

Fixed Point Theorems in Dislocated Quasi-b-Metric Space for Two Self Maps

Venkatesh Bhatt^{#1}, Giniswamy^{*2}, Jeyanthi C.^{#3}

^{*1}Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, PES College of Science, Arts and Commerce, Mandya, Karnataka, India-571401

^{#2}Associative Professor and Head, Department of Mathematics, PES College of Science, Arts and Commerce, Mandya, Karnataka, India-571401

^{#3}Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Teresian College, Mysore, Karnataka, India-560104

Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to establish a common fixed point result for two self-maps, using generalised contraction condition in dislocated quasi-b-metric space. This result is an extension and generalisation of the result of Mujeeb Ur Rahman [8].

Keywords—fixed point, b-metric spaces, quasi-b-metric spaces.

I. INTRODUCTION

Fixed point theory is one of the most dynamic research subjects in non-linear analysis. In 1912 Brouwer [3] proved a result that a unit closed ball in \mathbb{R}^n has a fixed point. The most remarkable result in fixed point theory was given by Banach [2] in 1922. He proved that each contraction in a complete metric space has a unique fixed point. Later on, many authors generalized the Banach fixed point theorem in various ways [6,7,10,11,12,14]. P. Hitzler and A.K.Seda generalized, the well-known Banach Contraction Principle of metric space to the dislocated metric space. This result played a key role in the development of logic programming semantics [5].

The quasi metric spaces were introduced by Wilson [13] in 1931 as a generalisation of metric spaces. In 1989 Bakhtin [1] introduced the notion of b-metric space as generalisation of metric space. Klin-eamet.al [4] introduced dislocated quasi-b-metric space which generalize quasi-b-metric space and b-metric like space.

In this present paper we prove a common fixed-point theorem in dislocated quasi b-metric space for two self-maps. This result extends and generalise the result of Mujeeb Ur Rahman [8] and many results in literature.

II. PRELIMINARIES

The following definitions are necessary to prove our result, which is found in [9].

Definition 2.1. Let X be a non-empty set, $k \geq 1$ be a given real number and $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a function. Is called dislocated quasi-b-metric for all x, y and z in X if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $d(x, y) = 0 = d(y, x)$ if and only if $x = y$.
2. $d(x, z) \leq k[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$

A pair (X, d) is called a dislocated quasi-b-metric space or dq-b-metric space. If $k = 1$, then dq-b-metric reduces to dislocated quasi metric space.

Remark: If $k = 1$ the dislocated quasi-b-metric space reduce to dislocated quasi metricspace. Therefore, every dislocated quasi metric space is dislocated quasi-b-metric space and every b-metric space is dislocated quasi-b-metric space with same coefficient k and zero self-distance, but converse is not true.

Example: Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and suppose $d(x, y) = |2x-y|^2 + |2x+y|^2$ Then (X, d) is a dislocated quasi-b-metric space with the coefficient $k = 2$. But it is neither dislocated quasi-metricspace nor b-metric space.

Definition 2.2. The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called dq-b-convergent sequence in (X, d) if for $n \geq N$ we have $d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon$ where $\varepsilon > 0$ then x is called the dq-b-limit of sequence $\{x_n\}$.

Definition 2.3. Let (X, d) be a dq-b-metric space. The sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called a Cauchy sequence if and only if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $m, n \geq n_0$ we have $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$.

Definition 2.4. A dq-b-metric space (X, d) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X converges to a point of X .

The following results are from [9].

Lemma 2.5. Limit of a convergent sequence in dislocated quasi-b-metric space is unique.

Lemma 2.6. Let (X, d) be a dislocated quasi-b-metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $0 \leq \alpha k \leq 1, \alpha \in [0, 1)$ and k is defined in dq-b-metric space. Then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

III. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, d) be a complete dq-b-metric space with $k \geq 1$ and S and T are two self-mappings $S, T: X \rightarrow X$ satisfying the condition

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq h \max \{ d(x, y), d(x, Sx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Sx) \}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $2hk < 1$ and $0 \leq h \leq 1$ then S and T have unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be arbitrary point in X and we define $\{x_n\}$ in X as

$$x_{n+1} = Sx_n \text{ and } x_{n+2} = Tx_{n+1} \quad (1)$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) &= d(Sx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \\ &\leq h \max \{ d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, Sx_n), d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}), d(x_n, Tx_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, Sx_n) \}, \\ &\leq h \max \{ d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), d(x_n, x_{n+2}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \}, \\ &\leq h \max \{ d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), d(x_n, x_{n+2}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

now different cases arise.

