

(3,2)-Jection Operator

Navin Kumar Singh

Research Scholar (Reg. No. 1227/14/16), V.K.S. University, Ara (Bihar)

Abstract: Our main objective in the present paper is to provide some appropriate tools which are necessary for decomposing a (3,2)-jection operator that is not a projection.

Key words : Linear operator, Projection operator, (3,2)-jection operator, Orthogonal linear operators, Commuting operators.

Introduction :

In this paper, we find results concerning with a (3,2)-jection operator so that a (3,2)-jection operator which is not a projection can be decomposed into two orthogonal (3,2)-jections and how expressed as a sum of two commuting operators whose product is 0 where one of the two being a projection and the other whose square is 0.

Definitions :

- Linear operator** : The operator E on a linear space L is said to be a linear operator if $E(ax + by) = aE(x) + bE(y)$ for all $x, y \in L$ and for scalars a and b .
- Projection operator** : The operator E on a linear space L is a projection on some subspace M of L if $E^2 = E$.
- (3, 2)-jection operator** : The operator E on a linear space L is said to be a (3, 2)-jection operator if $E^3 = E^2$.
- Commuting operators** : Two operators E_1 and E_2 are said to be commuting operators if $E_1E_2 = E_2E_1$.
- Orthogonal operators** : The two operators E_1 & E_2 are said to be orthogonal to each other if $E_1E_2 = 0 = E_2E_1$.

Main Results :

Theorem-1 :

Any (3, 2)-jection, which is not a projection can be expressed as a sum of two commuting operators whose product is 0, one of them being a projection and the other whose square is 0 and conversely.

Proof : Let E be a (3, 2)-jection then $E^3 = E^2$

Now we can have,

$$E = E - E^2 + E^2$$

$$E = E_1 + F_1$$

$$\text{where } E_1 = E - E^2, F_1 = E^2$$

Since

$$E \text{ is not a projection}$$

$$\therefore E \neq E^2 \Rightarrow E - E^2 \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow E_1 \neq 0$$

Here

$$E_1F_1 = (E - E^2)E^2 = E^3 - E^4 = E^2 - E^2 = 0$$

&

$$E_1^2 = (E - E^2)^2 = E^2 + E^4 - 2E^3 = E^2 + E^2 - 2E^2 = 0$$

$$\& F_1^2 = (E^2)^2 = E^4 = E^2 = F_1$$

Thus F_1 is a projection and square of E_1 is 0

Obviously, E_1 and F_1 commute because

$$E_1F_1 = (E - E^2)E^2 = E^3 - E^4 = E^2 - E^2 = 0$$

$$\& F_1E_1 = E^2(E - E^2) = E^3 - E^4 = E^2 - E^2 = 0$$

$$\text{i.e., } E_1 F_1 = F_1 E_1 = 0$$

Conversely,

$$\text{Let } E = E_1 + F_1 \text{ such that } E_1 F_1 = 0, E_1^2 = E_1, F_1 = 0$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} E^2 &= (E_1 + F_1)^2 \\ &= (E_1 + F_1)(E_1 + F_1) \\ &= (E_1 + F_1)E_1 + (E_1 + F_1)F_1 \\ &= E_1^2 + F_1 E_1 + E_1 F_1 + F_1^2 \\ &= E_1 + 0 + 0 + 0 \\ &= E_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and, } E^3 &= (E_1 + F_1)^3 \\ &= (E_1 + F_1)^2 (E_1 + F_1) \\ &= E_1 (E_1 + F_1) \\ &= E_1^2 + E_1 F_1 \\ &= E_1 + 0 = E_1 \end{aligned}$$

Thus E is a (3, 2)-jection

Theorem-2 :

Every (3, 2)-jection not a projection is a sum of two mutually orthogonal (3, 2)-jections both of them also not being projections and conversely.

