# pgrw-Locally Closed Sets in Bitopological Spaces

R. S. Wali<sup>1</sup>, Vijayakumari T. Chilakwad<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, <sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Bhandari andRathi College, Guledagud-587 203, Karnataka State, India <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Government First Grade College, Dharwad, Karnataka State, India

**Abstract** - The aim of this paper is to introduce a new class of closed sets called pgrw-locally closed sets, pgrw-lc<sup>\*-</sup> sets, pgrw-lc<sup>\*\*-</sup> sets in bitopological spaces. A subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-locally closed if  $A=U \cap V$  where U is a  $\tau_i$ -pgrw-open set and V is a  $\tau_j$ -pgrw-closed set. Examples are provided to illustrate the behaviour of these new classes of sets and maps.

**Keywords**- pgrw-locally closed set, pgrw-lc\*-set, pgrw-lc\*\*-set, pairwise pgrw-lc-continuous maps , pairwise pgrw-lc-irresolute maps

# I. INTRODUCTION

According to Bourkbaki[1], a subset of a topological space X is called locally closed in X if it is the intersection of an open set and a closed set in X. In 1963, Kelly [2] defined a bitopologicalspace  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  to be a set X equipped with two topologies  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  on X and initiated a systematic study of bitopological spaces.  $\omega$ -Locally closed set in a bitopological spaces.  $\omega$ -Locally closed set in a bitopological space is introduced by S. S. Benchalliand et. al. [3].In the present paper we define pgrw-locally closed sets, pgrw-lc\*-sets, pgrw-lc\*\*-sets and investigate some of their properties.

# **II. PRELIMINARIES**

Throughout this paper,X, Y and Z represent bitopological spaces (X,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ), (Y,  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$ ) and (Z,  $\eta_1, \eta_2$ ), i, j  $\in \{1, 2\}$  and i $\neq j$ .

**Definition**: A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ is called a pregeneralised regular weakly (pgrw)closedset[5] if pcl(A) $\subseteq$ U whenever A  $\subseteq$  U and U is a rw-open set.

**Definition**: A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ is called a rw-closed [4] if  $cl(A)\subseteq U$  whenever A  $\subseteq U$  and U is regular semi-open in X.

**Definition**: A subset A of a bitopological space (X,  $\tau_1, \tau_2$ ) is called  $a(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw closed set if  $\tau_j$ -pcl(A)  $\subseteq$ G whenever A  $\subseteq$  G and G is a  $\tau_i$ -rw open set where  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$   $i \neq j$ .

# III.(τ<sub>i</sub>,τ<sub>j</sub>)-pgrw-LOCALLY CLOSED SETS

**3.1Definition:** A subset A of a bitopological space  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)$  is called  $(\tau_i,\tau_j)$ -pgrw-locally closed if  $A=U \cap V$  where U is a  $\tau_i$ -pgrw-open set and V is a  $\tau_i$ -pgrw-closed set.

**3.2Notation:**  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-LC(X) represents the collection of all  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-lc subsets of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . **3.3Example:**X={a,b,c,d},

$$\begin{split} \tau_1 &= \{X, \Phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\} \\ \tau_2 &= \{X, \Phi, \{a\}, \{c, d\}, \\ \{a, c, d\}\} \end{split}$$

 $\tau_1$ -pgrw-open sets are X, $\Phi$ ,{a,b,d}, {a,b,c},{a,d},{a,b},{b,c},{a,c},{a},{b},{c}.

τ<sub>2</sub>-pgrw-closedsets

X, $\Phi$ ,{b},{c},{d},{a,b},{b,c},{b,d},{a,b,c},{b,c,d}, {a,c,d}, {a,b,d}. The set {a,b,c} is  $\tau_1$ -pgrw-open and {b,c,d} is  $\tau_2$ -pgrw-closed.

are

#### 3.4 Example: In

example 3.3{d}={a,b,d} $\cap$ {d}where {a,b,d} is a  $\tau_1$ pgrw-open set and {d} is a  $\tau_2$ -pgrw-closed set.  $\therefore$ {d} is a ( $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ )-pgrw-locally-closed set.

Also  $\{d\}=\{a,d\}\cap\{b,d\}$  where  $\{a,d\}$  is a  $\tau_1$ -pgrwopen set and  $\{b,d\}$  is a  $\tau_2$ -pgrw-closed set.  $\therefore$  U and V of definition 3.1are not unique.

**3.5 Theorem:** A subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-locally closed iff X-A is the union of a  $\tau_i$ -pgrw- closed set and a  $\tau_j$ -pgrw-open set.

