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#### Abstract

: In this paper the axiomatic approach to the Pseudo arithmetical operations of pseudo addition and pseudo multiplication is discussed.Also several properties of $\oplus$ and $\otimes$ their consequences are discussed and illustrated by examples.
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## Introduction:

Taking into account human subjective measures in engineering,science fuzzy measures have been intensively discussed. Since sugeno (5) defined a fuzzy measure as a measure having monotonicity property instead of additivity. Weber(6) proposed $\perp$ decomposable measures where the additivity of measures is weakend t-conorm $\perp$ is an appropriate semigroup operation in $[0,1]$ and $\perp$ is considered to be a generalization of addition $\perp$ decomposable measures can be written as $m(A \cup B)=m(A) \perp m(B)$

For the archimedian case $\perp$ is written as
$\mathrm{a} \perp \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{g}^{(-1)}(\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{a})+\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{b}))$
where $g^{(-1)}$ is a pseudo inverse of $g$.
if a law of composition
$\oplus: X \times X \rightarrow X$ is defined on a set $X$ and its fulfills the laws of assciativity then $(X, \oplus)$ is a semi group.
Lebesgue measure is defined on a set $[0, \infty] .([0, \infty],+)$ is a semi group and the operation + has no inverse element . In $\sec 2$ define an integral by pseudo addition
$\oplus$ and pseudo multiplication $\otimes$ that are distributive and associative semiring operations. In sec 3 define fuzzy measure derived from pseudo addition.

## 1.PREMILINARIES:

### 1.1Definition:

Let $[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$ be a closed real interval and $\quad ` \oplus:[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}] \times[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}] \rightarrow[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$
be a 2 place function satisfying the following conditions
(1) $\oplus$ is commutative
(2) $\oplus$ is non decreasing in each place
(3) $\oplus$ is associative
(4) $\oplus$ has either $a($ or $) b$ as zero element
ie) either $\oplus(a, x)=x$ (or)

$$
\oplus(b, x)=x
$$

$\oplus$ will be called a pseudo addition.

### 1.2 Definition:

A pseudo multiplication $\otimes$ is a 2 place function
$\otimes:[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}] \times[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}] \rightarrow[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$
Satisfying the following conditions
(1) $\otimes$ is commutative
(2) $\otimes$ is non decreasing in each place
(3) $\otimes$ is associative
(4) There exist a unit element $e \in[a, b]$ $\otimes(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{e})=\mathrm{x} \forall \mathrm{x} \in[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$

## 1.3 theorem:

If the function $\oplus$ is continuous and strictly increasing in
$(a, b) \times(a, b)$ then there exists a monotone function

$$
\mathrm{g}:[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}] \rightarrow[0, \infty] \text { such that either } g(a)=0(\text { or }) g(b)=0
$$

$\oplus(x, y)=g^{-1}(\mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{y})$
Proof:
Trivial from aczel's theorem (5)

### 1.4 REMARK :

By applying g to both sides
We have
$\mathrm{g}(\oplus(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}))=\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{y}) \forall \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ in [a,b]. hence g is nothing but an isomorphism of semigroup [a,b] relative to $\oplus$ with a semigroup of the non negative real numbers relative to addition. g is called a generator of $\oplus$.

## 2.PSEUDO ADDITIONS AND PSEUDO MULTIPLICATIONS:

### 2.1 Definition:

A pseudo multiplication $\otimes$ with the generator $g$ of strict pseudo addition $\oplus$ is defined as $\otimes(x, y)=g^{-1}(g(x)$ $. g(y))$
$g(x) \cdot g(y)$ is always in $[0, \infty]$ because $g$ is a monotone function from $[a, b]$ to
$[0, \infty]$.Thus $\mathrm{g}^{-1}$ is always exists and g is an isomorphism of semigroup $([\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}], \otimes)$ with a subgroup $([0, \infty], \mathrm{x})$.

### 2.2 REMARK:

For the sake of simplicity we write $\oplus(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ as $\mathrm{x} \oplus \mathrm{y}$ and $\otimes(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ as $\mathrm{x} \otimes \mathrm{y}$

Respectively. Distributive pseudo multiplication $\otimes$ has the distributive property with pseudo addition $\oplus$

```
x\otimes(y\oplusz)=(x\otimesy)\oplus(x\otimesz)
```

$(\mathrm{y} \oplus \mathrm{z}) \otimes \mathrm{x}=(\mathrm{y} \otimes \mathrm{x}) \oplus(\mathrm{z} \otimes \mathrm{x})$

### 2.3 EXAMPLE:

$x \vee y=\max (x, y)$
$x \wedge y=\min (x, y)$
are continuous nonstrict pseudo addition $\oplus$ and pseudo multiplication $\otimes$ in $[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}] \subset[-\infty,+\infty]$ respectively.

