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#### Abstract

In this paper we consider the vibration of nonlinear deformation of elastic shallow shell. This is a parabolic problem of Von-Karman evolution without rotational inertia, in quasistatic form. The aim of this article is to finding a condition verified by the internal and external loads in up to have a uniqueness weak solution. For illustrate our theoretical results we use the method of finite difference known that by alternating direction implicit schemes (ADI).
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## 1. Introduction

In [3] I.Chueshov and I.Lasiecka present the problem of quasi-static Von-Karman evolution and establish the existence of weak solution, but the uniqueness is not known. However the existence and uniqueness dose hold for strong solution. This model in the quasi-static form of clamped boundary conditions describe the case when the inertia forces are small in compression with the resisting forces of the medium $(\mu=0)$. We well known the quasi-static Von-Karman evolution without rotational inertia $(\alpha=0)$, for vertical displacement $u(x, y, t)$ and the Airy stress $\phi(x, y, t)$ has the following form [3] :
$\left(P_{0}\right) \begin{cases}u_{t}+\Delta^{2} u-\left[\phi+F_{0}, u+\theta\right]+L(u)=p & \text { in } \omega \times[0, T], \\ u_{\mid t=0}=u_{0} & \text { in } \omega, \\ u=\partial_{\nu} u=0 & \text { on } \Gamma \times[0, T], \\ \Delta^{2} \phi+[u, u+2 \theta]=0 & \text { in } \omega \times[0, T], \\ \phi=0, \partial_{\nu} \phi=0 & \text { on } \Gamma \times[0, T] .\end{cases}$
Where $\omega$ is the middle surface of the shell, $u_{0}$ is initial datum and [., .] is the Monge-Ampère operator [10]. The shell is subjected to the internal force $F_{0}$ and external force $p$, but $\theta(x, y)[2]$ is the mapping measuring the
deviation of the middle surface of the reference configuration of the shell from a plane, moreover $L$ is a linear operator source and characterize the non conservative potentially loads to the system.
The aim of this article is to finding a condition verified by the external and internal loads and the linear bounded operator $L$ [3], in up to have a weakly uniqueness solution of the Von-Karman evolutions, without rotational inertia with clamped boundary conditions, in quasi-static form, by another approach distinct from the preceding presented in [3], one which yields immediately a simple numerical approach of finite difference method to the considered problem.
This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we present the some theoretical results for established a uniqueness weak solution. But in the third section we use the noncoupled approach of 13 -point [9] and the alternating direction implicit schemes [11] for approached this solution.

## 2. Dynamic quasi-static Von-Karman equations

In this paper, $\omega$ denotes a nonempty bounded open domain in $I R^{2}$, with regular boundary $\Gamma=\partial \omega$.
Let us consider the following problem: [3]
Fund $(u, \phi) \in\left(L^{2}\left([0, T], H_{0}^{2}(\omega)\right)\right)^{2}$ such that
$\left(P_{0}\right) \begin{cases}u_{t}+\Delta^{2} u-\left[\phi+F_{0}, u+\theta\right]+L(u)=p & \text { in } \omega \times[0, T], \\ u_{\mid t=0}=u_{0} & \text { in } \omega, \\ u=\partial_{\nu} u=0 & \text { on } \Gamma \times[0, T], \\ \Delta^{2} \phi+[u, u+2 \theta]=0 & \text { in } \omega \times[0, T], \\ \phi=0, \partial_{\nu} \phi=0 & \text { on } \Gamma \times[0, T] .\end{cases}$
Where $T \succ 0$ is a real number, $u_{t}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ and
$[\phi, u]=\partial_{11} \phi \partial_{22} u+\partial_{11} u \partial_{22} \phi-2 \partial_{12} \phi \partial_{12} u$.
Let $p \geq 1$ and $m \in I N^{*}$, we put by:
$|u|_{p}=\left(\int_{\omega}|u|^{p}\right)^{1 / p},\|u\|=|\Delta u|_{2},\|u\|_{m, \omega}$ the classical norm in $H^{m}(\omega)$ and
$W(0, T)=\left\{u / u \in L^{2}\left([0, T], H_{0}^{2}(\omega)\right), u_{t} \in L^{2}\left([0, T], L^{2}(\omega)\right)\right\}$ is a complete Hilbert space with associated norm $\|\cdot\|_{W(0, T)}=\left(\|\cdot\|_{L^{2}\left([0, T], H_{0}^{2}(\omega)\right)}^{2}+\left.|\cdot|\right|_{L^{2}\left([0, T], L^{2}(\omega)\right)} ^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$.

Theorem 2.1 $[7,8]$ Let $f \in L^{2}(\omega)$, then the next problem (Q) $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\Delta^{2} v=f & \text { in } & \omega, \\ v=0 & \text { on } & \Gamma, \\ \partial_{\nu} v=0 & \text { on } & \Gamma .\end{array}\right.$

Has one and only one solution $v$ in $H_{0}^{2}(\omega) \cap H^{4}(\omega)$ satisfying that $\|v\| \leq c_{0}|f|_{2}$. Where $c_{0} \succ 0$ is a constant which depends only of mes $(\omega)$.

Remark 2.1 If $f \in L^{2}\left([0, T], L^{2}(\omega)\right)$, the uniqueness solution of the problem $(Q)$ is in $L^{2}\left([0, T], H_{0}^{2}(\omega) \cap H^{4}(\omega)\right)$.

