

# Common Fixed Point Theorems for Weakly Compatible Maps in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Space

Neena Gupta<sup>1</sup>, Amardeep Singh<sup>2</sup>, Geeta Modi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Asst. Professor, Department of Mathematics, Career College, Barkatullah University, Bhopal, M.P., India

<sup>2</sup>Professor, Department of Mathematics, MVM College, Barkatullah University, Bhopal, M.P., India

<sup>3</sup>Professor, Department of Mathematics, MVM College, Barkatullah University, Bhopal, M.P., India

**Abstract** — The aim of this paper is to prove a common fixed point theorem in an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space by using the notion of property E.A.

**Keywords** — Intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, property E.A., fixed point, weakly compatible maps.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In 1986 Jungck [6] introduced the notion of compatible maps for a pair of self mappings. In 1975 Kramosil and Michalek [7], in 1994 George and Veeramani [5] gave papers involving compatible maps proved the existence of common fixed points in the classical and fuzzy metric spaces. In 2002 Amari and Moutawakil [1] generalized the concept of non compatibility by defining the notion of property E.A. and proved common fixed point under strict contractive conditions. In 1986 Atanassove [3] introduced and studied the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets and later there has been much progress in the study of intuitionistic fuzzy sets by many author (Coker,1997 [4]; Park,2004 [10]; Alaca, Park et al.,2006 [2]; Manro et al.,2010 [8],2012 [9]. In 2004 Park [10] defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with the help of continuous t-norms and continuous t-conorms as a generalization of fuzzy metric space due to George and Veeramani (1994) [5]. In 2006 Turkoglu et al. [14] gave a generalization of Jungck's common fixed point theorems [6] to intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. In this paper we prove a common fixed point theorem in an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space by using the notion of property E.A.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

The concept of triangular norms (t-norms) and triangular connorms (t-conorm) were originally introduced by Schweizer and Sklar (1960) [13] in the study of statistical metric spaces.

**Definition 2.1.[13]** A binary operation  $*$ :  $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is continuous t-norm if  $*$  satisfies the following conditions:

(i)  $*$  is commutative and associative

(ii)  $*$  is continuous

(iii)  $a * 1 = a$  for all  $a \in [0, 1]$

(iv)  $a * b \leq c * d$  whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$  for all  $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$ .

**Definition 2.2.[13]**A binary operation  $\diamond$ :  $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is continuous t-conorm if  $\diamond$  satisfies the following conditions:

(i)  $\diamond$  is commutative and associative

(ii)  $\diamond$  is continuous

(iii)  $a \diamond 0 = a$  for all  $a \in [0, 1]$

(iv)  $a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d$  whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$  for all  $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$ .

Alaca et al. [2] using the idea of Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with the help of continuous t-norm and continuous t-conorms as a generalization of fuzzy metric space due to Kramosil and Michalek [7] as :

**Definition 2.3. [2]** A 5-tuple  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space if  $X$  is an arbitrary set,  $*$  is a continuous t-norm,  $\diamond$  is a continuous t-conorm and  $M, N$  are fuzzy sets on  $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$  satisfying the following conditions:

(i)  $M(x, y, t) + N(x, y, t) \leq 1$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$

(ii)  $M(x, y, 0) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$

(iii)  $M(x, y, t) = 1$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$  if and only if  $x = y$

(iv)  $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$

(v)  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $s, t > 0$

(vi) for all  $x, y \in X, M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is left continuous

(vii)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$ ; for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$

(viii)  $N(x, y, 0) = 1$ ; for all  $x, y \in X$

(ix)  $N(x, y, t) = 0$ ; for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$  if and only if  $x = y$

(x)  $N(x, y, t) = N(y, x, t)$ ; for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$

- (xi)  $N(x, y, t) \diamond N(y, z, s) \geq N(x, z, t + s)$ ; for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $s, t > 0$
- (xii) for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $N(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is right continuous
- (xiii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x, y, t) = 0$ ; for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Then  $(M, N)$  is called an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space on  $X$ . The functions  $M(x, y, t)$  and  $N(x, y, t)$  denote the degree of nearness and the degree of non-nearness between  $x$  and  $y$  with respect to  $t$  respectively.

**Remark 2.1.[2]** Every fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space of the form  $(X, M, 1-M, *, \diamond)$  such that  $t$ -norm  $*$  and  $t$ -conorm  $\diamond$  are associated as  $x \diamond y = ((1-x)(1-y))$ ;  $\forall x, y \in X$

**Remark 2.2.[2]** In intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ ,  $M(x, y, \cdot)$  is non-decreasing and  $N(x, y, \cdot)$  is non-increasing for all  $x, y \in X$ . Alaca et al. [2] introduced the following notions:

**Definition 2.4.[2]** Let  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Then

- (a) a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to be Cauchy sequence if, for all  $t > 0$  and  $p > 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 0$
- (b) a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to be convergent to a point  $x \in X$  if, for all  $t > 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n, x, t) = 0$

**Definition 2.5. [2]** An intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  is convergent.

**Definition 2.6.** A pair of self mappings  $(f, g)$  of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  is said to be commuting, if  $M(fgx, gfx, t) = 1$  and  $N(fgx, gfx, t) = 0$ ; for all  $x \in X$

**Definition 2.7.[1]** A pair of self mappings  $(f, g)$  of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  is said to satisfy the property E.A. if there exist a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(fx_n, gx_n, t) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(fx_n, gx_n, t) = 0$$

**Definition 2.8. [1]** A pair of self mappings  $(f, g)$  of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  is said to be weakly compatible if they commute at coincidence points i.e. if  $fu = gu$  for some  $u \in X$ , then  $fgu = gfu$ .

