

# SP-RING AND ITS PROPERTIES

K.Shanmuga Priya<sup>a</sup>, M.Mullai<sup>b</sup> \*

Department of Mathematics, Alagappa University, Karaikudi, India

## Abstract

Algebra is largely concerned with the study of abstract sets endowed with one or more binary operation. In this paper, an algebraic structure known as "SP-RING", which is an extension of SP-Algebra has been introduced. The definition of SP-Ring, integral domain, some theorems, lemmas, properties and unique factorization theorem are also defined and discussed briefly.

**Keywords:** SP-Ring, Integral domain, Euclidean domain, Unique Factorization theorem.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 08A30, 08A35, 08A40*

## 1 Introduction

In 1978 K.Iseki and S.Tanaka[4] introduced the concept of BCK-Algebra. K.iseki[5] introduced BCI-Algebra in 1980. The class of BCK-algebra is a proper subclass of BCI-algebra. Many authors introduced various algebras like d-algebra, TM-algebra, PS-algebra and Ku-algebra etc. M.Mullai and K.Shanmuga Priya[9]

introduced a new notion of algebra known as "SP-Algebra", which is the generalization of BCK Algebra. In this paper, SP-Algebra is extended to "SP-RING". Some theorems, corollary, lemmas, Euclidean domain and Unique Factorization theorem are also established with suitable examples.

## 2 Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1** [9] An Algebra  $(X, *, e)$  of type  $(2,0)$  is said to be SP-Algebra if

- i).  $x * x = e$ .
- ii).  $x * e = x$ .
- iii). if  $x * y = e$  and  $y * x = e$ , then  $x = y$ , where  $*$  is called a binary operation and  $e$  is any constant.

**Definition 2.2** [9] A SP-Algebra  $(X, *, e)$  is said to be abelian if  $a * b = b * a, \forall a, b \in X$  and a SP-Algebra which is not abelian is called non-abelian SP-Algebra.

**Definition 2.3** [9] Let  $X$  be a SP-Algebra and  $S$  is a subset of  $X$ . Then  $S$  is a SP-Subalgebra of  $X$  under the same operation defined on  $X$  if

- i).  $S$  is non empty.
- ii).  $\forall a, b \in S, a * b \in S$ .

**Definition 2.4** [9] Let  $Z_n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  and  $x, y \in Z_n$ . Define  $x \ominus y$  as,

$$x \ominus y = \begin{cases} (x - y) & \text{if } x - y \geq 0 \\ -(x - y) & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The binary operation  $\ominus$  is called subtraction modulo  $n$ .

## 3 SP-Ring

**Definition 3.1** A non-empty set  $X$  together with two binary operations ' $*$ ' and ' $\Delta$ ', is called SP-Ring, if it satisfies the following axioms:

- i)  $(X, *)$  is a SP-Algebra.

ii) ' $\Delta$ ' is associative on  $X$ .

iii)  $a \Delta (b * c) = (a \Delta b) * (a \Delta c)$ .

iv)  $(a * b) \Delta c = (a \Delta c) * (b \Delta c), \forall a, b, c \in X$ .

**Example 3.2**  $(R, -, .)$  and  $(Z, -, .)$  are SP-Rings.

**Definition 3.3** A SP-Ring is said to be commutative or abelian, if  $\forall a, b \in X$

i)  $a * b = b * a$ .

ii)  $a \Delta b = b \Delta a$ .

**Example 3.4**  $(Z_n, \ominus, \odot)$  is a commutative SP-Ring.

**Result 3.5** For any SP-Ring  $(X, *, \Delta)$ ,  $c \Delta (a * b) \Delta d = (c \Delta a \Delta d) * (c \Delta b \Delta d), \forall a, b, c, d \in X$ .

**Definition 3.6** Let  $(X, *, \Delta)$  be a SP-Ring.  $X$  is called a SP-Ring with constant if there exists  $e' \in X$  such that  $x \Delta e' = x, \forall x \in X$ .

**Example 3.7**  $(Z, -, .)$  is a SP-Ring with constant 1.

**Theorem 3.8** In every abelian SP-Ring, cancellation laws hold.

(i.e) if  $a \Delta b = a \Delta c$  and  $b \Delta a = c \Delta a$  then  $b = c$ .