Theorem 2.2[6] Let $0<T \leq+\infty$ and $f$ in $L^{2}\left([0, T], L^{2}(\omega)\right)$. The Dirichlet problem for linear fourth order parabolic equation:

$$
u_{t}+\Delta^{2} u=f \quad \text { in } \quad \omega \times[0, T]
$$

with initial datum $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{2}(\omega)$ admits a unique weak solution in the space
$C\left([0, T], H_{0}^{2}(\omega)\right) \cap L^{2}\left([0, T], H^{4}(\omega)\right) \cap H^{1}\left([0, T], L^{2}(\omega)\right)$. The corresponding problem with initial datum $u_{0}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\omega) \cap H^{2}(\omega)$ admits a unique weak solution in the following space
$C\left([0, T], H^{2}(\omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\omega)\right) \cap L^{2}\left([0, T], H^{4}(\omega)\right) \cap H^{1}\left([0, T], L^{2}(\omega)\right)$.
Furthermore, both cases admit the estimate.
$\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\|u\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{T}\|u\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{t}\right|_{2}^{2} \leq c\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{T}|f|_{2}^{2}\right)$.
We will study the problem $\left(P_{0}\right)$ by considering the following iterative problem :
Let $n \geq 2$ and $0 \neq u^{1}(x, y) \in H_{0}^{2}(\omega)$ is given. In the firstly we find $\phi_{n-1} \in H_{0}^{2}(\omega)$ as a solution to the problem $\Delta^{2} \phi_{n-1}=-\left[u_{n-1}, u_{n-1}+2 \theta\right]$ and $u_{n} \in L^{2}\left([0, T], H_{0}^{2}(\omega)\right)$ is constructed by the following problem:
$\left(P_{n}\right) \begin{cases}\left(u_{n}\right)_{t}+\Delta^{2} u_{n}=F_{1}\left(u_{n-1}, \phi_{n-1}\right)+p & \text { in } \omega \times[0, T], \\ u_{n}=\partial_{\nu} u_{n}=0 & \text { on } \Gamma \times[0, T], \\ \left(u_{n}\right)_{\mid t=0}=u_{0} & \text { in } \omega .\end{cases}$
Where $\widetilde{F}\left(u_{n-1}, \phi_{n-1}\right)=\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right)=\left(\left[\phi_{n-1}+F_{0}, u_{n-1}+\theta\right]-\right.$ $\left.L\left(u_{n-1}\right),-\left[u_{n-1}, u_{n-1}+2 \theta\right]\right)$.

Remark 2.2 By virtues of the theorem 2.1 and theorem 2.2. If $\forall n \geq 0$, $\left(u_{n}, \phi_{n-1}\right)$ is a solution of the problem $\left(P_{n}\right)$, this solution has the regularity :
$\left(u_{n}, \phi_{n-1}\right) \in L^{2}\left([0, T], H^{4}(\omega) \cap H_{0}^{2}(\omega)\right) \times H^{4}(\omega) \cap H_{0}^{2}(\omega)$.
Theorem 2.3 Let $c \succ 0, \widetilde{u}=(u, \phi)$ and $\widetilde{v}=(v, \psi)$ in $L^{2}\left([0, T], H^{4}(\omega) \cap H_{0}^{2}(\omega)\right) \times H^{4}(\omega) \cap H_{0}^{2}(\omega)$ such that $\forall 0 \leq t \leq T,\|\widetilde{u}\|_{H_{0}^{2}(\omega) \times H_{0}^{2}(\omega)} \leq c$ and $\|\widetilde{v}\|_{H_{0}^{2}(\omega) \times H_{0}^{2}(\omega)} \leq c$. If $\|\theta\|_{2, \omega},\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2, \omega}$ are small and $\|L\| \prec 1$, then there exists $0 \prec c_{1} \prec 1$ such that
$\|\widetilde{F}(\widetilde{u})-\widetilde{F}(\widetilde{v})\|_{\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{2}} \leq c_{1}\|\widetilde{u}-\widetilde{v}\|_{H_{0}^{2}(\omega) \times H_{0}^{2}(\omega)}$.

Proof Let $\widetilde{u}=(u, \psi)$ and $\widetilde{v}=(v, \varphi)$ in $L^{2}\left([0, T], H^{4}(\omega) \cap H_{0}^{2}(\omega)\right) \times H^{4}(\omega) \cap H_{0}^{2}(\omega)$ such that $\|\widetilde{u}\|_{H_{0}^{2}(\omega) \times H_{0}^{2}(\omega)} \leq c$ and $\|\widetilde{v}\|_{H_{0}^{2}(\omega) \times H_{0}^{2}(\omega)} \leq c$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\widetilde{F}(\widetilde{u})-\widetilde{F}(\widetilde{v})\|_{\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{2} \leq} \leq & \left|[\psi, u]+[\psi-\varphi, \theta]+\left[u-v, F_{0}\right]-[\phi, v]\right|_{2} \\
& +|-[u, u]-[u-v, 2 \theta]+[v, v]|_{2}+|L(u-v)|_{2}, \\
\leq & |[\psi, u]-[\phi, v]|_{2}+|[\psi-\varphi, \theta]|_{2}+|[u, u]-[v, v]|_{2} \\
& +|[u-v, 2 \theta]|_{2}+\|L\|\|u-v\|+\left|\left[u-v, F_{0}\right]\right|_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that
$|[\psi, u]-[\phi, v]|_{2}=|[\psi-\varphi, u]-[\phi, u-v]|_{2}$

$$
\leq|[\psi-\varphi, u]|_{2}+|[\phi, u-v]|_{2}
$$

Using the injection $H^{2}(\omega) \hookrightarrow C(\bar{\omega})$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|[\psi-\varphi, u]|_{2} & \leq\left(\int_{\omega}\left|\partial_{11}(\psi-\varphi)\right|^{2}\left|\partial_{22} u\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\int_{\omega}\left|\partial_{22}(\psi-\varphi)\right|^{2}\left|\partial_{11} u\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& +2\left(\int_{\omega}\left|\partial_{12}(\psi-\varphi)\right|^{2}\left|\partial_{12} u\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \\
& \leq\left\|\partial_{11}(\psi-\varphi)\right\|_{+\infty}\left|\partial_{11} u\right|_{2}+\left\|\partial_{22}(\psi-\varphi)\right\|_{+\infty}\left|\partial_{22} u\right|_{2} \\
& +2\left\|\partial_{12}(\psi-\varphi)\right\|_{+\infty}\left|\partial_{12} u\right|_{2}, \\
& \leq c_{2}(\|\psi-\varphi\|\|u\|+\|\psi-\varphi\|\|u\|+2\|\psi-\varphi\|\|u\|) \\
& \leq 4 c c_{2}\|\psi-\varphi\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