**Proof:** A is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - pgrw- locally closed subset of X.

 $\Rightarrow$  A = U $\cap$ V where U is  $\tau_i$ -pgrw-open and V is  $\tau_j$ -pgrw-closed.

 $\Rightarrow X-A = (X-U) \cup (X-V) \text{ where } X-U \text{ is } \tau_i\text{-pgrw-closed and } X-V \text{ is } \tau_j\text{-pgrw-open.}$ 

Conversely

X-A = G $\cup$ F where G is  $\tau_i$ -pgrw-closed and F is  $\tau_j$ -pgrw-open.

 $\Rightarrow A = (X-G) \cap (X-F) \text{ where } X-G \text{ is } \tau_i\text{-pgrw-open and } X-F \text{ is } \tau_j\text{-pgrw-closed.}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  A is a ( $\tau_i, \tau_j$ )- pgrw- locally closed set.

### 3.6 Theorem:

- Every τ<sub>i</sub>-pgrw-open subset of (X,τ<sub>1</sub>,τ<sub>2</sub>) is a
  (τ<sub>i</sub>, τ<sub>j</sub>)- pgrw-locally closed set.
- 2) Every  $\tau_j$ -pgrw-closed subset of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-locally closed set.

#### **Proof:**

1) A is a  $\tau_i$ -pgrw-open subset of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

 $\Rightarrow A = A \cap X$  where A is  $\tau_i$ -pgrw-open and X is  $\tau_i$ pgrw-closed.

 $\Rightarrow$ A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -pgrw-locally closed.

 $\Rightarrow A = X \cap A$  where X is  $\tau_i$ -pgrw-open and A is  $\tau_j$ -pgrw-closed.  $\Rightarrow$ A is a ( $\tau_i$ ,  $\tau_j$ )-pgrw-locally closed set.

The converses are not true.

**3.7 Example:** In example 3.3 {d} = {a,b,d} $\cap$ {d} is a ( $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ )-pgrw-locally-closed set, but not  $\tau_1$ pgrw-open. The set {a} = {a,b} $\cap$ {a,c,d} is ( $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ )-pgrw-locally-closed set, but not  $\tau_2$ -pgrw-closed.

# 3.8 Corollary:

- 1) Every  $\tau_i$ -open subset of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$  pgrw- locally closed set.
- 2) Every  $\tau_j$ -closed subset of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-locally closed set.

#### **Proof** :

- 1) A is a  $\tau_i$ -open subset of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .
  - $\Rightarrow$  A is  $\tau_i$ -pgrw-open.
  - $\Rightarrow$  A is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  pgrw-locally closed set.

2) A is a  $\tau_j$ -closed subset of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

- $\Rightarrow$  A is  $\tau_j$ -pgrw-closed.
- $\Rightarrow$  A is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  pgrw-locally closed set.

**3.9 Theorem:** Every $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -lc-set of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - pgrw-lc-set.

**Proof:** A is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - locally closed set in X.

 $\Rightarrow A = G \cap F \text{ where } G \text{ is } \tau_i\text{- open and } F \text{ is } \tau_j\text{- closed.}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  A = G $\cap$ F where G is  $\tau_i$ - pgrw-open and F is  $\tau_j$ pgrw- closed.

 $\Rightarrow$  A is a ( $\tau_i, \tau_j$ )-pgrw- locally closed set in X.

Converse is not true.

**3.10 Example:** In example 3.3 {d} is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -pgrw-lc, but not  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -lc.

**3.11 Definition :** A subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - pgrw- lc\* if there exist a

 $\tau_{i^{-}}$  pgrw-open set S and a  $\tau_{j^{-}}$  closed set F in  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)$  such that A=S $\cap$ F.

**3.12 Example:** Consider 3.3.{b,c} =  $\{a,b,c\} \cap \{b,c,d\}$  where  $\{a,b,c\}$  is  $\tau_1$ -pgrw-open and  $\{b,c,d\}$  is  $\tau_2$ -closed.

So {b,c} is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -pgrw-lc\*.

**3.13Definition:** A subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - pgrw- lc\*\* if there exist a  $\tau_i$ - open set S and a  $\tau_j$ -pgrw- closed set F in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $A = S \cap F$ .

**3.14 Example:** In 3.3  $\{a,b\} = \{a,b\} \cap \{a,b,d\}$ where  $\{a,b\}$  is  $\tau_1$ -open and  $\{a,b,d\}$  is  $\tau_2$ -pgrwclosed. So  $\{a,b\}$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -pgrw-lc\*\*.

# 3.15 Notation:

- i.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-LC\*(X) = The collection of all  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-lc\* subsets of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .
- ii.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-LC\*\* (X) = The collection of all

 $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-lc\*\* subsets of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**3.16 Theorem:** Every  $\tau_i$ -pgrw-open subset of X is a

 $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-lc\* set.

