# On Topological $\psi^*\alpha$ -Quotient Mappings

N. Balamani<sup>#1</sup>, A. Parvathi<sup>\*2</sup>

# 1 Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women University, Coimbatore-641043, Tamil Nadu, India

\*2 Dean, Faculty of Science and Professor, Department of Mathematics, Avinashilingam Institute for Home

Science and Higher Education for Women University, Coimbatore-641043 Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract: Only a few class of generalized closed sets form a topology. The class of  $\psi^* \alpha$ -closed set is one among them. In this paper we introduce  $\psi^* \alpha$  quotient maps using  $\psi^* \alpha$  -closed sets and study their properties. Also we obtain the relations between weak and strong form of  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient maps. We also study the relationship between  $\psi^* \alpha$  quotient maps and already existing quotient maps.

*Keywords:*  $\psi$ g-closed sets,  $\psi$ g-open sets,  $\psi^*\alpha$ -closed sets,  $\psi^*\alpha$ -quotient map

## 1.Introduction

Njastad [10] introduced the concept of an  $\alpha$  -open sets. Lellis Thivagar [6] introduced the concept of  $\alpha$  -quotient mappings and  $\alpha^*$  -quotient mappings in topological spaces. Balamani and Parvathi [1] introduced the notion of  $\psi^* \alpha$ -closed sets using  $\psi g$ -open sets. In this paper we introduce and study  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient mappings.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $(Y, \sigma)$  and  $(Z, \eta)$  represent non-empty topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed, unless otherwise mentioned. The interior and closure of a subset A of a space  $(X, \tau)$  are denoted by int(A) and cl(A) respectively.

**Definition 2.1** A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called

1) generalized closed set ( briefly g-closed)[7] if  $cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is open in (X,  $\tau$ ).

2) semi-generalized closed set (briefly sgclosed)[5] if scl(A)  $\subseteq$  U whenever A  $\subseteq$  U and U is semi- open in (X,  $\tau$ ).

3)  $\psi$ -closed set [12] if scl(A)  $\subseteq$  U whenever A  $\subseteq$  U and U is sg-open in (X,  $\tau$ ).

4)  $\psi$ g-closed set [11] if  $\psi$ cl(A)  $\subseteq$  U whenever A  $\subseteq$  U and U is open in (X,  $\tau$ ).

5)  $\psi^* \alpha$  -closed set [1] if  $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\psi g$  -open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

6) The  $\psi^* \alpha$  -closure of a set A is defined as  $\psi^* \alpha cl(A) = \bigcap \{F \subseteq X : A \subseteq F \text{ and } F \text{ is } \psi^* \alpha \text{ - } closed in (X, \tau) \} [1]$ 

**Definition 2.2** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be a  $_{\psi^*\alpha}T_c$ -space if every  $\psi^*\alpha$ -closed subset of  $(X, \tau)$  is closed in  $(X, \tau).[2]$ 

**Definition 2.3** A map  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is called

(i)Continuous [7] if f  $^{-1}(V)$  is closed in (X,  $\tau$ ) for each closed set V of (Y,  $\sigma$ ).

(ii)  $\alpha$  - continuous [8] if f<sup>-1</sup>(V) is  $\alpha$  -closed in (X,  $\tau$ ) for every closed set V of (Y,  $\sigma$ ).

(iii)  $\psi^* \alpha$  -continuous [3] if f<sup>-1</sup>(V) is  $\psi^* \alpha$  - closed in (X,  $\tau$ ) for each closed set V of (Y,  $\sigma$ ).

(iv) quasi  $\psi^* \alpha$  -continuous [4] if f<sup>-1</sup>(V) is closed in (X,  $\tau$ ) for each  $\psi^* \alpha$  - closed set V of (Y,  $\sigma$ ).

**Definition 2.4** A map  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is called  $\psi^* \alpha$  -irresolute [4] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -closed in  $(X, \tau)$  for every  $\psi^* \alpha$  -closed set V of  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

**Definition 2.5**A surjective map  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called

(i) a quotient map [9], provided a subset U of  $(Y, \sigma)$  is open in  $(Y, \sigma)$  if and only if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

(ii) an  $\alpha$ - quotient map [6] if f is  $\alpha$  -continuous and f<sup>-1</sup>(V) is open in (X,  $\tau$ ) implies V is an  $\alpha$ -open set in (Y,  $\sigma$ ).

