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#### Abstract

In the present paper we investigate here the occurrence of naked singularities as well as their nature in the gravitational collapse of higher dimensional space times of Monopole Vaidya solution. In the final state of the collapse, Black holes and naked singularities are shown to be developed. The number of dimensions is not restricted. These results involving here might be important in the light of the recent proposal given by String theory, which states that initially our Universe may be of infinite dimensions at higher energy level, there after that it got settled to $4 D$ case by dimensional reduction the lower energy level. Thus final outcome of $(n+2)$ dimensional gravitational collapse becomes most important issue in the gravitational Physics.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

The investigation of final outcome of continual gravitational collapse of matter is one of the most important fields of research in general relativity ([4], [2]). The question of final outcome of endless collapse of a massive star under its own gravity is of central importance in gravitational theory and relativistic astrophysics now a day.

The massive star which is five times more than the size of the sun is undergoes continuous gravitational collapses which has exhausted its nuclear fuel could stabilize as white dwarf or neutron star. In earlier the singularity theorems in general relativity gave some hints referred and predicted that this develops into space time singularity, which is either visible to external universe or hidden within an event horizon of gravity [7]. The space time curvatures and densities get arbitrarily high and because of ultra strong gravity regions they can diverge. Their visibility to faraway observers is determined by the normal structure within the dynamically developed collapsing cloud, which is governed by the Einstein field equations when this internal dynamics of the gravitational collapse delays the formation of horizon, then they become visible and they could also
communicate with the physical effects of the External Universe.

It is an assumption that the four dimensional space time of our Universe where we live in is obtained by reducing the higher dimensional space time. There has been the considerable interest in recent times to find the solutions of Einstein equation in more than four dimensional space time, is inspired by the work in String theory and other field theories. It is also believed that the space-time in large energy limit of Plank energy may have higher dimensions other than four. It has been also considered as possible avenues to unify the basic forces of nature in higher dimensional gravity theories.

However, in our experiment we cannot directly observe the extra dimensions. We can detect the extra dimensions indirectly with the help of string theory. Arkani, Hamid and Dimopolous had suggested all the possible effects of extra dimensions. The first inspiration of higher dimensional space time was observed from super gravity theory and super string theory.

The objective of this paper is to explore what is happening at last of higher dimensional space time. Here we are trying to show the results which are acquired in four dimensional space time are also authentic in $(\mathrm{n}+2)$ dimensional space time.

In this paper we discuss ( $\mathrm{n}+2$ ) dimensional solution to monopole vaidya space time in section 2 and 3 . This is followed by nature of singularity in section 4. Finally we give the concluding remarks in section 5

## II. VAIDYA SOLUTION IN (n+2) DIMENSIONAL SPACE TIME

The $(\mathrm{n}+2)$ dimensional space time of generalized vaidya metric is as follows [11]

$$
\begin{aligned}
d s^{2}= & -\left(1-\frac{m(w, r)}{r^{n-1}}\right) d w^{2}+2 d w d r+r^{2}\left[d \theta_{1}^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta_{1} d \theta_{2}^{2}+\right. \\
& \left.\sin ^{2} \theta_{1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{2} d \theta_{2}^{3}+\sin ^{2} \theta_{1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{2} \ldots \ldots . \sin ^{2} \theta_{n-1} d \theta_{n}^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

(1)

Where $w$ is advanced Eddington time coordinate and $r$ is radial coordinate
with the condition $0<r<\infty$
where $m(w, r)$ is gravitational mass which will present in the sphere of radius $r$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
d \theta_{1}^{2}+ & \sin ^{2} \theta_{1} d \theta_{2}^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta_{1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{2} d \theta_{2}^{3}+ \\
& +\sin ^{2} \theta_{1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{2} \ldots \ldots . \sin ^{2} \theta_{n-1} d \theta_{n}^{2} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Is the metric of n - sphere and we denote this metric as

$$
d \psi^{2}
$$

The corresponding energy momentum tensor is given by

$$
T_{\gamma \delta}=T_{\gamma \delta}{ }^{(n)}+T_{\gamma \delta}{ }^{(m)}
$$

(3)

$$
T_{\gamma \delta}{ }^{(n)}=\mu l_{\gamma} l_{\delta}
$$

(4)