By an analogous method we have
$|[\varphi, u-v]|_{2} \leq 4 c c_{2}\|u-v\|$,
$|[\psi-\varphi, \theta]|_{2} \leq 4 c_{2}\|\theta\|_{2, \omega}\|\psi-\varphi\|$,
$|[u-v, 2 \theta]|_{2} \leq 8 c_{2}\|\theta\|_{2, \omega}\|u-v\|$,
$|[u, u]-[v, v]|_{2} \leq 8 c c_{2}\|u-v\|$.
$\left|\left[u-v, F_{0}\right]\right|_{2} \leq 4 c_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2, \omega}\|u-v\|$.
It become that
$\begin{aligned}\|\widetilde{F}(\widetilde{u})-\widetilde{F}(\widetilde{v})\|_{\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{2}} \leq & c_{2}\left(12 c+8\|\theta\|_{2, \omega}+\|L\|\right. \\ & \left.+4\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2, \omega}\right)\|\widetilde{u}-\widetilde{v}\|_{H_{0}^{2}(\omega) \times H_{0}^{2}(\omega)} .\end{aligned}$
We put by $c_{1}=12 c+8\|\theta\|_{2, \omega}+\|L\|+4\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2, \omega}$, if we choose $0 \prec c \prec \frac{1-\|L\|}{12}, 0 \prec c_{1} c_{2} \prec 1$ and
$8\|\theta\|_{2, \omega}+4\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2, \omega} \prec 1-12 c-\|L\|$, we have
$\|\widetilde{F}(\widetilde{u})-\widetilde{F}(\widetilde{v})\|_{\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{2}} \leq c_{1}\left(\|\widetilde{u}-\widetilde{v}\|_{H_{0}^{2}(\omega) \times H_{0}^{2}(\omega)}\right)$ and
$0 \prec c_{1} \prec 1$. Then the desired result is obtained.
Remark 2.3 by virtue of the theorem 2.3 there exist a constant $0 \prec c_{1} \prec 1$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F_{1}(u)-F_{1}(v)\right|_{2} & \leq\|\widetilde{F}(\widetilde{u})-\widetilde{F}(\widetilde{v})\|_{\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{2}} \\
& \leq c_{1}\left(\|\widetilde{u}-\widetilde{v}\|_{H_{0}^{2}(\omega) \times H_{0}^{2}(\omega)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and by analogous method from the theorem 2.3 we can proved that. $\left|F_{2}(u)-F_{2}(v)\right|_{2} \leq c_{1}\|u-v\|$.

Proposition 2.1 let $u$, $v$ in $H_{0}^{2}(\omega)$ and $\theta$ in $H^{2}(\omega)$, of small norm. If $\phi$ and $\psi$ are two solutions of the following Dirichlet problem:
$\Delta^{2} \phi=-[u+2 \theta, u]$ and $\Delta^{2} \psi=-[v+2 \theta, v]$.
Then, there exist $0 \prec c_{1} \prec 1$, such that
$|[u, \phi]-[v, \psi]|_{2} \leq c_{1}\|u-v\|$.
Proof Let $c \succ 0,\|u\| \leq c$ and $\|v\| \leq c$. In [3] we have
$|[u, \phi]-[v, \psi]|_{2} \leq c_{0}\left(\|u\|^{2}+\|v\|^{2}+\|\theta\|_{2, \omega}^{2}\right)\|u-v\|$

$$
\leq c_{0}\left(2 c^{2}+\|\theta\|_{2, \omega}^{2}\right)\|u-v\|
$$

If we choose $c$ sufficiently small, $c_{1}=2 c^{2}+\|\theta\|_{2, \omega}^{2} \prec 1$
and $0 \prec c_{1} c_{0} \prec 1$, we conclude that
$|[u, \phi]-[v, \psi]|_{2} \leq c_{1}\|u-v\|$ and $0 \prec c_{1} \prec 1$.
Proposition 2.2 Let $u \in H_{0}^{2}(\omega)$ and $\phi$ be a uniqueness solution of the following problem:
$\begin{cases}\Delta^{2} \phi+[u, u+2 \theta]=0 & \text { in } \omega \times[0, T], \\ \phi=0, \partial_{\nu} \phi=0 & \text { on } \Gamma \times[0, T] .\end{cases}$
Then, there exist a constant $K \succ 0$ such that
$\left(\left[\phi+F_{0}, u+\theta\right], u\right)_{L^{2}(\omega), L^{2}(\omega)} \leq-\|\phi\|^{2}+K$.
Where $(., .)_{L^{2}(\omega), L^{2}(\omega)}$, is the duality crochet in $L^{2}(\omega)$.

Proof : Let $u \in H_{0}^{2}(\omega)$, then
$\left(\left[\phi+F_{0}, u+\theta\right], u\right)_{L^{2}(\omega), L^{2}(\omega)}=([u, u+2 \theta], \phi)_{L^{2}(\omega), L^{2}(\omega)}-$ $([u, \phi], \theta)_{L^{2}(\omega), L^{2}(\omega)}+\left([u, u+\theta], F_{0}\right)_{L^{2}(\omega), L^{2}(\omega)}=-\int_{\omega} \Delta^{2} \phi \phi-$ $\int_{\omega} \theta[u, \phi]+\int_{\omega} F_{0}[u+\theta, u]$.
By using the Green formula and the injection $H^{2}(\omega) \hookrightarrow$ $C(\bar{\omega})$ we have
$\left(\left[\phi+F_{0}, u+\theta\right], u\right)_{L^{2}(\omega), L^{2}(\omega)} \leq-\|\phi\|^{2}+\|\theta\|_{\infty}|[u, \phi]|_{1}$

$$
+\left.\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{\infty}![u+\theta, u]\right|_{1}
$$

According to the theorem 2.3, there exist a constant $K \succ 0$ which depend only of $\|\theta\|_{2, \omega},\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2, \omega},\|u\|$ such that $\left(\left[\phi+F_{0}, u+\theta\right], u\right)_{L^{2}(\omega), L^{2}(\omega)} \leq-\|\phi\|^{2}+K$.