**Proof:** A is $\tau_i$ -pgrw-open in a bitopological space X.

 $\Rightarrow A = A \cap X \text{ where } A \text{ is } \tau_i \text{-pgrw-open and } X \text{ is } \tau_j \text{ -closed.}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-lc\*.

**3.17 Corollary:** Every  $\tau_i$  -open subset of X is a ( $\tau_i$ , $\tau_i$ )- pgrw- lc\* set.

**Proof:** A is  $\tau_i$ -open.  $\Rightarrow$  A is  $\tau_i$ - pgrw-open.  $\Rightarrow$  A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ - pgrw-lc\*.

**3.18 Theorem:** Every  $\tau_j$ -pgrw-closed subset of X is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ - pgrw-lc\*\* set.

**Proof:** A is a  $\tau_j$ -pgrw-closed subset of a bitopological space X.

 $\Rightarrow A=X \cap A$  where X is  $\tau_i$ -open and A is  $\tau_j$ -pgrw-closed.

 $\Rightarrow$  A is ( $\tau_i, \tau_j$ )-pgrw-lc\*\*.

**3.19 Corollary:** Every  $\tau_j$ -closed subset of X is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-lc\*\* set.

**Proof:** A is  $\tau_i$ -closed.

 $\Rightarrow$ A is  $\tau_j$ -pgrw-closed. $\Rightarrow$ A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - pgrw-lc\*\*.

**3.20 Theorem:**  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-LC\*(X) $\subseteq$ ( $\tau_i, \tau_j$ )-pgrw-LC(X).

**Proof:**  $A \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-LC\*(X).  $\Rightarrow$  A is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-lc\* set in X.

 $\Rightarrow A=S \cap F \text{ where } S \text{ is } \tau_i\text{-pgrw-open and } F \text{ is } \tau_j\text{-} \text{ closed.}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  A=S $\cap$ F where S is  $\tau_i$ -pgrw-open and F is  $\tau_j$ -pgrw-closed.

 $\Rightarrow$  A is a ( $\tau_i, \tau_j$ )-pgrw-lc set.

 $\Rightarrow A \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-LC(X).

 $\therefore \quad (\tau_i, \tau_j) \text{-pgrw-} LC^*(X) \subseteq (\tau_i, \tau_j) \text{-pgrw-} LC(X).$ The converse is not true.

**3.21 Example:** Inexample 3.3 {a,c,d} is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -pgrw-lc set, but not  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -pgrw-lc\*.

**3.22Theorem:**Every( $\tau_i, \tau_i$ )-pgrw-lc\*\*-subsetofa

bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -pgrw-lc-set.

**Proof:** A is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-lc\*\*-set in X.

 $\Rightarrow$  A=S $\cap$ F where S is  $\tau_i$ -open and F is  $\tau_j$ -pgrwclosed.

 $\Rightarrow$  A=S $\cap$ F where S is  $\tau_i$ -pgrw-open and F is  $\tau_j$ -pgrw-closed.

 $\Rightarrow$  A is a ( $\tau_i$ ,  $\tau_j$ )-pgrw- lc set.

The converse is not true.

**3.23 Example:** In 3.3 the set {a, d} is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -pgrw-lc set ,but not  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -pgrw-lc\*\*.

**3.24 Remark:**  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-lc\*(X) and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-lc\*\*(X) are independent of each other.

**3.25 Example :** Consider example 3.3.{a,c,d} is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -pgrw-lc\*\*, but not  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -pgrw-lc\*.

{a,d} is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -pgrw-lc\*, but not  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -pgrw-lc\*\*.

**3.26 Theorem :** A subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-lc\* iff  $A = G \cap \tau_j$ -cl(A) for some  $\tau_i$ - pgrw-open set G.

**Proof:** A is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-lc\* subset of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

 $\Rightarrow A = G \cap F \text{ where } G \text{ is } \tau_i \text{-pgrw- open and } F \text{ is } \tau_j \text{-} \text{ closed.}$ 

Next  $A \subseteq F$  and F is  $\tau_i$ -closed.  $\Rightarrow \tau_i$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq F$ 

 $\Rightarrow G \cap \tau_j \text{-cl}(A) \subseteq G \cap F \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad G \cap \tau_j \text{-}$ cl(A)  $\subseteq A$ .....(ii)

From (i) and (ii)  $A = G \cap \tau_j$ -cl(A) where G is a  $\tau_i$ -pgrw-open set.