Case 1. If $\max \{ d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), d(x_n, x_{n+2}) \} = d(x_n, x_{n+1})$

then $d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq hd(x_n, x_{n+1})$.

similarly, $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq hd(x_{n-1}, x_n)$

Continuing this process, we get $d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq h^{n+1}d(x_0, x_1)$.

Case 2. If $\max \{ d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), d(x_n, x_{n+2}) \} = d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})$

Then $d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq h[d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})]$,

$(1 - h)d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq 0$, this implies $d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) = 0$.

Case 3. If $\max \{ d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), d(x_n, x_{n+2}) \} = d(x_n, x_{n+2})$

Then $d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq h[d(x_n, x_{n+2})]$,

$$\leq hk[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})],$$

$$\leq \frac{hk}{1-hk} [d(x_n, x_{n+1})],$$

Where $\alpha = \frac{hk}{1-hk} < 1$

Continuing the process, we get $d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \alpha^{n+1}d(x_0, x_1)$.

Using lemma 2.6 we get $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in complete dq-b-metric space. So there exists

$u \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = u$.

Now we show that u is a fixed point of T .

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, Tu) &\leq kd(u, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Tu), \\ &\leq kd(u, x_{n+1}) + kd(Sx_n, Tu), \\ &\leq kd(u, x_{n+1}) + k[h \max \{ d(x_n, u), d(x_n, Sx_n), d(u, Tu), d(x_n, Tu), d(u, Sx_n) \}], \\ &\leq kd(u, x_{n+1}) + k[h \max \{ d(x_n, u), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(u, Tu), d(x_n, Tu), d(u, x_{n+1}) \}], \end{aligned}$$

now different cases arise.

Case 1. If $\max \{ d(x_n, u), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(u, Tu), d(x_n, Tu), d(u, x_{n+1}) \} = d(x_n, u)$ then

$$d(u, Tu) \leq kd(u, x_{n+1}) + khd(x_n, u)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $d(u, Tu) = 0$.

Therefore, u is a fixed point of T .

Case 2. If $\max \{d(x_n, u), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(u, Tu), d(x_n, Tu), d(u, x_{n+1})\} = d(x_n, x_{n+1})$ then

$$d(u, Tu) \leq kd(u, x_{n+1}) + khd(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $d(u, Tu) = 0$.

Therefore, u is a fixed point of T .

Case 3. If $\max \{d(x_n, u), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(u, Tu), d(x_n, Tu), d(u, x_{n+1})\} = d(x_n, Tu)$ then

$$d(u, Tu) \leq kd(u, x_{n+1}) + khd(x_n, Tu)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $(1 - kh)d(u, Tu) = 0$

implies $d(u, Tu) = 0$ therefore is a fixed point of T .

Case 4. If $\max \{d(x_n, u), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(u, Tu), d(x_n, Tu), d(u, x_{n+1})\} = d(u, Tu)$ then

$$d(u, Tu) \leq kd(u, x_{n+1}) + khd(u, Tu)$$

$$d(u, Tu) \leq \frac{hk}{1-hk} d(u, x_{n+1})$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $d(u, Tu) = 0$

Therefore, u is a fixed point of T .

Case 5. If $\max \{d(x_n, u), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(u, Tu), d(x_n, Tu), d(u, x_{n+1})\} = d(u, x_{n+1})$ then

$$d(u, Tu) \leq kd(u, x_{n+1}) + khd(u, x_{n+1}),$$

$$\leq k(1 + h)d(u, x_{n+1})$$

as $d(u, Tu) = 0$ then u is a fixed point of T .

Now we show that u is fixed point of S .

Consider

$$d(u, Su) \leq kd(u, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+2}, Su),$$

$$\leq kd(u, x_{n+2}) + kd(Su, Tx_{n+1}),$$

$$\leq kd(u, x_{n+2}) + k[h \max \{d(u, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}), d(u, Su), d(x_{n+1}, Su), d(u, Tx_{n+1})\}],$$

$$\leq kd(u, x_{n+2}) + k[h \max \{d(u, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), d(u, Su), d(x_{n+1}, Su), d(u, x_{n+2})\}]$$

Now different cases arise

Case 1. If $\max \{d(u, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), d(u, Su), d(x_{n+1}, Su), d(u, x_{n+2})\} = d(u, x_{n+1})$ then

$$d(u, Su) \leq kd(u, x_{n+2}) + khd(u, x_{n+1})$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $d(u, Su) = 0$. therefore u is a fixed point of S .