Theorem 2.4 Let $p(x, y) \in L^{2}(\omega)$ and $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{2}(\omega)$. If $\|\theta\|_{2, \omega},\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2, \omega},|p|_{2},\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}$ are small and $\|L\| \prec 1$,
then the problem $\left(P_{0}\right)$ has one and only one weak solution $(u, \phi)$ in the following space :
$\left(C\left([0, T], H_{0}^{2}(\omega)\right) \cap L^{2}\left([0, T], H_{0}^{2}(\omega)\right)\right) \times H_{0}^{2}(\omega)$ and
$u_{t} \in L^{2}\left([0, T], L^{2}(\omega)\right)$. Such that for any $0 \prec t \prec T$ this solution verifies the inequality
$|u|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\|u\|^{2}+\|\phi\|^{2}\right) \leq\left|u_{0}\right|_{2}^{2}+K t$.
Moreover there exist a constant $w \succ 0$, such that $|u|_{2}^{2} \leq\left|u_{0}\right|_{2}^{2} e^{-w t}+\frac{K}{w}$.
Where, $K \succ 0$ is a constant which depend only of $\|\theta\|_{2, \omega}$ and $\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2, \omega}$.

Proof Let consider the problem $\left(P_{n}\right)$ with $u^{1}(x, y) \neq 0$ as not depend of $t$.
We will prove that for all $n \geq 2$ and $\forall 0 \leq t \leq T$, $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|u^{1}\right\|,\left\|\phi_{n-1}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|u^{1}\right\|$ and $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{W(0, T)}^{2} \leq\left\|u^{1}\right\|$. Suppose that for $k=2, \ldots, n$ and $\forall 0 \leq t \leq T$, $\left\|u_{k}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|u^{1}\right\|,\left\|\phi_{k-1}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|u^{1}\right\|$ and $\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{W(0, T)}^{2} \leq\left\|u^{1}\right\|$. According to the theorem 2.1 and remark 2.3 we have $\left\|\phi_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq c_{0}\left|\left[u_{n}+2 \theta, u_{n}\right]\right|^{2} \leq c_{0}\left|F\left(u_{n}\right)\right|_{2}^{2} \leq c_{0} c_{1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}$. Since $\left(u_{n+1}, \phi_{n}\right)$ is a solution of the problem $\left(P_{n+1}\right)$ by virtue of the theorem 2.2 yields that there exists $c_{0} \succ 0$ such that $\forall 0 \leq t \leq T$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\|u_{n+1}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|u_{n+1}\right\|^{2}+\left|\left(u_{n+1}\right)_{t}\right|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq c_{0}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|\widetilde{F}\left(u_{n}, \phi_{n}\right)\right\|_{\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{2}}^{2}+|p|_{2}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \leq c_{0}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{T} c_{1}^{2}\left(\left\|\left(u_{n}, \phi_{n}\right)\right\|_{H_{0}^{2}(\omega) \times H_{0}^{2}(\omega)}+\left(|p|_{2}\right)^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \leq c_{0}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{T} c_{1}^{2}\left(4\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+4\left\|\phi_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left(|p|_{2}\right)^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \leq c_{0}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{T} c_{1}^{2}\left(4\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+4 c_{1}^{2} c_{0}^{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left(|p|_{2}\right)^{2}\right) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

