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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new subclass of $\phi$-like functions and derive certain Sandwich type results that unify the work of earlier researchers.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the class of analytic functions in the open unit disc $\mathrm{E}=\{\mathrm{z}: \mathrm{z} \in C$ and $|\mathrm{z}|<1\}$.If $\mathrm{a} \in C$ and $\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{N}$, let $\mathcal{H}[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{n}]$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{H}$ consisting of the functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=a+a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\ldots \ldots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The class of all normalized analytic functions is denoted by $\mathcal{A}$ and is given by
$\mathcal{A}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{H}: f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, f(0)=0\right.$ and $\left.f^{\prime}(0)=1\right\} \quad$ (2)

Let $S$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of all analytic and univalent functions in E. The classes of starlike functions of order $\alpha$, convex functions of order $\alpha$, where $\alpha$ is a real number $(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ and strongly starlike functions of order $\alpha$, in E respectively are analytically defined as

$$
\mathrm{S}^{*}(\alpha)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>\alpha, \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{E}\right\}
$$

(3)

$$
\mathrm{K}(\alpha)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \mathfrak{R}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\alpha, \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{E}\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ST}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}:\left|\arg \left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2},(0<\alpha \leq 1), \mathrm{z} \in\right. \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

E\} (5)
We shall use $S^{*}$ and $K$ to denote $S^{*}(0)$ and $K$ (0) respectively, which are the classes of univalent starlike w.r.t. origin and univalent convex functions.

Let $f$ and $g$ be analytic functions in the open unit disc E. If there exist a Schwarz function $w$ analytic in E with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ for all $z \in \mathrm{E}$ such that $f(z)=g(w(z)), \quad z \in \mathrm{E}$, then $f$ is said to be subordinate to $g$ in E and written as $f \prec g$. In
particular if $g$ is univalent in $E$, the above subordination is equivalent to $f(0)=g(0)$ and $f(\mathrm{E}) \subseteq$ $g(\mathrm{E})$.

Let $p(z)$ analytic function in the unit disc E . Assume that $\psi: C^{3} \times \mathrm{E} \rightarrow C$ and $h(z)$ be univalent in E. Then $p(z)$ is said to be a solution of the differential subordination if
$\psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right) \prec h(z)$.
If $p(z) \prec q(z)$ for all $p(z)$ satisfying (6) then the univalent function $q(z)$ is called dominant of the solution of differential subordination. A dominant $\breve{q}(z)$ that satisfies $\breve{q}(z) \prec q(z)$ for all dominants $q(z)$ of (6) is said to be the best dominant.

## Suppose that $\psi: C^{3} \times \mathrm{E} \rightarrow C$ and $p(z)$ be

 analytic and univalent in E . Let $h(z)$ be analytic in E . Then $p(z)$ is called a solution of the differential superordination if$h(z) \prec \psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right)$.
(7)

If $q(z) \prec p(z)$ for all $p(z)$ satisfying (7) then $q(z)$ is called a subordinant of the solution of differential superordination. A univalent subordinant $\breve{q}(z)$ that satisfies $q(z) \prec \breve{q}(z)$ for all subordinants $q(\mathrm{z})$ of (7) is said to be the best subordinant.

## Definition 1.1 [3]:

An analytic function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be $\Phi$ like function if there exists an analytic function $\Phi$ in a domain containing $f(E)$, with $\Phi(0)=0$ and $\Phi^{\prime}(0)>0$ such that
$\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}\right)>0, z \in E$.
Brickman [3] was the first person who introduced this concept and established that an analytic function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is univalent if and only if $f$ is $\Phi$-like for some $\Phi$. In case, if $\Phi$ is the identity function and a rotation of $\lambda$ then the function $f$ is starlike and spiral like of $\arg (\lambda)$ respectively.
E.g. $f(z)=z, f(z)=\frac{z}{1-z}$ are $\Phi$-like functions in E when $\Phi(w)=w$.

The following is the more general class of $\Phi$-like functions introduced and studied by Ruscheweyh [14].

## Definition 1.2 [14]:

Let $\Phi$ be an analytic function in a domain containing $f(\mathrm{E})$, with $\Phi(0)=0$, and $\Phi(w) \neq 0$ for $w \in f(E) \backslash\{0\}$. Let $q$ be a fixed analytic function in E , with $q(0)=1$. A normalized analytic function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be $\Phi$-like function with respect to $q$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\Phi(f(z))} \prec q(z), \quad \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{E} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\Phi(w)=w$, the class of all $\Phi$-like functions with respect to $q$ is denoted by $S^{*}(q)$.

