# Sandwich Type of Results for $\phi$ -like Functions using Subordination

R.B.Sharma<sup>1</sup>, K.Ganesh<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Kakatiya University, Warangal-5060090.Telangana, India. <sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Jyothishmathi Institute of Technological Sciences, Karimnagar-505481, Telangana, India.

**Abstract:** In this paper, we introduce a new subclass of  $\phi$  -like functions and derive certain Sandwich type results that unify the work of earlier researchers.

**Keywords**: Analytic function, Differential subordination, Differential superordination, best dominant,  $\phi$  -like functions, best subordinant, and Sandwich type results.

# I. INTRODUCTION

Denote by  $\mathcal{H}$  the class of analytic functions in the open unit disc E= {z:  $z \in C$  and |z| < 1}. If  $a \in C$ and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{H}$  [a, n] be the subclass of  $\mathcal{H}$ consisting of the functions of the form

$$f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$$
(1)

The class of all normalized analytic functions is denoted by  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{A}}$  and is given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \{ f \in \mathcal{H} : f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n , f(0) = 0 \text{ and}$$
  
$$f'(0) = 1 \} \quad (2)$$

Let S be the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of all analytic and univalent functions in E. The classes of starlike functions of order  $\alpha$ , convex functions of order  $\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is a real number ( $0 \le \alpha < 1$ ) and strongly starlike functions of order  $\alpha$ , in E respectively are analytically defined as

S<sup>\*</sup>(
$$\alpha$$
) = {  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ :  $\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \alpha$ ,  $z \in E$ }  
(3)

$$\mathbf{K}(\alpha) = \{ f \in \mathcal{A}: \Re\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > \alpha , z \in \mathbf{E} \}$$
(4)

ST= {
$$f \in \mathcal{A}$$
:  $\left| \arg\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}, (0 < \alpha \le 1), z \in E$ } (5)

We shall use  $S^*$  and K to denote  $S^*(0)$  and K (0) respectively, which are the classes of univalent starlike w.r.t. origin and univalent convex functions.

Let f and g be analytic functions in the open unit disc E. If there exist a Schwarz function w analytic in E with w(0) = 0 and |w(z)| < 1 for all  $z \in E$ such that f(z) = g(w(z)),  $z \in E$ , then f is said to be subordinate to g in E and written as  $f \prec g$ . In particular if g is univalent in E, the above subordination is equivalent to f(0) = g(0) and  $f(E) \subseteq g(E)$ .

Let p(z) analytic function in the unit disc E. Assume that  $\psi : C^3 \ge C$  and h(z) be univalent in E. Then p(z) is said to be a solution of the differential subordination if

$$\psi\left(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z\right) \prec h(z) .$$
(6)

If  $p(z) \prec q(z)$  for all p(z) satisfying (6) then the univalent function q(z) is called dominant of the solution of differential subordination. A dominant  $\bar{q}(z)$  that satisfies  $\bar{q}(z) \prec q(z)$  for all dominants q(z) of (6) is said to be the best dominant.

Suppose that  $\psi : C^3 \ge C$  and p(z) be analytic and univalent in E. Let h(z) be analytic in E. Then p(z) is called a solution of the differential superordination if  $h(z) \prec \psi (p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z)$ .

If  $q(z) \prec p(z)$  for all p(z) satisfying (7) then q(z) is called a subordinant of the solution of differential superordination. A univalent subordinant  $\breve{q}(z)$  that satisfies  $q(z) \prec \breve{q}(z)$  for all subordinants q (z) of (7) is said to be the best subordinant.

# Definition 1.1 [3]:

An analytic function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be  $\Phi$ like function if there exists an analytic function  $\Phi$  in a domain containing f (E), with  $\Phi(0) = 0$ and  $\Phi'(0) > 0$  such that

$$\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}\right) > 0, z \in E.$$
(8)

Brickman [3] was the first person who introduced this concept and established that an analytic function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is univalent if and only if f is  $\Phi$ -like for some  $\Phi$ . In case, if  $\Phi$  is the identity function and a rotation of  $\lambda$  then the function f is starlike and spiral like of  $\arg(\lambda)$  respectively.

E.g. f(z) = z,  $f(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$  are  $\Phi$ -like functions in E when  $\Phi(w) = w$ .

