : i^+ \to i^+$ is such that ζ is strictly increasing and for some $\alpha \in (1,2)$, $(\alpha -1)\zeta(t) > t$ for all t > 0, then ζ is called a contractive control function.

Notation: Z stands for the class of all contractive control functions.

Definition 2.7. ([1]) Let (X, F, *) be a Menger space and A, S be self mappings on X. The pairs {A, S} and {B, T} share common property (E.A) if and only If there exist sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ and a point $z \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} By_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n = z.$$

Definition 2.8. ([5]) Let (X,F,*) be a Menger space, where * denotes a continuous t-norm and f, g, h, k be self mappings on X. The pairs {f, g} and {h, k} are said to satisfy the "common limit in the range of g" (CLR_g)- property if and only if there exist sequences { x_n } and { y_n } and a point $u \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}F_{f_{X_n,g_u}}(t) = \lim_{n\to\infty}F_{g_{X_n,g_u}}(t) = \lim_{n\to\infty}F_{h_{y_n,g_u}}(t) = \lim_{n\to\infty}F_{k_{y_n,g_u}}(t) = 1, \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

Similar is the case with CLR_f , CLR_h , CLR_k -properties where gu is replaced by fu, hu, ku in the above equality quantities.

Definition 2.9. ([6]) Let (X,F,*) be a Menger space, where * denotes a continuous t-norm and f, g, h, k be self mappings on X. The pairs {f, g} and {h, k} are said to satisfy the "joint common limit in the range of g" (JCLR_{gk})- property if and only if there exist sequences { x_n } and { y_n } and a point $u \in X$ such that and ku = gu and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}F_{fx_n,gu}(t)=\lim_{n\to\infty}F_{gx_n,gu}(t)=\lim_{n\to\infty}F_{hy_n,gu}(t)=\lim_{n\to\infty}F_{hy_n,gu}(t)=1, \text{ for all } t>0.$$

Similar is the case with respect to other relevant combinations.

3. MAIN RESULTS

K. P. R. Sastry et. al[2] proved the following:

Result 3.1. Let A, B, S and T be self mappings on a complete Menger space (X,F, *) where * is the min t-norm and satisfying:

- (i) $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$;
- (ii) $F_{Ax,By}(t) \ge F_{Sx,Ty}(\zeta(t)) * F_{Ax,Sx}(\zeta(t)) * F_{By,Ty}(\zeta(t)) * F_{Ax,Ty}(\alpha\zeta(t)) * F_{By,Sx}(\alpha\zeta(t))$ for r all $x, y \in X, t > 0, \zeta \in Z$ and for some $\alpha \in (1,2)$;
- (iii) the ordered pair (A;S) is compatible and fB;Tg is weakly compatible or vice-versa;
- (iv) one mapping of the compatible pair is continuous.

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

Now we extend the above one to six self mappings and prove under weaker conditions.

Theorem 3.2: Let A, B, S, T, L and M be self mappings on a Menger space (X,F, *), where * is the min t-norm and satisfying:

- (i) $AL(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $BM(X) \subseteq S(X)$;
- (ii) $F_{ALx,BMy}(t) \ge F_{Sx,Ty}(\zeta(t)) * F_{ALx,Sx}(\zeta(t)) * F_{BMy,Ty}(\zeta(t)) * F_{ALx,Ty}(\alpha\zeta(t)) * F_{BMy,Sx}(\alpha\zeta(t))$ for all $x, y \in X$, t > 0, $\zeta \in Z$ and for some $\alpha \in (1, 2)$;
- (iii) the pair {AL, S} and {BM, T} are weakly compatible;
- (iv) AL=LA and either SA=AS or SL=LS;
- (v) BM=MB and either TB=BT or TM=MT;
- (vi) the pair {AL, S} and {BM, T} share one of the CLR_{AL}, CLR_{BM}, CLR_S, CLR_T-property.