Ravichandran et al.[12,Th.2.2, p.139] have obtained sufficient condition for functions to be $\Phi$ like with respect to $q$. Siregar et al. [16] introduced the new class $\Phi-H_{b}$ of $\Phi$ like functions of Koebe type satisfying

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left\{\frac{z f_{b}^{\prime}(z)}{\left.\Phi f_{b}(z)\right)}\left[\begin{array}{l}
1+\frac{\alpha z f_{b}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f_{b}^{\prime}(z)}+  \tag{10}\\
\frac{\alpha z\left[f_{b}^{\prime}(z)-\left(\Phi\left(f_{b}(z)\right)\right)^{\prime}\right]}{\Phi\left(f_{b}(z)\right)}
\end{array}\right]\right\}>0
$$

for $z \in E$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{b}(z):=\frac{z}{\left(1-z^{n}\right)^{b}}(b \geq 0 ; n \in \square) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Siregar et al. [16] derived sufficient condition for starlikeness of the class $\Phi-H_{b}$ of n -fold symmetric function of Koebe type. For the class $\mathcal{H}$, which $f$ in (2) and $\Phi(w)=w$, Kamali and Srivastava in [6] have investigated the sufficient conditions for the starlikeness of $n$-fold symmetric function of Koebe type.

Motivated essentially by the above mentioned work, in this paper, we are defining a new class of $\Phi$ like functions and obtain certain Sandwich type result that unifies some known results of starlike functions.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

The following definition and lemmas are needed in proving our main results.

Definition 2.1( [8], p.21, Definition 2.2b).

Let $Q$ be the class of all analytic and univalent functions $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{z})$ on $\bar{E} \backslash \mathcal{B}(p)$, where
$\mathcal{B}(p)=\{\xi \in \partial E: \underset{z \rightarrow \xi}{\operatorname{lt}} p(z)=\infty\}$, and are such that $p^{\prime}(\xi) \neq 0$ for $\xi \in \partial E \backslash \mathcal{B}(p)$.

Lemma 2.2 ( 7 ], p.132, Theorem3.4h ).
Assume that $q(\mathrm{z})$ be univalent in the unit disc E and let $\eta$ and $\phi$ be analytic functions in a domain $D \supset q(E)$ with $\phi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(E)$.
Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z)) \text { and } h(z)=\eta(q(z))+Q(z) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let one of the conditions satisfies:

1. $h(\mathrm{z})$ is convex,
2. $Q(z)$ is starlike and univalent.

Also $\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right)>0, z \in E$.If $p$ is analytic in $E$,
with $p(0)=q(0), p(E) \subseteq D$ and
$\eta(p(z))+z p^{\prime}(z) \phi(p(z)) \prec \eta(q(z))+z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z))$
(13)

Then $p(z) \prec q(z)$ and $q$ is the best dominant.
Lemma 2.3( [4], p.28, Cor.3.1).
Suppose that $q(\mathrm{z})$ be univalent in E. Let $\mu$ and $\vartheta$ be analytic in a domain $D \supset q(E)$ with $\vartheta(w) \neq 0$, when $w \in q(E)$. Define $Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \vartheta(q(z)), h(z)=\mu(q(z))+Q(z)$ (14)

Assume that $Q(z)$ is starlike and univalent in E and $\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{\mu^{\prime}(q(z))}{\vartheta(q(z))}\right)>0$, for all $z \in E$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1]$ $\cap Q$, with $p(E) \subseteq D$ and
$\mu(q(z))+z q^{\prime}(z) \vartheta(q(z)) \prec \mu(p(z))+z p^{\prime}(z) \vartheta(p(z))$,
(15)
then $q(z) \prec p(z)$ and $q$ is the best subordinant.

We now define the following class of functions.
Definition 2.4: Denote by $\Phi-\psi_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(z)$ the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$, satisfying

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left\{\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}\right)^{\alpha}\left[\begin{array}{l}
1+\frac{\lambda z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+  \tag{16}\\
\frac{\lambda z\left[f^{\prime}(z)-(\Phi(f(z)))^{\prime}\right]}{\Phi(f(z))}
\end{array}\right]\right\}>0,
$$

for $z \in E$, where $\alpha$ and $\lambda$ are complex numbers . Here the power is taken with its principal value.