If we choose $c \succ 0$ sufficiently small, then $0 \prec c_{1} \prec 1$, $0 \prec c_{0} c_{1} \prec 1,0 \prec 8 c_{0}^{2} c_{1} T \prec 1$ and
$\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}+|p|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{\left(1-8 c_{0}^{2} c_{1}^{2} T\right)}{c_{0}}\left\|u^{1}\right\|$, then
$\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\|u_{n+1}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|u_{n+1}\right\|^{2}+\left|\left(u_{n+1}\right)_{t}\right|_{2}^{2}\right)$
$\leq c_{0}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}+8 c_{1}^{2} c_{0}^{2} T\left(\left\|u^{1}\right\|+|p|_{2}^{2}\right)\right)$,
It follows that $\left\|u_{n+1}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|u^{1}\right\|,\left\|\phi_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|u^{1}\right\|$ and $\left\|u_{n+1}\right\|_{W(0, T)}^{2} \leq\left\|u^{1}\right\|$.
Hence for all $n \geq 2$ and $\forall 0 \leq t \leq T,\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|u^{1}\right\|$, $\left\|\phi_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|u^{1}\right\|$ and $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{W(0, T)}^{2} \leq\left\|u^{1}\right\|$.
Let $m \prec n,\left(u_{n}, \phi_{n-1}\right)\left(\operatorname{resp},\left(u_{m}, \phi_{m-1}\right)\right)$ is a solution of the problem $\left(P_{n}\right)\left(\operatorname{resp},\left(P_{m}\right)\right)$, then $\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right)$ is a solution of the following problem :
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left(u_{n}\right)_{t}-\left(u_{m}\right)_{t}+\Delta^{2}\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right)=F_{1}\left(u_{n-1}, \phi_{n-1}\right)-F_{1}\left(u_{m-1}, \phi_{m-1}\right) \\ u_{n}-u_{m}=0 \\ \partial_{\nu}\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right)=0 \\ \left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right)_{t=0}=0,\end{array}\right.$
Using theorem 2.2 again, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\|u_{n}-u_{m}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|u_{n}-u_{m}\right\|^{2}+\left|\left(u_{n}\right)_{t}-\left(u_{m}\right)_{t}\right|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq c_{0} \int_{0}^{T}\left|F_{1}\left(u_{n-1}, \phi_{n-1}\right)-F_{1}\left(u_{m-1}, \phi_{m-1}\right)\right|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq c_{0} c_{1}^{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|\left(u_{n-1}-u_{m-1}\right),\left(\phi_{n-1}-\phi_{m-1}\right)\right\|_{H_{0}^{2}(\omega) \times H_{0}^{2}(\omega)},\right. \\
& \leq c_{0} c_{1}^{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left(4\left\|u_{n-1}-u_{m-1}\right\|^{2}+4\left\|\phi_{n-1}-\phi_{m-1}\right\|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover $\left(\phi_{n-1}-\phi_{m-1}\right)$ is a solution of the next problem :
$\left\{\Delta^{2}\left(\phi_{n-1}-\phi_{m-1}\right)=-\left[u_{n-1}+2 \theta, u_{n-1}\right]+\left[u_{m-1}+2 \theta, u_{m-1}\right]\right.$
$\phi_{n-1}-\phi_{m-1}=0$ and $\partial_{\nu}\left(\phi_{n-1}-\phi_{m-1}\right)=0$
Afterword theorem 2.1 and remark 2.3 we have
$\left\|\phi_{n-1}-\phi_{m-1}\right\| \leq c_{0} c_{1}\left\|u_{n-1}-u_{m-1}\right\|$.
It become that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\|u_{n}-u_{m}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|u_{n}-u_{m}\right\|^{2}+\left|\left(u_{n}\right)_{t}-\left(u_{m}\right)_{t}\right|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq c_{0} c_{1}^{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left(4\left\|u_{n-1}-u_{m-1}\right\|^{2}+4\left(c_{0} c_{1}\right)^{2}\left\|u_{n-1}-u_{m-1}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq 8 c_{0}^{2} c_{1}^{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|u_{n-1}-u_{m-1}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq\left(8 c_{0}^{2} c_{1}^{2}\right)^{m-2} \int_{0}^{T} \cdots \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|u_{n-m+2}-u^{1}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \left.\leq\left(8 c_{0}^{2} c_{1}^{2}\right)^{m-2} \int_{0}^{T} \cdots \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{k=0}^{n-m+1}\left(8 c_{0}^{2} c_{1}^{2}\right)^{k} \int_{0}^{T} \cdots \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\left(u_{2}-u^{1}\right)\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq\left(8 c_{0}^{2} c_{1}^{2} T\right)^{m-2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-m+1}\left(8 c_{0}^{2} c_{1}^{2} T\right)^{k}\left(\left\|u^{1}\right\|+\left\|u^{1}\right\|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|u_{n}-u_{m}\right\|_{W(0, T)}^{2}=\int_{0}^{T}\left\|u_{n}-u_{m}\right\|^{2}+\left|\left(u_{n}\right)_{t}-\left(u_{m}\right)_{t}\right|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq\left(8 c_{0}^{2} c_{1}^{2} T\right)^{m-2} \sum_{k=0+1}^{n-m+1}\left(8 c_{0}^{2} c_{1}^{2} T\right)^{k}\left(\left\|u^{1}\right\|+\left\|u^{1}\right\|^{2}\right), \\
& \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\|u_{n}-u_{m}\right\|^{2} \leq\left(8 c_{0}^{2} c_{1}^{2} T\right)^{m-2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-m+1}\left(8 c_{0}^{2} c_{1}^{2} T\right)^{k}\left(\left\|u^{1}\right\|+\left\|u^{1}\right\|^{2}\right), \\
& \text { and we have } \\
&\left\|\phi_{n}-\phi_{m}\right\| \leq c_{0}\left|F_{2}\left(u_{n}\right)-F_{2}\left(u_{m}\right)\right| \leq c_{0} c_{1}\left\|u_{n}-u_{m}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $c$ is small, the sequence $\left(u_{n}, \phi_{n-1}\right)_{n \geq 2}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $H_{0}^{2}(\omega) \times H_{0}^{2}(\omega)$ and $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \geq 2}$ is also a Cauchy sequence in $W(0, T)$ and $C\left([0, T], H_{0}^{2}(\omega)\right)$.
Moreover $\left(u_{n}, \phi_{n-1}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ converge to $(u, \phi)$ in $\left(H_{0}^{2}(\omega)\right)^{2}$, $u_{n}$ converge to $u$ in $C\left([0, T], H_{0}^{2}(\omega)\right)$ and $\left(u_{n}\right)_{t}$ converge to $u_{t}$ in $L^{2}\left([0, T], L^{2}(\omega)\right)$.
$\Delta^{2}\left(u_{n}, \phi_{n-1}\right)$ that weakly converge to $\Delta^{2}(u, \phi)$ in $\left(H_{0}^{2}(\omega)\right)^{2}$ and afterword proposition 2.1 we have, $\widetilde{F}\left(u_{n-1}, \phi_{n-1}\right)+(p, 0)$ converge to $\widetilde{F}(u, \phi)+(p, 0)$ in $L^{2}(\omega)$.
Since the operators "trace" and " $\partial_{\nu}$ " are continuous and $\forall n \geq 2,\left(u_{n}, \phi_{n-1}\right)_{\Gamma}=0, \partial_{\nu}\left(u_{n}, \phi_{n-1}\right)_{\Gamma}=0$ then, $(u, \phi)_{\Gamma}=0$ and $\partial_{\nu}(u, \phi)_{\Gamma}=0$.
According to theorem 2.2 we have $\forall n \geq 2, u_{n}$ is in $C\left([0, T], H_{0}^{2}(\omega)\right)$ and $\left(u_{n}\right)_{\mid t=0}=u_{0}$, this implies that $(u)_{\mid t=0}=u_{0}$.
It becomes that $(u, \phi)$ is a weak solution of the quasistatic Von-Karman evolution.
For the uniqueness, we suppose that the problem $\left(P_{0}\right)$ has two solutions $\left(u^{1}, \phi_{1}\right)$ and $\left(u^{2}, \phi_{2}\right)$
in $\left.L^{2}\left([0, T], H_{0}^{2}(\omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{2}(\omega)\right)$ such that $\left\|u^{1}\right\| \leq c$, $\left\|u^{2}\right\| \leq c,\left\|\phi_{1}\right\| \leq c$ and $\left\|\phi_{2}\right\| \leq c$.
With $c$ is sufficiently small.
We have
$\left(P_{3}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left(u^{\perp}\right)_{t}-\left(u^{\sim}\right)_{t}+\Delta^{\sim}\left(u^{\perp}-u^{\sim}\right)=r_{1}\left(u^{2}, \phi_{1}\right)-r_{1}\left(u^{\sim}, \phi_{2}\right) \\ \Delta^{2}\left(\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}\right)=-\left[u^{1}, u^{1}+2 \theta\right]+\left[u^{2}, u^{2}+2 \theta\right] \\ u^{1}-u^{2}=0 \quad \partial_{\nu}\left(u^{1}-u^{2}\right)=0 \\ \phi_{1}-\phi_{2}=0, \partial_{\nu}\left(\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}\right)=0 \\ u^{1}(x, y, 0)-u^{2}(x, y, 0)=0,\end{array}\right.$
then $\left(u^{1}-u^{2}, \phi_{1}-\phi_{2}\right)$ is a solution of the problem $\left(P_{3}\right)$, Theorem 2.2 and theorem 2.1 given that there exists $c_{0} \succ 0$ such that
$\left\|u^{1}-u^{2}\right\|^{2} \leq c_{0}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|F_{1}\left(u^{1}, \phi_{1}\right)-F_{1}\left(u^{2}, \phi_{2}\right)\right|_{2}^{2}\right.$