Then A, B, S, T, L and M have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof:

Case I: Suppose {AL, S} and {BM, T} share CLR_{AL} or CLR_S-property. So, by the definition, there exist sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} ALx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} BMy_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n = Su, \text{ for some } u \in X .$

Taking x = u and $y = y_n$ in (ii), we get that

$$F_{ALu,BMy_n}(t) \ge F_{Su,Ty_n}(\zeta(t)) * F_{ALu,Su}(\zeta(t)) * F_{BMy_n,Ty_n}(\zeta(t)) * F_{ALu,Ty_n}(\alpha\zeta(t)) * F_{BMy_n,Su}(\alpha\zeta(t))$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get that

$$\begin{split} F_{ALu,Su}(t) &\geq F_{Su,Su}(\zeta(t)) * F_{ALu,Su}(\zeta(t)) * F_{Su,Su}(\zeta(t)) * F_{ALu,Su}(\alpha\zeta(t)) * F_{Su,Su}(\alpha\zeta(t)) \\ &= F_{ALu,Su}(\zeta(t)) * F_{ALu,Su}(\alpha\zeta(t)) \\ &\geq F_{ALu,Su}(\zeta(t)) \\ &\geq F_{ALu,Su}(\zeta^{2}(t)) \geq L \geq F_{ALu,Su}(\zeta^{n}(t)) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{(since } 0 < (\alpha - 1) < 1\text{).} \\ \end{split}$$
Hence $ALu = Su$.

Н

Since $AL(X) \subset T(X)$, there is a $v \in X$ such that ALu = Tv.

Taking $x = x_n$ and y = v in (ii), we get that

$$F_{ALx_n,BMv}(t) \ge F_{Sx_n,Tv}(\zeta(t)) * F_{ALx_n,Sx_n}(\zeta(t)) * F_{BMv,Tv}(\zeta(t)) * F_{ALx_n,Tv}(\alpha\zeta(t)) * F_{BMv,Sx_n}(\alpha\zeta(t))$$

As
$$n \to \infty$$
, we get that
 $F_{Tv,BMv}(t) \ge F_{Tv,Tv}(\zeta(t)) * F_{Tv,Tv}(\zeta(t)) * F_{BMv,Tv}(\zeta(t)) * F_{Tv,Tv}(\alpha\zeta(t)) * F_{BMv,Tv}(\alpha\zeta(t))$
 $= F_{BMv,Tv}(\zeta(t)) * F_{BMv,Tv}(\alpha\zeta(t))$
 $\ge F_{BMv,Tv}(\zeta(t))$
 $\ge F_{BMv,Tv}(\zeta^{2}(t)) \ge L \ge F_{BMv,Tv}(\zeta^{n}(t)) \to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$

Then BMv = Tv. Thus ALu = Su = BMv = Tv = z (say).

Since $\{AL, S\}$ and $\{BM, T\}$ are weakly compatible, ALSu = SALu and BMTv = TBMv. i.e, ALz =Sz and BMz = Tz.

Taking x = z and y = v in (ii), we get that

$$\begin{split} F_{ALz,BM\nu}(t) &\geq F_{Sz,T\nu}(\zeta(t)) * F_{ALz,Sz}(\zeta(t)) * F_{BM\nu,T\nu}(\zeta(t)) * F_{ALz,T\nu}(\alpha\zeta(t)) * F_{BM\nu,Sz}(\alpha\zeta(t)) \,. \end{split}$$

i.e,
$$F_{ALz,z}(t) &\geq F_{ALz,z}(\zeta(t)) * F_{ALz,z}(\alpha\zeta(t)) * F_{z,ALz}(\alpha\zeta(t)) = F_{ALz,z}(\zeta(t))$$

So,
$$ALz = Sz (\Longrightarrow ALz = Sz = z) \,. \end{split}$$

Similarly, by taking x = u and y = z in (ii), we get that $BMz = z \implies BMz = Tz = z$).

Thus ALz = BMz = Sz = Tz = z.

Suppose SA=AS. Since AL=LA, we have ALAz = AALz = Az and SAz = ASz = Az. Taking x = Az and y = v in (ii), we get that Az = z. Since ALz = z, follow that $Lz = z \implies Az = Lz = Sz = z$).

Suppose SL=LS. Since AL=LA, we have ALLz =LALz = Lz and SLz = LSz = Lz. Taking x = Lz and y = v in (ii), we get that Az = z. Since ALz = z, follow that $Az = z \implies Az = Lz = Sz = z$.