**Proof:**

(a) Right cancellation law:

Let  $b \Delta a = c \Delta a$ .

$\implies b \Delta a \Delta a = c \Delta a \Delta a$

$\implies b \Delta e' = c \Delta e'$

$\implies b = c$

(b) Left cancellation law:

Let  $a \Delta b = a \Delta c$

Since  $\Delta$  is commutative  $b \Delta a = c \Delta a$

$\implies b = c$ .

Therefore in every abelian SP-Ring, cancellation laws hold.

**Theorem 3.9** Let  $(X, *, \Delta)$  be a SP-Ring with constant  $e'$ . Then the set of all elements satisfying  $x \Delta x = e'$  is a SP-Algebra under  $\Delta$ .

**Proof:**

Given  $X$  is a SP-Ring with constant  $e'$ .

Let  $S$  be a set of all elements satisfying  $x \Delta x = e'$

To prove that  $S$  is SP-Algebra under  $\Delta$ , the following conditions are satisfied by the hypothesis.

$$i) x \Delta x = e'$$

$$ii) x \Delta e' = x.$$

It is enough to prove that, if  $x \Delta y = e'$  and  $y \Delta y = e'$ , then  $x = y$ .

Since,  $x \Delta x = e'$ ,  $y \Delta y = e'$ ,  $x \Delta y = x \Delta x$  and  $y \Delta x = y \Delta y$

$$\implies x \Delta y = x \Delta x$$

$$\implies (x \Delta y) \Delta y = (x \Delta x) \Delta y$$

$$\implies x \Delta (y \Delta y) = (y \Delta y) \Delta y$$

$$\implies x \Delta e' = y \Delta (y \Delta y)$$

$$\implies x = y \Delta e'$$

$$\implies x = y$$

Hence,  $(S, \Delta, e')$  is SP-Algebra.

## 4 Integral Domain

**Definition 4.1** A SP-Ring  $(X, *, \Delta)$  is called integral domain if it has a constant  $e'$  and the set of all elements satisfying  $x \Delta x = e'$  is a SP-Algebra under  $\Delta$ .

**Example 4.2**  $X = (Z, -, \cdot)$  is an integral domain if  $S = \{1, -1\}$ . Here  $(S, \cdot, 1)$  forms SP-Algebra under multiplication.

**Definition 4.3** An integral domain  $(X, *, \Delta)$  is called ordered integral domain if  $X$  contains a subset  $S$  with the following properties:

$$i) \forall a, b \in S \implies a * b \in S.$$

$$ii) \forall a, b \in S \implies a \Delta b \in S.$$

iii)  $\forall a, b \in S, a < b$  or  $a = b$  or  $a > b$ .

**Example 4.4** Consider  $(Z, -, .)$  is an integral domain. Then,  $Z$  is an ordered integral domain if  $S=(nZ, -, .)$ .

**Definition 4.5** Let  $(X, *, \Delta)$  be an abelian SP-Ring and  $a, b \in X, a \neq 0$ .  $a$  divides  $b$  [write  $a/b$ ], if there exists an element  $c \in X$  such that  $b = a \Delta c$ .

**Example 4.6** i) In  $(Z, -, .)$ ,  $5/15$  since  $15 = 5 \times 3$ .

But in  $(5Z, -, .)$ ,  $5$  does not divide  $15$ , since there is no  $3 \in 5Z$  such that  $15 = 5 \times 3$ .

ii) In  $(Z_8, \ominus, \odot)$ ,  $4 = 2 \odot 2 \implies 2/4$ .

**Definition 4.7** Let  $(X, *, \Delta)$  be an abelian SP-Ring and  $a, b$  are two non-zero elements of  $X$ . Then,  $a$  and  $b$  are said to be associates if  $a/b$  and  $b/a$ .

**Example 4.8** i) In  $(Z, -, .)$ , every  $a$  and  $-a$  are associates.

ii) In  $(Z_8, \ominus, \odot)$ ,  $2$  and  $6$  are associates, since  $2 = 6 \odot 3 \implies 6/2$   
 $6 = 2 \odot 3 \implies 2/6$ .

