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#### Abstract

The most important and successful applications in the optimaization refers to transportation problem (tp), that is a special class of the linear programming (lp) in the operation research (or).

Transportation problem is considered a vitally important aspect that has been studied in a wide range of operations including research domains. As such, it has been used in simulation of several real life problems. The main objective of transportation problem solution methods is to minimize the cost or the time of transportation.


An Initial Basic Feasible Solution(IBFS) for the transportation problem can be obtained by using the North-West corner rule, Miinimum Cost Method and Vogel's Approximation Method. In this paper the best optimality condition has been checked.

Thus, optimizing transportation problem of variables has remarkably been significant to various disciplines.
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## 1. Introduction

The first main purpose is solving transportation
problem using three methods of transportation model by linear programming (LP).The three methods for solving Transportation problem are:

1. North West Corner Method
2.Minimum Cost Method
2. Vogel's approximation Method

## Trannsportation Model

Transportation model is a special type of networks problems that for shipping a commodity from source (e.g., factories) to destinations (e.g., warehouse).

Transportation model deal with get the minimumcost plan to transport a commodity from a number of sources (m) to number of destination (n).

Let $s_{i}$ is the number of supply units required at source $\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2,3 \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{m}), \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is the number of demand units required at destination $\mathrm{j}(\mathrm{j}=1,2,3 \ldots . . \mathrm{n})$ and $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ represent the unit transportation cost for transporting the units from sources i to destination j .

Using linear programming method to solve transportation problem, we determine the value of objective function which minimize the cost for transporting and also determine the number of unit can be transported from source i to destination j .

If $x_{i j}$ is number of units shipped from source $i$ to destination j .
The objective function
$\operatorname{minimize} \mathrm{Z}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{ij}}$
Subject to
$\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad$ for $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots \mathrm{~m}$.
$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}}$ for $\mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots . \mathrm{n}$.
And $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{ij}} \geq 0$ for all i to j .
A transportation problem said to be balanced if the supply from all sources equals the total demand in all destinations

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{\mathrm{i}}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{\mathrm{j}}
$$

Otherwise it is called unbalanced.
A transportation problem is said to be balanced
if the total supply from all sources equals the total demand in all destinations

Otherwise it is called unbalanced.

## METHODS FOR SOLVING

## TRANSPORTATION PROBLEM

There are three methods to determine the solution for balanced transportation problem:

1. Northwest Corner method
2. Minimum cost method
3. Vogel's approximation method

The three methods differ in the "quality" of the starting basic solution they produce and better starting solution yields a smaller objective value.

We present the three methods and an illustrative example is solved by these three methods.

## 1. North- West Corner Method

The method starts at the Northwest-corner cell (route) of the tableau (variable $\mathrm{x}_{11}$ )
(i) Allocate as much as possible to the selected cell and adjust the associated a mounts of supply and demand by subtracting the allocated amount.
(ii) Cross out the row or Column with zero supply or demand to indicate that no further assignments can be made in that row or column. If both a row and a column net to zero simultaneously, cross out one only and leave a zero supply (demand in the uncrossed-out row (column).
(iii) If exactly one row or column is left uncrossed out,
stop .otherwise, move to the cell to the right if a column has just been crossed out or below if a row has been crossed out .Go to step (i).

## 2. Minimum-Cost Method

The minimum-cost method finds a better starting solution by concentrating on the cheapest routes. The method starts by assigning as much as possible to the cell with the smallest unit cost .Next, the satisfied row or column is crossed out and the amounts of supply and demand are adjusted accordingly. If both a row and a column are satisfied simultaneously, only one is crossed out, the same as in the northwest -corner method .Next ,look for the uncrossed-out cell with the smallest unit cost and repeat the process until exactly one row or column is left uncrossed out .

