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#### Abstract

Let $N$ be a near -ring and $\theta$ is a mapping on $N$.In this paper, we define the concept of right $(\theta, \theta)-4$ derivation in near-ring $N$ and we explore the commutativity of addition and multiplication of prime near-ring $N$ satisfying certain identities involving right $(\theta, \theta)$-4-derivation on $N$.
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## I. Introduction

Suppose that N is a near -ring and $\theta$ is a mapping on N . This paper consists of two sections. In section one, we recall some basic definitions and other concepts, which will be used later in our paper, we explain these concepts by examples and remarks. In section two , we introduce the notion of right $(\theta, \theta)$-4-derivation in near-ring N and we determine some conditions of $\operatorname{right}(\theta, \theta)$-4-derivation which make prime near-ring commutative ring.

## II. BASIC CONCEPTS

Definition 2.1:[1] A ring $R$ is called a prime ring if for any $a, b \in R, a R b=\{0\}$ implies that either $a=0$ or $b=0$.
Example 2.2:[1] The ring of real numbers with the usual operation of addition and multiplication is prime ring .
Definition 2.3:[1] A ring $R$ is said to be $n$-torsion free whenever na=0 with $a \in R$, then $a=0$.
Definition 2.4:[1] Let R be a ring . Define a Lie product [ , ] on R as follows
$[x, y]=x y-y x$, for all $x, y \in R$.
Properties 2.5:[1] Let $R$ be a ring, then for all $x, y, z \in R$, we have :
$1-[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{yz}]=\mathrm{y}[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}]+[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}] \mathrm{z}$
$2-[x y, z]=x[y, z]+[x, z] y$
$3-[\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}]=[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}]+[\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}]$
$4-[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}+\mathrm{z}]=[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}]+[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}]$
Definition 2.6:[2] A right near-ring (resp. a left near-ring ) is a nonempty set N equipped with two binary operations + and . such that
(i) $(\mathrm{N},+$ ) is a group ( not necessarily abelian )
(ii) $(\mathrm{N},$.$) is a semigroup .$
(iii) For all $x, y, z \in N$, we have

$$
(x+y) z=x z+y z(\text { resp. } z(x+y)=z x+z y)
$$

Example 2.7:[2] Let $G$ be a group ( not necessarily abelian ) then all mapping of $G$ into itself from a right near-ring $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{G})$ with regard to point wise addition and multiplication by composite .

Lemma 2.8:[2] Let N be left ( resp. right ) near-ring, then
(i) $x 0=0$ (resp. $0 x=0$ ) for all $x \in N$.
(ii) $-(x y)=x(-y)($ resp. $-(x y)=(-x) y)$ for all $x, y \in N$.

Definition 2.9:[2] A right near-ring (resp. left near-ring ) is called zero symmetric right near-ring ( resp. zero symmetric left near-ring ) if $\mathrm{x} 0=0$ ( resp. $0 \mathrm{x}=0$ ), for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{N}$.

Definition 2.10:[2] Let $\left\{N_{i}\right\}$ be a family of near-rings (i $\in I$, $I$ is an indexing set ). $N=N_{1} \times N_{2} \times \ldots \times N_{n}$ with regard to component wise addition and multiplication, $N$ is called the direct product of near-rings $N_{i}$.

Definition 2.11:[3] A near-ring $N$ is called a prime near-ring if $\mathrm{aNb}=\{0\}$, where $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{N}$, implies that either $\mathrm{a}=0$ or $\mathrm{b}=0$.

Definition. 2.12:[3] Let N be a near-ring. The symbol Z will denote the multiplicative center of N , that is $Z=\{x \in N / x y=y x$ for all $y \in N\}$.

Definition 2.13:[3] Let R be a ring. Define a Jordan product on R as follows :
$a^{\circ} b=a b+b a$, for all $a, b \in R$.
Lemma 2.14:[4] Let $N$ be a near-ring. If there exists a non-zero element z of Z such that $\mathrm{z}+\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{Z}$, then $(\mathrm{N},+)$ is abelian .