The following is the more general class of  $\Phi$  -like functions introduced and studied by Ruscheweyh [14].

# **Definition 1.2 [14]:**

Let  $\Phi$  be an analytic function in a domain containing f(E), with  $\Phi(0) = 0$ , and  $\Phi(w) \neq 0$  for  $w \in f(E) \setminus \{0\}$ . Let q be a fixed analytic function in E, with q(0) = 1. A normalized analytic function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be  $\Phi$ -like function with respect to q if

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{\Phi(f(z))} \prec q(z), \ z \in \mathcal{E}.$$
(9)

When  $\Phi(w) = w$ , the class of all  $\Phi$ -like functions with respect to q is denoted by  $S^*(q)$ .

Ravichandran et al.[12,Th.2.2, p.139] have obtained sufficient condition for functions to be  $\Phi$ like with respect to q. Siregar et al. [16] introduced the new class  $\Phi - H_b$  of  $\Phi$  like functions of Koebe type satisfying

$$\Re\left\{\frac{zf_{b}'(z)}{\Phi f_{b}(z))}\left[\frac{1+\frac{\alpha zf_{b}''(z)}{f_{b}'(z)}+}{\frac{\alpha z[f_{b}'(z)-(\Phi(f_{b}(z)))']}{\Phi(f_{b}(z))}}\right]\right\} > 0, \quad (10)$$

for  $z \in E$ , where

$$f_b(z) \coloneqq \frac{z}{\left(1 - z^n\right)^b} \, (b \ge 0; n \in \Box)$$

(11)

Siregar et al. [16] derived sufficient condition for starlikeness of the class  $\Phi - H_b$  of n-fold symmetric function of Koebe type. For the class  $\mathcal{H}$ , which *f* in (2) and  $\Phi(w) = w$ , Kamali and Srivastava in [6] have investigated the sufficient conditions for the starlikeness of n-fold symmetric function of Koebe type.

Motivated essentially by the above mentioned work, in this paper, we are defining a new class of  $\Phi$  - like functions and obtain certain Sandwich type result that unifies some known results of starlike functions.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

The following definition and lemmas are needed in proving our main results.

**Definition 2.1**([8], p.21, Definition 2.2b).

Let Q be the class of all analytic and univalent functions p(z) on  $\overline{E} \setminus \mathcal{B}(p)$ , where

$$\mathcal{B}(p) = \left\{ \xi \in \partial E : \lim_{z \to \xi} p(z) = \infty \right\}, \text{ and are such that}$$
$$p'(\xi) \neq 0 \text{ for } \xi \in \partial E \setminus \mathcal{B}(p).$$

Lemma 2.2( [7], p.132, Theorem3.4h).

Assume that q(z) be univalent in the unit disc E and let  $\eta$  and  $\phi$  be analytic functions in a domain  $D \supset q(E)$  with  $\phi(w) \neq 0$  when  $w \in q(E)$ . Define

$$Q(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z))$$
 and  $h(z) = \eta(q(z)) + Q(z)$   
(12)

Let one of the conditions satisfies:

1. h(z) is convex,

1 ...

2. Q(z) is starlike and univalent.

Also 
$$\Re\left(\frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)}\right) > 0, z \in E$$
. If *p* is analytic in *E*,  
with  $p(0) = q(0)$ ,  $p(E) \subseteq D$  and  
 $\eta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z)) \prec \eta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\phi(q(z))$   
(13)  
Then  $p(z) \prec q(z)$  and *q* is the best dominant.

Lemma 2.3([4], p.28, Cor.3.1).

Suppose that q(z) be univalent in E. Let  $\mu$  and  $\vartheta$  be analytic in a domain  $D \supset q(E)$ with  $\vartheta(w) \neq 0$ , when  $w \in q(E)$ . Define  $Q(z) = zq'(z)\vartheta(q(z)), h(z) = \mu(q(z)) + Q(z)$ (14)

Assume that Q(z) is starlike and univalent in E

and 
$$\Re\left(\frac{\mu'(q(z))}{\vartheta(q(z))}\right) > 0$$
, for all  $z \in E$ . If  $p \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1]$   
 $\cap \mathbb{Q}$ , with  $p(E) \subseteq D$  and  
 $\mu(q(z)) + zq'(z)\vartheta(q(z)) \prec \mu(p(z)) + zp'(z)\vartheta(p(z))$ ,  
(15)

then  $q(z) \prec p(z)$  and q is the best subordinant.