**Theorem 4.9** In any commutative SP-Ring  $(X, *, \Delta)$ , an element  $e' \in X$  satisfying  $x \Delta e' = x$  is unique.

**Proof:**

To prove:  $e'$  is unique

(i.e) to prove  $e' = e''$ ,

Suppose, there exists  $e'' \in X$  such that  $x \Delta e'' = x$

Since,  $x \Delta e' = x$  and  $x \Delta e'' = x$ , we have  $x \Delta e' = x \Delta e''$

$$\implies x \Delta (x \Delta e') = x \Delta (x \Delta e'')$$

$$\implies (x \Delta x) \Delta e' = (x \Delta x) \Delta e''$$

Consider  $e'$  as a constant. Then

$$e' \Delta e' = e' \Delta e''$$

$$\implies e' = e' \Delta e''$$

Consider  $e''$  as a constant. Then

$$e'' \Delta e' = e'' \Delta e''$$

$$\implies e'' \Delta e' = e''$$

Since  $\Delta$  is commutative, then we have  $e' \Delta e'' = e''$

Hence,  $e' = e''$ .

**Theorem 4.10** *Let  $(X, *, \Delta)$  be an integral domain with commutative property and the non-zero elements  $a$  and  $b$  are associates. Then their constants satisfying  $a = b \Delta c_1$  and  $b = a \Delta c_2$  are equal.*

**Proof:**

*Given  $X$  is an integral domain with commutative property  $a/b$  and  $b/a$ , since  $a$  and  $b$  are associates.*

*Therefore by definition, there exist  $c_1$  and  $c_2 \in X$  such that*

$$a = b \Delta c_1 \text{ and } b = a \Delta c_2$$

$$\implies a = b \Delta c_1$$

$$\implies a = (a \Delta c_2) \Delta c_1 = a \Delta (c_2 \Delta c_1)$$

$$\implies (c_2 \Delta c_1) = e', \text{ by theorem 4.9}$$

$$\implies c_2 = c_1.$$

**Theorem 4.11** *Let  $(X, *, \Delta)$  be an abelian SP-Ring. In  $X-\{0\}$ , we define  $a \sim b$  if  $a$  and  $b$  are associates then prove that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.*

**Proof:**

*Given  $X$  is an abelian SP-Ring with constant  $e'$ .*

*In  $X-\{0\}$ , define  $a \sim b = a/b$  and  $b/a$ .*

*To prove:  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation*

**i) Reflexive:**

*Clearly, for every  $a \in X$ ,*

$$a \Delta e' = a$$

$$\implies a/a$$

*Therefore  $a \sim a$ .*

**ii) Symmetric:**

*Let  $a \sim b$ . Then  $a/b$  and  $b/a$*

$$\implies b/a \text{ and } a/b$$

$$\implies b \sim a$$

**iii) Transitive:**

*Let  $a \sim b$  and  $b \sim c$ .*

*Now,*

$$a \sim b \implies a/b \text{ and } b/a$$

*and*

$$b \sim c \implies b/c \text{ and } c/b.$$

Also,

$a/b$  and  $b/a \implies$  there exist  $c_1, c_2 \in X$  such that  $a = b \Delta c_1$  and  $b = a \Delta c_2$ .

$b/c$  and  $c/b \implies$  there exist  $c_3$  and  $c_4 \in X$  such that  $b = c \Delta c_3$  and  $c = b \Delta c_4$ .

Then,  $a = b \Delta c_1$

$a = (c \Delta c_3) \Delta c_1$

$a = c \Delta (c_3 \Delta c_1)$

$\implies a/c$ .

Similarly,

$c = b \Delta c_4$

$\implies c = (a \Delta c_2) \Delta c_4$

$\implies c = a \Delta (c_2 \Delta c_4)$

$\implies c/a$

$\implies '\sim'$  is transitive

Hence  $'\sim'$  is equivalence relation.

**Definition 4.12** Let  $a, b \in X$ . Then  $d \in X$  is said to be a greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$  if i)  $d/a$  and  $d/b$ .

ii) whenever  $c/a$  and  $c/b$  then  $c/d$ .

It can be written as  $d = (a, b)$ .