Lemma 2.15:[4] Let $N$ be a prime near-ring. If $z \in Z \backslash\{0\}$ and $x$ is an element of $N$ such that $x z \in Z$ or $z x \in Z$, then $x \in Z$.
Definition 2.16:[4] Let N be a near-ring. An additive mapping d: $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}$ is said to be right derivation of N if $d(x y)=d(x) y+d(y) x$, for all $x, y \in N$.

Definition 2.17:[4] Let N be a near-ring. An 4-additive mapping d: $\mathrm{NxNxNxN}^{\mathrm{N}} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}$ is said to be right 4derivation if the relations.
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \mathrm{x}_{1}$
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \mathrm{x}_{2}{ }^{\prime}+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \mathrm{x}_{2}$
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3} \mathrm{x}_{3}^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \mathrm{x}_{3}^{\prime}+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \mathrm{x}_{3}$
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4} \mathrm{x}_{4}^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \mathrm{x}_{4}{ }^{\prime}+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}{ }^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{x}_{4}$
hold for $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{3}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{4},, \mathrm{x}_{4}{ }^{\prime} \in \mathrm{N}$.
Example 2.18:[4] Let $S$ be a 2-torsion free zero-symmetric left near-ring .
Let us define :
$\mathrm{N}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & x & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right): x, y, 0 \in S\right\}$
It is clear that N is a zero symmetric near-ring with respect to matrix addition and matrix multiplication .
Define d: NxNxNxN $\rightarrow$ N by

$$
\mathrm{d}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & x_{1} & y_{1} \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & x_{2} & y_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & x_{3} & y_{3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & x_{4} & y_{4} \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

It can be easily seen that d is a nonzero right 4-derivation of near-ring N .

## III. Right ( $\theta, \theta$ ) - 4 - Derivations

First we introduce the basic definition in this paper
Definition 3.1: Let N be a near-ring and $\theta$ is a mapping on N .An 4-additive mapping d: $\mathrm{NxNxNxN} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}$ is said to be right $(\theta, \theta)$ - 4 -derivation if the relations :
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta_{\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)}$
$\left.\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{2}^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta_{\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)}^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta_{\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)}$
$\left.\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3} \mathrm{x}_{3}^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right), \theta_{\left(\mathrm{x}_{3}^{\prime}\right)}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta_{\left(\mathrm{x}_{3}\right)}$
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4} \mathrm{x}_{4}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta\left(\mathrm{x}_{4}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}{ }^{\prime}\right) \theta\left(\mathrm{x}_{4}\right)$
hold for $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{3}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{4}, \mathrm{x}_{4}{ }^{\prime} \in \mathrm{N}$.
we now explain this definition by the following example
Example 3.2: Let S be a 2 -torsion free zero symmetric commutative near-ring .
Let us define
$\mathrm{N}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & x & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z\end{array}\right): x, y, z, 0 \in S\right\}$.
It can be easily see that is a non commutative 2-torsion free zero symmetric left near-ring with respect to matrix addition and matrix multiplication .

Define $\mathrm{d}: \mathrm{NxNxNxN} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}$ by
$\mathrm{d}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & x_{1} & y_{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z_{1}\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & x_{2} & y_{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z_{2}\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & x_{3} & y_{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z_{3}\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & x_{4} & y_{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z_{4}\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$
And $\theta: \mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}$ such that
$\theta\left(\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & x & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & x & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z\end{array}\right)$
It is easy to see that d is a right $(\theta, \theta)$ - 4 -derivation of a near-ring N .
Now, we will prove the main results :
Theorem 3.3 : Let N be a prime near-ring and d be a nonzero right $(\theta, \theta)$ - 4 -derivation of N , where $\theta$ is an automorphism on N . If $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}) \subseteq \mathrm{Z}$, then N is a commutative ring .