Conversely

 $A = G \cap \tau_j\text{-}cl(A) \text{ for some } \tau_i\text{-}pgrw\text{-}open \text{ set } G.$ 

 $\Rightarrow \quad A = G \cap \tau_j\text{-cl}(A) \text{ where } G \text{ is } \tau_i\text{-pgrw-open}$ and  $\tau_j\text{-cl}(A)$  is  $\tau_j\text{-closed}$ .

 $\Rightarrow$  A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-lc\*.

**3.27 Theorem:** If A is a subset of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that

 $A \cup (X \text{-} \tau_j \text{-} cl(A)) \text{ is } \tau_i \text{-} pgrw \text{ open, then } A \text{ is } (\tau_i \text{,}$  $\tau_j) \text{-} pgrw \text{-} lc^*.$ 

**Proof:** For every subset A of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,

 $A = A \cup \Phi = A \cup ((X \text{-} \tau_j \text{-} cl(A) \cap (\ \tau_j \text{-} cl(A)))$ 

 $= (A \cup (X - \tau_j - cl(A)) \cap (A \cup \tau_j - cl(A)))$ 

 $= (A \cup (X-\tau_j-cl(A)) \cap \tau_j-cl(A), \text{ because } A \subseteq \tau_j-cl(A).$ 

So if  $A \cup (X - \tau_j - cl(A))$  is  $\tau_i$ -pgrw open, then A is the intersection of a  $\tau_i$ -pgrw-open set and a  $\tau_j$ -closed set.

 $\therefore$  A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-lc\*.

**3.28 Corollary:** If A is a subset of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $[\tau_j-cl(A)]$ -A is  $\tau_i$ -pgrw-closed, then A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -pgrw-lc\*.

**Proof:** For any sub-set A of X,

 $\tau_i\text{-}cl(A)\text{-}A\text{=}(\tau_i\text{-}cl(A))\cap A^c\text{=}((X\text{-}\tau_i\text{-}cl(A))\cup A)^c$ 

 $\div \quad (\tau_j\text{-cl}(A)\text{-}A) \text{ is } \tau_i\text{-}pgrw\text{-}closed.$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  AU(X- $\tau_i$ -cl(A)) is  $\tau_i$ -pgrw-open.

 $\Rightarrow$  A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-lc\*.

**3.29 Theorem:** If  $A \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-LC\*(X) and B is  $\tau_i$ -closed, then  $A \cap B \in (\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -pgrw-LC\*(X).

**Proof:**  $A \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-LC\*(X) and B is  $\tau_j$ -closed.

 $\Rightarrow \quad A=G\cap F \text{ where } G \text{ is } \tau_i\text{-pgrw-open and } F \text{ is } \tau_j\text{-} \\ \text{closed and } B \text{ is } \tau_j\text{-closed.}$ 

 $\Rightarrow A \cap B = (G \cap F) \cap B = G \cap (F \cap B) \text{ where } G \text{ is } \tau_i \text{-}$ pgrw-open and  $F \cap B$  is  $\tau_j \text{-closed.}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  A  $\cap$  B  $\in$  ( $\tau_i, \tau_j$ )-pgrw-LC\*(X).

**3.30 Theorem:** If  $A \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-LC\*\* (X) and B is

 $\tau_i$ -open, then  $A \cap B \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-LC\*\*(X).

**Proof:**  $A \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-LC\*\* (X) and B is  $\tau_i$ -open.  $\Rightarrow A=G\cap F$  where G is  $\tau_i$ -open and F is  $\tau_j$ -pgrwclosed and B is  $\tau_i$ -open.

 $\Rightarrow A \cap B = (G \cap F) \cap B = (G \cap B) \cap F \text{ where } G \cap B \text{ is } \tau_i \text{-}$ open and F is  $\tau_j$ -pgrw-closed.

 $\Rightarrow$  A  $\cap$  B  $\in$  ( $\tau_i, \tau_j$ )-pgrw-LC\*\* (X).

# IV.PAIRWISE pgrw-lc-CONTINUOUS MAPS AND PAIRWISE pgrw-lc-IRRESOLUTE MAPS

**4.1Definition:** A map f:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  is called pairwise pgrw-lc-continuous (resp. pairwise pgrw-lc\*-continuous, pairwise pgrw-lc\*\*continuous) if f<sup>-1</sup>(V) $\in$ ( $\tau_i$ ,  $\tau_j$ ) -pgrw-LC(X)(resp. ( $\tau_i,\tau_j$ )-pgrw-LC\*(X), ( $\tau_i,\tau_j$ )-pgrw-LC\*\*(X))  $\forall \sigma_i$ open set V in (Y, $\sigma_1,\sigma_2$ ).