Remarks: We have the following inclusion relationships and known classes:

1. When $\Phi(w)=w, \alpha=1, \lambda=0, \Phi-\psi_{0}^{1}(z)=S^{*}(0)$.
2. When $\Phi(w)=w, \alpha=0, \lambda=1, \Phi-\psi_{1}^{0}(z)$ is the class of convex functions introduced by Goodman [5].
3. When $\Phi(w)=w, \alpha=1, \lambda=1$, $\Phi-\psi_{1}^{1}(z) \subset S T(1 / 2)$, studied by Ramesha et al.[11].
4. When $\Phi(w)=w, \alpha=1, \lambda=1, \Phi-\psi_{1}^{1}(z) \subset S T(\delta)$, where $\delta<1 / 2$, studied by Nunokawa et al.[9].
5. When $\Phi(w)=w, \alpha=1, \Phi-\psi_{\lambda}^{1}(z) \subset S^{*}$, studied by Kamali and Srivastava [6].
6. When $\alpha=1, \lambda=0, \Phi-\psi_{0}^{1}(z)$ is the class of $\Phi$-like functions introduced by Brickman [3].
7. When $\alpha=1, \lambda \neq 0, \Phi-\psi_{\lambda}^{1}(z)$ is the class of functions $\Phi-H$ studied and investigated by Siregar et al. [16].

## III. MAIN RESULTS

By making use of lemma 2.2, we prove the following result.
Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $f(z) \neq 0(z \in E)$. Also let the function $q(z)$ be univalent in
$E$ with $q(0)=1$ and $q(z) \neq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1 . \mathfrak{R}\left(1+(\alpha-1) \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right)>0 \\
& 2 . \mathfrak{R}\binom{1+(\alpha-1) \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}+}{\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}\right) \alpha+(\alpha+1) q(z)}>0 \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $z \in E$.If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies
$\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}\right)^{\alpha}\left[\begin{array}{l}1+\frac{\lambda z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+ \\ \frac{\lambda z\left[f^{\prime}(z)-(\Phi(f(z)))^{\prime}\right]}{\Phi(f(z))}\end{array}\right] \prec h(z)$
where $h(z)=\lambda q^{\alpha+1}(z)+(1-\lambda) q^{\alpha}(z)+\lambda z q^{\prime}(z) q^{\alpha-1}(z)$ (20)
$\alpha$ and $\lambda$ are complex numbers such that $\lambda \neq 0$, then $\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\Phi(f(z))} \prec q(z)$ and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Let $p(z)$ be the function defined for all $z \in E$
by $p(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}$.
(21)

Then the function $p(z)$ is analytic in $E$ with $p(0)=1$.
Consider
$\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}\right)^{\alpha}\left[1+\frac{\lambda z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+\frac{\lambda z\left[f^{\prime}(z)-(\Phi(f(z)))^{\prime}\right]}{\Phi(f(z))}\right]=$
$(p(z))^{\alpha}\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}-1\right)+\lambda p(z)\right]$
$=\lambda p^{\alpha+1}(z)+(1-\lambda) p^{\alpha}(z)+\lambda z p^{\prime}(z) p^{\alpha-1}(z)$
(22)

Define the functions $\eta$ and $\phi$ as $\eta(w)=w^{\alpha}(1-\lambda+\lambda w)$ and $\phi(w)=\lambda w^{\alpha-1}$ then the functions $\eta$ and $\phi$ are analytic in a domain $D=C \backslash\{0\}$ and $\varphi(w) \neq 0, w \in D$. By defining the functions $Q$ and $h$ as follows: $Q(z)=\lambda z q^{\prime}(z) q^{\alpha-1}(z)$ and $h(z)=\eta(q(z))+Q(z)$
$=\lambda q^{\alpha+1}(z)+(1-\lambda) q^{\alpha}(z)+\lambda z q^{\prime}(z) q^{\alpha-1}(z)$.
By using equation (22) in (19), we have
$\lambda p^{\alpha+1}(z)+(1-\lambda) p^{\alpha}(z)+\lambda z p^{\prime}(z) p^{\alpha-1}(z)$
$\prec \lambda q^{\alpha+1}(z)+(1-\lambda) q^{\alpha}(z)+\lambda z q^{\prime}(z) q^{\alpha-1}(z)$
(23)

A simple computation gives
$\frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}=1+(\alpha-1) \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}$,
$\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}=1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}+(\alpha-1) \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+(\alpha+1) q(z)+\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}\right) \alpha$
By the conditions 1 and 2 , we have that $Q$ is starlike
in E and $\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right)>0, \quad z \in E$. Thus conditions of lemma 2.2 are satisfied. Therefore, the proof follows from lemma 2.2.