Suppose TB=BT. Since BM=MB, we have BMBz = BBMz = Bz and TBz = BTz = Bz. Taking x = u and y = Bz in (ii), we get that Bz = z. Since BMz = z, follow that $Mz = z \Longrightarrow Bz = Mz = Tz = z$.

Suppose TM=MT. Since BM=MB, we have BMMz = MBMz = Mz and TMz = MTz = Mz. Taking x = u and y = Mz in (ii), we get that Mz = z. Since BMz = z, follow that $Bz = z \Longrightarrow Bz = Mz = Tz = z$. Thus Az = Bz = Lz = Mz = Sz = Tz = z.

Case II: Suppose {AL, S} and {BM, T} share CLR_{BM} or CLR_{T} -property. So, by the definition, there exist sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ALx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} BMy_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Ty_n = Tv, \text{ for some } v \in X$$

Proceeding on similar lines of the previous case, we first get BMv=Tv. Since $BM(X) \subseteq S(X)$, there is a $u \in X$ such that BMv = Su. Using (ii) we get that ALu = Su. Thus ALu = Su = BMv = Tv = z(say). Now, using (iii), we get that ALz = Sz and BMz = Tz. Using (ii), we get that ALz = BMz = Sz = Tz = z.

From this stage, the proof is same given above. Hence, we get that z is a common fixed point of A, B, S, T, L and M in X.

Uniqueness follows trivially.

Hence, z is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S, T, L and M in X.

Now, by taking L=M=I(the identity mapping on X), we get the following:

Corollary 3.3: Let A, B, S and T be self-mappings on a Menger space (X,F, *), where * is the min t-norm and satisfying:

- (i) $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$;
- (ii) $F_{Ax,By}(t) \ge F_{Sx,Ty}(\zeta(t)) * F_{Ax,Sx}(\zeta(t)) * F_{By,Ty}(\zeta(t)) * F_{Ax,Ty}(\alpha\zeta(t)) * F_{By,Sx}(\alpha\zeta(t))$ for r all $x, y \in X, t > 0, \zeta \in Z$ and for some $\alpha \in (1,2)$;

(iii) the pair {A, S} and {B, T} are weakly compatible;

(iv) the pair $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ share one of the CLR_A , CLR_B , CLR_S , CLR_T -property.

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

We give an example in support of our Theorem(3.2).

Example 3.4: (X, F, *) is a Menger space, where X=[0, ∞) with the usual metric and $F: \rightarrow [0,1]$ is defined by $F_{x,y}(t) = \frac{t}{t+|x-y|}$ for all $x, y \in [, t > 0$ and * is the min t-norm, i.e, $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0,1]$.

Define $\zeta : \downarrow^+ \to \downarrow^+$ by $\zeta(t) = 2t$. Let A, B, S, T, L and M be the self-mappings on X, defined by

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & if \ x \le 16, \\ 1 & if \ x > 16, \end{cases} \qquad S(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & if \ x \le 16 \\ \frac{1}{x^2} & if \ x > 16, \end{cases}$$

 $Bx = 0, Mx = \frac{x}{3}, Lx = x \text{ and } Tx = x^2, \text{ for all } x \in X.$ Take $x_n = y_n = \frac{1}{n}$.

Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} ALx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} BMy_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n = 0 = S(0)$. So {AL, S} and {BM, T} shares CLR_s -property. **Case 1**: When $x \le 16$ and $y \in X$. L.H.S= $F_{ALx,BMy}(t) = F_{0,0}(t) = 1$. Clearly, L.H.S \ge R.H.S.

Case 2: When
$$x > 16$$
 and $y \in X$.
L.H.S = $F_{ALx,BMy}(t) = F_{1,0}(t) = \frac{t}{t+1}$.
R.H.S = $F_{Sx,Ty}(\zeta(t)) * F_{ALx,Sx}(\zeta(t)) * F_{BMy,Ty}(\zeta(t)) * F_{ALx,Ty}(\alpha\zeta(t)) * F_{BMy,Sx}(\alpha\zeta(t))$
 $= F_{x^{1/2},y^{2}}(2t) * F_{1,x^{1/2}}(2t) * F_{0,y^{2}}(2t) * F_{1,y^{2}}(\alpha 2t) * F_{0,x^{1/2}}(\alpha 2t)$
 $= \min\left\{\frac{2t}{2t+|x^{1/2}-y^{2}|}, \frac{2t}{2t+(x^{1/2}-1)}, \frac{2t}{2t+y^{2}}, \frac{2\alpha t}{2\alpha t+|1-y^{2}|}, \frac{2\alpha t}{2\alpha t+x^{1/2}}\right\}$
 $\leq \frac{2t}{2t+(x^{1/2}-1)}$
 $\leq \frac{t}{t+1} = \text{L.H.S.}$

The other conditions of the theorem are trivially satisfied. Clearly, '0' is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S, T, L and M in X.