And $T_{\gamma \delta}=(P+\rho)\left(l_{\gamma} n_{\delta}+l_{\delta}{ }_{\gamma}\right)+P g_{\gamma} \delta$
(5)

Here the above P and $\rho$ represents the thermodynamic pressure and energy density, where as $\mu$ represents energy density of Vaidya null radiation.
$l_{\gamma}, n_{\delta}$ are linearly independent two Eigen vectors of energy momentum tensor.
These Eigen vectors are having the components
$l_{\gamma}=\lambda_{\gamma}{ }^{0}$,
(6)
$n_{\gamma}=\frac{1}{2}\left[1-\frac{m(w, r)}{r^{n-1}}\right] \lambda_{\gamma}{ }^{0}-\lambda_{\gamma}{ }^{1}$,
(7)
$l_{v} n^{v}=-1, \quad l_{v} l^{v}=n_{v} n^{v}=0$
(8)

Especially for the above Eq. (5) when $P=\rho=0$ then it reduces to Vaidya solution of higher dimensional space time with $m=m(w)$ [5]

Now we consider EMT of Eq.(7) as the general case.

The energy conditions for the above will be as follows:

1. The dominant energy conditions are
$\mu \geq$
0 ,
$\rho \geq \mathrm{P} \geq 0$
(9)
2. The weak and strong energy conditions are
$\mu \geq 0, \quad \mathrm{P} \geq 0 \quad, \quad \rho \geq 0$ (10)

Einstein field equations is given by
$G_{\gamma \delta}=K T_{\gamma \delta}$
(11)

Where $G_{\gamma \delta}$ is Einstein tensor?
K is Gravitational constant
From Eqs (1), (3) and (4) which is having Stress Energy tensor is given by

$$
\rho=\frac{n m}{k(n-1) r^{n}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{-m^{\prime \prime}}{k(n-1) r^{n-1}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\frac{\stackrel{\bullet}{m}}{k(n-1) r^{n}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here dash and dot represents differentiation with respect to ' $r$ ' and ' $u$ ' respectively.

From the above equations
The limitations on ' $m$ ' should be
(i) $m^{\prime} \geq 0, m^{\prime \prime} \geq 0 \quad$ (ii) $\stackrel{\bullet}{m}>0$ to satisfy the energy conditions
(i)indicates the mass function either increases with ' $r$ ' or is constant
(ii)indicates the matter within radius ' $r$ ' increases with time.

## III. (n+2) DIMENSION SPACE TIME IN MONOPOLE VAIDYA SOLUTION

The mass function in four dimensions in Wang theory is

$$
m(w, r)=\beta r \quad 0<\beta<1
$$

where $\beta$ is arbitrary constant [11]
The mass function in $(\mathrm{n}+2)$ dimensional Vaidya space time is taken as ([1], [6])
$m(w, r)=\frac{3}{4} \beta r^{n-1} \quad 0<\beta<1$
The mass function of Vaidya space time in $(\mathrm{n}+2)$ dimension is given by
$m(w, r)=g(w)$
In terms of mass function the energy momentum tensor is linear. So, a linear superposition of particular solution is also $m(w, r)=\frac{3}{4} \beta r^{n=1}+g(w)$
solution of Einstein's eq (1) by combining eqs (15) and (16)

The Physical situation is for $\mathrm{w}<0$
If $g(w)=0$ then the space time is $(\mathrm{n}+2)$ dimensional Minkowskian monopole field.
At $r=0$; $w=0$ of growing mass $g(w)$ then the radiation is focused into central singularity.
For $\mathrm{w}>\mathrm{T}$ the exterior space time settles into $(\mathrm{n}+2)$ dimensional Reisster- Nordstom Monopole field solution