Case 2. If $\max \{d(u, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), d(u, Su), d(x_{n+1}, Su), d(u, x_{n+2})\} = d(u, Su)$ then

$$d(u, Su) \leq kd(u, x_{n+2}) + khd(u, Su),$$

$$\leq \frac{k}{1-kh} d(u, x_{n+2})$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $d(u, Su) = 0$. then u is a fixed point of S .

Case 3 If $\max \{d(u, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), d(u, Su), d(x_{n+1}, Su), d(u, x_{n+2})\} = d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})$ then

$$d(u, Su) \leq kd(u, x_{n+2}) + khd(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $d(u, Su) = 0$. then u is a fixed point of S .

Case 4. If $\max \{d(u, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), d(u, Su), d(x_{n+1}, Su), d(u, x_{n+2})\} = d(u, x_{n+2})$ then

$$d(u, Su) \leq kd(u, x_{n+2}) + khd(u, x_{n+2}),$$

$$\leq k(1 + h)d(u, x_{n+2})$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $d(u, Su) = 0$. then u is a fixed point of S .

Case 5. If $\max \{d(u, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), d(u, Su), d(x_{n+1}, Su), d(u, x_{n+2})\} = d(x_{n+1}, Su)$ then

$$d(u, Su) \leq kd(u, x_{n+2}) + khd(x_{n+1}, Su),$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq kd(u, x_{n+2}) + k^2h[d(x_{n+1}, u), +d(u, Su)] \\ &\leq \frac{k}{1-kh}d(u, x_{n+2}) + \frac{k^2h}{1-k^2h}d(x_{n+1}, u), \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $d(u, Su) = 0$. then u is a fixed point of S .

So u a common fixed point of S and T .

Uniqueness

Now we show that u is unique fixed point of S and T . let u and v be two different fixed point of S and T .

i.e. $Su = u = Tu$, and $Sv = v = Tv$

Consider $d(u,v) = d(Su, Tv)$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \text{hmax}\{d(u,v), d(u, Su), d(v, Tv), d(u, Tv), d(v, Su)\} \\ &\leq \text{hmax}\{d(u,v), d(u, u), d(v, v), d(u, v), d(v, u)\} \\ &\leq \text{hmax}\{d(u,v), d(v, u)\} \\ &\leq hd(u,v) \end{aligned}$$

$$(1 - h)d(u,v) \leq 0$$

$d(u,v) = 0$ implies $u = v$

Therefore, u is a unique common fixed point of S and T .

REFERENCES

- [1] Bakhtin, IA: The contraction principle in quasimetric spaces. In: Functional Analysis, vol. 30, pp. 26-37 (1989)
- [2] Banach S., Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leurs applications, Fund. Math., 3 (1922), 133-187.
- [3] Brouwer L.E.S., Über Abbildungen Von Mannigfaltigkeiten, Math. Ann., 77 (1912), 97-115.
- [4] Hitzler P. and Seda A.K., Dislocated Topologies, J. Electr. Engg., 51 (12/s), (2000), 3-7.
- [5] Imoru C.O. and Olatinwo M.O., On The Stability Of Picard And Mann Iteration Processes, Carpathian J. Math., 19 (2) (2003), 155-160.
- [6] Kannan R., Some results on fixed points, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 60 (1968), 71-76.
- [7] Mujeeb Ur Rahman, "New Fixed Point Theorems in Dislocated Quasi-b-Metric Space", Appl. Math. Inf. Sci. Lett. 5, No. 1, 7-11 (2017).
- [8] M.U.Rahman and M.Sarwar, "Dislocated Quasi-b-metric and fixed point theorem", Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 4 (2016)
- [9] Osilike M.O., Stability Results For Fixed Point Iteration Procedures, J. The Nigerian Math. Society, 14 (15) (1995), 17-29.
- [10] Rhoades B.E., A fixed point theorem for generalized metric spaces, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., 19 (3) (1996), 457-460.
- [11] Schauder J., Fixed point theorem in continuous mapping, Math. Ann., 174 (1930), 285-290.
- [12] Wilson, WA: On quasi-metric spaces. Am. J. Math. 53(3), 675-684 (1931).
- [13] Zamfirescu T., Fixed Point Theorems In Metric Spaces, Arch. Math., 23 (1972), 292-298.