It is easy to see that two compatible maps are weakly compatible.

**Lemma 2.1. [2]** Let  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  be intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $t > 0$  and if for a number  $k \in (0, 1)$ ,  $M(x, y, kt) \geq M(x, y, t)$  and  $N(x, y, kt) \leq N(x, y, t)$ , then  $x = y$ .

### III. MAIN RESULT

We prove the following theorem.

#### Theorem

Let  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  be a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with continuous  $t$ -norm and continuous  $t$ -conorm defined by  $a * a \geq a$  and  $(1-a) \diamond (1-a) \leq (1-a)$  where  $a \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $g$  and  $h$  be two weakly compatible mappings of  $X$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (a)  $g$  and  $h$  satisfy the property E.A.
- (b) for  $x, y \in X, t > 0$  there exist  $0 < k < 1$  such that  $M(hx, hy, kt) \geq \min[M(hx, gx, t) * M(gx, hy, t), M(gy, hx, t) * M(gy, hy, t)]$

$$(3.1) \quad N(hx, hy, kt) \leq \max[N(hx, gx, t) \diamond N(gx, hy, t), N(gy, hx, t) \diamond N(gy, hy, t)]$$

- (3.2)  $g(X)$  and  $h(X)$  are complete subspaces of  $X$ . Then  $g$  and  $h$  have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof** – Let  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} hx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = u$  for some  $u \in X$ , by property E.A.

Since  $g(X)$  is a complete subspace of  $X$ . Therefore, every convergent sequence of points of  $g(X)$  has a limit point in  $g(X)$ .  $\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = ga = u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} hx_n$ , for some  $a \in X$   $\Rightarrow u = ga \in g(X)$

Now we prove that  $ha = ga$   
Take  $x = x_n$  and  $y = a$  in (3.1) and (3.2)  
 $M(hx_n, ha, kt) \geq \min[M(hx_n, gx_n, t) * M(gx_n, ha, t), M(ga, hx_n, t) * M(gx_n, hx_n, t)]$

and  $N(hx_n, ha, kt) \leq \max[N(hx_n, gx_n, t) \diamond N(gx_n, ha, t), N(ga, hx_n, t) \diamond N(gx_n, hx_n, t)]$

Taking limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  on both the sides, we get  $M(ga, ha, kt) \geq \min[M(ga, ga, t) * M(ga, ha, t), M(ga, ga, t) * M(ga, ha, t)]$  and  $N(ga, ha, kt) \leq \max[N(ga, ga, t) \diamond M(ga, ha, t), N(ga, ga, t) \diamond N(ga, ha, t)]$   
 $\Rightarrow M(ga, ha, kt) \geq \min[1 * M(ga, ha, t), 1 * M(ga, ha, t)]$  and  $N(ga, ha, kt) \leq \max[0 \diamond N(ga, ha, t), 0 \diamond N(ga, ha, t)]$   
 $\Rightarrow M(ga, ha, kt) \geq M(ga, ha, t)$  and

$N(ga,ha,kt) \leq N(ga,ha,t)$ , [by Definition (2.1) and (2.2)]  
 $\Rightarrow ga = ha$  [by Lemma (2.1)]  
 Therefore  $u = ga = ha$  (3.3)

This shows that a coincident point of  $h$  and  $g$ .  
 Since  $h$  and  $g$  are weakly compatible.  
 Therefore  $hga = gha = gga = hha$  (3.4)  
 Now we show that  $ha$  is the common fixed point of  $h$  and  $g$ .

Now we take  $x = a$  and  $y = ha$   
 $M(ha, hha, kt) \geq \min[M(ha, ga, t) * M(ga, hha, t), M(ga, ha, t) * M(ha, hha, t)]$   
 and  
 $N(ha, hha, kt) \leq \max[N(ha, ga, t) \diamond N(ga, hha, t), N(ga, ha, t) \diamond N(ha, hha, t)]$   
 $\Rightarrow M(ha, hha, kt) \geq \min[M(ha, ha, t) * M(ha, hha, t), M(ha, ha, t) * M(hha, hha, t)]$

and  
 $N(ha, hha, kt) \leq \max[N(ha, ha, t) \diamond N(ha, hha, t), N(hha, ha, t) \diamond N(hha, hha, t)]$   
 $\Rightarrow M(ha, hha, kt) \geq \min[M(ha, ha, t) * M(ha, hha, t), M(ha, hha, t) * M(hha, hha, t)]$   
 and  
 $N(ha, hha, kt) \leq \max[N(ha, ha, t) \diamond N(ha, hha, t), N(ha, hha, t) \diamond N(hha, hha, t)]$   
 $\Rightarrow M(ha, hha, kt) \geq \min[1 * M(ha, hha, t), M(ha, hha, t) * 1]$  and