Proof :Since $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}) \subseteq \mathrm{Z}$ and d is a nonzero right $(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ - 4-derivation , there exist nonzero elements $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4} \in \mathrm{~N}$, such that $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \in \mathrm{Z} \backslash\{0\}$, we have $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)+$ $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \in \mathrm{Z}$.
By Lemma 2.14 we obtain that ( $\mathrm{N},+$ ) is abelian .
By hypothesis we get
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4}\right) \theta_{(\mathrm{y})}=\theta_{(\mathrm{y})} \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4}\right)$ for all $\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4} \in \mathrm{~N}$.
Now replacing $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ by $\mathrm{y}_{1} \mathrm{y}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ where $\mathrm{y}_{1}{ }^{\prime} \in \mathrm{N}$ in (3.1), we get
$\left(\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4}\right) \theta_{\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4}\right)} \theta_{\left.\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}\right)\right)} \theta_{(\mathrm{y})}=\theta_{(\mathrm{y})}\left(\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4}\right) \theta_{\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4}\right)} \theta_{\left.\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}\right)\right)}\right.\right.$
for all $\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4} \in \mathrm{~N}$.
By definition of d we get
$\left.\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1} \mathrm{y}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4}\right) \theta_{\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{\prime}\right)}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4}\right) \theta_{\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}\right)}$
for all $\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4} \in \mathrm{~N}$. (3.3) and
$\left.\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{2} \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4}\right) \theta_{\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}\right)}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4}\right) \theta_{\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}\right)}$
Since ( $\mathrm{N},+$ ) is abelian, from (3.3) and (3.4) we conclude that
$d\left(y_{1} y_{1}{ }^{\prime}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right)=d\left(y_{1}{ }^{\prime} y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right)$ for all $y_{1}, y_{1}{ }^{\prime}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4} \in N$. So we get
$\mathrm{d}\left(\left[\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right], \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4}\right)=0$ for all $\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4} \in \mathrm{E}$.
Replacing $\mathrm{y}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ by $\mathrm{y}_{1} \mathrm{y}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ in (3.5) and using it again, we get
$0=\mathrm{d}\left(\left[\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1} \mathrm{y}_{1}^{\prime}\right], \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4}\right)$
$=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}\left[\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}^{\prime}\right], \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4}\right)$
$=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4}\right) \theta_{\left[\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right]+\mathrm{d}\left(\left[\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right], \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4}\right)} \theta_{\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}\right)}$
$=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4}\right) \theta_{\left[\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right]}$

We conclude that
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N} \theta_{\left[\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right]=\{0\} \text { for all } \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4} \in \mathrm{EN} \text {. } . ~ . ~}^{\text {and }}$
Primeness of $N$ implies that for each $y_{1} \in N$ either $d\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right)=0$ for all $y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4} \in N$ or $y_{1} \in Z$.
If $d\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right)=0$, then equation (3.2) takes the form
$d\left(y_{1}{ }^{\prime}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right) N \theta\left[y, y_{1}\right]=\{0\}$. Since $d \neq 0$, primeness of $N$ implies that $y_{1} \in Z$.
Hence we find that $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{Z}$, we conclude that N is a commutative ring.
Theorem 3.4 : Let N be a prime near-ring admitting a nonzero right $(\theta, \theta)$-4-derivation d , where $\theta$ is an automorphism on N . If $\mathrm{d}\left([\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}], \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)=0$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4} \in \mathrm{~N}$, then N is a commutative ring.

Proof: By hypothesis, we have
$\mathrm{d}\left([\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}], \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)=0$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4} \in \mathrm{~N}$.
Replace $y$ by $x y$ in (3.6) to get
$d\left([x, x y], x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=0$ for all $x, y, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \in N$.
Which implies that $d\left(x[x, y], x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=0$ for all $x, y, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \in N$.
Therefore
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}]+\mathrm{d}\left([\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}], \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta(\mathrm{x})=0$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4} \in \mathrm{~N}$.
Using (3.6) in previous equation implies that
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}]=0$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4} \in \mathrm{~N}$, or equivalently
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta_{(\mathrm{x})} \theta_{(\mathrm{y})}=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta_{(\mathrm{y})} \theta_{(\mathrm{x})}$
for all $x, y, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \in N$.
(3.7)