(iii) an  $\alpha^*$ - quotient map [6] if f is  $\alpha$ -irresolute and f<sup>-1</sup>(V) is an  $\alpha$ -open set in (X,  $\tau$ ) implies V is an open set in (Y,  $\sigma$ ).

3  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient maps, strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  - quotient maps and  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  - quotient maps

**Definition 3.1** A surjective map  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is called a  $\psi^* \alpha$  - **quotient map** if f is  $\psi^* \alpha$  continuous and  $f^1(V)$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$  implies V is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ 

**Example 3.2** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, X\}, Y = \{l, m, n\}, \sigma = \{\phi, \{l, m\}, Y\}, \psi^* \alpha O(X) = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, X\} \text{ and } \psi^* \alpha O(Y) = \{\phi, \{l\}, \{m\}, \{l, m\}, Y\}.$  Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a map defined by f(a) = l, f(b) = m, f(c) = n. Then f is a  $\psi^* \alpha$ -quotient map.

**Definition 3.3** A map  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is called  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open (resp. strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open) if f(U) is  $\psi^* \alpha$ 

-open in  $(Y, \sigma)$  for every open set (resp.  $\psi^* \alpha$  - open set) U in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Proposition 3.4** If a map  $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is surjective,  $\psi^* \alpha$  -continuous and  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open, then f is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient map.

**Proof:** It is enough to prove that  $f^{1}(V)$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$  implies V is a  $\psi^{*}\alpha$  -open set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Let  $f^{1}(V)$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $f(f^{1}(V))$  is  $\psi^{*}\alpha$  -open, since f is  $\psi^{*}\alpha$  -open. As f is surjective  $f(f^{1}(V)) = V$  and so V is a  $\psi^{*}\alpha$  -open set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Hence f is a  $\psi^{*}\alpha$  -quotient map.

**Definition 3.5** Let  $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  be a surjective map. Then f is called **strongly**  $\psi^* \alpha$  - **quotient map** provided a set U of  $(Y, \sigma)$  is open in Y if and only if  $f^1(U)$  is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Example 3.6** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}, \tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}, Y = \{l, m, n\}, \sigma = \{\phi, \{l\}, \{m\}, \{l, m\}, Y\}, \psi^* \alpha O(X) = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\} and \psi^* \alpha O(Y) = \{\phi, \{l\}, \{m\}, \{l, m\}, Y\}.$  Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a map defined by f(a) = l = f(b), f(c) = m, f(d) = n. Then f is a strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient map.

**Proposition 3.7** Every strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient map is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open map but not conversely.

**Proof:**  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  quotient map. Let V be an open set in  $(X, \tau)$ .Since every open set is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open and hence V is  $\psi^* \alpha$  open in  $(X, \tau)$ . That is  $f^1(f(V))$  is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since f is strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient, f(V) is open and hence f(V) is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Therefore f is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open map.

**Example 3.8** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}, \tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, X\}, Y = \{l, m, n\}, \sigma = \{\phi, \{l\}, \{m\}, \{l, m\}, \{l, n\}, Y\}, \psi^* \alpha O(X) = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\} and \psi^* \alpha O(Y) = \{\phi, \{l\}, \{m\}, \{l, m\}, \{l, n\}, Y\}.$  Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a map defined by  $f(a) = l = f(b), \quad f(c) = n, f(d) = m$ . Then f is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open but not strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  - quotient, since  $f^1(\{m\}) = \{d\}$  is not  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in  $(X, \tau)$ 

**Proposition 3.9** Every strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient map is a strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open map but not conversely.

**Proof:**  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  quotient map. Let V be a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in  $(X, \tau)$ . That is  $f^1(f(V))$  is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since f is strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient, f(V) is open and hence f(V)is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Therefore f is a strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$ -open map. *Example 3.10* Let X = {a, b, c},  $\tau = {\phi, {a}, {b}, {b}, {a, b}, X}$ , Y = {l, m, n},  $\sigma = {\phi, {l, m}, Y}$ ,  $\psi^* \alpha O(X) = {\phi, {a}, {b}, {a, b}, X}$  and  $\psi^* \alpha O(Y) = {\phi, {a}, {b}, {a, b}, X}$  and  $\psi^* \alpha O(Y) = {\phi, {1}, {m}, {1, m}, Y}$ . Let f: (X,  $\tau$ )  $\rightarrow$  (Y,  $\sigma$ ) be a map defined by f(a) = l, f(b) = m, f(c) = n. Then f is strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open but not strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  - quotient, since f<sup>1</sup>({1}) = {a} is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in (X,  $\tau$ ) but the set {1} is not open in (Y,  $\sigma$ ).