Hence by using Eq (17), Eq (1) can be written as
$d s^{2}=-\left(1-\left(\frac{3 / 4 \beta r^{n-1}}{r^{n-1}}+\frac{g(w)}{r^{n-1}}\right)\right) d w^{2}+2 d w d r+r^{2} d \psi^{2}$
$d s^{2}=-\left(1-\frac{3}{4} \beta-\frac{g(w)}{r}\right) d w^{2}+2 d w d r+r^{2} d \psi^{2}$
(18)

The above metric is also called as ( $\mathrm{n}+2$ ) dimensional generalized Vaidya Monopole metric.

## IV. NATURE OF SINGULARITY

Here we are going to investigate the nature of singularity in ( $\mathrm{n}+2$ ) dimensional monopole Vaidya solution. By the use of reference [6] we investigate the nature of singularity ([3, 8, 13]).

If the radial null geodesics equation gives atleast one real and positive root then the central singularity is said to be naked singularity.

For the metric obtained in Eq (18) the outgoing radial null geodesics is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d r}{d w}=\frac{1}{2}\left[1-\frac{3}{4} \beta-\frac{g(w)}{r n-1}\right] \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the above equation generally there is no analytic solution to $g(w)$
However if we choose $g(w) \propto w^{n-1}$ then eq (17) becomes homogeneous and we can solve interms of elementary function.
So, we choose
$g(w)=\frac{3}{4} \tau w^{n-1}$

Now, Vaidya space time (18) becomes
$d s^{2}=-\left[1-\frac{3}{4} \beta-\frac{3 / 4 \tau w^{n-1}}{r^{n-1}}\right] d w^{2}+2 d w d r+r^{2} d \psi^{2}$
(21)

Here we consider radial null geodesics defined by $d s^{2}=0$ by
taking
$\stackrel{\bullet}{\theta_{1}}={\stackrel{\bullet}{\theta_{2}}}_{2}=\stackrel{\bullet}{\theta_{3}}=\stackrel{\bullet}{\theta_{4}}=$ $\qquad$ $=\stackrel{\bullet}{\theta_{n}}=0$
to investigate the structure of the collapse.
The radial null geodesics for the metric (21) should satisfy the null condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d w}{d r}=\frac{2}{1-\frac{3}{4} \beta-\frac{3 / 4 \tau w^{n-1}}{r^{n-1}}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coordinate $w$ is an advanced time coordinate. The above equation has a singularity at $r \rightarrow 0, w \rightarrow 0$ Here we are considering the limiting value as $X=\frac{w}{r}$ along the singular geodesic to approach a singularity
Hence, for the geodesic tangent to exist at this point uniquely we should have the following
$X_{0}=\lim _{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{w}{r}=\lim _{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{d w}{d r}$ $r \rightarrow 0 \quad r \rightarrow 0$
$X_{0}=\lim _{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{2}{r \rightarrow 0} 1-\frac{3}{4} \beta-\frac{3 / 4 \tau w^{n-1}}{r^{n-1}}$
$X_{0}=\frac{2}{1-\frac{3}{4} \beta-\frac{3}{4} \tau X_{0}{ }^{n-1}}$
$X_{0}-\frac{3}{4} \beta X_{0}-\frac{3}{4} \tau X_{0}{ }^{n}=2$
$\frac{3}{4} \tau X_{0}^{n}+\frac{3}{4} \beta X_{0}-X_{0}+2=0$
$3 \tau X_{0}{ }^{n}+3 \beta X_{0}-4 X_{0}+8=0$
$3 \tau X_{0}{ }^{n}-(4-3 \beta) X_{0}+8=0$
From this Eq. we decide the nature of the singularity. The singularity will be naked if there exists at least
one real and positive root. If there is no positive root then it ends into a black hole.
To investigate whether the naked singularities will arise or not, we take some different values of $\tau, \beta$ and $n$