$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta_{(\mathrm{y})}\left[\theta(\mathrm{x}), \theta_{(\mathrm{z})}\right]=0$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4} \in_{\mathrm{N}}$.
Hence we get
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) N \theta[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}]=\{0\}$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4} \in \mathrm{~N}$.
This yields that for each fixed $x \in N$
Either $d\left(x, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=0$ for all $x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \in N$ or $x \in Z$.
If $d\left(x, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=0$ for all $x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \in N$ and for each fixed $x \in N$. We get $d=0$, leading to a contradiction as d is a nonzero right $(\theta, \theta)$ - 4-derivation of N .
Therefore there exist $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \in N$, all being nonzero such that $d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \neq 0$ such that $x_{1} \in Z$.
Thus, we conclude that $\left[x_{1} y, z\right]=x_{1}[y, z]$, where $y, z \in N$, by hypothesis we get
$\mathrm{d}\left(\left[\mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}\right], \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)=0$. This implies that
$0=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}[\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}], \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)$
$=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta[\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}]+\mathrm{d}\left([\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}], \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)$
$=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta[\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}]$ for all $\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{E}$.
Which implies that
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta_{(\mathrm{y})} \theta_{(\mathrm{z})}=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta_{(\mathrm{z})} \theta_{(\mathrm{y})}$ for all $\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z} \in_{\mathrm{N}}$.
Replacing $\theta_{(\mathrm{z})}$ by $\theta_{(\mathrm{z})} \theta_{(\mathrm{t})}$, where $\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{N}$, in last equation, and using it again, we get
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta_{(\mathrm{z})}[\theta(\mathrm{y}), \theta(\mathrm{t})]=0 \quad$ for all $\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{N}$, i.e.;
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) N[\theta(\mathrm{y}), \theta(\mathrm{t})]=\{0\} \quad$ for all $\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{N}$.
Since $d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \neq 0$, primeness of N implies that $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{Z}$. By Lemma 2.14,
We conclude that N is a commutative ring.
Theorem 3.5 : Let N be a prime near-ring admitting a nonzero right $(\theta, \theta)$ - 4-derivation d , where $\theta$ is an automorphism on N . If $\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right), \theta(\mathrm{y})\right] \in \mathrm{Z}$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4} \in \mathrm{~N}$, then N is a commutative ring. Proof: Assume that
$\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right), \theta_{(\mathrm{y})]} \in \mathrm{Z}\right.$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4} \in \mathrm{~N}$.
Therefore
$\left[\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right), \theta_{(\mathrm{y})]}, \theta_{(\mathrm{t})}\right]=0\right.$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4} \in \mathrm{~N}$.
Replacing $\theta(\mathrm{y})$ by $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta_{(\mathrm{y}) \text { in (3.11) we get }}$
$\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right), \theta_{(\mathrm{y})}\right), \theta_{(\mathrm{t})}\right]=0$
for all $x, y, t, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \in N$.

