

On Tri Complex Representation Methods of a Quaternion Matrix Trace Inequality

K. Gunasekaran¹, M.Rahamathunisha²

^(1,2)Ramanujan Research Centre, PG and Research Department of Mathematics,
Government Arts College (Autonomous), Kumabakonam - 612002

Abstract :

In this note, the matrix trace inequality for positive semi definite quaternion matrices A and B, $tr(A * B)^m \leq \{tr(A)^{2m} * tr(B)^{2m}\}^{1/2}$ is established, where m is an integer.

The above inequality for improves the result given by yang (J. Math Anal Appl. 250 (2000), 372 – 374).

Key Words : Product of quaternion matrix, Positive semi definite, Positive definite, Hermitian matrix.

1.Introduction :

Recently, Yang [5] proved two matrix trace inequalities for positive semi definite matrices $A \in C^{n \times n}$ and $B \in C^{n \times n}$,

$$0 \leq tr(AB)^{2n} \leq (trA)^2 (trA^2)^{n-1} (trB^2)^n,$$

$$0 \leq tr(AB)^{2n+1} \leq (trA)(trB) (trA^2)^n (trB^2)^n,$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

The purpose of this note is improve the above inequalities; our main results are the following inequalities.

2.Definitions :

Definition 2.1: Positive Semi definite.

A matrix A is said to positive semi definite if there exist $X \neq 0$ such that $A X \geq 0$.

Definition 2.2: Positive definite.

A matrix A is positive definite if there exists $X \neq 0$ such that $AX > 0$

Note:

A Positive definite matrix is positive semi definite, but the converse need not true.

3.Lemmas:

Let $A \in H^{n \times n}$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1(A), \lambda_2(A), \dots, \lambda_n(A)$ and singular values $\sigma_1(A), \sigma_2(A), \dots, \sigma_n(A)$ respectively. Let $B \in H^{n \times n}$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1(B), \lambda_2(B), \dots, \lambda_n(B)$ and singular values $\sigma_1(B), \sigma_2(B), \dots, \sigma_n(B)$ respectively. They are arranged in such a way that,

$$|\lambda_1(A)| \geq |\lambda_2(A)| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n(A)| \text{ and}$$

$$|\sigma_1(A)| \geq |\sigma_2(A)| \geq \dots \geq |\sigma_n(A)|.$$

Similarly,

$$|\lambda_1(B)| \geq |\lambda_2(B)| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n(B)| \text{ and}$$

$$|\sigma_1(B)| \geq |\sigma_2(B)| \geq \dots \geq |\sigma_n(B)|.$$

Lemma 3.1: [1,2,3] If $A, B \in H^{n \times n}$, then

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \sigma_i(A * B) \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \sigma_i(A) * \sigma_i(B) \quad (1 \leq k < n) \quad (1)$$

Proof : For any quaternion matrix $A, B \in H^{n \times n}$, then A and B uniquely represented as

$$A = A_0 + A_1j + A_2k, \quad B = B_0 + B_1j + B_2k \text{ and}$$

$$A * B = A_0B_0 + A_1B_1j + A_2B_2k$$

$$\sigma_i(A * B) = \sigma_i(A_0B_0 + A_1B_1j + A_2B_2k)$$

$$= \sigma_i(A_0B_0) + \sigma_i(A_1B_1j) + \sigma_i(A_2B_2k)$$

$$\leq \sigma_i(A_0)\sigma_i(B_0) + \sigma_i(A_1)\sigma_i(B_1j) + \sigma_i(A_2)\sigma_i(B_2k)$$

$$[\because \sigma_i(A * B) \leq \sigma_i(A) * \sigma_i(B)]$$

$$= (\sigma_i A) * (\sigma_i B)$$

$$\sigma_i(A * B) \leq \sigma_i(A) * \sigma_i(B)$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \sigma_i(A * B) \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \sigma_i(A) * \sigma_i(B)$$

The proof is complete.

Lemma 3.2: [3] If $A \in H^{n \times n}$, then

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(A) \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \sigma_i(A) \quad (1 \leq k \leq n) \quad (2)$$

Proof: Let $A \in H^{n \times n}$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1(A), \lambda_2(A), \dots, \lambda_n(A)$ and singular values $\sigma_1(A), \sigma_2(A), \dots, \sigma_n(A)$ respectively.