## Case (i)

If we substitute $n=2$ then the Eq (21) reduces to 4D Monopole Vaidya space time. Here we select the values as $n=2, \beta=0.6$ then the Eq (25) becomes

$$
3 \tau X_{0}^{2}-2.2 X_{0}+8=0
$$

Let us verify for $\tau=0.01$ then we obtain a one of the real
positive root as $X_{0}=3.837$ which clearly admits that, it is a naked singularity.

## Case (ii)

Now we verify for $n=3$ i.e we get the eq. as five dimensional Monopole Vaidya solution. For $n=2, \beta=0.6$ we get the equation
$3 \tau X_{0}{ }^{3}-2.2 X_{0}+8=0$
Here one of the values appears as 3.706 which is a real and positive value. So, here also we ensure that it is a naked singularity.
In the same way let us take for different values of n Now we take $n=4$ i.e for six dimensional then the equation reduces to

$$
3 \tau X_{0}^{4}-(4-3 \beta) X_{0}+8=0
$$

Now the roots which are going to obtained for different values of $\tau$ and $\beta$ are given in the following table

TABLE I
VALUES of $X_{0}$ for DIFFERENT VALUES of $\beta$ and $\tau$ in 6D

| for $\mathrm{n}=4$ (i.e 6 D ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tau$ | $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\beta=0.1$ | $\beta=0.3$ | $\beta=0.5$ | $\beta=0.7$ |
| $1 * 10^{-6}$ | 106.51 | 100.224 | 93.012 | 84.425 |
| $1 * 10^{-7}$ | 84.384 | 79.363 | 73.591 | 66.695 |
| $3 * 10^{-6}$ | 73.621 | 69.216 | 64.144 | 58.068 |
| $4 * 10^{-6}$ | 66.821 | 62.804 | 58.174 | 52.616 |
| $5 * 10^{-6}$ | 61.977 | 58.237 | 53.921 | 48.731 |
| $6 * 10^{-6}$ | 58.278 | 54.749 | 50.673 | 45.764 |
| $7 * 10^{-6}$ | 55.321 | 51.96 | 48.077 | 43.391 |
| $8 * 10^{-6}$ | 52.879 | 49.658 | 45.933 | 41.432 |
| $9 * 10^{-6}$ | 50.814 | 47.71 | 44.119 | 39.774 |



Fig. 1 Graph of the Values of $X_{0}$ against the values of $\tau$ in 6 D
From the graph we observe that at the initial state the value of $X_{0}$ is at peak and the values of $X_{0}$ decreases when increase the value of $\beta$.
It is also observed that the peak shifted towards lower values of $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ for the different values of $\tau$. As we increase the values of $\beta$ the values of $\tau$ of $X_{0}$ decreases.

For $n=5$ (i.e for 7D) the equation becomes $3 \tau X_{0}{ }^{5}-(4-3 \beta) X_{0}+8=0$
Then for this equation the roots of different values of $\beta$ in seven dimensional Monopole Vaidya space time is shown below in the following table

TABLE 2
VALUES of $X_{0}$ for DIFFERENT VALUES of $\beta$ and $\tau$ in 7D

| for $\mathrm{n}=5$ (i.e 7D) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tau$ | $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\beta=0.1$ | $\beta=0.3$ | $\beta=0.5$ | $\beta=0.7$ |
| $1^{*} 10^{-7}$ | 58.708 | 56.033 | 52.897 | 49.053 |
| $2 * 10^{-7}$ | 49.277 | 47.008 | 44.342 | 41.059 |
| $3 * 10^{-7}$ | 44.471 | 42.41 | 39.982 | 36.983 |
| $4^{*} 10^{-7}$ | 41.345 | 39.418 | 37.146 | 34.331 |
| $5^{*} 10^{-7}$ | 39.07 | 37.241 | 35.081 | 32.4 |
| $6^{*} 10^{-7}$ | 37.303 | 35.55 | 33.478 | 30.901 |
| $7 * 10^{-7}$ | 35.871 | 34.179 | 32.178 | 29.684 |
| $8^{*} 10^{-7}$ | 34.674 | 33.034 | 31.091 | 28.667 |
| $9^{*} 10^{-7}$ | 33.651 | 32.054 | 30.162 | 27.798 |



