# Čech Soft Closure Spaces

### J. Krishnaveni

Department of Mathematics G. Venkataswamy Naidu College Kovilpatti, TAMILNADU, INDIA venivenkatt@gmail.com

#### C. Sekar

Department of Mathematics Aditanar College of Arts and Science Trichendur, TAMILNADU, INDIA sekar.acas@gmail.com

#### Abstract

The purpose of the present paper is to define and study the  $\check{C}$ ech soft closure spaces on the soft sets over the non-empty set X and discuss some of its properties.

*Keywords:* Cech soft closure spaces, soft topological spaces, soft sets. 2010 Mathematics subject code classification : 06D72, 54A05, 54A40, 55N05.

# 1 Introduction

Some theories such as theory of vague sets, theory of rough sets and etc., can be considered as mathematical tools for dealing with uncertainties. But all of these theories have their own difficulties. In 1999, D. Molodtsov [4] introduced the concept of soft sets in order to solve complicated problems in some sciences such as economics, engineering etc. In 2011, Shabir and Naz [5] introduced and studied the concepts of soft topological space and some related concepts such as soft interior, soft closed, soft subspace and soft separation axioms. Recent years, the theory of soft topological spaces is investigated by various new researchers.

Let X be a non-empty set and P(X) be the power set of X. A mapping  $c: P(X) \to P(X)$  is called a Čech closure operator provided it satisfies the following three axioms:

(C1) 
$$c(\phi) = \phi$$

- (C2)  $A \subset c(A)$ , for all  $A \subset X$ ,
- (C3)  $c(A \cup B) = c(A) \cup c(B)$ , for all  $A, B \subset X$ .

Then c, together with the underlying set X, is called a Cech closure space and is denoted by (X, c). If c also satisfies:

(C4) c(c(A)) = c(A) for all  $A \subset X$ , then (X, c) is a topological space.

 $\check{C}$ ech closure spaces were introduced by E.  $\check{C}$ ech in [1]. In this paper, we introduced  $\check{C}$ ech soft closure spaces over the soft sets on a non-empty set X and we exhibit some results related to these concepts.

## 2 Soft sets

**Definition 2.1.** [4] Let X be an initial universe and E be a set of parameters. Let P(X) denote the power set of X and A be a non-empty subset of E. A soft set  $F_A$  on the universe X is defined by  $F_A = \{(e, F(e))/e \in E, F(e) \in P(X)\}$ , where  $F : E \to P(X)$  such that  $F(e) = \phi$  if  $x \notin A$ . Here, F is called an approximate function of the soft set  $F_A$ . The value of F(e) may be arbitrary. Some of them may be empty and some of may have nonempty intersection. The class of all soft sets over X and the parameter set E is denoted by S(X, E).

**Definition 2.2.** [3] For two soft sets  $F_A$  and  $G_B$  over a common universe X, we say that  $F_A$  is a soft subset of  $G_B$  if

- 1.  $A \subseteq B$  and
- 2. For all  $e \in A$ , F(e) and G(e) are identical approximations.

We write  $F_A \subseteq G_B$  ( $\subseteq$  denotes soft inclusion.) Consequently,  $G_B$  is said to be a soft superset of  $F_A$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [3] Two soft sets  $F_A$  and  $G_B$  over a common universe U are said to be soft equal if  $F_A$  is a soft subset of  $G_B$  and  $G_B$  is a soft subset of  $F_A$ .

**Definition 2.4.** [3] Let  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$  be a set of parameters. The NOT set of E denoted by  $\neg E$  is defined by  $\neg E = \{\neg e_1, \neg e_2, \ldots, \neg e_n\}$  where  $\neg e_i = note_i$  for all *i*.

**Definition 2.5.** [3] The complement of a soft set  $F_A$  is denoted by  $F_A{}^c$  and is defined by  $F_A{}^c = F_{\neg A}{}^\neg$ , where  $F^\neg : \neg A \to P(X)$  is a mapping given by  $F^\neg(e) = X - F(e)$ , for all  $e \in \neg A$ .

Let us call  $F^{\neg}$  to be the soft complement function of F. Clearly  $(F^{\neg})^{\neg}$  is the same as F and  $(F_A^{c})^c = F_A$ .

**Definition 2.6.** [3] A soft set  $F_A$  over X is said to be a NULL soft set denoted by  $\phi$  if for all  $e \in A$ ,  $F(e) = \phi$  (null set ).

**Definition 2.7.** [3] A soft set  $F_A$  over X is said to be an absolute soft set denoted by  $\tilde{A}$  if for all  $e \in A$ , F(e) = X. Clearly  $\tilde{A}^c = \phi$  and  $\phi^c = \tilde{A}$ .

**Definition 2.8.** [3] The union of two soft sets  $F_A$  and  $G_B$  over a common universe X, denoted by the soft set  $H_C$ , where  $C = A \cup B$  and for all  $e \in C$ ,

$$H(e) = \begin{cases} F(e) & \text{if } e \in A - B\\ G(e) & \text{if } e \in B - A\\ F(e) \cup G(e) & \text{if } e \in A \cap B \end{cases}$$

We write  $F_A \tilde{\cup} G_B = H_C$ .