# 4.2

**Example:**  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}, \tau_1 = \{X, \Phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b\},$ 

c},  $\tau_2 = \{X, \Phi, \{a\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$ 

 $, \{c\}.$ 

 $\tau_2$ -closed sets are X, $\Phi$ , {b,c,d}, {a,b}, {b}

 $\tau_2$ -pgrw-closed setsare X, $\Phi$ , {b},{c},{d},{a,b},{b,c},{b,d},{a,b,c},{b,c,d},{a,c, d},{a,b,d}

 $Y = \{a,b,c,d\}, \sigma_1 = \{Y,\Phi,\{b,c\},\{b,c,d\},\{a,b,c\}, \{a,b,c\}, \{a,b,c$ 

$$\sigma_2 = \{Y, \Phi, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\}$$

Define  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  as f(a)=b, f(b)=c, f(c)=d, f(d)=a. Pre images of  $\sigma_1$ -open sets are X,  $\Phi$ ,{a,b},{a,b,d},{a,b,c} which are  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -pgrwlc sets  $((\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -pgrw-lc\* sets and  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -pgrwlc\*\* sets).  $\tau_1$  —pgrw-closed sets: X, $\Phi$ ,{c},{d},{b,c},{c,d},{a,d},{b,d},{b,c,d},{a,c,d},{a,b,d}

 $\tau_2$  -pgrw-open sets: X, $\Phi$ , {a,c,d},{a,b,d},{a,b,c},{c,d},{a,d},{a,c},{d},{a},{b},{c},{c}

 $\tau_1 \text{-closed sets: } X, \emptyset, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{d\}$ 

Pre images of  $\sigma_2$ -open sets are X,  $\Phi$ ,{a,d},{b,c} which are  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -pgrw-lc sets  $((\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -pgrw-lc\* sets and

 $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -pgrw-lc\*\* sets).

 $\therefore f \text{ is } (\tau_2, \tau_1) \text{ -pgrw-lc continuous}((\tau_2, \tau_1) \text{ -pgrw-lc}^* \text{ continuous}, (\tau_2, \tau_1) \text{ -pgrw-lc}^{**} \text{ continuous}.)...... (ii)$ 

So from (i) and (ii) it follows that f is pairwise pgrw-lc-continuous ( pairwise pgrw-lc\*-continuous , pairwise pgrw-lc\*\*-continuous).

**4.3Definition:** A map f:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  is called pairwise pgrw-lc-irresolute (resp. pairwise pgrw-lc\*- irresolute, pairwise pgrw-lc\*\*- irresolute) if

$$\begin{split} f^{-1}(V) &\in (\tau_i \ , \ \tau_j) \text{-} \ pgrw\text{-}LC(X)(\text{resp.} \ (\tau_i \ , \ \tau_j)\text{-}pgrw\text{-}LC^*(X), \ (\tau_i \ , \ \tau_j)\text{-} \ pgrw\text{-}LC^{**}(X)) \ \forall \ V &\in (\sigma_i, \sigma_j)\text{-}\\ pgrw\text{-}LC(Y)(\text{resp.} \ (\sigma_i, \sigma_j))\text{-}pgrw\text{-}LC^*(Y), \ (\sigma_i, \sigma_j)\text{-}\\ pgrw\text{-}LC^{**}(Y)). \end{split}$$

**4.4 Theorem:** If a map  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is pairwise-lc-continuous, then it is pairwise-pgrw-lc-continuous.

**Proof:** A mapf:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  is pairwiselc-continuous.

 $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(V) \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)-LC (X) \quad \forall \sigma_i\text{- open set } V \text{ in}$  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2).$ 

 $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(V) \in (\tau_i, \tau_j) \text{-pgrw-LC}(X) \ \forall \sigma_i \text{-open set } V \text{ in}$  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2).$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  f:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  is pairwise pgrw-lccontinuous. The converse is not true.

4.5 Example:  $X = \{a,b,c\}, \tau_1 = \{X,\Phi,\{a\},\{a,c\}\}, \tau_2 = \{X,\Phi,\{a\},\{b,c\}\}$  $Y = \{a,b,c\}, \sigma_1 = \{Y,\Phi,\{a\},\{b\},\{a,b\}\}, \tau_1 = \{x,\Phi,\{a\},\{b\},\{a,b\}\}, \tau_2 = \{x,\Phi,\{a\},\{b\},\{a,b\},\{a,b\}\}, \tau_2 = \{x,\Phi,\{a\},\{b\},\{a,b\},\{a,b\},\{b\},\{a,b\}\}, \tau_2 = \{x,\Phi,\{a\},\{b\},\{a,b\},\{a$ 

 $\sigma_2 = \{Y, \Phi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ 

 $\sigma_1$ -pgrw-open sets are Y, $\Phi$ , {a},{b},{a,b},  $\sigma_2$ pgrw-closed sets are Y, $\Phi$ ,{b},{c},{b,c}. ( $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ )pgrw-lc sets: All subsets of X. Define a map f: X $\rightarrow$ Y by f(a)=c , f(b)=b , f(c)= a. f is pairwise pgrw-lc-continuous.