In view of (3.10), equation (3.12) assures that
$\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right), \theta(\mathrm{y})\right] \mathrm{N}\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right), \theta(\mathrm{t})\right]=\{0\}$
for all $x, y, t, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \in N$.
Primeness of N implies that
$\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right), \theta(\mathrm{y})\right]=0$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4} \in \mathrm{~N}$.
Hence $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}) \subseteq \mathrm{Z}$ and application of Theorem 3.3 assures that N is a commutative ring.
Theorem 3.6 : Let N be a 2-torsion free prime near-ring admitting a nonzero right $(\theta, \theta)$ - 4-derivation d , where $\theta$ is an automorphism on N . If $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \circ \theta(\mathrm{y}) \in \mathrm{Z}$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4} \in \mathrm{~N}$, then N is a commutative ring.
Proof : Assume that
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \circ \theta_{(\mathrm{y})} \in \mathrm{Z}$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4} \in \mathrm{~N}$.
(a) If $\mathrm{Z}=0$, then equation (3.14) reduces to
$\theta(y) d\left(x, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=-d\left(x, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \theta_{(y)}$ for all $x, y, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \in N$.
Substituting $\theta_{(\mathrm{z})} \theta_{(\mathrm{y}) \text { for }} \theta_{(\mathrm{y}) \text { in (3.15), we obtain }}$
$\theta(\mathrm{z}) \theta(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)=-\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta(\mathrm{z}) \theta(\mathrm{y})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta(\mathrm{z})(-\theta(\mathrm{y})) \\
& =\theta(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{d}\left(-\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)(-\theta(\mathrm{y}))
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4} \in \mathrm{~N}$.
Using the fact $-\theta_{(\mathrm{z})} \theta_{(\mathrm{y})} \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)=\theta_{(\mathrm{z})} \theta_{(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{d}\left(-\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \text {, implies that }}$ $\theta_{(\mathrm{z})} \theta_{(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{d}\left(-\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)=} \theta_{(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{d}\left(-\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)} \theta_{(\mathrm{y}) \text { for all } \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4} \in \mathrm{~N} \text {. } . ~ . ~}^{\text {. }}$
Which implies that
$\theta_{(\mathrm{z})}\left(\theta_{(\mathrm{y})} \mathrm{d}\left(-\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)-\mathrm{d}\left(-\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta(\mathrm{y})\right)=0$
for all $x, y, z, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \in N$. (3.16)
Taking $-x$ instead of $x$ in (3.16), we get
$\theta(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{N}\left(\theta(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)-\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta(\mathrm{y})\right)=\{0\}$
for all $x, y, z, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \in N$.
Primeness of N implies that
$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}) \subseteq \mathrm{Z}$ and application of Theorem 3.3 assures that N is a commutative ring .
(b) Suppose that $\mathrm{Z} \neq 0$, then there exists $\mathrm{Z} \neq 0 \in \mathrm{Z}$ and by hypothesis we have
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \circ \theta_{(\mathrm{z})} \in \mathrm{Z}$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4} \in \mathrm{~N}$.
Thus we get $d\left(x, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \theta_{(z)}+\theta_{(z) d\left(x, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \in Z \quad \text { for all } x, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \in N \text {. } . ~ \text {. }}$
Since $z \in Z$, we get
$\theta_{(\mathrm{z})}\left(\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)\right) \in \mathrm{Z}$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4} \in \mathrm{~N}$.
By Lemma 2.15 we conclude that
$d\left(x, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)+d\left(x, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \in Z$ for all $x, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \in N$.
By (3.14), we get
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \theta_{(\mathrm{y})}+\theta_{(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \in_{\mathrm{Z}} \quad \text { for all } \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4} \in \mathrm{~N} \text {. }}$
Using equation (3.18) in (3.19) we conclude that
$\theta(\mathrm{y})\left(\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)\right) \in \mathrm{Z}$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4} \in \mathrm{~N}$.
From (3.18) and (3.20) we obtain
$\left(\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)\right) \theta(\mathrm{ty})=\theta(\mathrm{ty})\left(\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)\right)=$
$\theta(\mathrm{y})\left(\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)\right) \theta(\mathrm{t})=$
$\left(\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)\right) \theta(\mathrm{yt})$
for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4} \in \mathrm{~N}$. Which implies that
$\left(\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)\right) \mathrm{N}[\theta(\mathrm{t}), \theta(\mathrm{y})]=\{0\}$
for all $x, y, t, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \in N$.
Primeness of N implies that
Either $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)=0$ and thus $\mathrm{d}=0$, a contradiction, or $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{Z}$, hence $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}) \subseteq \mathrm{Z}$ and application of Theorem 3.3 assures that N is a commutative ring.

## IV. Conclusions

In present paper we introduce the notion of right $(\theta, \theta)$ - 4 -derivation on near-ring and we see that a prime near-ring can be make commutative with the help of right $\theta, \theta)$ - 4-derivation and other conditions
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