$$
\leq c_{0} c_{1}\left(\left\|u^{1}-u^{2}\right\|^{2}\right)
$$

and $\left\|\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}\right\| \leq c_{0}\left\|u^{1}-u^{2}\right\|$
$c$ is small thus $0 \prec c_{0} c_{1} \prec 1$, then $u_{1}=u_{2}$ and $\phi_{1}=\phi_{2}$. Lastly the dynamic quasi-static Von-Karman equations without rotational inertia admits a unique weak solution $(u, \phi)$ in $C\left([0, T], H_{0}^{2}(\omega)\right) \cap L^{2}\left([0, T], H_{0}^{2}(\omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{2}(\omega)$ and $u_{t} \in L^{2}\left([0, T], L^{2}(\omega)\right)$.
Now we will show that
$|u|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\|u\|^{2}+\|\phi\|^{2}\right) \leq\left|u_{0}\right|_{2}^{2}+K t$.
We have $u$ is weak solution of the problem $\left(P_{0}\right)$, satisfy that
$\left(u_{t}, u\right)_{L^{2}(\omega), L^{2}(\omega)}+\left(\Delta^{2} u, u\right)_{L^{2}(\omega), L^{2}(\omega)}=\left(F_{2}(u, \phi), u\right)_{L^{2}(\omega), L^{2}(\omega)}$.
Use the proposition 2.2 to deduce that
$\frac{d}{d t}|u|_{2}^{2}+\|u\|^{2} \leq-\|\phi\|^{2}+K$,
then, for all $0 \leq t \leq T$ we find that
$\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d}{d t}|u|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\|u\|^{2} \leq-\int_{0}^{t}\|\phi\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} K$ hence,
$|u|_{2}^{2}-\left|u_{0}\right|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\|u\|^{2}+\|\phi\|^{2}\right) \leq+K t$,
finally we deduce that
$|u|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\|u\|^{2}+\|\phi\|^{2}\right) \leq\left|u_{0}\right|_{2}^{2}+K t$.
Afterword the inequality of Poincare, there exist a constant $w_{0} \succ 0$, such that $\forall u \in H_{0}^{2}(\omega),|u|_{2}^{2} \leq w_{0}\|u\|^{2}$,
moreover we have, $\|\phi\| \leq c_{0}\|u\|$ then, there exist a constant $w \succ 0$ such that
$\frac{d}{d t}|u|_{2}^{2}+w|u|_{2}^{2} \leq K$,
using the lemme of Gronwall, we find the desired inequality: $|u|_{2}^{2} \leq\left|u_{0}\right|_{2}^{2} e^{-w t}+\frac{K}{w}$.

## 3. Numerical application

In this section let $\omega$ be the square $] 0,1[\times] 0,1\left[\right.$ in $I R^{2}$ and $T \succ 0$. For approached the weak uniqueness solution of the quasi-statc Von-Karman evolution without rotational inertia, we utilize the following iterative method:

$$
(*)_{n \geq 2}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{1}(x, y) \text { is given in } H_{0}^{2}(\omega) \\
\Delta^{2} \phi_{n-1}=-\left[v_{n-1}, v_{n-1}+2 \theta\right] \\
\phi_{n-1}=0, \partial_{\nu} \phi_{n-1}=0 \\
\left(v_{n}\right)_{t}+\Delta^{2} v_{n}=\left[\phi_{n-1}+F_{0}, v_{n-1}+\theta\right]-L\left(v_{n-1}\right)+p \\
v_{n}=\partial_{\nu} v_{n}=0 \\
\left(v_{n}\right)_{\mid t=0}=u_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

For approached $v_{n}$ over $\omega$ for all $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $n \geq 2$ if $v_{n-1}$ is known, we have need to calculate firstly $\phi_{n-1}$ and we find $v_{n}$ over $\omega \times[0, T]$.
Then we have transformed the above problem to the numerical resolution in tow steps:
First step : we use the numerical procedure of 13-point formula of finite difference developed by M.Gubta in [9]. For illustrate a uniqueness solution of the next biharmonic problem:
$\begin{cases}\Delta^{\iota} v=f_{1} & \text { in } \omega, \\ v=g_{1} & \text { on } \\ & \Gamma, \\ \partial_{\nu} v=g_{2} & \text { on } \\ & \Gamma .\end{cases}$
Second step : We present the alternating direction scheme developed by T.P.Witelski and M.Bowen in [11], to the following parabolic problem :
$(* *) \begin{cases}u_{t}+\Delta^{2} u=f & \text { in } \omega \times[0, T], \\ u_{t=0}=u_{0} & \text { in } \omega, \\ u=\partial_{\nu} u=0 & \text { on } \Gamma \times[0, T] .\end{cases}$

### 3.1. Noncoupled Approach

In [9], M.Gupta present the numerical analysis of finitedifference method for solving the Biharmonic equation. This method has known that of noncoupled method of 13-point. Moreover Glowinski and Pironneau [7] made the observation that the 13 -point finite difference scheme combined with a quadratic extrapolation formula near the boundary is equivalent to mixed finite element method with piecewise linear elements.