**Definition 3.11** Let  $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  be a surjective map. Then f is called a  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  - quotient map if f is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -irresolute and  $f^1(U)$  is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in  $(X, \tau)$  implies U is open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

**Example 3.12** Let X = {a, b, c, d},  $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}, Y = \{l, m, n\}, \sigma = \{\phi, \{l\}, \{l, m\}, \{l, n\}, Y\}, \psi^* \alpha O(X) = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\} and <math>\psi^* \alpha O(Y) = \{\phi, \{l\}, \{l, m\}, \{l, n\}, Y\}$ . Let f :  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a map defined by f(a) = l, f(b) = n, f(c) = f(d) = m. Then f is a strongly  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient map.

**Proposition 3.13** Every  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient map is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  - irresolute map but not conversely.

**Proof:** Follows from the definition.

**Example 3.14** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}, \tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}, Y = \{l, m, n\}, \sigma = \{\phi, \{l\}, \{l, m\}, Y\}, \psi^* \alpha O(X) = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$  and  $\psi^* \alpha O(Y) = \{\phi, \{l\}, \{l, m\}, \{l, n\}, Y\}$ . Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a map defined by f(a) = l, f(b) = n, f(c) = f(d) = m. Then f is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  - irresolute map but not strongly  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient map, since  $f^1(\{l, n\}) = \{a, b\}$  is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in  $(X, \tau)$  but the set  $\{l, n\}$  is not open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

**Proposition 3.15** Every  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient map is a strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open map but not conversely.

**Proof:**  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  - quotient map. Let V be a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in  $(X, \tau)$ . That is f <sup>1</sup>(f(V)) is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since f is  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  quotient, f(V) is open and hence f(V) is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Therefore f is a strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open map.

**Example 3.16** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}, Y = \{1, m, n\}, \sigma = \{\phi, \{1, m\}, Y\}, \psi^* \alpha O(X) = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\} \text{ and } \psi^* \alpha O(Y) = \{\phi, \{1\}, \{m\}, \{1, m\}, Y\}. Let f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma) be a map defined by f(a) = 1, f(b) = m, f(c) = n. Then f is strongly <math>\psi^* \alpha$  -open but not  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient, since  $f^1(\{1\}) = \{a\}$  is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in  $(X, \tau)$  but the set  $\{1\}$  is not open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

#### Proposition 3.17

(i) Every quotient map is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  - quotient map.

(ii) Every  $\alpha$  - quotient map is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  - quotient map.

**Proof:** (i) Since every continuous map is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -continuous map and every open set is  $\psi^* \alpha$  - open, the proof follows from the definition.

(ii)Since every  $\alpha$  -continuous map is a  $\psi^*\alpha$  - continuous

map and every  $\alpha$  -open set is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open, the proof follows from the definition.

The converse of the statements in the above proposition need not be true as seen from the following examples.

**Example 3.18** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ ,  $Y = \{l, m, n\}$ ,  $\sigma = \{\phi, \{l\}, Y\}$ ,  $\psi^* \alpha O(X) = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$  and  $\psi^* \alpha O(Y) = \{\phi, \{l\}, \{l, m\}, \{l, n\}, Y\}$ . Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a map defined by f(a) = l, f(b) = n, f(c) = f(d) = m. Then the map f is  $\psi^* \alpha$  - quotien but not quotient, since for the  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set  $\{l, m\}$  in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . (f  ${}^{l}(\{l, m\}) = \{a, c, d\}$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$  but the set  $\{l, m\}$  is not open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

**Example 3.19** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}, \tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, X\}, Y = \{l, m, n\}, \sigma = \{\phi, \{l, m\}, Y\}, \psi^* \alpha O(X) = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}, \qquad \psi^* \alpha O(Y) = \{\phi, \{l\}, \{m\}, \{l, m\}, Y\} \text{ and } \alpha O(Y) = \{\phi, \{l, m\}, Y\} \text{ Let } f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma) \text{ be a map defined by } f(a) = l, f(b) = m, f(c) = f(d) = n. Then the map f is <math>\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient but not  $\alpha$  - quotient, since  $(f^1(\{l\}) = \{a\} \text{ is open in } (X, \tau) \text{ but the set } \{l\} \text{ is not } \alpha$  - open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

**Proposition 3.20** Every strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient map is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  - quotient map but not conversely.

**Proof:** Let V be an open set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Since f is strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient,  $f^1(V)$  is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in  $(X, \tau)$ . Hence f is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -continuous, Let  $f^1(V)$  be a open set in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $f^1(V)$  is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since f is strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient, V is open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Hence f is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient.