Remark 3.5: If we assume JCLR-property instead of CLR we can omit condition (i) in Theorem(3.2).

We now prove the following:

Theorem 3.6: Let A, B, S, T, L and M be self-mappings on a Menger space (X,F, *), where * is the min t-norm and satisfying:

(i) the pair {AL, S} and {BM, T} shares JCLR_{ST}-property;

- (ii) $F_{ALx,BMy}(t) \ge F_{Sx,Ty}(\zeta(t)) * F_{ALx,Sx}(\zeta(t)) * F_{BMy,Ty}(\zeta(t)) * F_{ALx,Ty}(\alpha\zeta(t)) * F_{BMy,Sx}(\alpha\zeta(t))$ for all $x, y \in X, t > 0, \zeta \in Z$ and for some $\alpha \in (1,2)$;
- (iii) the pair {AL, S} and {BM, T} are weakly compatible;
- (iv) AL=LA and either SA=AS or SL=LS;
- (v) BM=MB and either TB=BT or TM=MT.

Then A, B, S, T, L and M have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof:

Suppose {AL, S} and {BM, T} shares JCLR_{ST}-property. Then there exist sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X and $u \in X$ such that Su = Tu and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ALx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} BMy_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n = Su(=Tu).$$

Taking x = u and $y = y_n$ in (ii), we get that ALu=Su (ALu = Su = Tu).

Taking $x = x_n$ and y = u in (ii), we get that Tu=BMu.

Thus ALu = Su = BMv = Tv = z(say).

Since {AL, S} and {BM, T} are weakly compatible, ALSu = SALu and BMTu = TBMu. i.e, ALz = Sz and BMz = Tz.

Taking x = z and y = u in (ii), we get that ALz=Sz=z. Taking x = u and y = z in (ii), we get that BMz = Tz = z. Hence, ALz=Sz=BMz=Tz=z. From this stage, the proof runs as in Theorem (3.2).

We now give an example in support of our Theorem(3.6).

Example 3.7: (X, F, *) is a Menger space, where X=[0, ∞) with the usual metric and $F: \rightarrow [0,1]$ is

defined by $F_{x,y}(t) = \frac{t}{t+|x-y|}$ for all $x, y \in i$, t > 0 and * is the min t-norm, i.e., $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ for

all $a, b \in [0, 1]$.

Define $\zeta : \downarrow^+ \to \downarrow^+$ by $\zeta(t) = 2t$.

Let A, B, S, T, L and M be the self-mappings on X, defined by

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & if \ x \le 25, \\ 1 & if \ x > 25, \end{cases} \qquad S(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & if \ x \le 25, \\ \frac{1}{x^2} & if \ x > 25, \end{cases}$$

$$Bx = 0, Mx = \frac{x}{7}, Lx = x \text{ and } Tx = x^2, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Take
$$x_n = y_n = \frac{1}{n}$$
. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} ALx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} BMy_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Ty_n = 0 = S(0) = T(0)$.

So {AL, S} and {BM, T} shares JCLR_{ST}-property. Case 1: When $x \le 25$ and $y \in X$.

L.H.S= $F_{ALx,BMy}(t) = F_{0,0}(t) = 1$. Clearly, L.H.S \geq R.H.S.