Proof : Let E be a (3, 2)-jection, then $E^3 = E^2$ & $E^2 \neq E$

We can have,

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \frac{1}{2}(E + E^2) + \frac{1}{2}(E - E^2) \\ &= P + Q \text{ (say) where } P = \frac{1}{2}(E + E^2) \text{ \& } Q = \frac{1}{2}(E - E^2) \end{aligned}$$

We observe that,

$$\begin{aligned} P^2 &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(E + E^2) \right\}^2 = \frac{1}{4}(E + E^2)^2 = \frac{1}{4}(E^2 + E^4 + 2EE^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(E^2 + E^2 + 2E^2) = E^2 \neq P \\ \& P^3 &= P^2 P = E^2 \frac{1}{2}(E + E^2) = \frac{1}{2}(E^3 + E^4) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(E^2 + E^2) = E^2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence P is a (3, 2)-jection but not a projection.

$$\text{Next, } Q^2 = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(E - E^2) \right\}^2 = \frac{1}{4}(E^2 + E^4 - 2E^3) = \frac{1}{4}(E^2 + E^2 - 2E^2) = 0 \neq Q$$

$$\& Q^3 = Q^2 Q = 0 \cdot Q = 0$$

\Rightarrow Q is a (3, 2)-jections which is not a projection.

Again,
$$PQ = \frac{1}{2}(E + E^2) \cdot \frac{1}{2}(E - E^2) = \frac{1}{4}(E^2 - E^4) = \frac{1}{4}(E^2 - E^2) = 0$$

 $\Rightarrow P \& Q$ are orthogonal.

Hence E has been expressed as a sum of two mutually orthogonal (3, 2)-jections where both of the two are not projections.

Conversely,

Let $E = E_1 + F_1$ where $E_1 \& F_1$ are (3, 2)-jections such that

$$E_1 F_1 = 0 = F_1 E_1$$

$$\& E_1^2 \neq E_1, F_1^2 \neq F_1$$

We have,
$$E^2 = (E_1 + F_1)^2 = E_1^2 + F_1^2 + 2E_1 F_1$$

$$= E_1^2 + F_1^2 + 2(0)$$

$$= E_1^2 + F_1^2$$

$$\& E^3 = E^2 E = (E_1^2 + F_1^2)(E_1 + F_1)$$

$$= E_1^3 + F_1^3 + E_1^2 F_1 + F_1^2 E_1$$

$$= E_1^3 + F_1^3 + E_1(E_1 F_1) + F_1(F_1 E_1)$$

$$= E_1^3 + F_1^3 + E_1(0) + F_1(0)$$

$$= E_1^3 + F_1^3$$

$$= E_1^2 + F_1^2$$

$$\text{i.e. } E^2 = E^3$$

$\Rightarrow E$ is a (3, 2)-jection.

Conclusion :

A (3,2)-jection operator not a projection can be decomposed into two orthogonal (3,2)-jections and also be expressed as a sum of two commuting operators whose product is 0 where one of the two being a projection and the other whose square is 0.

References :

1. CHEVALLEY, C : Fundamental concept of Algebra; Academic Press Inc., New York, 1956.
2. DUNFORD, N. AND SCHWARTZ, J.: Linear operators, Part I; Interscience Publications. Inc. New York, 1967.
3. EDWARDS, R.E. : Functional Analysis; Holt Rinehart and Winston, Inc., New York, 1965.
4. KELLEY, J.L. Namioka, I. and others : Linear Topological space; (Van Nostrand), East-West Student Edition, India, 1968.
5. LUSTERNIK, L.A. AND SOBOLEW, V.J. : Element of Functional Analysis; (Hindustan Publishing Corporation), India, 1961.
6. PALEY, H. AND WEICHSEL, P.M.: A first course in Abstract Algebra; Holt. Rinehart and Winston, Inc., New York, 1966.
7. RICKART, C.E. : General theory of Banach algebras; Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J. 1960.
8. RIESZ, F. AND NAGY, B. : Functional Analysis; Frederick Ungar, New York, 1955.
9. ROYDEN, H.L.; Real analysis; The Macmillan Company, New York, 1964.
10. RUDIN, W. : Functional Analysis : McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New. York, 1973.
11. Chandra Prabhat : Investigation into the theory of operators and linear spaces, P.U., 1977.