

The Effective and Efficient Utilization of Resources of Productivity using Goal Programming

Dr . Ashok Kumar Chikine¹, Dr. G. Ravindra Babu², K.Neeraja³

1. Dept. of Mathematics, University P.G. Centre, Kollapur, Palamuru University, Nagarkurnool(Dist),
Telangana state - India.

2. Dept. of CSE, Trinity College of Engg. & Tech., Peddapally, Karimnagar (Dist), Telangana State – India.

3. Dept. of Mathematics, Dr.B.R.Ambedkar Open University Study Centre, Mahabubnagar (Dist),Telangana State- India.

ABSTRACT — This paper presents an alternative approach by using a goal programming to determine the product-mix of the manufacturing system. The objective of this paper is to provide a methodology in order to make product-mix decision. No company would be keen to market a single product, unless it is a monopoly product. Most of the companies will be dealing with multiple products, in order to maximize the profits or minimize the total cost. The productivity is concerned with the effective and efficient utilization of resources in producing goods or services. The Linear Programming Problem applications have been developed for production scheduling, staffing, inventory control, capacity planning and produce mix decisions in business and industry. This paper will examine the test results.

KEYWORDS — Goal Programming, Product-Mix decisions, Optimization.

INTRODUCTION

The concept of Goal Programming was introduced by CHARNES and COOPER (1961). The GP is capable of handling decision problems with single and multiple goals. The basic concept of goal programming involves incorporating all goals in one model which can be solved simultaneously.

In today's complex organizational environment the decision maker is regarded as who attempts to achieve a set of objectives to the fullest possible extent in an environment of conflicting interest, incomplete information and unlimited resources. The soundness of decision-making is measured by the degree of organization objectives achieved by the decision.

This paper presents the productivity which concerned with the effective and efficient utilization of resources in producing goods or services.

2. DATA OF THE PROBLEM

The company we have used in this study is a pioneer in manufacturing of electronic equipments. The demands of the electronic equipments have been continuously increasing. To fulfil the demand of the customers, the company has decided to establish a

new production unit. The management of the company has also decided to produce three electronics equipments. The problem to be considered here is a typical production blending plan faced by the production planner.

Table 1(a): Profit Margin and Break-even Quantities

Product	Profit Margin (Rs.)	Break-even Production Volume
AHX	6000	500
BHX	8000	400
CHX	6000	200

Source: Primary Data

Table 1(b): Man-hours

Product	Turni ng	Milli ng	Pres sing	Mech. Assy	Elec. Assy	Coil Wind ing	PCS Assy	Testi ng	Total (in-hours per unit)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
AHX	1.9	2.3	2.0	1.9	2.1	1.8	2.1	1.8	15.9
BHX	1.7	1.2	1.6	2.1	1.9	2.1	1.8	1.2	13.6
CHX	2.8	1.9	2.2	2.3	2.6	2.9	2.5	1.2	18.4
Man-Hours available per annum	2820	2610	2750	2850	2900	2380	2840	2400	

Source: Primary Data

3. MODEL DEVELOPMENT

When the objective function, is to be maximized, the problem is formulated as LP model as follows:

$$\text{Maximize } X = f(x)$$

$$\text{Subject to: } ax \leq b$$

Where, a and b are constants and $x \geq 0$.

In GP, users are generally provided a target or aspiration level of achievement to each objective. Unwanted deviations of all objectives are then weighted according to their importance in the decision making environment. Finally, it finds a best possible solution that satisfies as many of the goals in the decision-making context.

3.1. Application

In order to maximize the profit, the problem is formulated as a linear programming as follows.

Let

X_1 = Number of units to be produced of product AHX,

X_2 = Number of units to be produced of product BHX,

X_3 = Number of units to be produced of product CHX,

P_j = Profit per unit for product j,

Q_j = Break even production volume of product j,

T_{ij} = Man hours required on machine I for product j,

T_i = Total man hours per annum available on machine I,

Where $I = 1, 2 \dots 8$; $j = 1, 2, 3$

Then, the problem becomes

$$\text{Maximize profit } Z = Z = \sum_{j=1}^n P_j X_j$$

– subject to $X_j > Q_j$

$$\sum T_{ij} X_j \leq T_i$$

3.2. Objective function

Minimize (Z_1) = $P_1 (w_p^- d_p^- + w_p^+ d_p^+) +$

$P_2 (w_m^- d_m^- + w_m^+ d_m^+) +$

$P_3 (w_{1q}^- d_{1q}^- + w_{1q}^+ d_{1q}^+) +$

$P_4 (w_{2q}^- d_{2q}^- + w_{2q}^+ d_{2q}^+) +$

$P_5 (w_{3q}^- d_{3q}^- + w_{3q}^+ d_{3q}^+)$

3.3. Achievement functions

Profit goal

Maximize the total profit to the target level P

$$\sum_{j=1}^n p_j X_j + d_p^- - d_p^+ = P$$

Here, the under achievement d_p^- is to be

minimized.

Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n p_j X_j + d_p^- = P$$

Man-hours goal

Minimize the total man-hours used in manifesting all the products to the target level MH

$$\sum_{j=1}^n m_j X_j + d_m^- - d_m^+ = MH$$

Where, m = number of man-hours required per unit of the product j.

Hence the under-achievement d_m^- is to be maximized.

Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n m_j X_j + d_m^- = MH$$

Break-even quantity of production goal

Maximize the production quantity of production j from the minimum level Q_j

$$X_j + d_{jq}^- - d_{jq}^+ = Q_j$$

Here over-achievement d_{jq}^+ is to be maximized.

Therefore, $X_j - d_{jq}^+ = Q_j$

3.4. Constraints

$$\sum_{j=1}^n T_{ij} X_j \leq T_i \quad i = 1, \dots, \dots \dots \dots \dots .8$$

$$X_i \geq Q_i$$

$$X_j, d_p^-, d_m^-, d_{jq}^+ \geq 0$$

Where $d_p^-, d_p^+ =$ under-achievement and over-achievement of profit goal, respectively,

d_m^-, d_m^+ = under-achievement and over-achievement of man hours goal

Respectively

d_{jq}^-, d_{jq}^+ = under-achievement and over-achievement of break-even quality of production goal respectively.

w_p^-, w_p^+ = weights assigned to the under-achievement and over-achievement of profit goal respectively.

$$1.9 x_1 + 1.7 x_2 + 2.8 x_3 \leq 710$$

$$2.3 x_1 + 1.2 x_2 + 1.9 x_3 \leq 710$$

$$2 x_1 + 1.2 x_2 + 1.9 x_3 \leq 670$$

$$1.9 x_1 + 2.1 x_2 + 2.3 x_3 \leq 600$$

$$2.1 x_1 + 1.9 x_2 + 2.6 x_3 \leq 570$$

$$1.8 x_1 + 2.1 x_2 + 2.9 x_3 \leq 560$$

$$2.1 x_1 + 1.8 x_2 + 2.5 x_3 \leq 570$$

$$1.8 x_1 + 1.2 x_2 + 1.2 x_3 \leq 780$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0.$$

This problem is solved by using the Simplex method and the values of the variables are obtained as follows:

$$X_1 = 93, x_2 = 75, x_3 = 81.$$

Then the production quantities of various products are as follows:

$$X_1 = 500 + x_1 = 593, X_2 = 400 + x_2 = 475, X_3 = 200 + x_3 = 281,$$

$$\text{Maximum profit } Z = \text{Rs. } 90,44,000.00$$

For the data given in table, the goal programming problem is formulated as follows:

Let,

$$w_p^- = 7, w_m^- = 6, w_{1q}^+ = 5, w_{2q}^+ = 4, w_{3q}^+ = 4$$

$$P_1 = 7, P_2 = 5, P_3 = 4, P_4 = 4, P_5 = 5$$

$$P = \text{Rs. } 10000000 \text{ and } T = 22000 \text{ hours}$$

3.5. Objective function

$$\text{Minimize } Z_1 = 49 d_p^- + 30 d_m^- + 20 d_{1q}^+ + 16 d_{2q}^+ + 12 d_{3q}^+$$

Goals:

$$6000 X_1 + 8000 X_2 + 6000 X_3 + d_p^- = 10000000$$

$$15.9 X_1 + 13.6 X_2 - 18.4 X_3 + d_p^+ = 22000$$

$$X_1 - d_{1q}^+ = 500$$

$$X_2 - d_{2q}^+ = 400$$

$$X_3 - d_{3q}^+ = 200$$

3.6. Constraints

$$1.9 x_1 + 1.7 x_2 + 2.8 x_3 \leq 2820$$

$$2.3 x_1 + 1.2 x_2 + 1.9 x_3 \leq 2820$$

$$2 x_1 + 1.6 x_2 + 2.2 x_3 \leq 2750$$

$$1.9 x_1 + 2.1 x_2 + 2.3 x_3 \leq 2850$$

$$2.1 x_1 + 1.9 x_2 + 2.6 x_3 \leq 2900$$

$$1.8 x_1 + 2.1 x_2 + 2.9 x_3 \leq 2800$$

$$2.1 x_1 + 1.8 x_2 + 2.5 x_3 \leq 2840$$

$$1.8 x_1 + 1.2 x_2 + 1.2 x_3 \leq 2400$$

$$X_1, X_2, X_3, d_m^-, d_{1q}^+, d_{2q}^+, d_{3q}^+ \geq 0.$$

This GP problem is computed and the solution is obtained as follows:

$$X_1 = 593 \quad X_2 = 475 \quad X_3 = 281$$

$$d_p^- = 956000$$

$$d_m^- = 940.0 \quad d_{1q}^+ = 3 \quad d_{2q}^+ = 75$$

$$d_{3q}^+ = 81$$

Maximum profit achieved = Rs. 9044000.00
 Minimum man hours utilized = 21059.1 hours
 Optimum production quality of product AHX
 = x_1