Fig. 2 Graph of the Values of $X_{0}$ against the values of $\tau$ in 7D
We observe in 7D space time, the value of $X_{0}$ decrease as we increase the value of $\tau$. Also for increasing $\beta$ the value decreases rapidly.

Now for $n=6$ (i.e for 8 D ) the equation becomes

$$
3 \tau X_{0}{ }^{6}-(4-3 \beta) X_{0}+8=0
$$

Then for this equation the roots of different values of $\beta$ in 8 D
Monopole Vaidya space time is shown below in the following table.

TABLE III
VALUES of $X_{0}$ for DIFFERENT VALUES of $\beta$ and $\tau$ in 8D

| for $\mathrm{n}=6$ (i.e 8D) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tau$ | $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\beta=0.1$ | $\beta=0.3$ | $\beta=0.5$ | $\beta=0.7$ |
| $1 * 10^{-8}$ | 41.069 | 39.535 | 37.71 | 35.427 |
| $2 * 10^{-8}$ | 35.693 | 34.345 | 32.736 | 30.712 |
| $3 * 10^{-8}$ | 32.876 | 31.625 | 30.129 | 28.241 |
| $4 * 10^{-8}$ | 31.012 | 29.825 | 28.403 | 26.604 |
| $5 * 10^{-8}$ | 29.638 | 28.498 | 27.131 | 25.397 |
| $6 * 10^{-8}$ | 28.559 | 27.456 | 26.133 | 24.45 |
| $7 * 10^{-8}$ | 27.678 | 26.605 | 25.317 | 23.675 |
| $8^{*} 10^{-8}$ | 26.936 | 25.889 | 24.63 | 23.023 |
| $9 * 10^{-8}$ | 26.298 | 25.272 | 24.038 | 22.462 |



Fig.3. Graph of the Values of $X_{0}$ against the values of $\tau$ in 8D
We observe in 8 D space time, the value of $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ decrease as we increase the value of $\tau$. Also for increasing $\beta$ the value decreases rapidly.

Now for $n=7$ (i.e for 9D) the equation becomes $3 \tau X_{0}{ }^{7}-(4-3 \beta) X_{0}+8=0$
Then for this equation the roots of different values of $\beta$ in 9D Monopole Vaidya space time is shown below in the following table.

TABLE IV
VALUES of $X_{0}$ for DIFFERENT VALUES of $\beta$ and $\tau$ in 9D

| for $\mathrm{n}=7$ (i.e 9D) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tau$ | $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\beta=0.1$ | $\beta=0.3$ | $\beta=0.5$ | $\beta=0.7$ |
| $1 * 10^{-9}$ | 32.373 | 31.344 | 30.107 | 28.535 |
| $2 * 10^{-9}$ | 28.798 | 27.872 | 26.755 | 25.328 |
| $3 * 10^{-9}$ | 26.89 | 26.019 | 24.966 | 23.616 |
| $4 * 10^{-9}$ | 25.163 | 24.778 | 23.768 | 22.469 |
| $5^{*} 10^{-9}$ | 24.663 | 23.856 | 22.877 | 21.615 |
| $6 * 10^{-9}$ | 23.913 | 23.127 | 22.173 | 20.941 |
| $7 * 10^{-9}$ | 23.296 | 22.528 | 21.595 | 20.387 |
| $8^{*} 10^{-9}$ | 22.774 | 22.021 | 21.105 | 19.917 |
| $9 * 10^{-9}$ | 22.324 | 21.583 | 20.682 | 19.512 |



Fig.4. Graph of the Values of $X_{0}$ against the values of $\tau$ in 9D
We observe in 9D space time, the value of $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ decrease as we increase the value of $\beta$. Also for increasing $\beta$ the value of $X_{0}$ decreases rapidly.