**Example 3.21** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}, \tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}, Y = \{l, m, n\}, \sigma = \{\phi, \{l\}, Y\}, \psi^* \alpha O(X) = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$  and  $\psi^* \alpha O(Y) = \{\phi, \{l\}, \{l, m\}, \{l, n\}, Y\}$ . Let  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a map defined by f(a) = l, f(b) = n, f(c) = f(d) = m. Then the map f is  $\psi^* \alpha$  - quotient but not strongly

 $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient, since for the  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set {1, m} in (Y,  $\sigma$ ).  $f^1(\{1, m\}) = \{a, c, d\}$  is open in (X,  $\tau$ ) but the set {1, m} is not open in (Y,  $\sigma$ ).

**Proposition 3.22** Every  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient map is strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient map but not conversely.

**Proof:** Let V be an open set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Then it is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Since f is  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient, f  $^1(V)$  is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in  $(X, \tau)$ . If  $f^1(V)$  is  $\psi^* \alpha$  - open set in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then V is open in  $(Y, \sigma)$  as f is  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient. Hence f is a strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  - quotient map.

**Example 3.23** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}, \tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, X\}, Y = \{l, m, n\}, \sigma = \{\phi, \{l\}, Y\}, \psi^* \alpha O(X) = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$  and  $\psi^* \alpha O(Y) = \{\phi, \{l\}, \{l, m\}, \{l, n\}, Y\}$ . Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a map defined by f(a) = l, f(b) = n = f(c), f(d) = m. Then the map f is strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  - quotient but not  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient, since for the  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set  $\{l, n\}$  in  $(Y, \sigma) f^1(\{l, n\}) = \{a, b, c\}$  is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in  $(X, \tau)$  but the set  $\{l, n\}$  is not open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

**Proposition 3.24** Every  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient map is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient map but not conversely.

**Proof:** Let f be a  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient map. Then f is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -irresolute, by **theorem 3.4[4]** f is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -continuous. Let  $f^1(V)$  be an open set in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $f^1(V)$  is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in  $(X, \tau)$ . As f is  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient, V is a open set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . It implies that V is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Hence f is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient map.

**Example 3.25** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}, \tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, X\}, Y = \{l, m, n\}, \sigma = \{\phi, \{l\}, Y\}, \psi^* \alpha O(X) = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$  and  $\psi^* \alpha O(Y) = \{\phi, \{l\}, \{l, m\}, \{l, n\}, Y\}$ . Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a map defined by f(a) = l, f(b) = n = f(c), f(d) = m. Then the map f is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set  $\{l, m\}$  in  $(Y, \sigma)$  but the set  $\{l, m\}$  is not open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

**Remark 3.26** From the above observations we have the following diagram, where  $A \rightarrow B$  represents A implies B but not conversely



**Proposition 4.1** If a map  $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is open, surjective and  $\psi^* \alpha$  -irresolute and  $g : (Y, \sigma) \to (Z, \eta)$  is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient map. Then  $g \circ f : (X, \tau) \to (Z, \eta)$  is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient map.

**Proof:** Let V be any open set in  $(Z, \eta)$ . Then  $g^{-1}(V)$  is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ , since g is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  - quotient map. Since f is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -irresolute,  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V))$  is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in  $(X, \tau)$  which implies that  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(V)$  is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in  $(X, \tau)$ . This shows that  $g \circ f$  is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -continuous.

Now assume that  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(V)$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$  for a subset  $V \subseteq Z$ . Since f is open,  $f(f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)))$  is open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . This implies that  $g^{-1}(V)$  is open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ , as f is surjective. Since g is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient map, V is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in  $(Z, \eta)$ . Hence  $g \circ f$  is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient map.

**Proposition 4.2** If  $h: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  quotient map and  $g: (X, \tau) \to (Z, \eta)$  is a continuous map where Z is a space that is constant on each set  $h^{-1}(\{y\})$  for each  $y \in Y$ , then g induces  $\psi^* \alpha$  -continuous map  $f: (Y, \sigma) \to (Z, \eta)$  such that f  $\circ h = g$ .