We now define the following class of functions.

**Definition 2.4**: Denote by  $\Phi - \psi_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(z)$  the class of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , satisfying

$$\Re\left\{ \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}\right)^{\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{\lambda zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + \\ \frac{\lambda z[f'(z) - (\Phi(f(z)))']}{\Phi(f(z))} \end{bmatrix} \right\} > 0,$$
(16)

for  $z \in E$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  are complex numbers. Here the power is taken with its principal value. Remarks: We have the following inclusion relationships and known classes:

- 1. When  $\Phi(w) = w$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $\Phi \psi_0^1(z) = S^*(0)$ .
- 2. When  $\Phi(w) = w$ ,  $\alpha = 0, \lambda = 1, \Phi \psi_1^0(z)$  is the class of convex functions introduced by Goodman [5].
- 3. When  $\Phi(w) = w$ ,  $\alpha = 1, \lambda = 1$ ,
  - $\Phi \psi_1^1(z) \subset ST(1/2)$ , studied by Ramesha et al.[11].
- 4. When  $\Phi(w) = w$ ,  $\alpha = 1, \lambda = 1, \Phi \psi_1^1(z) \subset ST(\delta)$ , where  $\delta < 1/2$ , studied by Nunokawa et al.[9].
- 5. When  $\Phi(w) = w$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\Phi \psi_{\lambda}^{1}(z) \subset S^{*}$ , studied by Kamali and Srivastava [6].
- 6. When  $\alpha = 1, \lambda = 0, \Phi \psi_0^1(z)$  is the class of  $\Phi$  -like functions introduced by Brickman [3].
- 7. When  $\alpha = 1, \lambda \neq 0, \Phi \psi_{\lambda}^{1}(z)$  is the class of functions  $\Phi H$  studied and investigated by Siregar et al. [16].

# **III. MAIN RESULTS**

By making use of lemma 2.2, we prove the following result.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying  $f(z) \neq 0 (z \in E)$ . Also let the function q(z) be univalent in

E with q(0) = 1 and  $q(z) \neq 0$  such that

$$1. \Re\left(1 + (\alpha - 1)\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right) > 0$$
(17)
$$2. \Re\left(1 + (\alpha - 1)\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right) > 0$$
(18)

for all  $z \in E$ . If  $f \in A$  satisfies

$$\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}\right)^{\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{\lambda zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + \\ \frac{\lambda z[f'(z) - (\Phi(f(z)))']}{\Phi(f(z))} \end{bmatrix} \prec h(z)$$
(19)

where  $h(z) = \lambda q^{\alpha+1}(z) + (1-\lambda)q^{\alpha}(z) + \lambda z q'(z)q^{\alpha-1}(z)$ (20)

 $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  are complex numbers such that  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $zf'(z) \neq q(z)$  and q is the best dominant

 $\frac{zf'(z)}{\Phi(f(z))} \prec q(z)$  and q is the best dominant.

Proof. Let p(z) be the function defined for all  $z \in E$ 

by 
$$p(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}$$
. 1.  
(21)

Then the function p(z) is analytic in E with p(0) = 1. Consider

$$\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}\right)^{\alpha} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + \frac{\lambda z[f'(z) - (\Phi(f(z)))']}{\Phi(f(z))}\right] = (p(z))^{\alpha} \left[1 + \lambda \left(\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} - 1\right) + \lambda p(z)\right]$$
$$= \lambda p^{\alpha+1}(z) + (1 - \lambda) p^{\alpha}(z) + \lambda zp'(z) p^{\alpha-1}(z)$$
(22)