Now for $n=8$ (i.e for 10D) the equation becomes

$$
3 \tau X_{0}^{8}-(4-3 \beta) X_{0}+8=0
$$

Then for this equation the roots of different values of $\beta$ in10D Monopole Vaidya space time is shown below in the following table.

TABLE V
VALUES of $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ for DIFFERENT VALUES of $\beta$ and $\tau$ in 10D

| for $\mathrm{n}=8$ (i.e 10D) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\beta=0.1$ | $\beta=0.3$ | $\beta=0.5$ | $\beta=0.7$ |
| $1 * 10^{-10}$ | 27.319 | 26.652 | 25.644 | 24.463 |
| $2 * 10^{-10}$ | 24.711 | 24.019 | 23.176 | 22.085 |
| $3^{*} 10^{-10}$ | 23.301 | 22.643 | 21.841 | 20.799 |
| $4^{*} 10^{-10}$ | 22.349 | 21.714 | 20.939 | 19.93 |
| $5^{*} 10^{-10}$ | 21.637 | 21.02 | 20.265 | 19.279 |
| $6^{*} 10^{-10}$ | 21.072 | 20.468 | 19.729 | 18.763 |
| $7 * 10^{-10}$ | 20.605 | 20.013 | 19.288 | 18.337 |
| $8^{*} 10^{-10}$ | 20.209 | 19.627 | 18.912 | 17.975 |
| $9^{*} 10^{-10}$ | 19.866 | 19.292 | 18.587 | 17.661 |



Fig.5. Graph of the Values of $X_{0}$ against the values of $\beta$ and $\tau$ in10D
We observe in 10D space time, the value of $X_{0}$ decrease as we increase the value of $\beta$. Also for increasing $\beta$ the value of $X_{0}$ decreases rapidly.

## Case (iii)

For constant $\beta$
Let us choose $\beta=0.2$. Then the equation becomes

$$
3 \tau X_{0}^{n}-3.4 X_{0}+8=0
$$

Now for different values of $\tau$ we find the values of $X_{0}$ in the following table

TABLE VI
VALUES of $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ for DIFFERENT VALUES of n and $\tau$ for $\beta=0.2$

| $\tau$ | $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=4$ | $\mathrm{n}=5$ | $\mathrm{n}=6$ | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | $\mathrm{n}=8$ | $\mathrm{n}=9$ |  |
| $1 * 10^{-7}$ | 223.832 | 57.418 | 25.257 | 14.553 | 9.788 | 7.253 |  |
| $2 * 10^{-7}$ | 177.491 | 48.183 | 21.918 | 12.912 | 8.821 | 6.611 |  |
| $3 * 10^{-7}$ | 154.952 | 43.478 | 20.168 | 12.035 | 8.297 | 6.26 |  |
| $4 * 10^{-7}$ | 140.71 | 40.417 | 19.01 | 11.448 | 7.943 | 6.02 |  |
| $5 * 10^{-7}$ | 130.566 | 38.189 | 18.156 | 11.011 | 7.677 | 5.84 |  |
| $6 * 10^{-7}$ | 122.82 | 36.459 | 17.486 | 10.666 | 7.466 | 5.896 |  |
| $7 * 10^{-7}$ | 116.628 | 35.057 | 16.938 | 10.382 | 7.292 | 5.577 |  |
| $8 * 10^{-7}$ | 111.516 | 33.885 | 16.477 | 10.142 | 7.144 | 5.475 |  |
| $9 * 10^{-7}$ | 107.191 | 32.883 | 16.08 | 9.934 | 7.016 | 5.387 |  |



Fig.6. Graph of $X_{0}$ against the values of $\tau$ for $\beta=0.2$

From the above graph we observed that for a fixed value $\beta$ the values of $X_{0}$ decreases very quickly in higher dimensions. The value of $X_{0}$ is at the peak initially then it goes on decreasing with increasing value of $\tau$.