**Definition 4.13** If  $a, b \in (X, *, \Delta)$  and  $d$  is the greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ , then there exist  $s, t \in X$  such that  $(a * s) \Delta (b * t) = d$ .

**Definition 4.14** Let  $(X, *, \Delta)$  be a SP-Ring. If  $a, b \in X$  are relatively prime, then  $(a, b) = e'$ .

**Definition 4.15** An integral domain  $(X, *, \Delta)$  is said to be an Euclidean domain if for every non zero  $a$  in  $X$ , there is a non-negative integer  $d(a)$  such that

i)  $\forall a, b \in X (a \neq 0 \text{ and } b \neq 0), d(a) \leq d(a \Delta b)$ .

ii) For any  $a, b \in X, (a \neq 0 \text{ and } b \neq 0),$  there exist  $t, r \in X$  such that  $a = (t \Delta b) * r$ , either  $r = 0$  or  $d(r) < d(b)$ .

**Example 4.16**  $(Z, -, \cdot)$  is an Euclidean domain, where  $d(a) = a^2$ .

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(a\Delta b) &= d(a.b) & (1) \\
 &= (ab)^2 \\
 &= a^2.b^2 \geq a^2 \\
 &= d(a) \\
 d(a\Delta b) &= d(a)
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $a, b$  be two non-zero elements of  $Z$ .

Let  $q$  be the quotient and  $r$  be the  $-$ (remainder of  $a/b$ ).

Then,  $a = (q \Delta b) * r = q.b - r$ , and  $d(r) = r^2$ ,

which is positive for all  $r$ , where  $r = 0$  or  $d(r) < d(b)$ .

**Definition 4.17** In an Euclidean domain  $(X, *, \Delta)$ , an element  $a \in X$  is said to be prime if 'a' cannot be expressed as  $a = b\Delta c$ , where  $b, c \neq e' \in X$ , and  $e'$  is constant in  $X$  corresponding to  $\Delta$ .

**Example 4.18** In  $(Z, -, \cdot)$ , every prime number is prime element.

**Definition 4.19** Let  $a \neq 0$  and  $b$  are in an abelian SP-Ring  $(X, *, \Delta)$ . Then,  $a$  divides  $b(a/b)$  if there exists an element  $c \in X$  such that  $b = a\Delta c$ .

**Problem 4.20** 1.If  $a/b$  and  $b/c$ , then  $a/c$ .

$a/b \implies$  there exist a constant  $u_1 \in X$  such that  $b = a\Delta u_1$ .

$b/c \implies$  there exist a constant  $u_2 \in X$  such that  $c = b\Delta u_2$ .

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 c &= (a\Delta u_1)\Delta u_2 & (2) \\
 &= a\Delta(u_1\Delta u_2) \\
 c &= a\Delta u, \text{ where } u = u_1\Delta u_2
 \end{aligned}$$

$\implies a/c$ .



**Problem 4.21** *If  $a/b$  and  $a/c$ , then  $a/(b*c)$ .*

**Solution:** *Given  $a/b \implies$  there exist a constant  $u_1$  such that  $b = a \Delta u_1$ .*

*Given  $a/c \implies$  there exist a constant  $u_2$  such that  $c = a \Delta u_2$ .*

*Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} b * c &= (a\Delta u_1) * (a\Delta u_2) \\ &= a\Delta(u_1 * u_2) \\ b * c &= a\Delta u \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

$\implies a/(b*c)$ .

**Theorem 4.22** *Let  $(X, *, \Delta)$  be an Euclidean domain with commutative property. Suppose that  $p, a, b \in X$ ,  $p/(a\Delta b)$  and  $(p,a) = e'$ , then  $p/b$ .*

**Proof:**

*Given  $(X, *, \Delta)$  is an Euclidean domain and  $p/(a \Delta b)$  and  $p$  does not divide  $a$ .*

*Since,  $p/(a \Delta b)$ , there exists  $c \in X$  such that  $a \Delta b = p\Delta c$*

