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#### Abstract

This paper aims to apply the Fourth Order Finite Difference Method to solve the onedimensional Convection-Diffusion equation with energy generation (or sink) in in cylindrical and spherical coordinates.
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## 1. Introduction

According to [1-2] heat conduction refers to the transport of energy in a medium due to the temperature gradient. To represent the physical phenomena of three-dimensional heat conduction in steady state and in cylindrical and spherical coordinates, respectively, [1] present the following equations,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho c_{p} v_{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}=k\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}\right)+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial \theta^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial z^{2}}\right)+\dot{q}  \tag{1}\\
& \rho c_{p} v_{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}=k\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}\right)+\frac{1}{r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial \phi^{2}}+\frac{1}{r^{2} \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial T}{\partial \theta}\right)\right)+\dot{q} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where, $T$ is the temperature, $r, z$ and $\theta$ are the spatial coordinates, $\rho$ is the specific mass, $c_{p}$ is the specific heat, $v_{r}$ is the velocity, $k$ the thermal conductivity, $\dot{q}$ is a heat flux.

In this work the numerical solution will be proposed by using the Fourth Order Finite Difference Method, of the reduction of the problems described in Equations (1-2) for only one spatial dimension, according to the following equations,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho c_{p} v_{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}=k\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}\right)\right)+\dot{q}  \tag{3}\\
\rho c_{p} v_{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}=k\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}\right)\right)+\dot{q} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

This proposal is a numerical evolution in the work proposed in [3] where the Second Order Finite Difference Method is used to solve the problems governed by Equations (3-4). It is important to emphasize that the idea of using the Fourth Order Finite Difference Method has already been successful in [4-8] for problems in cartesian coordinates, and thus, the same idea of solution to problems in cylindrical and spherical coordinates is now proposed.

## 2. Numerical Formulation - Spatial Discretization

Before starting the spatial discretization, here it will be realized a reorganization of Equations (3-4), respectively, as follows (adopting $\alpha=k /\left(\rho c_{p}\right)$ ),

$$
v_{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}=\alpha\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial r}+r \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial r^{2}}\right)+\dot{q} \Rightarrow
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial r^{2}}+\left(\frac{\alpha}{r}-v_{r}\right) \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}=-\dot{q}  \tag{5}\\
v_{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}=\alpha\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right)\left(2 r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}+r^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial r^{2}}\right)+\dot{q} \Rightarrow \\
\alpha \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial r^{2}}+\left(\frac{2 \alpha}{r}-v_{r}\right) \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}=-\dot{q} \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Internal nodes

In the internal nodes of the computational mesh, the following fourth order central finite differences were used to discretize the first and second order partial derivatives, respectively [9-10],

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial T_{i}}{\partial r}=\frac{-T_{i+2}+8 T_{i+1}-8 T_{i-1}+T_{i-2}}{12 \Delta r}  \tag{7}\\
& \frac{\partial^{2} T_{i}}{\partial r^{2}}=\frac{-T_{i+2}+16 T_{i+1}-30 T_{i}+16 T_{i-1}-T_{i-1}}{12 \Delta r^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

After replacing the approximations (7-8) in Equations (5-6), the following expressions are obtained,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha\left(\frac{-T_{i+2}+16 T_{i+1}-30 T_{i}+16 T_{i-1}-T_{i-2}}{12 \Delta r^{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{\alpha}{r}-v_{r}\right)\left(\frac{-T_{i+2}+8 T_{i+1}-8 T_{i-1}+T_{i-2}}{12 \Delta r}\right)=-\dot{q} \Rightarrow \\
& \left(\frac{-\alpha}{12 \Delta r^{2}}+\frac{\alpha}{12 r \Delta r}-\frac{v_{r}}{12 \Delta r}\right) T_{i-2}+\left(\frac{4 \alpha}{3 \Delta r^{2}}-\frac{2 \alpha}{3 r \Delta r}+\frac{2 v_{r}}{3 \Delta r}\right) T_{i-1}+\left(\frac{-5 \alpha}{2 \Delta r^{2}}\right) T_{i} \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{4 \alpha}{3 \Delta r^{2}}+\frac{2 \alpha}{3 r \Delta r}-\frac{2 v_{r}}{3 \Delta r}\right) T_{i+1}+\left(\frac{-\alpha}{12 \Delta r^{2}}-\frac{\alpha}{12 r \Delta r}+\frac{v_{r}}{12 \Delta r}\right) T_{i+2}=-\dot{q} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha\left(\frac{-T_{i+2}+16 T_{i+1}-30 T_{i}+16 T_{i-1}-T_{i-2}}{12 \Delta r^{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{2 \alpha}{r}-v_{r}\right)\left(\frac{-T_{i+2}+8 T_{i+1}-8 T_{i-1}+T_{i-2}}{12 \Delta r}\right)=-\dot{q} \\
& \left(\frac{-\alpha}{12 \Delta r^{2}}+\frac{\alpha}{6 r \Delta r}-\frac{v_{r}}{12 \Delta r}\right) T_{i-2}+\left(\frac{4 \alpha}{3 \Delta r^{2}}-\frac{4 \alpha}{3 r \Delta r}+\frac{2 v_{r}}{3 \Delta r}\right) T_{i-1}+\left(\frac{-5 \alpha}{2 \Delta r^{2}}\right) T_{i} \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{4 \alpha}{3 \Delta r^{2}}+\frac{4 \alpha}{3 r \Delta r}-\frac{2 v_{r}}{3 \Delta r}\right) T_{i+1}+\left(\frac{-\alpha}{12 \Delta r^{2}}-\frac{\alpha}{6 r \Delta r}+\frac{v_{r}}{12 \Delta r}\right) T_{i+2}=-\dot{q} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