Define the functions  $\eta$  and  $\phi$  as  $\eta(w) = w^{\alpha}(1 - \lambda + \lambda w)$  and  $\phi(w) = \lambda w^{\alpha - 1}$  then the functions  $\eta$  and  $\phi$  are analytic in a domain  $D = C \setminus \{0\}$ and  $\phi(w) \neq 0$ ,  $w \in D$ . By defining the functions Qand h as follows:  $Q(z) = \lambda z q'(z) q^{\alpha - 1}(z)$  and  $h(z) = \eta(q(z)) + Q(z)$   $= \lambda q^{\alpha + 1}(z) + (1 - \lambda) q^{\alpha}(z) + \lambda z q'(z) q^{\alpha - 1}(z)$ . By using equation (22) in (19), we have

$$\lambda p^{\alpha+1}(z) + (1-\lambda)p^{\alpha}(z) + \lambda z p'(z)p^{\alpha-1}(z)$$
  
$$\prec \lambda q^{\alpha+1}(z) + (1-\lambda)q^{\alpha}(z) + \lambda z q'(z)q^{\alpha-1}(z)$$
  
(23)

A simple computation gives

$$\frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)} = 1 + (\alpha - 1)\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)},$$
  
$$\frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} = 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} + (\alpha - 1)\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} + (\alpha + 1)q(z) + \left(\frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda}\right)\alpha$$

By the conditions 1 and 2, we have that Q is starlike in E and  $\Re\left(\frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)}\right) > 0$ ,  $z \in E$ . Thus conditions of lemma 2.2 are satisfied. Therefore, the proof follows from lemma 2.2.

Remark3.1. By taking  $\alpha = 1$ , we obtain [12, Th.2.1, p.139] as a special case of Theorem 3.1. Remark3.2. By taking  $\Phi(w) = w, \alpha = 1$  and

$$q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$
,  $-1 \le B < A \le 1$ , in Theorem 3.1we have the result of Ravichandran and Javamala [13].

Remark3.3. By taking  $\Phi(w) = w$  we obtain [17, Th.3.1, p.32] as a special case of Theorem 3.1.

Remark3.4. If we consider the dominant  $q(z) = \frac{1+(1-2\nu)z}{1-z}$ ,  $0 \le \nu < 1$  a little calculation shows that this dominant satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1and for some particular choices of  $\Phi, \alpha, \lambda$  and  $\nu$  we get the following cases.

When  $\Phi(w) = w, \alpha = 1$ , in Theorem 3.1 we get the result [17, Cor.4.1, p.34] and also for  $\Phi(w) = w, \alpha = 1, \lambda = 1$  with above q(z) the result of Kwon [10] is obtained.

2. For 
$$\Phi(w) = w, \alpha = 1, \lambda = \frac{1}{2}$$
 in Theorem 3.1 and  $v = 0$  in  $q(z)$  we obtain:

If 
$$f \in \mathcal{A}$$
,  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0$  in  $E$ , satisfies  
 $\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) \left[1 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right] \prec \frac{1+2z}{(1-z)^2} = F(z)$ , then  $f \in S^*$ 

- 3. By taking  $\Phi(w) = w, \alpha = -1$  we obtain [17, Cor.4.2, p.35] as a special case of Theorem 3.1.
- 4. By taking  $\Phi(w) = w, \alpha = 0$  we obtain [17, Cor.4.3, p.35] as a special case of Theorem 3.1.
- 5. By taking  $\Phi(w) = w, \alpha = 0, \lambda = 1$  in Theorem 3.1 and

$$v = \frac{1}{2}$$
 in  $q(z)$  we obtain [17, Cor.4.4, p.36].

Remark 3.5. If we consider the dominant

 $q(z) = \frac{1+az}{1-z}$ ,  $-1 < a \le 1$  a little calculation shows that this dominant satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1 and for some particular choices of  $\Phi, \alpha, \lambda$  we get the following cases.

- a) When  $\Phi(w) = w, \alpha = 1, \lambda(0 < \lambda \le 1)$  a real number in Theorem 3.1 we get the result [17, Cor.4.5, p.36].
- b) By taking  $\Phi(w) = w, \alpha = -1, \lambda$  is a real number such that  $\lambda \in (-\infty, 0) \cup [1, \infty)$  we obtain [17, Cor.4.6, p.36] as a special case of Theorem 3.1.
- c) By taking  $\Phi(w) = w, \alpha = 0$  and  $\lambda$  is a complex number we obtain [17, Cor.4.7, p.36] as a special case of Theorem 3.1.
- d) For  $\lambda = 1$ , with the above choices as in (a), (b), (c) we get the results of Singh and Gupta [15].