*Given  $(p,a) = e'$ . Then, there exist  $s, t \in X$  such that  $(p \Delta s)*(a \Delta t) = e'$*

$$b \Delta [(p \Delta s)*(a \Delta t)] = b \Delta e'$$

$$\implies (b \Delta p \Delta s)*(b * a \Delta t) = b \Delta e'$$

$$\implies (p \Delta b \Delta s)*(a * b \Delta t) = b \Delta e' = b$$

$$\implies (p \Delta b \Delta s)*(p * c \Delta t) = b$$

$$\implies p \Delta [(b \Delta s)*(c \Delta t)] = b$$

$$\implies p/b.$$

**Lemma 4.23** *Let  $P$  be a prime element in an Euclidean domain  $(X, *, \Delta)$ . If  $p/(a \Delta b)$  where  $a, b \in X$ , then  $p$  divides either  $a$  or  $b$ .*

**Corollary 4.24** *If  $p$  is a prime element in an Euclidean domain  $(X, *, \Delta)$  and  $p/(a_1 \Delta a_2 \dots \Delta a_n)$ , then  $p$  divides atleast one  $a_i$ .*

**Lemma 4.25** *Let  $(X, *, \Delta)$  be an Euclidean domain and  $a, b \in X$ . If  $b$  is not a constant satisfying  $x \Delta b = x, \forall x \in X$ , then  $d(a) < d(a \Delta b)$ .*

**Proof:**

*Given  $(X, *, \Delta)$  is an Euclidean domain.*

Let  $a, b \in X$ .

By the first condition of Euclidean domain,

$$d(a) \leq d(a \Delta b)$$

Now,

$x \Delta b = x, \forall x$ , since  $b$  is not constant

$$\implies a \Delta b \neq a$$

$$\implies d(a \Delta b) \neq d(a)$$

$$\implies d(a) < d(a \Delta b).$$

**Lemma 4.26** *Let  $(X, *, \Delta)$  be an Euclidean domain with  $d(e') = d(1)$  and  $X$  is abelian SP-Algebra under  $\Delta$ . Every element in  $X$  can be either a constant  $e'$  in  $X$  or can be written as the product of a finite number of prime elements in  $X$ .*

**Proof:**

*It can be proved by induction on  $d(a)$ .*

*If  $d(a) = d(e')$ ,  $a$  is the constant element  $e'$  in  $X$ .*

*Assume that, this is true for all elements in  $X$  satisfying  $d(x) < d(a)$*

*To prove it for 'a':*

*Suppose 'a' is a prime, there is nothing to prove.*

*If not, 'a' can be written as  $a = b \Delta c$ , where  $b$  and  $c$  are not constants in  $X$ .*

$$\implies d(a) = d(b \Delta c)$$

*Then by definition of Euclidean domain,*

$$d(b) \leq d(b \Delta c)$$

*Also,  $d(b) < d(b \Delta c)$ ,  $d(c) < d(c \Delta b)$ , since 'c' is not constant.*

*$d(c) < d(b \Delta c) = d(a)$ , since  $\Delta$  is commutative.*

$$\implies d(c) < d(a)$$

$$\implies d(b) < d(a)$$

*By our induction hypothesis,  $b$  and  $c$  can be written as the product of prime elements.*

*(i.e)  $b = b_1 \Delta b_2 \dots \Delta b_n$ , where  $b_i$  are prime elements and  $c = c_1 \Delta c_2 \dots \Delta c_n$ , where  $c_i$  are prime elements in  $X$ .*

*We know that,  $a = b \Delta c = (b_1 \Delta b_2 \dots \Delta b_n) \Delta (c_1 \Delta c_2 \dots \Delta c_n)$ .*

*Therefore, 'a' can be written as the product of finite number of prime elements.*

**Theorem 4.27** *UNIQUE FACTORIZATION THEOREM*

*Let  $(X, *, \Delta)$  be an Euclidean domain with commutative property and  $a \neq 0$  be a*

non-constant in  $X$ . If  $a = \pi_1 \Delta \pi_2 \dots \Delta \pi_r = \pi'_1 \Delta \pi'_2 \dots \Delta \pi'_s$ , where  $\pi_i$  and  $\pi'_j$  are prime elements of  $X$ ,

then  $r = s$  and each  $\pi_i$  is an associates of some  $\pi'_j$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq r$ ,  $1 \leq j' \leq s$ .

