Conditional Probability

Prof.SunithaJanga[1], Prof.Soniya.K[2]
Assistant Professor, T. John Institute of Management and Science, Bangalore, India,
Associate Professor, T. John Institute of Management and Science, Bangalore,India.

Abstract
Understanding independent and conditional probability is basic for a right utilization of numerous probabilistic and measurable ideas and strategies.This article is also focusing on Baye's theorem which means the direct application of conditional probability. Hence, the model explains the individuals which evaluatesconditional probability i.e, P(A|B) (the probability of A given that B has happened) by a procedure that takes after standardfrequentistprobability hypothesis yet is liable to irregular commotion.

Keywords
Baye'stheorem , Conditional probability ,Probability.

I. INTRODUCTION

In probability theory, Conditional probability is a measure of the likelihood of an occasion given that (by suspicion, assumption, declaration or confirmation) another occasion has happened. On the off chance that the occasion of intrigue is An and the occasion B is known or accepted to have happened, "the restrictive likelihood of A given B", or "the likelihood of An under the condition B", is normally composed as P(A|B), or now and then P(B/A). For instance, the likelihood that any given individual has a hack on any given day might be just 5%. In any case, on the off chance that we know or expect that the individual has a cool, at that point they are significantly more prone to hack. The restrictive likelihood of hacking given that you have a frosty may be a substantially higher 75%.

The idea of Conditional probability is a standout amongst the most principal and a standout amongst the most essential ideas in probability theory. In any case, restrictive probabilities can be very tricky and require cautious translation. For instance, there require not be a causal or transient connection amongst A and B.

II. IMPORTANCE

The contingent possibility is a vital volume in extensive shift of areas, comprehensive of characterization, determination hypothesis, forecast, diagnostics, and other comparable circumstances. That is because of the reality one by and large makes the order, choice, forecast, and so forth in light of some confirmation. In this manner, what one needs to grasp is the likelihood of the final product given the confirmation. In the case of arrangement, the verification is the estimations of the estimations, or the highlights on which the grouping is to be based. The practical results are the conceivable classes. The problem is that this conditional probability is exceptionally difficult to appraise from tests straightforwardly. This is on the grounds that there are for the most part a substantial scope of qualities which the components can take. Along these lines there would be a gigantic number of restrictive conceivable outcomes to assess. To get round this, Bayes administer is utilized to compose this in expressions of the conditional probability of getting the verification given the characterization. The probability of the evidence adapted on the final product can now and again be resolved from first standards, and is routinely parcels simpler to evaluate. There are as often as possible exclusively a modest bunch of conceivable guidelines or results. For a given grouping, one tries to quantify the likelihood of getting stand-out proof or examples. A mannequin is utilized to insert to concealed examples. This gives a gauge of the contingent probability of the confirmation given the arrangement. Utilizing Bayes manage, we utilize this to get what is wanted, the contingent likelihood of the arrangement given the confirmation.

III. CONDITIONAL PROBABILITY NOTATION

The conditional probability of B given A is denoted by P(B|A) $P(B|A)=P(A\cap B)/P(A)$, $P(A)>0$. And The conditional probability of A given B is denoted by P(A|B) $P(A|B) = P(B\cap A)/P(B)$, $P(B) > 0$.

Examples on conditional probability:

Example-1:

A card is drawn from a conventional deck and we are informed that it is red, what is the likelihood that the card is more prominent than 2 yet under 9.

Arrangement:

Stage 1:

Let 'A' be the occasion of getting a card more noteworthy than 2 yet under 9.

'B' be the occasion of getting a red card. We need to discover the likelihood of A given that B has happened. That is, we need to discover P (A/B).

Stage 2:

In a deck of cards, there are 26 red cards and 26 dark cards.

=> Number of red cards, $n(B) = 26$

Among the red cards, the quantity of results which are great to A are 12.

$\rightarrow n(A \cap B) = 12$

$P(A/B) = n(A \cap B)/n(B) = 12/26 = 6/13$

Consequently the likelihood that the card is more noteworthy than 2 however under 9 is 6/13.

Example-2:

In a gathering of 100 games auto purchasers, 40 purchased alert frameworks, 30 acquired container seats, and 20 obtained a caution framework and can seats. On the off chance that an auto purchaser picked aimlessly purchased an alert framework, what is the likelihood they additionally purchased basin seats?

Stage 1: Figure out P(A). It's given in the inquiry as 40%, or 0.4.

Stage 2: Figure out $P(A \cap B)$. This is the crossing point of An and B: both happening together. It's given in the inquiry 20 out of 100 purchasers, or 0.2.

Stage 3: Insert your answers into the equation:

$P(B|A) = P(A \cap B)/P(A) = 0.2/0.4 = 0.5.$

The likelihood that a purchaser purchased container seats, given that they obtained an alert framework, is half.

IV. CONDITIONAL PROBABILITY AND INDEPENDENT EVENTS

A sample space consists of an array of $N_x \times N_y$ dots. The dots are all equiprobable. Two rectangles represents two events, A and B, that can be moved or modified by dragging their sides or corners. Five probabilities are shown in the right side of the applet, P(A), P(B), $P(A \cap B)$, P(A|B), P(B|A). The latter two have been defined as

$P(A|B) = P(A \cap B) / P(B),$

$P(B|A) = P(A \cap B) / P(A).$

The events A and B are said to be *independent* provided

$P(A|B) = P(A)$, or, which is the same

$P(B|A) = P(B)$.

Neither the probability of A nor B is affected by the occurrence (or a occurrence) of the other event. A symmetric way of expressing the same fact is this

$P(A \cap B) = P(A)\, P(B)$.