For any quaternion matrix $A \in H^{n \times n}$, A can be uniquely represented as $A = A_0 + A_1j + A_2k$

$$\lambda_i(A) = \lambda_i(A_0 + A_1j + A_2k)$$

$$|\lambda_i(A)| = |\lambda_i(A_0 + A_1j + A_2k)|$$

$$|\lambda_i(A)| \leq |\lambda_i(A_0)| + |\lambda_i(A_1j)| + |\lambda_i(A_2k)|$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^k |\lambda_i(A)| \leq \prod_{i=1}^k |\lambda_i(A_0)| + \prod_{i=1}^k |\lambda_i(A_1j)| + \prod_{i=1}^k |\lambda_i(A_2k)|$$

$$\sigma_i(A) = \sigma_i(A_0 + A_1j + A_2k) = \sigma_i(A_0) + \sigma_i(A_1j) + \sigma_i(A_2k)$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^k |\sigma_i(A)| \leq \prod_{i=1}^k |\sigma_i(A_0)| + \prod_{i=1}^k |\sigma_i(A_1j)| + \prod_{i=1}^k |\sigma_i(A_2k)|$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^k |\lambda_i(A)| \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \sigma_i(A)$$

The proof is complete.

Lemma 3.3: [1,2,4] Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n be real numbers.

If $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_n, y_1 \geq y_2 \geq \dots \geq y_n$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_i \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \text{ then for every convex and increasing function } f,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k f(x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k f(y_i), \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Lemma 3.4: Let a_{ij} ($i=1, \dots, n, j=1, \dots, m$) be non negative real numbers and

Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ be positive numbers such that $1/\alpha_1 + \dots + 1/\alpha_m = 1$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_{i1} \dots a_{im} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{i1}^{\alpha_1} \right)^{1/\alpha_1} \dots \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{im}^{\alpha_m} \right)^{1/\alpha_m}$$

4. Theorems and Corollary :

Theorem 4.1 : If $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m \in H^{n \times n}$, then

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^m A_j \right) \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_i(A_j) \right), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n$$

Proof : $\prod_{i=1}^k \sigma_i(A_1 \dots A_m) = \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i((A_1 \dots A_m)^*(A_1 \dots A_m)) = \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(A_m^* \dots A_1^* A_1 A_2 \dots A_m)$

$$= \lambda_1((A_m^* \dots A_1^*)(A_1 A_2 \dots A_m)) \lambda_2(A_m^* \dots A_1^*)(A_1 A_2 \dots A_m) \dots \lambda_k((A_m^* \dots A_1^*)(A_1 A_2 \dots A_m))$$

Now, $\prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_i(A_j) = \prod_{i=1}^k [\lambda_i(A_1^* A_1) \lambda_i(A_2^* A_2) \dots \lambda_i(A_m^* A_m)]$

$$= \lambda_1(A_1^* A_1) \lambda_1(A_2^* A_2) \dots \lambda_1(A_m^* A_m)$$

$$\lambda_2(A_1^* A_1) \lambda_2(A_2^* A_2) \dots \lambda_2(A_m^* A_m) \dots \lambda_k(A_1^* A_1) \dots \lambda_k(A_m^* A_m)$$

So,

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^m A_j \right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_i(A_j)$$

The Proof is complete.

Corollary :4.2: If $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m \in H^{n \times n}$ are positive semi definite matrices, then

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \left| \lambda_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^m A_j \right) \right| \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_i(A_j), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^k \left| \lambda_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^m A_j \right) \right| &= \prod_{i=1}^k |\lambda_i(A_1 A_2 \dots A_m)| = \prod_{i=1}^k |\lambda_1(A_1 A_2 \dots A_m)| \\ &\quad \prod_{i=1}^k |\lambda_2(A_1 A_2 \dots A_m)| \dots \prod_{i=1}^k |\lambda_k(A_1 A_2 \dots A_m)| \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^k \sigma_1(A_1 \dots A_m) \dots \prod_{i=1}^k \sigma_k(A_1 \dots A_m) \quad [Lemma 3.2] \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^k \sigma_i(A_m) \sigma_i(A_{m-1}) \dots \sigma_i(A_1) = \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_i(A_j) \\ &\geq \prod_{i=1}^k \left| \lambda_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^m A_j \right) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \prod_{i=1}^k \left| \lambda_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^m A_j \right) \right| \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_i(A_j)$$

The Proof is complete.