**Proof:**

Given  $(X, *, \Delta)$  is an Euclidean domain and  $a = \pi_1 \Delta \pi_2 \dots \Delta \pi_r = \pi'_1 \Delta \pi'_2 \dots \Delta \pi'_s$ ,

where  $\pi_i$  and  $\pi'_j$  are prime elements of  $X$ .

$$\pi'_1 \Delta \pi'_2 \dots \Delta \pi'_s = \pi_1 \Delta (\pi_2 \dots \Delta \pi_r).$$

$$\implies \pi_1 / (\pi'_1 \Delta \pi'_2 \dots \Delta \pi'_s)$$

Also,  $\pi_1$  / some  $\pi'_j$  (by corollary 4.25)

Without loss of generality, assume that  $\pi_1 / \pi'_1$

Since  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi'_1$  are both prime elements of  $X$ ,  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi'_1$  must be associates.

$$\implies \pi'_1 = \pi_1 \Delta u_1, \text{ where } u_1 \in X.$$

$$\text{Eqn(4)} \implies \pi_1 \Delta u_1 \Delta \pi'_2 \dots \Delta \pi'_s = \pi_1 \Delta (\pi_2 \dots \Delta \pi_r)$$

$$\implies \pi_2 \dots \Delta \pi_r = u_1 \Delta \pi'_2 \dots \Delta \pi'_s$$

Now if  $r < s$ , then repeating the above process  $r$  times, the left side become  $e'$  and right side contains the product of some prime elements.

Which is a contradiction to our assumption.

Therefore,  $r \geq s$

Similarly,  $s \leq r$

$$\implies s = r$$

Also every  $\pi_i$  associates with some  $\pi'_j$  and conversly, since each  $\pi_i / \pi'_j$  and  $\pi_i$  and  $\pi'_j$  are prime elements.

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, the concept of SP-Ring is introduced with examples. Also, some properties of SP-Ring, Integral and ordered integral domains, theorems and Unique Factorization Theorem are established. In future, using the concept of SP-Ring Polynomials in SP-Ring will be extended with suitable examples.

### Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express their thanks to the referees for their valuable

comments and suggestions which improved the paper.

## References

- [1] Borzooei.R.A and Zahiri.O, *Prime Ideals in BCI and BCK-Algebras*, Annals of the University of Craiova, Mathematics and Computer Science Series. Volume 39(2), 2012, pages 266-276, ISSN: 1223-6934.
- [2] Eric Jespers, *Associative Algebra*, Website: <http://homepages.vub.ac.be/efjesper> HOC: donderdag 09-11uur, F.4.113(2016-2017)
- [3] Herstein.N, *Topics in Algebra*, Second Edition(2011).
- [4] Iseki.T and Tanaka.S, *An Introduction to the theory Of BCK-Algebra*, Math Japonica23(1978).
- [5] Iseki.K, *On BCI-Algebra*, Math. Seminar Notes 8(1980), 125-130.
- [6] Jiang Hao and Chen Xue li, *On Ideals of an Ideal in a BCI-Algebra*, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae, No.10,(2004), 493-500.
- [7] Mahmut Kuzucuoglu, *Exercises and Solutions in Groups Rings and Fields*, Middle East Technical University, matmah@metu.edu.tr, Ankara, Turkey April 18, 2012.
- [8] Marek Palasinski, *Some Remarks on BCK-Algebra*, Bulletin of the section of logic. Volume 9/2(1980), pp 85-87, Re-edition 2010[Original edition,pp.85-87].
- [9] Mullai.M, Shanmuga Priya.K, *A Note on SP-Algebra*, International Conference on Mathematical Modelling and Computational Methods in Science and Engineering february-2017.
- [10] Priya, Ramachandran.T, *Classification of PS- Algebra*, The International Journal of Science and Technology, vol.1 Issue 7, September 2014.

- [11] Sung Min Hong, Young Bae Jun and Mehmet Ali Ooturk, *Generalization of BCK-Algebras*, Sientiae Mathematica Japonicae Online, Vol. 8,(2003), 549-557.
- [12] Zahra Samaei.M, *A Class of BCK-Algebra*, International Journal of Algebra, vol.5, 2011, no.28, 1379-1385.
- [13] Huang Yisheng, *BCI-Algebra*, Science Press(2006).