V. DEPENDENT EVENTS

The events A and B are said to be independent if the occurrence or non-occurrence of event A does not affect the probability of occurrence of B. This means that irrespective whether event A has occurred or not, the probability of B is going to be the same. If the events A and B are not independent, they are said to be dependent.

For example, if we toss two coins, the occurrence and non-occurrence of a head one coin does not in any way effect the occurrence of a head on the other coin. Thus the two coins are independent. Similarly, suppose event A is the drawing of an ace from the pack of 52 cards and event B is throwing a total of a 7 with two dice. The event A and B are independent because drawing of a card does not affect the throwing of a total of 7.

On the other hand, if event A is drawing an ace on the first draw from the pack of cards and event B is drawing an ace on the second draw, it is obvious that the occurrence and non-occurrence of the first event does affect the probability of second event. We say that these two events are not independent; they are dependent events.

In the game of chance, such as tossing a coin or rolling a die, it is always assumed that successive throws are independent events if the coin or the die is fair. It is important to remember that event A is independent of event B when B is independent of A. because of this mutual independence, it is right to say that A and B are independent without specifying which is independent of the other.

VI. BAYES' THEOREM

Bayes' theorem (or *Bayes' Law* and sometimes *Bayes' Rule*) is a direct application of conditional probabilities. The probability $P(A|B)$ of "A assuming B" is given by the formula

$P(A|B) = P(A \cap B) / P(B)$

which for our purpose is better written as

$P(A \cap B) = P(A|B)\cdot P(B)$.

The left hand side $P(A \cap B)$ depends on A and B in a symmetric manner and would be the same if we started with $P(B|A)$ instead:

$P(B|A)\cdot P(A) = P(A \cap B) = P(A|B)\cdot P(B)$.

This is actually what Bayes' theorem is about:

(1) $P(B|A) = P(A|B)\cdot P(B) / P(A)$.

Most often, however, the theorem appears in a somewhat different form

(1') $P(B|A) = P(A|B)\cdot P(B) / (P(A|B)P(B) + P(A|B)P(B))$,

where B is an event complementary to B: $B \cup B = \Omega$, the universal event. (Of course also $B \cap B = \Phi$, an empty event.)

This is because

$A = A \cap (B \cup B)$
$\quad = A\cap B \cup A\cap B$
and, since $A\cap B$ and $A\cap B$ are mutually exclusive,

$P(A) = P(A\cap B \cup A\cap B)$
$\quad = P(A\cap B) + P(A\cap B)$
$\quad = P(A|B)P(B) + P(A|B)P(B)$.
More generally, for a finite number of mutually exclusive and exhaustive events H_i ($i = 1, ..., n$), i.e. events that satisfy

$H_k \cap H_m = \Phi$, for $k \neq m$

and
$H_1 \cup H_2 \cup ... \cup H_n = \Omega$,

Bayes' theorem states that

$P(H_k|A) = P(A|H_k)\, P(H_k) / \sum_i P(A|H_i)\, P(H_i)$,

where the sum is taken over all $i = 1, ..., n$.

We shall consider several examples.

Example 1. Monty Hall Problem. [Havil, pp. 61-63]

Let A, B, C denote the events "the car is behind door A (or #1)", "the car is behind the door B (or #2)", "the car is behine the door C (or #3)." Let also M_A denote the event of Monty opening door A, etc.

You are called on stage and point to door A, say. Then

$P(M_B|A) = 1/2$, because Monty has to choose between two carless doors, B and C
$P(M_B|B) = 0$, because Monty never opens the door with a car behind
$P(M_B|C) = 1$, for the very same reason that $P(M_B|B) = 0$.
Since A, B, C are mutually exclusive and exhaustive,

$P(M_B) = P(M_B|A)P(A) + P(M_B|B)P(B) + P(M_B|C)P(C)$
$\quad = 1/2 \times 1/3 + 0 \times 1/3 + 1 \times 1/3$
$\quad = 1/2$.
Now you are given a chance to switch to another door, B or C (depending on which one remains closed.) If you stick with your original selection (A),

$P(A|M_B) = P(M_B|A)P(A)/P(M_B)$
$\quad = 1/2 \times 1/3 \;/\; 1/2$
$\quad = 1/3$.
However, if you switch,

$P(C|M_B) = P(M_B|C)P(C)/P(M_B)$
$\quad = 1 \times 1/3 \;/\; 1/2$
$\quad = 2/3$.

You'd be remiss not to switch.

VII. CONCLUSION

Regardless of whether a probability in a word issue speaks to a conditional or normal (unrestricted) probability isn't generally self-evident, and you need to peruse the issue precisely to see which translation is the right one. Regularly conditional probabilities are demonstrated by words like "given", "if", or "among" (e.g., with regards to sub populaces), however there are no hard standards, and it might rely upon what the hidden universe (test space)is, which is normally not expressly expressed, but rather ought to be obvious from the setting of the whole problem.Don't make presumptions about autonomy: On the off chance that an issue does not expressly express that two events are autonomous, they are most likely not, we ought not make any presumptions about freedom. As opposed to set-theoretic activities like association or crossing point, in conditional probabilities the request of the sets matters

REFERENCES

[1] R. B. Ash, Basic Probability Theory, Dover, 2008
[2] A. N. Kolmogorov, The Theory of Probability, in Mathematics: Its Content, Methods and Meaning, Dover, 1999
[3] P. S. de Laplace, Concerning Probability, in The World of Mathematics, Dover, 2003
[4] P. S. de Laplace, A Philosophical Essay on Probability, in God Created the Integers: The Mathematical Breakthroughs That Changed History , Running Press, 2007