

Common Fixed Point Theorem for Mappings in Quasi Metric Space

Rafia Aziz

Medi-Caps University
A.B. Road, Pigdamber, INDORE--453331, INDIA.

Abstract: In this paper we study common fixed point theorem in complete quasi metric space for mappings satisfying certain rational inequality.

Keywords: common fixed point, self mapping, Cauchy sequence, complete quasi metric space.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25, 46J10, 55M20.

1. Introduction

In this paper new fixed point theorem in complete quasi metric space has been proved for mappings satisfying some new type of rational contraction conditions.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a non-empty set and let $d : X \times X \rightarrow R$ be a function satisfying the conditions

$$(d_1) \quad d(x, y) \geq 0$$

$$(d_2) \quad d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$$

$$(d_3) \quad d(x, y) = d(y, x)$$

$$(d_4) \quad d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$$

$$\forall x, y, z \in X$$

If d satisfies the above conditions then it is called a metric on X and (X, d) is called a metric space.

Definition 1.2. Let X be a non-empty set and let $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying the conditions

$$(d_1) \quad x = y \Leftrightarrow d(x, y) = 0 \quad \forall x, y \in X$$

$$(d_2) \quad d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X$$

If d satisfies the above conditions then it is called quasi metric on X and (X, d) is called a quasi-metric space.

Definition 1.3. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in metric space (X, d) is called Cauchy sequence if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a positive integer n_0 such that $m, n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow d(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon$.

Definition 1.4. A complete metric space is a metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Definition 1.5. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to z if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z) = 0$. In this case z is called the limit of $\{x_n\}$ and we write $x_n \rightarrow z$.

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. Let S and T be two continuous self mappings defined on a complete quasi metric space (X, d) satisfying

$$d(Sx, Ty) + \alpha \frac{[d(Sx, Ty) + d(x, y)]}{[1 + d(x, Ty)d(Sx, x)]} + \beta \frac{[1 + d(x, STy) + d(Sx, TSx)]d(Sx, x)}{1 + d(x, TSx)} \\ \leq \gamma \frac{[d(STy, y)d(Ty, y)]}{[d(x, y) + d(x, Ty) + d(Ty, y)]} + \delta d(x, y) \quad \dots(1)$$

for all x, y in X , where $\gamma + \delta < 1 + 2\alpha + \beta$, $1 + \alpha + \beta < \frac{\gamma}{2}$, $1 + 2\alpha > \delta$, $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $\gamma > 0$, $\delta > 0$. Then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be any arbitrary point in X . We define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $Sx_n = x_{n-1}$ and $Tx_{n+1} = x_n$ also $TSx_n = x_{n-2}$ and $STx_{n+1} = x_{n-1}$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then by (1)

$$d(Sx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + \alpha \frac{[d(Sx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})]}{[1 + d(x_n, Tx_{n+1})d(Sx_n, x_n)]} + \beta \frac{[1 + d(x_n, STx_{n+1}) + d(Sx_n, TSx_n)]d(Sx_n, x_n)}{1 + d(x_n, TSx_n)} \\ \leq \gamma \frac{[d(STx_{n+1}, x_{n+1})d(Tx_{n+1}, x_{n+1})]}{[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, Tx_{n+1}) + d(Tx_{n+1}, x_{n+1})]} + \delta d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

or

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \alpha \frac{[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})]}{[1 + d(x_n, x_n)d(x_{n-1}, x_n)]} + \beta \frac{[1 + d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2})]d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{1 + d(x_n, x_{n-2})} \\ \leq \gamma \frac{[d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})d(x_n, x_{n+1})]}{[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})]} + \delta d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

or

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \alpha [d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})] + \beta \frac{[1 + d(x_n, x_{n-2})]d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{1 + d(x_n, x_{n-2})} \\ \leq \gamma \frac{[d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})d(x_n, x_{n+1})]}{[2d(x_n, x_{n+1})]} + \delta d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

or

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \alpha [d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})] + \beta d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \frac{\gamma}{2} d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + \delta d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

or

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \alpha [d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})] + \beta d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \frac{\gamma}{2} [d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})] + \delta d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

or
$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \left(\alpha - \frac{\gamma}{2} - \delta \right) \leq \left(\frac{\gamma}{2} - \alpha - \beta - 1 \right) d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

or
$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} - \alpha - \beta - 1 \right)}{\left(\alpha - \frac{\gamma}{2} - \delta \right)} d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

or
$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq h d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