If let us choose $\beta=0.4$. Then the equation becomes
$3 \tau X_{0}^{n}-2.8 X_{0}+8=0$
Now for different values of $\tau$ we find the values of $X_{0}$ in the following table

## TABLE VII

VALUES of $X_{0}$ for DIFFERENT VALUES of n and $\tau$ for $\beta=0.4$

| $\tau$ | $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=4$ | $\mathrm{n}=5$ | $\mathrm{n}=6$ | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | $\mathrm{n}=8$ | $\mathrm{n}=9$ |
| $1 * 10^{-9}$ | 976.311 | 174.065 | 61.643 | 30.757 | 18.669 | 12.81 |
| $2 * 10^{-9}$ | 774.701 | 146.255 | 53.585 | 27.343 | 16.862 | 11.707 |
| $3 * 10^{-9}$ | 676.643 | 132.085 | 49.363 | 25.521 | 15.884 | 11.104 |
| $4 * 10^{-9}$ | 614.683 | 122.868 | 46.569 | 24.3 | 15.224 | 10.694 |
| $5 * 10^{-9}$ | 570.552 | 116.162 | 44.509 | 23.393 | 14.73 | 10.385 |
| $6 * 10^{-9}$ | 536.854 | 110.953 | 42.893 | 22.676 | 14.338 | 10.14 |
| $7 * 10^{-9}$ | 509.917 | 106.731 | 41.572 | 22.087 | 14.014 | 9.936 |
| $8 * 10^{-9}$ | 487.676 | 103.202 | 40.46 | 21.588 | 13.739 | 9.763 |
| $9 * 10^{-9}$ | 468.864 | 100.186 | 39.504 | 21.158 | 13.501 | 9.612 |



Fig.7. Graph of $X_{0}$ against the values of $\tau$ for $\beta=0.4$
From the above graph we observed that for a fixed value $\beta$ the values of $X_{0}$ decreases very quickly in higher dimensions.
The value of $X_{0}$ is at the peak initially then it goes on decreasing with increasing value of $\tau$.

## V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Gravitational Collapse is one of the most interesting topics of the gravitational Physics and Astrophysics [9]. The end stage of the collapse was a big question to everyone. No one has identified exactly what is happening at the final stage. From the Cosmic Censorship Hypothesis initially we have an idea that the end state of singularity should be hidden by horizons. ([10], [12]).

From the past decades many examples has opposed this Cosmic Censorship Hypothesis. Even no one has given the exact proof. In this above example, it gives an idea that the end state of singularity is not hidden by a horizon, and is called as Naked Singularity.

In our present work, the final state of Monopole Vaidya Solution in $(\mathrm{n}+2)$ dimensional space time results in naked singularity. The results which are appeared in four dimensional space time will also valid in ( $\mathrm{n}+2$ ) dimensional space time.

For the different arbitrary dimensions we have shown that naked singularities occur in ( $\mathrm{n}+2$ ) dimensional space time of Monopole Vaidya solution. We also identified that by taking increasing values of $\beta$ we got the decreasing values of $X_{0}$.

For the constant $\beta$ the roots are going on increasing for the higher dimensions. This indicates that Black holes as well as naked singularities are obtained at the final state of the collapse. But we had
point out that real and positive roots are obtained which indicates that the singularity is naked. This violates the Cosmic Censorship Hypothesis which says that only Black holes are observed. So, we conclude that singularity is naked in the final state of $(\mathrm{n}+2)$ dimensional space time of Monopole Vaidya solution.
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