**Proof:** Since g is constant on  $h^{-1}(\{y\})$  for each  $y \in Y$ , the set  $g(h^{-1}(\{y\}))$  is an one point set in  $(Z, \eta)$ . If we let f(y) to denote this point, then it is clear that f is well defined and for each  $x \in X$ , f(h(x)) = g(x). We prove that f is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -continuous. For if we let V be any open set in  $(Z, \eta)$ , then  $g^{-1}(V)$  is an open set as g is continuous. But  $g^{-1}(V) = h^{-1}(f^{-1}(V))$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since h is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  - quotient map,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Hence f is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -continuous.

**Proposition 4.3** Let  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  be strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open, surjective and  $\psi^* \alpha$  -irresolute map and g :  $(Y, \sigma) \to (Z, \eta)$  be a strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient map. Then  $g \circ f: (X, \tau) \to (Z, \eta)$  is a strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient map.

**Proof:** Let V be any open set in  $(Z, \eta)$ . Then  $g^{-1}(V)$  is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ , since g is strongly

 $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient. Since f is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -irresolute,  $f^1(g^{-1}(V))$  is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in  $(X, \tau)$  which implies that  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(V)$  is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

Now assume that  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(V)$  is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in  $(X, \tau)$  for a subset  $V \subseteq Z$ . Then  $f^1(g^{-1}(V))$  is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  - open set in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since f is strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open,  $f(f^1(g^{-1}(V)))$  is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . This implies that  $g^{-1}(V)$  is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ , as f is surjective. This gives that V is an open set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ , since g is a strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient map. Hence  $g \circ f$  is a strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient quotient map.

**Proposition 4.4** Let  $p : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  be a  $\psi^* \alpha$ quotient map where  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  are  $_{\psi^* \alpha} T_c$  spaces. A map  $g : (Y, \sigma) \to (Z, \eta)$  is quasi  $\psi^* \alpha$  continuous if and only if the composite map  $g \circ p$ :  $(X, \tau) \to (Z, \eta)$  is a quasi  $\psi^* \alpha$  -continuous map.

**Proof:** Let g be quasi  $\psi^* \alpha$  -continuous and U be any  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in  $(Z, \eta)$ . Then  $g^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Since p is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient,  $p^{-1}(g^{-1}(U)) = (g \circ p)^{-1}(U)$  is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\psi^* \alpha T_c$  -space,  $p^{-1}(g^{-1}(U))$  is in open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Thus  $(g \circ p)$  is quasi  $\psi^* \alpha$  -continuous

Conversely, assume that  $(g \circ p)$  is quasi  $\psi^* \alpha$  continuous. Let U be any  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in  $(Z, \eta)$ .  $p^{-1}(g^{-1}(U))$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since p is  $\psi^* \alpha$  quotient,  $g^{-1}(U)$  is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .Since  $(Y, \sigma)$ . is a  $_{\psi^* \alpha} T_c$  -space,  $g^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .Hence g is quasi  $\psi^* \alpha$  -continuous.

**Proposition 4.5** Let  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  be strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open, surjective and  $\psi^* \alpha$  -irresolute map and g :  $(Y, \sigma) \to (Z, \eta)$  be a  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient map. Then  $g \circ f: (X, \tau) \to (Z, \eta)$  is a  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient map.

**Proof:** Since f and g are  $\psi^* \alpha$  -irresolute,  $g \circ f$  is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -irresolute by **theorem 4.16[4]** Suppose that  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(V)$  is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in  $(X, \tau)$  for a subset  $V \subseteq Z$ , that is  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V))$  is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in $(X, \tau)$ . Since f is strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open and surjective,  $f(f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) = g^{-1}(V)$  is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Since g is  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  - quotient implies V is open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Hence  $g \circ f$  is a  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient map.

**Proposition 4.6** Let  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  be a strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  - quotient and  $\psi^* \alpha$  -irresolute map and  $g: (Y, \sigma) \to (Z, \eta)$  be a  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient map. Then  $g \circ f: (X, \tau) \to (Z, \eta)$  is a  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient map.

**Proof:** Let V be a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in (Z,  $\eta$ ). Then g<sup>-1</sup>(V) is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in (Y,  $\sigma$ ), since g is ( $\psi^* \alpha$ )<sup>\*</sup> -quotient. Since f is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -irresolute, f<sup>-1</sup>(g<sup>-1</sup>(V)) is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in (X,  $\tau$ ) which implies that (g  $\circ$  f)<sup>-1</sup>(V) is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in (X,  $\tau$ ). This shows that g  $\circ$  f is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -irresolute. Let f<sup>-1</sup>(g<sup>-1</sup>(V)) is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in (X,  $\tau$ ) for a subset V  $\subseteq$  Z. Since f is strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient, g<sup>-1</sup>(V) is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in (Y,  $\sigma$ ). This implies that g<sup>-1</sup>(V) is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in (Y,  $\sigma$ ). Since g is ( $\psi^* \alpha$ )<sup>\*</sup> -quotient, V is open in (Z,  $\eta$ ). Hence (g  $\circ$  f) is a ( $\psi^* \alpha$ )<sup>\*</sup> -quotient map.