## 3. Vogel's Approximation Method (VAM)

Vogel's Approximation Method is an improved version of the minimum-cost method that generally produces better starting solutions.
(i) For each row (column) determine a penalty measure by subtracting the smallest unit cost element in the row (column) from the next smallest unit cost element in the same row (column).
(ii) Identify the row or column with the largest penalty. Break ties arbitrarily. Allocate as much as possible to the variable with the least unit cost in the selected row or column satisfied row or column. If a row and column are satisfied simultaneously, only one of the two is crossed out, and the remaining row (column) is assigned zero supply (demand).
(iii) (a) If exactly one row or column with zero supply or demand remains uncrossed out, stop.
(b) If one row (column) with positive supply
(demand) remains uncrossed out, determine the basic variables in the row (column) by the leastcost method .stop.
(c) If all the uncrossed out rows and columns have (remaining) zero supply and demand, determine the zero basic variables by the least-cost method stop. ).
(d) Otherwise, go to step (i).

## ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE

Millennium Herbal Company ships truckloads of grain from three silos to four mills . The supply (in truckloads) and the demand (also in truckloads) together with the unit transportation costs per truckload on the different routes are summarized in the transportation model in table.1.

|  | D | E | F | G | Available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 11 | 13 | 17 | 14 | 250 |
| B | 16 | 18 | 14 | 10 | 300 |
| C | 21 | 24 | 13 | 10 | 400 |
| Requairement | 200 | 225 | 275 | 250 |  |

Table 1. Transportation model of example (Millennium Herbal Company Transportation)
The model seeks the minimum-cost shipping
schedule between the silos and the mills. This is
equivalent to determining the quantity xij shipped from silo $i$ to mill $\mathrm{j}(\mathrm{i}=1,2,3 ; \mathrm{j}=1,2,3,4)$

## 1. North West-Corner method

The application of the procedure to the model of the example gives the starting basic solution in table. 2 .
Table 2. The starting solution using Northwestcorner method

Since $\quad \sum \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}=\sum \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{j}}=950$
The Starting basic Solution is given as follows :
The first allocation is made in the cell $(1,1)$, the magnitude being $x_{11}=\min (250,200)=200$. The
Second allocation is made in the cell $(1,2)$ and the magnitude of the allocation is given by
$X_{12}=\min (250-200,225)=50$

Third allocation is made in the cell $(2,2)$ and the magnitude of the allocation is given by
$X_{22}=\min (300,225-50)=175$
Fourth allocation is made in the cell $(2,3)$ and the magnitude of the allocation is given by
$X_{23}=\min (300-175,275)=125$.
Fifth allocation is made in the cell $(3,3)$ and the magnitude of the allocation is given by
$X_{33}=\min (400,275-125)=150$.
Sixth allocation is made in the cell $(3,4)$ and the magnitude of the allocation is given by
$X_{34}=\min (400-150,250)=250$.

Table 2 T.P. solution using North West corner method

Hence an IBFS to the given TP has been obtained and is displayed in the Table 1.1

The Transportation cost according to the above route is given by
$\mathrm{Z}=200 \times 11+50 \times 13+\mathbf{1 7 5 \times 1 8}+\mathbf{1 2 5 \times 1 4 + 1 5 0 \times 1 3}$ $+2 \mathbf{5 0 \times 1 0 = 1 2 2 0 0}$.

## 2. Minimum Cost Method

The minimum-cost method is applied to Example
(Millennium Herbal Company) in the following manner:

|  | D | E | F | G | Available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 11 | 13 | 17 | 14 | 250 |
| B | 16 | 18 | 14 | 10 | 300 |
| C | 21 | 24 | 13 | 10 | 400 |
| Requairement | 200 | 225 | 275 | 250 |  |

1. Cell $(3,4)$ has the least unit cost in the tableau $(=10)$.the most that can be shipped through $(3,4)$ is $\mathrm{x}_{12}=\min (250 ., 300)=250$. which happens to satisfy
column 4 simultaneously, we arbitrarily cross out column 4 and adjust in the availability $400-250=50$.

|  | D | E | F | G | Available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 11 | 13 | 17 | 14 | 250 |


| B | 16 | 18 | 14 | 10 | 300 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 21 | 24 | 13 | 250 | $400-$ |
| Requairement | 200 | 225 | 275 | $250-$ <br> $250=0$ |  |

2. $\operatorname{Cell}(1,1)$ has the least unit cost in the tableau (=11).