 $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -lc sets : X ,  $\Phi$ ,{a},{c},{b,c}, {a,c} f is not pairwise-lc-continuous. For  $f^1(\{b\})=\{b\}$  and is not

 $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -lc set.

#### 4.6 Theorem:

(i) If f:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  is pairwise pgrw-lc\*-continuous, then it is pairwise pgrw-lc-continuous.

(ii) If f:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  is pairwise pgrw-lc\*\*-continuous, then it is pairwise pgrw-lc-continuous.

### Proof:

(i) f:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  is pairwise pgrw-lc\*continuous.

 $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(V) \in (\tau_i, \tau_j) \text{-pgrw-LC}^*(X) \ \forall \sigma_i \text{-open}$ set V in  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ .

 $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(V) \in (\tau_i, \tau_j) \text{-pgrw-LC}(X) \quad \forall \sigma_i \text{-open}$ set V in  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ .

 $\Rightarrow$  f is pairwise pgrw-lc-continuous.

(ii) Proof is similar to (i).

The converse statements are not true.

**4.7Example:** Consider the bitopological spaces in example in 4.2.

The function f: X  $\rightarrow$  Y defined by f(a)=b , f(b)=a , f(c)=c , f(d)=d is pairwise pgrw-lc-continuous. But f is not pairwise pgrw-lc\*-continuous. For ({b,c,d} is  $\sigma_1$ -open in Y, but f<sup>1</sup>({b,c,d})={a,c,d} is not ( $\tau_1, \tau_2$ )-pgrw-lc\*. **4.8 Example:** Consider the spaces in example in 4.2 in which the function f:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  defined by f(a)=b, f(b)=a, f(c)=d, f(d)=c is a pairwise pgrw-lc-continuous. But it is not pairwise pgrw-lc\*\*-continuous. For, {b,c} is  $\sigma_1$ -open in Y, but f<sup>-1</sup>({b,c})={a,d} is not ( $\tau_1, \tau_2$ )-pgrw-lc\*\*.

**4.9 Theorem:** If a map f:  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is pairwise-pgrw-lc-irresolute, then it is pairwise-pgrw-lc-continuous.

**Proof:** V is  $\sigma_i$ -open in  $(Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  and f:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  is pairwise-pgrw-lc-irresolute.  $\Rightarrow$  V is  $(\sigma_i,\sigma_j)$ -pgrw-lc and f:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  is pairwise-pgrw-lc-irresolute.

 $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(V) \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-} pgrw\text{-}LC(X) \ \forall \ V \in \sigma_i$ 

 $\Rightarrow f: (X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2) \text{ is pairwise-pgrw-lc-continuous.}$ 

Converse is not true.

4.10 Example:  $X = \{a,b,c\}, , \tau_1 = \{X,\Phi,\{a\},\{b,c\}\}, \tau_2 = \{X,\Phi,\{a\},\{a,c\}\}$ 

 $\tau_1$ -pgrw-open sets: X, $\Phi$ ,{a},{b,c},

 $\tau_2$ -pgrw-closed sets: X, $\Phi$ , {b}, {b,c}

$$Y = \{a,b,c\},\sigma_1 = \{Y,\Phi,\{a\},\{a,c\}\},\sigma_2 = Y,\Phi,\{a\},\{b\},\{a,b\}\}$$

\_,\_,(..,,(..,,))

 $\sigma_1$ - pgrw-open sets: Y, $\Phi$ ,{a},{a,c},

 $\sigma_2\text{-pgrw-closed sets: } Y, \Phi, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{c\}$ 

A function f :X $\rightarrow$ Y defined by f(a)=b, f(b)= a, f(c)=c is ( $\tau_1, \tau_2$ ) -pgrw-lc-continuous .

 $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -pgrw-lc sets of Y are Y,  $\Phi$ ,  $\{a\}$ ,  $\{c\}$ ,  $\{a,c\}$ ,  $\{b,c\}$ . f is not  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -pgrw-lc-irresolute.

For {b,c} is  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -pgrw-lc set in Y, but f<sup>-1</sup>({b,c})={a,c} is not  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -pgrw-lc.

**4.11Theorem:** If f:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  is pairwise-pgrw-lc\*-irresolute, then it is pairwise-pgrw-lc\*-continuous.