Remark3.1. By taking $\alpha=1$, we obtain [12, Th.2.1, p.139] as a special case of Theorem 3.1.

Remark3.2. By taking $\Phi(w)=w, \alpha=1$ and
$q(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z},-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$, in Theorem 3.1we
have the result of Ravichandran and Jayamala [13].
Remark3.3. By taking $\Phi(w)=w$ we obtain [17, Th.3.1, p.32] as a special case of Theorem 3.1.
Remark3.4. If we consider the dominant $q(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 v) z}{1-z}, \quad 0 \leq v<1 \quad$ a little calculation shows that this dominant satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1and for some particular choices of $\Phi, \alpha, \lambda$ and $v$ we get the following cases.

1. When $\Phi(w)=w, \alpha=1$, in Theorem 3.1 we get the result [17, Cor.4.1, p.34] and also for $\Phi(w)=w, \alpha=1, \lambda=1$ with above $q(z)$ the result of Kwon [10] is obtained.
2. For $\Phi(w)=w, \alpha=1, \lambda=\frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 3.1 and $v=0$ in $q(z)$ we obtain:
If $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0$ in $E$, satisfies
$\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\left[1+\frac{1}{2} \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right] \prec \frac{1+2 z}{(1-z)^{2}}=F(z)$, then $f \in S^{*}$.
3. By taking $\Phi(w)=w, \alpha=-1$ we obtain [17, Cor.4.2, p.35] as a special case of Theorem 3.1.
4. By taking $\Phi(w)=w, \alpha=0$ we obtain [17, Cor.4.3, p.35] as a special case of Theorem 3.1.
5. By taking $\Phi(w)=w, \alpha=0, \lambda=1$ in Theorem 3.1 and $v=\frac{1}{2}$ in $q(z)$ we obtain [17, Cor.4.4, p.36].

Remark 3.5. If we consider the dominant $q(z)=\frac{1+a z}{1-z},-1<a \leq 1$ a little calculation shows that this dominant satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1 and for some particular choices of $\Phi, \alpha, \lambda$ we get the following cases.
a) When $\Phi(w)=w, \alpha=1, \lambda(0<\lambda \leq 1)$ a real number in Theorem 3.1 we get the result [17, Cor.4.5, p.36].
b) By taking $\Phi(w)=w, \alpha=-1, \lambda$ is a real number such that $\lambda \in(-\infty, 0) \cup[1, \infty)$ we obtain [17, Cor.4.6, p.36] as a special case of Theorem 3.1.
c) By taking $\Phi(w)=w, \alpha=0$ and $\lambda$ is a complex number we obtain [17, Cor.4.7, p.36] as a special case of Theorem 3.1.
d) For $\lambda=1$, with the above choices as in (a), (b), (c) we get the results of Singh and Gupta [15].

By making use of lemma 2.3, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $f(0)=0$. Also let the function $h(z)$ be convex univalent in $E$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$. Assume that $q, q(z) \neq 0$ be univalent in $E$ such that

1. $\mathfrak{R}\left(1+(\alpha-1) \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right)>0$
2. $\mathfrak{R}\left((\alpha+1) q(z)+\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}\right)(\alpha)\right)>0$
(25)
for all $z \in E$. Assume that
$\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}\right)^{\alpha}\left[1+\frac{\lambda z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+\frac{\lambda z\left[f^{\prime}(z)-(\Phi(f(z)))^{\prime}\right]}{\Phi(f(z))}\right]$ is univalent in $E$ and satisfies the differential superordination

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(z)=\vartheta(q(z))+\lambda z q^{\prime}(z) q^{\alpha-1}(z) \\
& \prec\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}\right)^{\alpha}\left[1+\frac{\lambda z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+\frac{\lambda z\left[f^{\prime}(z)-(\Phi(f(z)))^{\prime}\right]}{\Phi(f(z))}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

(26)
where $\alpha$ and $\lambda$ are complex numbers such that $\lambda \neq 0$, then $q(z) \prec \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}$ and $q$ is the best subordinant.
Proof. Let $p(z)$ be the function defined for all $z \in E$
by $p(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}$.
(27)