|  | D | E | F | G | Available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | D | E | F | G | Available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 200 | 50 | 17 | 14 | 250 |
|  | 11 | 13 |  |  |  |
| B | 16 | 175 | 125 | 10 | 300 |
|  | 18 | 14 |  |  |  |
| C | 21 | 24 | $\frac{150}{13}$ | 250 | 400 |
| Requairement | 200 | 225 | 275 | 250 |  |
| A | 200 | 13 | 17 | 14 | $250-$ |
| 11 |  |  |  | $200=50$ |  |
| B | 16 | 18 | 14 | 10 | 300 |
| C | 21 | 24 | 13 | 10 | 400 |
| Requairement | 200 | 225 | 275 | 250 |  |

the most that can be shipped through $(1,1)$ is $\mathrm{x}_{11}=\min (200 ., 250)=200$. which happens to satisfy
column 1 simultaneously, we arbitrarily cross out column 1 and adjust the in availablility 250$200=50$.
3.Continuing in the same manner ,we successively assign Cell $(1,2)$ has the least unit cost in the tableau $(=13)$.the most that can be shipped through $(1,2)$ is $x_{12}=\min (225 ., 50)=50$. which happens to satisfy row 1 simultaneously, we arbitrarily cross out row 1 and adjust the in requairement $225-50=175$.

|  | D | E | F | G | Available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 11 | 50 <br> 13 | 17 | 14 | $50-50=0$ |
| B | 16 | 18 | 14 | 10 | 300 |
| C | 21 | 24 | 13 | 10 | 400 |
| Requairement | 200 | $225-$ <br> $50=175$ | 275 | 250 |  |

4. Continuing in the same manner , we successively assign Cell $(3,3)$ has the least unit cost in the tableau ( $=13$ ).the most that can be shipped through $(3,3)$ is $\mathrm{x}_{33}=\min (275 ., 400)=275$. which happens to satisfy column 3 simultaneously, we arbitrarily cross out column 3 and adjust the in availability $400-275=125$.
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline & \text { D } & \text { E } & \text { F } & \text { G } & \text { Available } \\ \hline \text { A } & 11 & 13 & 17 & 14 & 50 \\ \hline \text { B } & 16 & 18 & 14 & 10 & 50 \\ \hline \text { C } & 21 & 24 & 150 & 10 & \begin{array}{l}150- \\ 150=0\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Requairement } & 200 & 175 & \begin{array}{l}13\end{array} \\ \hline 150=125\end{array}\right)$
5.Continuing in the same manner ,we successively assign Cell $(2,2)$ has the least unit cost in the tableau $(=18)$.the most that can be shipped through $(2,2)$ is $\mathrm{x}_{22}=\min (50 ., 175)=50$. which happens to satisfy row 2 simultaneously, we arbitrarily cross out row 2 and adjust the in requairement $175-50=125$..

|  | D | E | F | G | Available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 11 | 13 | 17 | 14 | 50 |
| B | 16 | 175 | 14 | 10 | $300-$ <br> $175=125$ |
|  | 18 | 21 | 24 | 13 | 10 | 125.

6.. Continuing in the same manner , we successively assign Cell $(3,2)$ has the least unit cost in the tableau $(=24)$.the most that can be shipped through $(3,2)$ is $\mathrm{x}_{32}=\min (125 ., 125)=125$. which happens to satisfy row 3 simultaneously, we arbitrarily cross out row 3 and balance the availability and requairement.

|  | D | E | F | G | Available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 11 | 13 | 17 | 14 | 50 |
| B | 16 | 175 | 14 | 10 | 175 |
|  | 18 | 24 | 13 | 10 | 125 |
| C | 21 | 24 | $175-$ <br> $175=0$ | 275 | 250 |
| Requaireme <br> nt | 200 |  |  |  |  |

The final T.P. rout

|  | l |  | l E |  | F | G |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Available |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 200 | 50 |  | 17 | 14 | 250 |
|  | 11 |  |  | 13 |  |  |

The Transportation cost according to the above route is given by
$\mathrm{Z}=200 \times 11+50 \times 13+175 \times 18+125 \times 14+150 \times 13$
$+250 \times 10=12200$.