### 2.4 THEOREM:

When any pseudo addition, $\oplus$, $($ pseudo multiplication $\oplus)$ defined on
$\left[a_{1} \times b_{1}\right] \times\left[a_{1} \times b_{1}\right]$ a strict monotone function $g_{1}$ from $\left[a_{2}, b_{2}\right]$ onto $\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right]$
are given a 2 place function $\oplus_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \oplus_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{g}_{1}^{-1}\left(\oplus_{1}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right), \mathrm{g}_{1}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \otimes_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{g}_{1}^{-1}\left(\otimes_{1}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right), \mathrm{g}_{1}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2}\right)\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

is a pseudo addition.
proof:
The commutative and associative property of $\oplus_{2}$ is a immediate consequence of
That of $\oplus_{1}$ similarly the non decreasingness of $\oplus_{2}$ follows directly from the non decreasingness of $\oplus_{1}$ and the monotonicity of $g_{1}$ as for the zero element .if $a_{1}$ is a zero element and $g_{1}$ is an increasing function

Then $g_{1}\left(a_{2}\right)=a_{1}$
For all $x \in\left[a_{2}, b_{2}\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\oplus_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{a}_{2}\right) & =\mathrm{g}_{1}^{-1}\left(\oplus_{1}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{1}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{a}_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{g}_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{1}(\mathrm{x})\right) \\
& =\mathrm{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.5 Definition:

The pseudo operation on the set I is a binary operation $*: \mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{I} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}$
Which is commutative associative "positively non-decreasing" in the sense that
For all $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{I}^{*}, \mathrm{x} \leq \mathrm{y}$ implies $\mathrm{x}^{*} \mathrm{u} \leq \mathrm{y}^{*} \mathrm{u}$ and for which there exists a neutral element $\mathrm{e} \in \mathrm{I}$

### 2.6 Definition:

The element $u \in I$ is a null element of the operation $*: I^{2} \rightarrow I$ if for any $x \in I$
$\mathrm{x} * \mathrm{u}=\mathrm{u}^{*} \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{u}$ holds.

## 3.SOME ADDITIONAL PROPERTIES OF PSEUDO MEASURE:

### 3.1THEOREM:

Let $\otimes$ be a continuous pseudo multiplication for the sub measure ' $m$ ' and a family of functions $f_{j}: \Omega \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, $j \in J$

It holds

$$
\int_{\Omega}^{\text {sup }}\left(\sup _{\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{~J}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \otimes \mathrm{dm}=\sup _{\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{~J}} \int_{\Omega}^{\text {sup }}\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}} \otimes \mathrm{dm}\right)
$$

Let us consider now the semi ring $([0, \infty], \min , \otimes)$
With continuous operation $\otimes$. Let $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ be a density function of inf measure $m$.

### 3.2 THEOREM:

For the inf decomposable measure $m$ and a family of functions $f_{j}: \Omega \rightarrow(0, \infty]$
$j \in J$ it holds

$$
\int_{\Omega}^{\sup }\left(\sup _{\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{~J}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \otimes \mathrm{dm}=\sup _{\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{~J}} \int_{\Omega}^{\text {sup }}\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}} \otimes \mathrm{dm}\right)
$$

Proof:

$$
\int_{\Omega}^{\inf }\left(\inf _{\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{~J}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \otimes \mathrm{dm}=\inf _{\mathrm{w} \in \Omega}
$$



$$
=\inf _{w \in \Omega}
$$

$$
\left\{\inf _{j \in J} f_{j}(w) \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(w)\right\}
$$

$$
=\inf _{w \in \Omega}
$$

$$
\inf _{\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{~J}}\left\{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{w}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathrm{w})\right\}
$$

$$
=\inf _{w \in \Omega} \quad \inf _{w \in \Omega}\left\{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{w}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathrm{w})\right\}
$$

$$
=\inf _{\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{~J}} \int_{\Omega}^{\inf } f_{\mathrm{j}} \otimes \mathrm{dm}
$$

### 3.3PROPOSITION:

If the pseudo multiplication $\otimes$ has the property $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ then for $\mathrm{f}: \Omega \rightarrow[0, \infty]$
And h : $\Omega \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ holds
$\int_{\Omega}^{\text {sup }}(f+h) \otimes d m \leq \int_{\Omega}^{\text {sup }} f \otimes d m+\int_{\Omega}^{\text {sup }} h \otimes d m$

Proof:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}^{s u p}(f+h) \otimes d m & =\sup _{\mathrm{w} \in \Omega}\{(\mathrm{f}+\mathrm{h}) \mathrm{w} \otimes(\mathrm{w})\} \\
& =\sup _{\mathrm{w} \in \Omega}\{(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{w})+\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{w}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathrm{w})\} \\
& \leq \sup _{\mathrm{w} \in \Omega}\{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{w}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathrm{w})+\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{w}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathrm{w})\} \\
& \leq \sup _{\mathrm{w} \in \Omega}\{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{w}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathrm{w})\}+\sup _{\mathrm{w} \in \Omega}\{\mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{w}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathrm{w})\} \\
& =\int_{\Omega}^{s u p} f \otimes d m+\int_{\Omega}^{\sup } h \otimes d m
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.4 PROPOSITION:

If the pseudo multiplication has the property $\mathrm{f}: \Omega \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ and
$\mathrm{h}: \Omega \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ holds

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega}^{\text {sup }} f \otimes d m+\int_{\Omega}^{\text {sup }} h \otimes d m\right| \leq \int_{[0, \infty]}^{\text {sup }}|\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{h}| \otimes d m
$$

Proof:
Suppose that

$$
\left.\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega}^{s u p} f \otimes d m \geq \int_{\Omega}^{s u p} h \otimes d m \\
\mid \int_{[0, \infty]}^{s u p} f \otimes d m-\int_{[0, \infty]}^{s u p} h \otimes d m
\end{gathered} \right\rvert\,=\int_{[0, \infty]}^{s u p} f \otimes d m-\int_{[0, \infty]}^{s u p} h \otimes d m \quad .
$$

positive function f and h satisfies $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{w})=|\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{h}|(\mathrm{w})+\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{w})$. density function $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ is also positive.so that $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{w}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathrm{w}) \leq(|\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{h}|(\mathrm{w})+\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{w})) \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathrm{w})$
hence using above proposition

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}^{s u p} f \otimes d m & =\sup _{\mathrm{w} \in \Omega}\{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{w}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathrm{w})\} \\
& \leq \sup _{\mathrm{w} \in \Omega}\{|\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{h}|(\mathrm{w})+\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{w}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathrm{w})\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{\Omega}^{\text {sup }}(|f-h|+h) \otimes d m \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}^{\text {Sup }}|f-h| \otimes d m+\int_{\Omega}^{\text {sup }} h \otimes d m
\end{aligned}
$$

So that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{[0, \infty]}^{s u p} f \otimes d m-\int_{[0, \infty]}^{\text {sup }} h \otimes d m\right|=\int_{[0, \infty]}^{\text {sup }} f \otimes d m & -\int_{[0, \infty]}^{\text {sup }} h \otimes d m \\
& \leq \int_{[0, \infty]}^{\text {sup }}|f-h| \otimes d m
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.5 Definition:

A fuzzy integral based on $\oplus$ decmposable measure $m$ is defined as

$$
\mathrm{S}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{\mathrm{I} .} 1_{\mathrm{Bi}}
$$

With disjoint $B_{i}$
$\int_{B} S \otimes \mathrm{~m}=\oplus_{\mathrm{i}=1}{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}} \otimes \mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right), \mathrm{a} \leq \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}} \leq \mathrm{b}$

### 3.6 THEOREM:

The functional $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{B}}: \mathrm{u} \rightarrow \int_{B} u \otimes \mathrm{~m}$
has the following properties
(i) $\quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{u} \oplus \mathrm{v})=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{u}) \oplus \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{v})$
(ii) $\quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{s} \otimes \mathrm{u})=\mathrm{s} \otimes \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{u})$
(iii) $\quad \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}} \cap \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{j}}=\boldsymbol{\phi} \Rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Bi} \cup \mathrm{Bj}}(\mathrm{u})=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Bi}}(\mathrm{u}) \oplus \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Bj}}(\mathrm{u})$

Proof:
(i) $\quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{u} \oplus \mathrm{v})=\mathrm{g}^{-1}\left(\int_{B}(g(\mathrm{u})+\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{v})) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{g} \circ \mathrm{m})\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\mathrm{g}^{-1}\left(\int _ { B } \left(g(\mathrm{u}) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{~g} \circ \mathrm{~m})+\int_{B}(g(\mathrm{v}) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{~g} \circ \mathrm{~m})\right.\right. \\
& =\mathrm{g}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~g}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{u})\right)+\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{v})\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{u})+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{v})
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) $\quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{s} \otimes \mathrm{u})=\mathrm{g}^{-1}\left(\int_{B} g(s) \cdot g(u) d(g \circ m)\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\mathrm{g}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{~s}) \int_{B} g(u) d(g \circ m)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{g}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{~s}) \circ \mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{u})\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{s} \otimes \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{u})
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii)

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{BiU} \cup \mathrm{Bj}}(\mathrm{u})=\mathrm{g}^{-1}\left(\int_{B i \cup B j} g(u) d(g \circ \mathrm{~m})\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\mathrm{g}^{-1}\left(\int_{B i} g(u) d(g \circ \mathrm{~m})+\int_{B j} g(u) d(g \circ \mathrm{~m})\right) \\
& =\mathrm{g}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~g}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{Bi}}(\mathrm{u})\right)+\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{Bj}}(\mathrm{u})\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Bi}}(\mathrm{u}) \oplus \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Bj}}(\mathrm{u})
\end{aligned}
$$
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