**Proof**: V is  $\sigma_i$  -open in  $(Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  and f:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  is pairwise-pgrw-lc\*irresolute.  $\Rightarrow V \text{ is } (\sigma_i, \sigma_j) \text{-pgrw-lc}^* \text{ and } f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \text{ is pairwise-pgrw-lc}^* \text{-irresolute }.$ 

 $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(V) \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-} pgrw\text{-}LC^*(X) \forall V \in \sigma_i$ 

 $\Rightarrow f: (X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2) \text{ is pairwise-pgrw-lc*-} continuous.$ 

The converse is not true. For example, consider the example in 4.10.

A function f:X $\rightarrow$ Y defined by f(a)=b, f(b)= a, f(c)=c is ( $\tau_1, \tau_2$ )-pgrw-lc\*-continuous. ( $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$ )pgrw-lc\* sets of Y are Y,  $\Phi$ ,{a}, {c}, {b,c},{a,c}. f is not ( $\tau_1, \tau_2$ )-pgrw-lc\*-irresolute. For {b,c} is ( $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$ )-pgrw-lc\* set in Y,

 $f^{-1}(\{b,c\}) = \{a,c\} \text{ is not } (\tau_1, \tau_2) \text{-pgrw-lc}^*.$ 

**4.12Theorem:** If f:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  is pairwise-pgrw-lc\*\*-irresolute, then it is pairwise-pgrw-lc\*\*-continuous.

**Proof:** Proof is similar to 4.11.

4.13 Corollary:

- (i) If a map f: (X,τ<sub>1</sub>,τ<sub>2</sub>)→ (Y,σ<sub>1</sub>,σ<sub>2</sub>) is pairwise-pgrw-lc\*-irresolute, then it is pairwise pgrw-lc-continuous.
- (ii) If a map f: (X,τ<sub>1</sub>,τ<sub>2</sub>)→ (Y,σ<sub>1</sub>,σ<sub>2</sub>) is pairwise-pgrw-lc\*\*-irresolute, then it is pairwise-pgrw-lc-continuous.

#### **Proof:**

(i)f:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  is pairwise-pgrw-lc\*-irresolute.

 $\Rightarrow$  f is pairwise-pgrw-lc\*-continuous.

⇒pairwise pgrw-lc-continuous.

(ii) Proof is similar to (i).

# V.COMPOSITION OF MAPS

**5.1 Theorem:** If f:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  is a pairwise pgrw-lc-irresolute map and g:  $(Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2) \rightarrow (Z,\eta_1,\eta_2)$  is a pairwise pgrw-lc-continuous map, then gof is pairwise pgrw-lc-continuous.

**Proof:** f:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  is a pairwise pgrw-lc-irresolute map and g:  $(Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2) \rightarrow (Z,\eta_1,\eta_2)$  is a pairwise pgrw-lc-continuous map.  $\Rightarrow \forall \ V \in \eta_i \quad g^{-1}(V) \in (\sigma_i, \sigma_j) \text{-pgrw-LC}(Y) \text{ and } f^1(g^{-1}(V))) \in (\tau_i, \tau_j) \text{-pgrw-LC}(X).$ 

 $\Rightarrow \forall V \in \eta_i \ (gof)^{-1}(V) \in (\tau_i, \tau_j) \text{-pgrw-LC}(X).$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  gof is pairwise pgrw- lc-continuous.

**5.2 Theorem:** Iff:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  is a pairwise pgrw-lc\*-irresolute map and g: $(Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2) \rightarrow (Z,\eta_1,\eta_2)$  is a pairwise pgrw-lc\*-continuous map, then gof is pairwise pgrw-lc\*-continuous.

 $\textbf{Proof:} f: X {\rightarrow} Y is pairwise pgrw-lc*-irresolute and$ 

g:Y→Zispairwisepgrw-lc\*-continuous.

 $\Rightarrow \forall V \in \eta \ g^{-1}(V) \text{ is } (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-pgrw-lc*} \text{ and } f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) \text{ is } (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-pgrw-lc*}.$ 

 $\Rightarrow \forall V \in \eta \quad (gof)^{-1}(V) \text{ is } (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-pgrw-lc}^*.$ 

 $\Rightarrow$ gof: (X, $\tau_1,\tau_2$ ) $\rightarrow$  (Z, $\eta_1,\eta_2$ ) is pairwise pgrw-lc\*continuous.

**5.3 Theorem: If** f:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  is a pairwise pgrw-lc<sup>\*\*</sup>-irresolute map and g :  $(Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2) \rightarrow (Z,\eta_1,\eta_2)$  is a pairwise pgrw-lc<sup>\*\*</sup>- continuous map, then gof is pairwise pgrw-lc<sup>\*\*</sup> continuous.