## Nodes distant $\Delta x$ and/or $\Delta y$ of the boundary

For discretization of nodes near the boundary it is not possible to use the expressions (7-8), for example, a node at a distance $\Delta x$ from the boundary will not have two nodes to its left. Thus, for these nodes will be used to discretize the Equations (5-6) the following second order central finite difference,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial T}{\partial r}=\frac{T_{i+1}-T_{i-1}}{\Delta r} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial r^{2}}=\frac{T_{i+1}-2 T_{i}+T_{i-1}}{\Delta r^{2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

what resulted in the following expressions,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha\left(\frac{T_{i+1}-2 T_{i}+T_{i-1}}{\Delta r^{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{\alpha}{r}-v_{r}\right)\left(\frac{T_{i+1}-T_{i-1}}{2 \Delta r}\right)=-\dot{q} \Rightarrow \\
& \quad\left(\frac{\alpha}{\Delta r^{2}}-\frac{\alpha}{2 r \Delta r}+\frac{v_{r}}{2 \Delta r}\right) T_{i-1}+\left(\frac{-2 \alpha}{\Delta r^{2}}\right) T_{i}+\left(\frac{\alpha}{\Delta r^{2}}+\frac{\alpha}{2 r \Delta r}-\frac{v_{r}}{2 \Delta r}\right) T_{i+1}=-\dot{q} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha\left(\frac{T_{i+1}-2 T_{i}+T_{i-1}}{\Delta r^{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{2 \alpha}{r}-v_{r}\right)\left(\frac{T_{i+1}-T_{i-1}}{2 \Delta r}\right)=-\dot{q} \Rightarrow \\
& \quad\left(\frac{\alpha}{\Delta r^{2}}-\frac{\alpha}{r \Delta r}+\frac{v_{r}}{2 \Delta r}\right) T_{i-1}+\left(\frac{-2 \alpha}{\Delta r^{2}}\right) T_{i}+\left(\frac{\alpha}{\Delta r^{2}}+\frac{\alpha}{r \Delta r}-\frac{v_{r}}{2 \Delta r}\right) T_{i+1}=-\dot{q} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

In summary, Equations (9) and (13) constructs the linear system that solves the problem governed by Equation (5) (cylindrical coordinates) and Equations (10) and (14) solves Equation (6) (spherical coordinates).

## 3. Numerical Applications

To analyze the numerical efficiency of the formulation presented in this work will be presented two applications. The first makes a comparison of numerical results with the presented in [3] while the second application presents an exact solution to analyze the numerical efficiency. In both applications it was considered $\alpha=1$ and $v_{\mathrm{r}}=1$.

Aplicação 1: The analytical solutions for the cylindrical and spherical coordinates that will be used for comparison with the numerical results are, respectively,

$$
T(r)=A \ln r+B \quad \text { e } \quad T(r)=\frac{A}{r}+B
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are constant.
In this case, the following boundary conditions were considered $T(0.5)=0$ and $T(1)=C$, with $C$ constant. From the analytical solutions and using the boundary conditions, the following solutions were obtained, respectively:

$$
T(r)=C(\ln (r) / \ln (2))+C \quad \text { and } \quad T(r)=-(C / r)+2 C .
$$

Table 1. Maximum Error for various $C$ values (cylindrical coordinates).

| $\boldsymbol{C}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fourth Order | $1.85 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $1.85 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $1.85 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $1.85 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $1.85 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| Second Order $[\mathbf{2}]$ | $7.59 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.27 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.03 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.79 \mathrm{E}-04$ |

Table 2. Maximum Error for various $C$ values (spherical coordinates).

| $\boldsymbol{C}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fourth Order | $6.95 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $2.08 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $2.78 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $3.47 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| Second Order [2] | $8.94 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.62 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.57 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.05 \mathrm{E}-06$ |

Analyzing Tables 1 and 2 it is evident that the use of a discretization by Fourth Order Finite Difference Method presents an evolution in numerical precision.

Aplicação 2: In this case, the exact solution $T(r)=\mathrm{e}^{r}$ was used for comparison with the numerical solution. For this, the values of $\Delta r$ were varied to analyze how much it improved the numerical efficiency of the proposed formulation (see Table 3). It is evident the improvement of the numerical accuracy as $\Delta r$ decreases.
Table 3. Maximum error of the numerical solution in Application 2.

| $\boldsymbol{\Delta r}$ | Cylindrical | Spherical | $\Delta \boldsymbol{r}$ | Cylindrical | Spherical |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.05000 | $2.56 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $6.38 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.00455 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $4.71 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| 0.02500 | $1.72 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $4.15 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.00417 | $1.42 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| 0.01667 | $3.48 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $8.32 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 0.00385 | $1.03 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $2.42 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| 0.01250 | $1.12 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $2.65 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 0.00357 | $7.66 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $1.80 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| 0.01000 | $4.61 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $1.09 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 0.00333 | $5.85 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $1.37 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| 0.00833 | $2.23 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $5.28 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 0.00313 | $4.51 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| 0.00714 | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $2.86 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 0.00294 | $3.52 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $8.26 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| 0.00625 | $7.12 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.68 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 0.00278 | $2.79 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $6.56 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| 0.00556 | $4.45 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.05 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 0.00263 | $2.25 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $5.30 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| 0.00500 | $2.93 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $6.88 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 0.00250 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $4.34 \mathrm{E}-11$ |

## 4. Conclusion

The expectation of using fourth order discretization and obtaining better results, in a very expressive way was achieved. It is important to note that a more detailed study of the cost benefit, for example, the increase of the computational cost versus the numerical efficiency when choosing between a second or fourth order discretization should be evaluated in more complex applications.
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