Where
$$h = \frac{\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} - \alpha - \beta - 1 \right)}{\left(\alpha - \frac{\gamma}{2} - \delta \right)}$$
 and $0 < h < 1$ in view of $\gamma + \delta < 1 + 2\alpha + \beta$, $1 + \alpha + \beta < \frac{\gamma}{2}$,

$\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \gamma > 0, \delta > 0$.

Similarly $d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq h d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})$ and so on.

Hence $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq h^n d(x_0, x_1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since $0 < h < 1$.

This proves that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy's sequence in X which is Complete, so it converges to a point say u in X.

Since S is continuous we have $Su = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n-1} = u$. Thus u is a fixed point of S . Similarly as T is continuous we can show $Tu = u$. Thus u is the common fixed point of S and T .

Suppose v is another fixed point of S and T . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(Su, Tv) + \alpha \frac{[d(Su, Tv) + d(u, v)]}{[1 + d(u, Tv)d(Su, u)]} + \beta \frac{[1 + d(u, STv) + d(Su, TSu)]d(Su, u)}{1 + d(u, TSu)} \\
 \leq \gamma \frac{[d(STv, v)d(Tv, v)]}{[d(u, v) + d(u, Tv) + d(Tv, v)]} + \delta d(u, v) \\
 \text{or } d(u, v) + \alpha \frac{[d(u, v) + d(u, v)]}{[1 + d(u, v)d(u, u)]} + \beta \frac{[1 + d(u, v) + d(u, u)]d(u, u)}{1 + d(u, u)} \\
 \leq \gamma \frac{[d(v, v)d(v, v)]}{[d(u, v) + d(u, v) + d(v, v)]} + \delta d(u, v) \\
 \text{or } d(u, v) + \alpha [d(u, v) + d(u, v)] \leq \delta d(u, v) \\
 \text{or } d(u, v)(1 + 2\alpha - \delta) \leq 0 \\
 \Rightarrow d(u, v) = 0 \quad \text{since } 1 + 2\alpha > \delta \\
 \Rightarrow u = v
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\{T_k\}$ be a sequence of continuous self mappings defined on a complete quasi metric space (X, d) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(T_i x, T_j y) + \alpha \frac{[d(T_i x, T_j y) + d(x, y)]}{[1 + d(x, T_j y)d(T_i x, x)]} + \beta \frac{[1 + d(x, T_i T_j y) + d(T_i x, T_j T_i x)]d(T_i x, x)}{1 + d(x, T_j T_i x)} \\
 \leq \gamma \frac{[d(T_i T_j y, y)d(T_j y, y)]}{[d(x, y) + d(x, T_j y) + d(T_j y, y)]} + \delta d(x, y) \quad \dots(2)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all x, y in X , where $\gamma + \delta < 1 + 2\alpha + \beta$, $1 + \alpha + \beta < \frac{\gamma}{2}$, $1 + 2\alpha > \delta$, $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $\gamma > 0$, $\delta > 0$. Then $\{T_k\}$ have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be any arbitrary point in X . We define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $T_i x_n = x_{n-1}$ and $T_j x_{n+1} = x_n$ also $T_j T_i x_n = x_{n-2}$ and $T_i T_j x_{n+1} = x_{n-1}$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then by (2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(T_i x_n, T_j x_{n+1}) + \alpha \frac{[d(T_i x_n, T_j x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})]}{[1 + d(x_n, T_j x_{n+1})d(T_i x_n, x_n)]} + \beta \frac{[1 + d(x_n, T_i T_j x_{n+1}) + d(T_i x_n, T_j T_i x_n)]d(T_i x_n, x_n)}{1 + d(x_n, T_j T_i x_n)} \\
 \leq \gamma \frac{[d(T_i T_j x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})d(T_j x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})]}{[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, T_j x_{n+1}) + d(T_j x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})]} + \delta d(x_n, x_{n+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \alpha \frac{[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})]}{[1 + d(x_n, x_n)d(x_{n-1}, x_n)]} + \beta \frac{[1 + d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2})]d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{1 + d(x_n, x_{n-2})} \\ \leq \gamma \frac{[d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})d(x_n, x_{n+1})]}{[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})]} + \delta d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