**Proof:**  $f:X \rightarrow Y$  is pairwise pgrw-lc\*\*-irresolute and g:  $Y \rightarrow Z$  is pairwise pgrw-lc\*\*-continuous.

 $\Rightarrow \forall V \in \eta \quad g^{-1}(V) \text{ is } (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-pgrw-lc}^{**} \text{ and } f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) \text{ is } (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-pgrw-lc}^{**}.$ 

 $\Rightarrow \forall V \in \eta \quad (gof)^{-1}(V) \text{ is } (\tau_i, \tau_j) \text{-pgrw-lc}^{**}.$ 

 $\Rightarrow$ gof:  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  is pairwise pgrw-lc\*\*-continuous.

**5.4 Theorem:** If f:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  and g:  $(Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2) \rightarrow (Z,\eta_1,\eta_2)$  are pairwise pgrw-lcirresolute, then gof is pairwise pgrw-lc-irresolute.

**Proof:** f:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  and g:  $(Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2) \rightarrow (Z,\eta_1,\eta_2)$  are pairwise pgrw-lc-irresolute.

 $\Rightarrow \forall V \in (\eta_i, \eta_j) \text{-pgrw-LC}(Z) g^{-1}(V) \in (\sigma_i, \sigma_j) \text{-pgrw-LC}(Y) \text{ and } f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) \in (\tau_i, \tau_j) \text{-pgrw-LC}(X).$ 

 $\Rightarrow \forall \ V \in (\eta_i, \eta_j) \text{-pgrw-LC}(Z) \ (\text{gof})^{-1}(V) \in (\tau_i, \tau_j) \text{-pgrw-LC}(X).$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  gof is pairwise pgrw-lc-irresolute.

**5.5** Theorem: f:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  and g:  $(Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2) \rightarrow (Z,\eta_1,\eta_2)$  are pairwise-pgrw-lc\*irresolutes  $\Rightarrow$  gof is pairwise pgrw-lc\*-irresolute. **Proof:** f:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  and g: $(Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$   $\rightarrow (Z,\eta_1,\eta_2)$  are pairwise-pgrw-lc\*-irresolutes.  $\Rightarrow \forall V \in (\eta_i, \eta_j)$ -pgrw-LC\*(Z) g<sup>-1</sup> $(V) \in (\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ pgrw-LC\*(Y) and f<sup>-1</sup> $(g^{-1}(V)) \rightarrow (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-LC\*(X).

 $\Rightarrow \forall \ V \in (\eta_i, \eta_j) \text{-pgrw-LC}^*(Z) \ (\text{gof})^{-1}(V) \in (\tau_i, \tau_j) \text{-pgrw-LC}^*(X).$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  gof is pairwise pgrw-lc\*-irresolute.

**5.6 Theorem:** f:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  and g:  $(Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2) \rightarrow (Z,\eta_1,\eta_2)$  are pairwise-lc\*\*irresolute. $\Rightarrow$  gof is pairwise pgrw-lc\*\*-irresolute. **proof:** f:  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  and g:  $(Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2) \rightarrow$  $(Z,\eta_1,\eta_2)$  are pairwise-lc\*\*-irresolute.  $\Rightarrow \forall V \in (\eta_i, \eta_i)$ -pgrw-LC\*\*(Z) g<sup>-1</sup>(V) $\in (\sigma_i, \sigma_i)$ -

pgrw-LC\*\*(Y) and f  $^{-1}(g^{-1}(V))) \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pgrw-LC\*\*(X).

 $\Rightarrow \forall V \in (\eta_i, \eta_j) \text{-pgrw-LC}^{**}(Z) (\text{gof})^{-1}(V) \in (\tau_i, \tau_j) \text{-pgrw-LC}^{**}(X).$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  gof is pairwise pgrw-lc\*\*-irresolute.

#### REFERENCES

- [1]. N. Bourkbaki, *General Topology*, Part I Addison-Wesley, Reading Mass, 1996.
- [2]. J. C. Kelly, *Bitopological Spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 13 (1962), 71-89.
- [3]. S. S. Benchalli and et.al. On ω-Locally Closed Sets in Bitopological Spaces. Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, Vol. 4, 2010, no. 39, 1937 - 1944
- [4]. R.S.Wali, *Thesis: Some topics in general and fuzzy topological spaces*, Karnataka University.
- [5]. R. S. Wali and Vijayakumari T. Chilakwad, On Pre Generalized Regular Weakly [pgrw]-Closed sets in a Topological Space, Int. J. of Math Archive, 6(1), Jan.-2015.