By making use of lemma 2.3, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying f(0) = 0. Also let the function h(z) be convex univalent in E and  $h \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ . Assume that  $q, q(z) \neq 0$  be univalent in E such that

1. 
$$\Re\left(1 + (\alpha - 1)\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right) > 0$$
(24)
2. 
$$\Re\left((\alpha + 1)q(z) + \left(\frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda}\right)(\alpha)\right) > 0$$
(25)

for all  $z \in E$ . Assume that

$$\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}\right)^{\alpha} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + \frac{\lambda z[f'(z) - (\Phi(f(z)))']}{\Phi(f(z))}\right]$$
is

univalent in E and satisfies the differential superordination

$$h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + \lambda z q'(z) q^{\alpha-1}(z)$$
  
 
$$\prec \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}\right)^{\alpha} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda z f''(z)}{f'(z)} + \frac{\lambda z [f'(z) - (\Phi(f(z)))']}{\Phi(f(z))}\right]$$
  
(26)

where  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  are complex numbers such that  $\lambda \neq 0$ , zf'(z)

then  $q(z) \prec \frac{zf'(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}$  and q is the best subordinant.

Proof. Let p(z) be the function defined for all  $z \in E$ 

by 
$$p(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}$$
.  
(27)

Then the function p(z) is analytic in E with p(0) = 1. Define the functions  $\mu$  and  $\vartheta$  as

 $\mu(w) = w^{\alpha}(1 - \lambda + \lambda w)$  and  $\mathcal{G}(w) = \lambda w^{\alpha - 1}$  then the functions  $\mu$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are analytic in a domain  $D = C \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{G}(w) \neq 0$ ,  $w \in D$ . By defining the functions Q and h as follows:

$$Q(z) = \lambda z q'(z) q^{\alpha-1}(z) \text{ and } h(z) = \mu(q(z)) + Q(z)$$
$$= \lambda q^{\alpha+1}(z) + (1-\lambda)q^{\alpha}(z) + \lambda z q'(z)q^{\alpha-1}(z).$$
The superordination (3.26) becomes:

$$\prec \frac{\lambda q^{\alpha+1}(z) + (1-\lambda)q^{\alpha}(z) + \lambda z q'(z)q^{\alpha-1}(z)}{\lambda p^{\alpha+1}(z) + (1-\lambda)p^{\alpha}(z) + \lambda z p'(z)p^{\alpha-1}(z)}$$

(28) We also observe that

$$\frac{\mu'(q(z))}{\mathcal{G}(q(z))} = \left(\alpha + 1\right)q(z) + \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}\right)\alpha$$

The use of lemma 2.3 along with (28) completes the proof on the same lines as in case of Theorem. 3.1.

Remark3.6. By taking  $\Phi(w) = w$  in Theorem 3.2, we obtain [17, Th.3.2, p.33] as a special case.

Remark3.7. By taking  $\Phi(w) = w, \alpha = 1$  in Theorem 3.2, we have the result of R.M. Ali et.al. [1, Th.2.2, p.89].

Remark3.8. By taking  $\Phi(w) = w, \alpha = -1, \lambda = 1$  in Theorem 3.2, we obtain [1, Th.2.10, p.93] as a special case.

Combining Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 we get the following sandwich theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $q_i(z) \neq 0$  (i = 1, 2) be convex univalent in *E* such that  $q_1$  satisfies the conditions 1 and 2 of Theorem 3.2 and  $q_2$  follows the conditions 1 and 2 of Theorem 3.1. Define  $h_i(z)(i = 1, 2)$  by  $h_i(z) = \lambda q_i^{\alpha+1}(z) + (1-\lambda)q_i^{\alpha}(z) + \lambda z q_i'(z)q_i^{\alpha-1}(z)$  and suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , satisfies

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{\Phi(f(z))} \in \mathcal{H}[q(0),1] \cap \mathbb{Q} \text{ and}$$
$$\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}\right)^{\alpha} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + \frac{\lambda z[f'(z) - (\Phi(f(z)))']}{\Phi(f(z))}\right] \text{is}$$

univalent in E, where  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  are complex numbers such that  $\lambda \neq 0$  then

$$h_{1}(z) \prec \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}\right)^{\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{\lambda z f''(z)}{f'(z)} + \\ \frac{\lambda z [f'(z) - (\Phi(f(z)))']}{\Phi(f(z))} \end{bmatrix} \prec h_{2}(z)$$
(20)

(29)

implies  $q_1(z) \prec \frac{zf'(z)}{\Phi(f(z))} \prec q_2(z)$ . Further  $q_1, q_2$  are

respectively the best subordinant and best dominant.