## 3. Vogel's Approximation Method (VAM)

VAM is applied to Example in the following manner:- We computes the difference between the smallest and next-to- smallest cost in each row and each column are computeed and displayed inside the parenthesis against the respective rows and columns. The largest of these differences is (5) and is associated with the first column is $\mathrm{c}_{11}$, we allocate $\mathrm{x}_{11}=\min (250,200)=200$ in the cell $(1,1)$.This exhausts the requairement of the first column and, therefore ,we cross off the first column. The row and column differences are now computed for the resulting reduced transportation Table (3.1), the largest of these is (5) which is associated with the second column. Since $c_{12}(=13)$ is the minimum cost we allocate $\mathrm{x}_{12}=\min (50,225)=50$.

|  | D | E | F | G | Available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 200 | 13 | 17 | 14 | $250-$ <br> $200=50$ <br> $(2)$ |
| B | 16 | 18 | 14 | 10 | $300(4)$ |
| C | 21 | 24 | 13 | 10 | $400(3)$ |
| Requairement | $200-$ <br> $200=0$ <br> $(5)$ | 225 <br> $(5)$ | 275 <br> $(1)$ | 250 <br> $(0)$ |  |

Table 3.1

|  | E |  | F | G |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Available |  |  |  |  |
| A | 50 <br> $\Gamma$ | 17 | 14 | $50-50=0$ <br> $(1)$ |
| B | 18 | 14 | 10 | $300(4)$ |
| C | 24 | 13 | 10 | $400(3)$ |
| Requairement | $225-$ <br> $50=175$ <br> $(5)$ | 275 <br> $(1)$ | 250 <br> $(0)$ |  |

Table 3.2
This exhausts the availability of first row and therefore, we cross off the first row. Continuing in this manner, the subsequent reduced transportation tables and the differences of the surviving rows and columns are shown below :

|  | E |  | F | G |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Available |  |  |  |  |
| B | 175 | 14 | 10 | $300 \quad 175=125(4)$ <br> 18 |
| C | 24 | 13 | 10 | $400 \quad(3)$ |
| Requairement | $175-$ <br> $175=0(6)$ | 275 <br> $(1)$ | 250 <br> $(0)$ |  |

Table 3.3

|  | F | G | Available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B | 14 | 125 | $125-125=0$ <br> $(4)$ |
|  |  | 10 |  |


| C | 13 | 10 | $400 \quad(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Requairement | 275 <br> $(1)$ | $250-$ <br> $125=0$ <br> $(0)$ |  |

Table 3.4

|  | F | G | Available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 275 | 10 | $400 \quad(3)$ |
|  | 13 |  |  |
| Requairement | 275 <br> $(1)$ | 250 <br> $(0)$ |  |

Table 3.5

Eventually, the basic feasible solution shown in Table 3.7 is obtained

|  | D | E | F | G | Available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 200 | 50 | 17 | 14 | 250 |
|  | 11 | 13 |  |  |  |
| B | 16 | 175 | 14 | 125 | 300 |
|  |  | 18 |  | 10 |  |
| C | 21 | 24 | 275 | 125 | 400 |
| Requairement | 200 | 225 | 275 | 250 |  |

The transportation cost according to this route is given by
$\mathrm{Z}=200 \times 11+50 \times 13+175 \times 18+125 \times 10+275 \times 13$ $+125 \times 10=12075$.

VAM produces a better starting Solution.
This cost is less than northwest-corner method
COMPARISON BETWEEN THE THREE METHODS

North-west corner method is used when the purpose of completing demand No. 1 and then the next and is used when the purpose of completing the warehouse No. 1 and then the next. Advantage of North-West
corner method is quick solution because computations take short time but yields a bad solution because it is very far from optimal solution.

Vogel's approximation method and Minimum-cost method is used to obtain the shortest road. Advantage of Vogel's approximation method and Minimum-cost method yields the best starting basic solution because gives initial solution very near to optimal solution but the solution of Vogel's approximation methods is slow because computations take long time. The cost of transportation with Vogel's approximation method and Minimum-cost method is less than North-West corner method.

The cost of transportation is less than North-west corner method.

## CONCLUSION

The result in three methods are defferent. The decision maker may choose the optimal result of the running of the three program (minimum) and determined the number of units transported from source i to destination j .
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