Then the function $p(z)$ is analytic in $E$ with $p(0)=1$.
Define the functions $\mu$ and $\vartheta$ as
$\mu(w)=w^{\alpha}(1-\lambda+\lambda w)$ and $\vartheta(w)=\lambda w^{\alpha-1}$ then the functions $\mu$ and $\vartheta$ are analytic in a domain $D=C\{0\}$ and $\vartheta(w) \neq 0, w \in D$. By defining the functions $Q$ and $h$ as follows:
$Q(z)=\lambda z q^{\prime}(z) q^{\alpha-1}(z)$ and $h(z)=\mu(q(z))+Q(z)$ $=\lambda q^{\alpha+1}(z)+(1-\lambda) q^{\alpha}(z)+\lambda z q^{\prime}(z) q^{\alpha-1}(z)$.
The superordination (3.26) becomes:
$\prec \begin{aligned} & \lambda q^{\alpha+1}(z)+(1-\lambda) q^{\alpha}(z)+\lambda z q^{\prime}(z) q^{\alpha-1}(z) \\ & \lambda p^{\alpha+1}(z)+(1-\lambda) p^{\alpha}(z)+\lambda z p^{\prime}(z) p^{\alpha-1}(z)\end{aligned}$
(28)

We also observe that
$\frac{\mu^{\prime}(q(z))}{\vartheta(q(z))}=(\alpha+1) q(z)+\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}\right) \alpha$.
The use of lemma 2.3 along with (28) completes the proof on the same lines as in case of Theorem. 3.1.

Remark3.6. By taking $\Phi(w)=w$ in Theorem 3.2, we obtain [17, Th.3.2, p.33] as a special case.

Remark3.7. By taking $\Phi(w)=w, \alpha=1$ in Theorem 3.2, we have the result of R.M. Ali et.al. [1, Th.2.2, p.89].

Remark3.8. By taking $\Phi(w)=w, \alpha=-1, \lambda=1$ in Theorem 3.2, we obtain [1, Th.2.10, p.93] as a special case.

Combining Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 we get the following sandwich theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Let $q_{i}(z) \neq 0(i=1,2)$ be convex univalent in $E$ such that $q_{1}$ satisfies the conditions 1 and 2 of Theorem 3.2 and $q_{2}$ follows the conditions 1
and 2 of Theorem 3.1. Define $h_{i}(z)(i=1,2)$ by $h_{i}(z)=\lambda q_{i}^{\alpha+1}(z)+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{\alpha}(z)+\lambda z q_{i}^{\prime}(z) q_{i}^{\alpha-1}(z)$ and suppose that $f \in \mathcal{A}$, satisfies
$\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\Phi(f(z))} \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$ and
$\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}\right)^{\alpha}\left[1+\frac{\lambda z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+\frac{\lambda z\left[f^{\prime}(z)-(\Phi(f(z)))^{\prime}\right]}{\Phi(f(z))}\right]$ is
univalent in $E$, where $\alpha$ and $\lambda$ are complex numbers such that $\lambda \neq 0$ then
$h_{1}(z) \prec\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}\right)^{\alpha}\left[\begin{array}{l}1+\frac{\lambda z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+ \\ \frac{\lambda z\left[f^{\prime}(z)-(\Phi(f(z)))^{\prime}\right]}{\Phi(f(z))}\end{array}\right] \prec h_{2}(z)$
implies $q_{1}(z) \prec \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\Phi(f(z))} \prec q_{2}(z)$. Further $q_{1}, q_{2}$ are respectively the best subordinant and best dominant.

Remark3.9. By taking $\Phi(w)=w, \alpha=1$ in Theorem 3.3, we obtain [1, Cor.2.3, p.90] as a special case.

Remark3.10. By taking $\Phi(w)=w, \alpha=-1, \lambda=1$ in Theorem 3.3, we obtain [1, Th.2.11, p.93] as a special case.

Remark3.11. By taking $\alpha=1$ in Theorem 3.3 we obtain [2, Th.4.2, p.8] as a special case.

Remark3.12. By taking $\Phi(w)=w$ in Theorem 3.3, we obtain [17, Th.3.3, p.34] as a special case.

Remark3.13. For the selection of $q_{1}(z)=1+a z$ and $q_{2}(z)=1+b z, 0<a<b$, in Theorem 3.3 and for some parti- cular choices of $\Phi, \alpha, \lambda$ we obtain the results discussed in [17, Cor.5.1, p.37;Cor.5.2,p.38; Cor.5.3,p.38].
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