$N(ha, hha, kt) \leq \max[0 \diamond N(ha, hha, t), N(ha, hha, t) \diamond 0]$  by definition (2.3)  
 $\Rightarrow M(ha, hha, kt) \geq [M(ha, hha, t)]$  and  
 $N(ha, hha, kt) \leq [N(ha, hha, t)]$   
 $\Rightarrow ha = hha$  by Lemma (2.1)  
 $\Rightarrow ha = hha = gha$  by using (3.4)

This proves that  $ha$  is the common fixed point of  $h$  and  $g$ .

**Uniqueness of common fixed point of  $h$  and  $g$**

If possible suppose that  $u$  and  $v$  are two common fixed points of  $h$  and  $g$ .

Then by (3.1)  
 $M(u,v,kt) = M(hu,hv,kt) \geq \min[M(hu,gu,t) * M(gu,hv,t), M(gv,hu,t) * M(gv,hv,t)]$   
 $= \min[M(hu,gu,t) * M(gu,hv,t), M(hu,gv,t) * M(gv,hv,t)]$   
 $= \min[M(u, u, t) * M(u, v, t), M(u, v, t) * M(v, v, t)]$   
 $= \min[1 * M(u, v, t), M(u, v, t) * 1]$   
 $= M(u, v, t)$

$\Rightarrow M(u, v, kt) \geq M(u, v, t)$

and by (3.2)  
 $N(u, v, kt) = N(hu, hv, kt) \leq \max[N(hu, gu, t) \diamond N(gu,hv, t), N(gv, hu, t) \diamond N(gv, hv, t)]$   
 $= \max[N(hu, gu, t) \diamond N(gu, hv, t), N(hu, gv, t) \diamond N(gv, hv, t)]$   
 $= \max[N(u, u, t) \diamond N(u, v, t), N(u, v, t) \diamond N(v, v, t)]$   
 $= \max[0 \diamond N(u, v, t), N(u, v, t) \diamond 0]$   
 $= N(u, v, t)$   
 $\Rightarrow N(u, v, kt) \leq N(u, v, t)$   
 By using Lemma (2.1), we have  $u = v$   
 Hence  $g$  and  $h$  have a unique common fixed point.  
 This completes the proof.

**REFERENCES**

- [1] Aamri, M. and Moutawakil, D. El. (2002), "Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions", J. Math. Anal. Appl., 270, pp.181-188.
- [2] Alaca, C., Turkoglu, D. and Yildiz C. (2006), "Fixed points in Intuitionistic fuzzy metric space", Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 29, pp. 1073-1078.
- [3] Atanassov, K. (1986), "Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets", Fuzzy sets and system, 20, pp.87-96.
- [4] Coker, D. (1997), "An introduction to Intuitionistic Fuzzy topological Spaces", Fuzzy Sets and System, 88, pp. 81- 89.
- [5] Gorge, A. and Veeramani P. (1994), "On some results in fuzzy metric Space", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 64, pp. 395-399.
- [6] Jungck, G. (1976), "Commuting mappings and fixed points", Amer. Math. Monthly, 83, pp. 261- 263.
- [7] Kramosil, I. and Michalek, J. (1975), "Fuzzy metric and Statistical metric Spaces", Kybernetika, 11, pp.326-334.
- [8] Manro, S., Bhatia, S. S., and Kumar, S. (2010), "Common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible maps satisfying E.A. property in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space", Punjab University Journal of Mathematics, 42, pp. 51-56.
- [9] Manro, S., Kumar, S., Kumar, S. and Bhatia, S. S. (2012), "Common fixed point theorem in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces using common (E.A) property and implicit relation", Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology, 3(2), pp. 38-44.
- [10] Park, J. H. (2004), "Intuitionistic fuzzy metric space", Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 22, pp. 1039-1046.
- [11] Park, J.S., Kwun, Y.C. and Park, J.H. (2005), "A fixed point theorem in the intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces", Far East J. Math. Sci., 16, pp.137-149.
- [12] Saadati R. and Park J.H. (2006), "On the Intuitionistic fuzzy topological Spaces", Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 27, pp. 331-344.
- [13] Schweizer, B. and Sklar, A. (1960), "Statistical metric spaces", Pacific J. Math., 10, pp. 313-334.
- [14] Turkoglu D., Alaca C., Cho Y.J. and Yildiz C. (2006), "Common fixed point theorems in Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces", J. Appl. Math. & Computing, 22 .pp. 411-424.