Case 2: When x > 25 and $y \in X$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L.H.S} &= F_{ALx,BMy}(t) = F_{1,0}(t) = \frac{t}{t+1}.\\ \text{R.H.S} &= F_{Sx,Ty}(\zeta(t)) * F_{ALx,Sx}(\zeta(t)) * F_{BMy,Ty}(\zeta(t)) * F_{ALx,Ty}(\alpha\zeta(t)) * F_{BMy,Sx}(\alpha\zeta(t)) \\ &= F_{x^{1/2},y^{2}}(2t) * F_{1,x^{1/2}}(2t) * F_{0,y^{2}}(2t) * F_{1,y^{2}}(\alpha 2t) * F_{0,x^{1/2}}(\alpha 2t) \\ &= \min\left\{\frac{2t}{2t+|x^{1/2}-y^{2}|}, \frac{2t}{2t+(x^{1/2}-1)}, \frac{2t}{2t+y^{2}}, \frac{2\alpha t}{2\alpha t+|1-y^{2}|}, \frac{2\alpha t}{2\alpha t+x^{1/2}}\right\} \\ &\leq \frac{2t}{2t+(x^{1/2}-1)} \\ &\leq \frac{t}{t+\frac{(x^{1/2}-1)}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{t}{t+1} = \text{L.H.S}. \end{aligned}$$

The other conditions of the theorem are trivially satisfied. Clearly, '0' is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S, T, L and M in X.

We have the following theorem where the pairs share common property (E.A). The proof runs on similar lines of the Theorem (3.2).

Theorem 3.8: Let A, B, S, T, L and M be self-mappings on a Menger space (X,F, *), where * is the min t-norm and satisfying:

- (i) $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$;
- (ii) $F_{ALx,BMy}(t) \ge F_{Sx,Ty}(\zeta(t)) * F_{ALx,Sx}(\zeta(t)) * F_{BMy,Ty}(\zeta(t)) * F_{ALx,Ty}(\alpha\zeta(t)) * F_{BMy,Sx}(\alpha\zeta(t))$ for all $x, y \in X, t > 0, \zeta \in Z$ and for some $\alpha \in (1,2)$;
- (iii) the pair {AL, S} and {BM, T} are weakly compatible;
- (iv) AL=LA and either SA=AS or SL=LS;
- (v) BM=MB and either TB=BT or TM=MT;
- (vi) the pair {AL, S} and {BM, T} shares common property (E.A);
- (vii)one of AL(X), BM(X), S(X), T(X) is a complete subspace of X.
- Then A, B, S, T, L and M have a unique common fixed point in X.

Example 3.9: (X, F, *) is a Menger space, where X=[0, ∞) with the usual metric and $F: \rightarrow [0,1]$ is

defined by
$$F_{x,y}(t) = \frac{t}{t+|x-y|}$$
 for all $x, y \in [t, t>0]$ and * is the mint-norm, i.e., $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ for

all $a, b \in [0, 1]$.

Define $\zeta : \downarrow^+ \to \downarrow^+$ by $\zeta(t) = 2t$. Let A, B, S, T, L and M be the self-mappings on X, defined by

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \le 9, \\ 1 & \text{if } x > 9, \end{cases} \qquad S(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{if } x \le 9, \\ \frac{1}{x^2} & \text{if } x > 9, \end{cases}$$

$$x = x \text{ and } Tx = x^2 \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

$$Bx = 0, Mx = \frac{x}{5}, Lx = x \text{ and } Tx = x^2, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Take $x_n = y_n = \frac{1}{n}.$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am highly thankful to my research director Prof. I. H. Nagaraja Rao, Sr. Professor, GVP-LIAS, GVP College of Engineering(A), Visakhapatnam for his interest and help in the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abbas, I. Altun, D. Gopal, Common fixed point theorems for non compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces, Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 2009, 1(2), 47-56.
- [2] K.P.R.Sastry, G.A.Naidu, P.V.S.Prasad and S.S.A.Sastri, A Fixed Point Theorem for a sequence of self maps in a Menger Space Using a contractive control function, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, Vol. 4, no.35, 2010, 1341-1348.
- [3] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Statistical metric space*, North-Halland Series in Probability and Applied Math 5, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1983.
- [4] S. Singh and S. Jain, A fixed point theorem in Menger spaces through weakly compatibility, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 301(2005), 439-448.
- [5] Sinturavarant and Kumam, Common Fixed point theorems for a pair of weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces, Journal of Applied Mathematics, Vol. 2011, (2011).
- [6] Sunny Chauhan, Wutiphol Sintunavarat and Poom Kumam, Common Fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric space using (JCLR) property, Applied Mathematics, (2012), 3, 976-982.