Remark3.9. By taking  $\Phi(w) = w, \alpha = 1$  in Theorem 3.3, we obtain [1, Cor.2.3, p.90] as a special case.

Remark3.10. By taking  $\Phi(w) = w, \alpha = -1, \lambda = 1$  in Theorem 3.3, we obtain [1, Th.2.11, p.93] as a special case.

Remark3.11. By taking  $\alpha = 1$  in Theorem 3.3 we obtain [2, Th.4.2, p.8] as a special case.

Remark3.12. By taking  $\Phi(w) = w$  in Theorem 3.3, we obtain [17, Th.3.3, p.34] as a special case.

Remark3.13. For the selection of  $q_1(z) = 1 + az$  and  $q_2(z) = 1 + bz$ , 0 < a < b, in Theorem 3.3 and for some parti- cular choices of  $\Phi$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\lambda$  we obtain the results discussed in [17, Cor.5.1, p.37;Cor.5.2,p.38; Cor.5.3,p.38].

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to record their sincere thanks to the referees for their valuable suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- R.M. Ali, V. Ravichandran, M. Hussain Khan, K.G. Subramanian, *Differential Sandwich Theorems for Certain Analytic Functions*, Far East J. Math. Sci., **15** (1), (2004), 87-94.
- [2] R.M. Ali, N.E. Cho, Oh Sang Kwon, V. Ravichandran, A First Order Differential Double Subordination with Applications, Applied Mathematics Letters, 25, (2012), 268-274.
- [3] L. Brickman, Φ -like analytic functions I, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 79, (1973), 555-558.
- [4] T. Bulboaca, Classes of first order differential superordinations, Demonstr. Math., 35 (2), (2002), 287-292.
- [5] A.W. Goodman, Univalent Functions, Polygonal. Publ. Co. Inc., Washington, N.J., 1983.

- [6] M. Kamali and H.M. Srivastava, A Sufficient Condition for Starlikeness of Analytic Functions of Koebe type, JIPAM, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 5 (3), (2004), 1-8.
- [7] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations Theory and Applications*, Series on monographs and text books in pure and applied mathematics, No.225), (2000), Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel.
- [8] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, Subordinants of Differential Superordinations, Complex Variables Theory Appl.48 (10), (2003), 815-826.
- [9] M. Nunokawa, S. Owa, S.K. Lee, M. Obradovic, M.K. Aouf, H. Saitoh, A. Ikeda, N. Koike, *Sufficient Condition for Starlikeness*, Chinese J. Math., 24 (1996), 265-271.
- [10] Oh Sang Kwon, Sufficient Condition for Starlikeness and Strongly starlikeness, Commun. Korean. Math. Soc., 22 (1), (2007), 19-26.
- [11] C. Ramesha, S. Kumar, K.S. Padmanabhan, A sufficient condition for starlikeness, Chinese J. Math., 23, (1995), 167-171.
- [12] V. Ravichandran, N. Magesh, R. Rajalakshmi, On Certain Applications of Differential Subordinations for Φ -like functions, Tamkang J. Math., 36 (2), (2005), 137-142.
- [13] V. Ravichandran and M. Jayamala, On Sufficient Conditions for Caratheodary functions, Far East J. Math. Sci., 12 (2), (2004), 191-201.
- [14] St. Ruscheweyh, A subordination theorem for Φ -like functions, J. London. Math. Soc., 13(2), (1976), 275-280.
- [15] S. Singh and S. Gupta, Some applications of first order differential subordination, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 5 (3), (2004), 1-15.
- [16] S. Siregar, Ajab Akbarally, Certain Conditions for Starlikeness of  $\Phi$  -like functions of Koebe type, AIP Conf.Proc.1605, (2014), 596-600.
- [17] Sukhwinder Singh Billing, Differential sandwich type results for starlike functions, Scientia Magna, 9 (2), (2013), 30-40.