$$= 593 \text{ units}$$

Optimum production quality of product BHX
 = x_2

$$= 475 \text{ units}$$

Optimum production quality of product CHX
 = x_3

$$= 281 \text{ units}$$

4. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

In this paper, a Goal programming model is used to compute the optimum production quantities of various types. Optimum production quantity of products of electronic industry, and its results are compared with that of a linear programming model. The optimum production quantities obtained by using an LP model are: 593 units of AHX product, 475 units of BHX product, and 281 units of CHX product. The maximum profit is as Rs. 9,044,000.00 per annum. But the optimum production quantities obtained by using a GP model are: 593 units of AHX product, 475 units of BHX product, and 281 units of CHX product. The maximum profit is obtained as Rs. 9,044,000.00 per annum with the use of minimum man-hours of 21059.1 hours per annum. It is observed from the results that more than one

goal are achieved by the use of GP model. The results obtained in these are expected to be of acceptable quality for the managerial decisions.

5. CONCLUSION

The application of LP and GP models are presented in this paper. Generally, LP models are used to achieve a single objective, where as GP models are used to achieve multiple objectives, provided two or more of these have presented conflicting objectives, and hence the more information is published to management decision making. In this paper, it is observed that the maximization of profit and the minimization of total man-hours are two conflicting objectives. It is also observed from the results that the better solutions are obtained by the GP model as compared to the LP model. In the application of GP model, the size of the problem increases proportional to the number of objectives, and hence the time to obtain the optimum solution.

6. REFERENCES

- [1]. **ATMANI A [1995]**: A production planning model for flexible manufacturing systems with setup cost consideration, **Computers and Industrial Engineering Journal** 29 [1-4], 723 -727.
- [2]. **DIONYSIS LATINOPOULOS [2009]**: Multi criteria decision-making for efficient water and land resources allocation in irrigated agriculture *Journal of Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 329-343.
- [3]. **FERNANDO GARCÍA, FRANCISCO GUIJARRO, AND ISMAEL MOYA [2010]**: A goal programming approach to estimating performance weights for ranking firms
- [4]. **GAO R. AND Z. HU [1998]**: A Multi-criteria Network Model for financial Planning. In **S. Wang, G.Chen,W. Fu, and X.Yang (eds.),Advances in Multiple Criteria Decision making ,Global Link Publishing ,Hong Kong,115-118.**
- [5]. **JAEWOOK LEE, SUK-HOKANG, JAY ROSENBERGER & SEOUNG BUM KIM [2010]**: A hybrid approach of goal programming for weapon systems selection *PORTAL*. 521-527.
- [6]. **JERBI, BADRE DDINE, KAMOUN, HICHEUS [2010]**: Using stochastic goal programming to rearrange beds inside hubib Bourguida Hospital. *International Applied Management science Journal* volume 2 Nov 2, 20 Jan 2010 122-135.
- [7]. **M E NJA AND VDOFIA G.A.[2009]**: Formulation of the mixed-integer Goal programming model for flour producing companies, *Asian Journal on mathematics & statistics* volume 2, Issue-3, 55-64.
- [8]. **ROMERO C. AND REHMAN .T. [2008]**: Goal Programming and multiple criteria decision-making in farm planning: some extensions *Journal of Agricultural Economics* Volume 36 Issue 2, Pages 171 – 185.
- [9]. **S DHOUB, A KHARRAT' & H CHABCHOUB [2010]**: Goal programming using multi objective hybrid Meta heuristic algorithm. *Journal of the Operation Research society* advance online publication 17th Feb.
- [10]. **SAN CRISTOBAL AND JOSE ROMAN [2009]**: A Goal Programming model for vessel Dry docking *Journal on ship production*, Volume 25, 95-98.
- [11]. **WILLIS K & JONES D F [2008]**: Multi-objective simulation optimization through search Heuristic & relation database analysis, *Decision support system* 46, 277-286.
- [12]. **YAGHOUBI M, TAMIZ M & JONES D F [2008]**: Weighted additive models for solving fuzzy Goal

- Programming problems. *Asia Pacific Journal of Operational Research*, 25, 715-733.
- [13]. **MIRRAZAVI S K, JONES D F AND TAMIZ M [2001]:** comparison of genetic and conventional methods for the solution of integer goal programmes, *European Journal of Operational Research*, 132, 594 - 602.
- [14]. **NAKAYAMA H AND TANINO T [1994]:** Multi-objective Programming-Theory and Applications, Society of Instrument and Control Engineering.
- [15]. **OZERNOY W [1992]:** Choosing the best multiple criteria decision-making method, *INFOR*, Canadian Journal of Operational Research 30, 159-171.
- [16]. **RUBIN P A [2004]:** Comment on A nonlinear Lagrangian dual for integer programming, *Operations Research Letters*, 32, 2.
- [17]. **TAMIZ M, JONES D, ROMERO C [1998]:** Goal programming for decision making: an overview of the current state-of-the-art, *European Journal of Operational Research* 111 (3), 569-581.
- [18]. **WILLIS K & JONES D F [2008]:** Multi-objective simulation optimization through search Heuristic & relation database analysis, *Decision support system* 46, 277-286.