### 3.1.1. Discrete formulation of 13 -point

In order to solve the problem $(P)$ of Biharmonic equation numerically, we introduce a uniform mesh of width h. Let $\omega_{h}$ be the set of all mesh points inside $\omega$ with internal points $x_{i}=i h, y_{j}=j h, i, j=1, \ldots N-1, h=\frac{1}{N+1}, \bar{\omega}_{h}$ be the set of boundary mesh points and $v_{h}$ represent the finite-difference approximation of $v$.

Lemma 3.1 [9] The 13-point approximation of the Biharmonic equation for approaching the uniqueness solution $v$ of the problem $(P)$ is defined by:
$(1)\left\{\begin{aligned} L_{h} v_{i j}= & h^{-4}\left[v_{i j-2}+v_{i j+2}+v_{i-2 j}+v_{i+2 j}-8\left(v_{i j-1}+v_{i j+1}\right)\right. \\ & +8\left(v_{i-1 j}+v_{i+1 j}\right)+2\left(v_{i-1 j-1}+v_{i-1 j+1}+v_{i+1 j-1}\right) \\ & \left.+2 v_{i+1 j+1}-20 v_{i j}\right]=f_{1}\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right), \text { for } i, j=1, \ldots N-1\end{aligned}\right.$
where $v_{i j}=v\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)$.
When the mesh point $\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)$ is adjacent to the boundary $\bar{\omega}_{h}$, then the undefined values of $v_{h}$ are conventionally
calculated by the following approximation of $\partial_{\nu} v$ defined by [9]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{i-2 j} & =\frac{1}{2} v_{i+1 j}-v_{i j}+\frac{3}{2} v_{i-1 j}-h\left(\partial_{x} v\right)_{i-1 j} \\
v_{i j-2} & =\frac{1}{2} v_{i j+1}-v_{i j}+\frac{3}{2} v_{i j-1}-h\left(\partial_{y} v\right)_{i j-1} \\
v_{i+2 j} & =\frac{1}{2} v_{i+1 j}-v_{i j}+\frac{3}{2} v_{i-1 j}-h\left(\partial_{x} v\right)_{i+1 j} \\
v_{i j+2} & =\frac{1}{2} v_{i j+1}-v_{i j}+\frac{3}{2} v_{i j-1}-h\left(\partial_{y} v\right)_{i j+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.1 In [9] M.Gubta generalized the approximation of the $\partial_{\nu} v$ known that by the $(p, q)$ formula or the two-point formula. In the next Lemma 3.1 the approximation of $\partial_{\nu} v$ correspond at the $(2,0)$ formula.

### 3.1.2. Matrix system of scheme (1)

Let $V=\left(v_{11}, v_{12}, \ldots, v_{1 N-1}, v_{21}, \ldots v_{2 N-1}, \ldots, v_{N-1 N-1}\right)$ be a vector of unknown values of the approached solution $v_{h}$, by using the 13 -point finite difference method, the discreet problem :

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{h} v_{i j}= & n^{- \pm}\left[v_{i j-2}+v_{i j+2}+v_{i-2 j}+v_{i+2 j}-\gamma\left(v_{i j-1}+v_{i j+1}\right)\right. \\
& +8\left(v_{i-1 j}+v_{i+1 j}\right)+2\left(v_{i-1 j-1}+v_{i-1 j+1}+v_{i+1 j-1}\right) \\
& \left.+2 v_{i+1 j+1}-20 v_{i j}\right]=f_{1}\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right), \text { for } \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}=1, \ldots . \mathrm{N}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

is equivalent to the linear system $A V=\widetilde{F}$, where $A$ is a matrix system of scheme (1) of order $(N-1)^{2}$ and $\widetilde{F}$ a known vector depend only of body forces $f_{1}$ and lateral forces $g_{0}, g_{1}$.
Such that
$A=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}a_{1} & a_{2} & I & 0 & \ldots & & & 0 \\ b_{1} & b_{2} & b_{1} & I & 0 \ldots & & & 0 \\ I & b_{1} & b_{2} & b_{1} & I & \ldots & & 0 \\ 0 & & \ldots & \ldots & & & & 0 \\ 0 & & \ldots 0 & I & b_{1} & b_{2} & b_{1} & I \\ 0 & & & \ldots 0 & I & b_{1} & b_{2} & b_{1} \\ 0 & & \ldots & \ldots & 0 & I & a_{2} & a_{1}\end{array}\right)$
Where I is the identity matrix, $A_{1}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ and $B=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{1}\right)$ such that
$a_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}22 & \frac{-17}{2} & 1 & 0 & \ldots & & & & 0 \\ -8 & 21 & -8 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & & & 0 \\ 1 & -8 & 21 & -8 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & & \\ 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \\ 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 1 & \\ 0 & & \ldots & 0 & 1 & -8 & 21 & -8 & 1 \\ 0 & & & \ldots & 0 & 1 & -8 & 21 & -8 \\ 0 & & & & \ldots & 0 & 1 & \frac{-17}{2} & 22\end{array}\right)$
and
$a_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}\frac{-17}{2} & 2 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\ 2 & \frac{-17}{2} & 2 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 2 & \frac{-17}{2} & 2 \\ 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 2 & \frac{-17}{2}\end{array}\right)$
$b_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}-8 & 2 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\ 2 & -8 & 2 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 2 & -8 & 2 \\ 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 2 & -8\end{array}\right)$
$b_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}21 & \frac{-17}{2} & 1 & 0 & \ldots & & & & 0 \\ -8 & 20 & -8 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & & & 0 \\ 1 & -8 & 20 & -8 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & & \ldots & 0 & 1 & -8 & 20 & -8 & 1 \\ 0 & & & \ldots & 0 & 1 & -8 & 20 & -8 \\ 0 & & & & \ldots & 0 & 1 & \frac{-17}{2} & 21\end{array}\right)$
Theorem 3.1 [9] The scheme (1) of 13-point is convergent and the error is of $h^{2}$ order.