Theorem 4.3: If $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m \in H^{n \times n}$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^m A_j \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_i(A_j), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Proof: For any $k: 1 \leq k \leq n$, without loss of generality, we can suppose that

$$\sigma_r \left(\prod_{j=1}^m A_j \right) > 0, \sigma_{r+1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^m A_j \right) = 0, \quad 1 \leq r \leq k$$

From Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_r(A_j) > 0$$

Let

$$y_i = l_n \prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_i(A_j), \quad x_i = l_n \sigma_i\left(\prod_{j=1}^m A_j\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq r$$

Thus, $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_n$, $y_1 \geq y_2 \geq \dots \geq y_n$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^l x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^l y_i, \quad 1 \leq l \leq r$$

By Lemma 3.3, for $f = e^x$

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i\left(\prod_{j=1}^m A_j\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_i(A_j), \quad 1 \leq r \leq k$$

Therefore, from the supposition we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i\left(\prod_{j=1}^m A_j\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_i(A_j), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n$$

The Proof is complete.

Theorem 4.4 : Let $A \in H^{n \times n}$ and $B \in H^{n \times n}$ be positive semi definite matrices;

then we have $tr(A * B)^m \leq \{tr(A)^{2m} * tr(B)^{2m}\}^{1/2}$, Where m is an integer.

Proof : From the inequality,

$$tr(A * B)^m = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(A * B)^m \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i((A * B)^m)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(A * B)^m \quad (3)$$

and theorem 4.3 we have,

$$tr(A * B)^m \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^m(A) * \sigma_i^m(B) \quad (4)$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 3.4 and positive semi definite of A and B, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^m(A) * \sigma_i^m(B) \leq \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^{2m}(A) \right) * \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^{2m}(B) \right) \right\}^{1/2} \quad (5)$$

Note that $\sigma_i^{2m}(A) = \lambda_i^m(A^2)$,

$$\sigma_i^{2m}(B) = \lambda_i^m(B^2), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (6)$$

Combining inequalities (3) – (5) and

Equation (6), we have

$$tr(A * B)^m \leq \{tr(A)^{2m} * tr(B)^{2m}\}^{1/2}, \quad \text{for } m = 1, 2, \dots$$

The proof is complete.

Using inequality $tr(A * B) \leq tr(A) * tr(B)$ for positive semidefinite matrices of the same order A and B as well as theorem 4.4, it is easy to verify the following corollary, which is the main result in [5].

Corollary 4.5: If $A \in H^{n \times n}$ and $B \in H^{n \times n}$, then

$$0 \leq tr(A * B)^{2n} \leq (trA)^2 * (trA^2)^{n-1} (trB^2)^n$$

$$0 \leq tr(A * B)^{2n+1} \leq (trA) * (trB) * (trA^2)^n * (trB^2)^n \quad \text{For } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Remark : It is easy to show that theorem 4.4 in the paper proper improves the result in [1] by a simple example. [2]

References :

1. Gunasekaran. K. and Rahamathunisha. M: "On tricomplex representation methods and application of matrices over quaternion division algebra"; Applied Science Periodical. Volume XVIII, No.1, Feb (2016).
2. Gunasekaran. K, Kannan. K and Rahamathunisha. M: "On Tri Complex representation methods of Matrix trace inequality"; Journal of ultra scientist of physical sciences. <http://dx.doi.org/10.22147/jusps-A/290105>, JUSPS –A Vol.29(1), 30-32 (2017).
3. Horn. R.A. and Johnson. C.R: "Topics in Matrix Analysis"; pp. 171-172, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK. (1991).
4. Mitrinovic. D.S: "Analytic Inequalities"; pp.52 and 165, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
5. Yang. X: "A Matirx trace inequality"; J. Math. Anal. Appl. 250, 372-374 (2000).