**Proposition 4.7** Let  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  and  $g: (Y, \sigma) \to (Z, \eta)$  are  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient maps. Then  $g \circ f: (X, \tau) \to (Z, \eta)$  is also a  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient map. **Proof:** Let V be any  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open set in  $(Z, \eta)$ . Then  $g^{-1}(V)$  is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ , since g is  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient. Since f is  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient,  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(V)$  is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in  $(X, \tau)$ . This shows that g  $\circ$  f is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -irresolute. Let  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(V)$  is  $\psi^* \alpha$  -open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since f is  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient,  $g^{-1}(V)$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since f is  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient, V is open in  $(X, \sigma)$ . Since g is  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient, V is open in  $(Z, \eta)$ . Hence  $(g \circ f)$  is  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient.

**Proposition 4.8** Let  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  be a map where  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  are  $_{\psi^*\alpha}T_c$ -spaces. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) f is a  $(\psi^* \alpha)^*$  -quotient map
- (ii) f is strongly  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient map
- (iii) f is a  $\psi^* \alpha$  -quotient map
- **Proof:** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Follows from **Proposition 3.22** (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Follows from **Proposition 3.20**

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Since (Y,  $\sigma$ ) is a  $_{\psi^*\alpha}T_c$  -space, f is  $\psi^*\alpha$  -irresolute by **theorem 3.7** [4] Suppose f <sup>1</sup>(V) is  $\psi^*\alpha$  -open in (X,  $\tau$ ). Since (X,  $\tau$ ) is a  $_{\psi^*\alpha}T_c$  space, f<sup>1</sup>(V) is open in (X,  $\tau$ ). By (iii), V is  $\psi^*\alpha$  open in (Y,  $\sigma$ ). Since (Y,  $\sigma$ ) is a  $_{\psi^*\alpha}T_c$  -space, V is  $\psi^*\alpha$  -open in (Y,  $\sigma$ ). Hence f is a ( $\psi^*\alpha$ )<sup>\*</sup> -quotient map.

#### References

- [1] N.Balamani, and A. Parvathi, "Between  $\alpha$  closed sets and  $\tilde{g}_{\alpha}$  closed sets," International Journal of Mathematical Archive, 7(6), pp.1-10, 2016.
- [2] N.Balamani, and A. Parvathi, "Separation axioms by ψ<sup>\*</sup>α closed sets," International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology,5(10), pp.183-186, 2016.
- [3] N.Balamani, and A. Parvathi, "ψ<sup>\*</sup>α -continuous maps," International Journal of Advanced Research, 4(11), pp.1105-1109, 2016.
- [4] N.Balamani, and A. Parvathi, "ψ<sup>\*</sup>α irresolute maps, quasi ψ<sup>\*</sup>α -continuous maps and perfectly ψ<sup>\*</sup>α -continuous maps." Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics, 12(3), pp.539-548, 2017.
- [5] P. Bhattacharyya, and B.K Lahiri, "Semi-generalized closed sets in topology," Indian J. Math., 29, pp.376-382, 1987.
- [6] M.Lellis Thivagar, "A note on quotient mappings," Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc. 14, pp 21-30, 1991.
- [7] N.Levine, "Generalized closed sets in topology," Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo,19, pp. 89-96, 1970.
- [8] A.S.Mashhour, I.A. Hasanein, and S.N. EI-Deeb, 1983, "α continuous and α open mappings," Acta. Math. Hungar, 41, pp. 213 218, 1983.
- [9] J.R.Munkres, Topology, A first course, Fourteenth Indian Reprint.
- [10] O.Njastad, On some classes of nearly open sets, Pacific J. Math. 15, pp.961-970,1965.
- [11] N.Ramya, and A. Parvathi, A, "A study on \u03c8\u03c9 closed sets in topological bitopological and biminimal structure spaces," Ph.D Thesis, Avinashilingam University, Coimbatore, 2013.

[12] M.K.R.S. Veera Kumar, "Between semi-closed sets and semi- pre closed sets", Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste, (ITALY) XXXXII, pp.25-41, 2000.