### 3.2. Numerical solution of parabolic problem

In [11], T.P.Witelski and M.Bowen present a new finite difference approximation to the last problem $(* *)$, known that of alternating direction implicit schemes (ADI) and study the stability and convergence. Moreover the authors generalize the some results of the (ADI) scheme in the case of linear problem to the nonlinear equations.

### 3.2.1. Discrete formulation of finite difference method

In order to solve the problem $(* *)$ of parabolic equation numerically, we introduce a uniform mesh presented in the last subsection 3.1.1 and we introduce the next typical notation for difference operators :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w(i \Delta x, j \Delta y, n \Delta t)=w_{i j n} \\
& \Delta_{t} w_{i j n}=\partial_{t} w_{i j n}=\frac{w_{i j n+1}-w_{i j n}}{(\Delta t)} \\
& \Delta_{x}^{2} w_{i j n}=\partial_{x}^{4} w_{i j n}=\frac{w_{i+2 j n}-4 w_{i+1 j n}+6 w_{i j n}-4 w_{i-1 j n}+w_{i-2 j n}}{(\Delta x)^{4}} . \\
& \Delta_{x} w_{i j n}=\partial_{x}^{2} w_{i j n}=\frac{w_{i+1 j n}-2 w_{i j n}+w_{i-1 j n}}{(\Delta x)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we approximate the problem $(* *)$, by the following finite difference (ADI) system presented by T.P.Witelski and M.Bowen in [11]:

```
\(L_{x} w^{*}=-(\Delta t) \Delta^{2} w_{i j n}+f_{i j n}\)
\(L_{y} v^{*}=w^{*}\)
\(w_{i j n+1}=w_{i j n}+v^{*} \quad\) for \(\quad i j=1, \ldots, N-1\)
Boundary conditions
\(w_{0 j n}=w_{N j n}=w_{i 0 n}=w_{i N n}=0\) for \(i=0, \ldots N\),
\(j=0, \ldots N\) and \(0 \leq n \Delta t \leq T\)
\(w_{i j 0}=\left(u_{0}\right)_{i j 0} \quad\) for \(\quad i j=0, \ldots N\)
```

Where $w^{*}$ and $v^{*}$ represent an intermediate results obtained from solving the first and second equations, but $L_{x}=I+\theta(\Delta t) \Delta_{x}^{2}, L_{y}=I+\theta(\Delta t) \Delta_{y}^{2}$ are two operators and $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$.

### 3.2.2. Matrix system of scheme (2)

Let $W^{n}=\left(w_{11 n}, w_{12 n}, \ldots w_{1 N-1 n}, w_{21 n}, \ldots w_{2 N-1 n}, \ldots w_{N-1 N-1 n}\right)$ be a vector of unknown values of the approached weakly uniqueness solution $w_{h}$ of the problem $(* *)$, by using the next (ADI) scheme (2) of finite difference approximation to the parabolic problem :
(2) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}L_{x} w^{*}=-(\Delta t) \Delta^{2} w_{i j n}+f_{i j n} \\ L_{y} v^{*}=w^{*} \\ w_{i j n+1}=w_{i j n}+v^{*}\end{array} \quad\right.$ for $i j=1, \ldots, N-1$

This scheme (2) presented under matrix form, is equivalent to the following linear system :
$B W^{*}=A W^{n}+F^{n}$,
$C V^{*}=W^{*}$,
$W^{n+1}=W^{n}+V^{*}$.
Where $A, B=I+\theta(\Delta t) B_{1}$ and $C=I+\theta(\Delta t) C_{1}$ are tree matrix of order $(N-1)^{2}$ and F is the known vector depend only of body forces and the boundary conditions.
Such that

$$
B_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
7 I & -4 I & I & \cdots & & & & 0 \\
-4 I & 6 I & -4 I & I & \ldots & & & 0 \\
I & -4 I & 6 I & -4 I & 0 & \cdots & & 0 \\
0 & I & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & I & 0 \\
0 & & \cdots & 0 & -4 I & 6 I & -4 I & I \\
0 & & & \cdots & I & -4 I & 6 I & -4 I \\
0 & & & & 0 & I & -4 I & 7 I
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
C_{i i}^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
7 & -4 & 1 & \ldots & & & & & 0 \\
-4 & 6 & -4 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & & & 0 \\
1 & -4 & 6 & -4 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 1 & 0 \\
0 & & \ldots & 0 & 1 & -4 & 6 & -4 & 1 \\
0 & & & \ldots & 0 & 1 & -4 & 6 & -4 \\
0 & & & & \ldots & 0 & 1 & -4 & 7
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Where $C_{1}=\left(C_{i j}^{1}\right)_{1 \leq i j \leq N-1}$ is matrix of block diagonal matrix and $A$ is the matrix of scheme (1).

Example 1. We consider in this example the following analytical external forces $p$, internal forces $F_{0}$, source L and the mapping $\theta$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{0}(x, y)=0.8 x e^{-x^{2}-y^{2}} \\
& L(u)=0.8 x\left(e^{-x^{2}}-e^{-y^{2}}\right) u \\
& \theta(x, y)=-0.5 x y(x-1)(y-1) e^{-x^{2}-y^{2}} \\
& p(x, y)=\sin ^{2}(\pi x) \cos ^{2}(\pi x)
\end{aligned}
$$



Transversal displacement of plate, $t_{80}=6.4 \mathrm{~s}$


Transversal displacement of plate, $t_{1000}=80 \mathrm{~s}$

displacement in the point $(3,7)$ for, $t_{1000}=80 s$
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