or

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \alpha [d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})] + \beta \frac{[1 + d(x_n, x_{n-2})]d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{1 + d(x_n, x_{n-2})} \\ \leq \gamma \frac{[d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})d(x_n, x_{n+1})]}{[2d(x_n, x_{n+1})]} + \delta d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

or

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \alpha [d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})] + \beta d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \frac{\gamma}{2} d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + \delta d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

or

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \alpha [d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})] + \beta d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \frac{\gamma}{2} [d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})] + \delta d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

or

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1})\left(\alpha - \frac{\gamma}{2} - \delta\right) \leq \left(\frac{\gamma}{2} - \alpha - \beta - 1\right)d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

or

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} - \alpha - \beta - 1\right)}{\left(\alpha - \frac{\gamma}{2} - \delta\right)} d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

or $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq h d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$

Where $h = \frac{\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} - \alpha - \beta - 1\right)}{\left(\alpha - \frac{\gamma}{2} - \delta\right)}$ and $0 < h < 1$ in view of $\gamma + \delta < 1 + 2\alpha + \beta$, $1 + \alpha + \beta < \frac{\gamma}{2}$,

$\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \gamma > 0, \delta > 0$.

Similarly $d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq h d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})$ and so on.

Hence $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq h^n d(x_0, x_1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since $0 < h < 1$.

This proves that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy's sequence in X which is Complete, so it converges to a point say u in X.

Since T_i is continuous we have $T_i u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_i x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n-1} = u$. Thus u is a fixed point of T_i . Similarly as T_j is continuous we can show $T_j u = u$. Thus u is the common fixed point of T_i and T_j .

Suppose v is another fixed point of T_i and T_j . Then we have

$$d(T_i u, T_j v) + \alpha \frac{[d(T_i u, T_j v) + d(u, v)]}{[1 + d(u, T_j v)d(T_i u, u)]} + \beta \frac{[1 + d(u, T_i T_j v) + d(T_i u, T_j T_i u)] d(T_i u, u)}{1 + d(u, T_j T_i u)}$$

$$\leq \gamma \frac{[d(T_i T_j v, v)d(T_j v, v)]}{[d(u, v) + d(u, T_j v) + d(T_j v, v)]} + \delta d(u, v)$$

or $d(u, v) + \alpha \frac{[d(u, v) + d(u, v)]}{[1 + d(u, v)d(u, u)]} + \beta \frac{[1 + d(u, v) + d(u, u)] d(u, u)}{1 + d(u, u)}$

$$\leq \gamma \frac{[d(v, v)d(v, v)]}{[d(u, v) + d(u, v) + d(v, v)]} + \delta d(u, v)$$

or $d(u, v) + \alpha [d(u, v) + d(u, v)] \leq \delta d(u, v)$

or $d(u, v)(1 + 2\alpha - \delta) \leq 0$

$\Rightarrow d(u, v) = 0$ since $1 + 2\alpha > \delta$

$\Rightarrow u = v$

This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] C.T.Aage and J.N.Salunke , Some results of fixed point theorem in Dislocated quasimetric spaces. Bulletin of Marathwada Mathematical Society , 9(2008), 1-5.
- [2] C.T.Aage and J.N.Salunke. The results on fixed points in dislocated and dislocated quasimetric space. Appl. Math. Sci. 2(59) : 2941-2948, 2008.
- [3] B.K.Dass and S.Gupta, “An extension of Banach contraction principle through rational expression”, Indian journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics , Vol.6, no.12,PP.1455-1458,1975.
- [4] A.Isufati. Fixed point theorems in dislocated quasi-metric space. Appl. Math. Sci. 4(5): 217-223 , 2010.
- [5] D.S.Jaggi.Some unique fixed point theorems. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 8(2):223-230, 1977.