**Definition 2.9.** [3] The intersection  $H_C$  of two soft sets  $F_A$  and  $G_B$  over a common universe X, denoted by  $F_A \cap G_B$ , is defined as  $C = A \cap B$ ,  $H(e) = F(e) \cap G(e)$ , for all  $e \in C$ .

**Definition 2.10.** [5] The difference  $H_E$  of two soft sets  $F_E$  and  $G_E$  over X, denoted by  $F_E - G_E$ , is defined as  $H(\alpha) = F(\alpha) - G(\alpha)$ , for all  $\alpha \in E$ .

**Definition 2.11.** [5] Let  $F_E$  be a soft set over X and  $x \in X$ . We say that  $x \in F_E$  read as x belongs to the soft set  $F_E$  whenever  $x \in F(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in E$ . Note that for any  $x \in X, x \notin F_E$ , if  $x \notin F(\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha \in E$ .

**Definition 2.12.** [5] Let Y be a non-empty subset of X, then  $\tilde{Y}$  denotes the soft set  $Y_E$  over X for which  $Y(\alpha) = Y$ , for all  $\alpha \in E$ . In particular,  $X_E$  will be denoted by  $\tilde{X}$ .

**Definition 2.13.** [5] Let  $x \in X$ , then  $x_E$  denotes the soft set over X for which  $x_E(\alpha) = \{x\}$ , for all  $\alpha \in E$ .

**Definition 2.14.** [5] Let  $F_E$  be a soft set over X and Y be a non-empty subset of X. Then the sub soft set of  $F_E$  over Y denoted by  ${}^YF_E$ , is defined as follows  ${}^YF(\alpha) = Y \cap F(\alpha)$ , for all  $\alpha \in E$ . In other words  ${}^YF_E = \tilde{Y} \cap F_E$ .

**Definition 2.15.** [5] The relative complement of a soft set  $F_A$  is denoted by  $(F_A)'$  and is defined by  $(F_A)' = F'_A$  where  $F' : A \to P(X)$  is a mapping given by  $F'(\alpha) = X - F(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in A$ .

**Definition 2.16.** [6] Let S(X, E) and  $S(Y, E^*)$  be the families of soft sets over X and Y, respectively. The mapping  $\varphi_{\psi}$  is called a soft mapping from X to Y, defined by  $\varphi_{\psi} : S(X, E) \to S(Y, E^*)$ , where  $\varphi : X \to Y$  and  $\psi : E \to E^*$  are two mappings.

1. Let  $F_A \in S(X, E)$ , then the image of  $F_A$  under the soft mapping  $\varphi_{\psi}$  is the soft set over Y denoted by  $\varphi_{\psi}(F_A)_B, B = \psi(A) \subseteq E^*$  and defined by

$$\varphi_{\psi}(F_A)(e^*) = \begin{cases} \bigcup_{e \in \psi^{-1}(e^*) \cap A} \varphi(F(e)), & if \psi^{-1}(e^*) \cap A \neq \phi \\ \phi, & otherwise \end{cases}$$
for  $e^* \subseteq E^*$ .

2. Let  $G_C \in S(Y, E^*)$ , then the pre-image of  $G_C$  under the soft mapping  $\varphi_{\psi}$  is the soft set over X denoted by  $\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(G_C)_D, D = \psi^{-1}(C) \subseteq E$ , where

$$\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(G_C)(e) = \begin{cases} \varphi^{-1}(G(\psi(e))), & if\psi(e) \in C \\ \phi, & otherwise \end{cases}$$
  
for  $e \in D$ .

The soft mapping  $\varphi_{\psi}$  is called injective, if  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are injective. The soft mapping  $\varphi_{\psi}$  is called surjective, if  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are surjective.

**Definition 2.17.** Let  $F_A \in S(X_1, E_1)$  and  $G_B \in S(X_2, E_2)$ . The cartesian product  $F_A \times G_B$  is defined by  $(F \times G)_{(A \times B)}$  where  $(F \times G)_{(A \times B)}(e, k) = F_A(e) \times G_B(k)$ , for all  $(e, k) \in A \times B$ .

According to this definition, the soft set  $F_A \times G_B$  is a soft set over  $X_1 \times X_2$ and its parameter universe is  $E_1 \times E_2$ .

In the following, we give the definition of soft projection mappings.

**Definition 2.18.** The soft mappings  $(p_q)_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , is called soft projection mapping from  $X_1 \times X_2$  to  $X_i$  and defined by  $(p_q)_i((F_A)_1 \times (F_A)_2) = (p_q)_i((F_1 \times F_2)_{A_1 \times A_2}) = p_i(F_1 \times F_2)_{q_i}(A_1 \times A_2) = (F_A)_i$ , where  $(F_A)_1 \in S(X_1, E_1)$ ,  $(F_A)_2 \in S(X_2, E_2)$  and  $p_i : X_1 \times X_2 \to X_i$ ,  $q_i : E_1 \times E_2 \to E_i$  are projection mappings in classical meaning.

**Definition 2.19.** [5] Let  $\tau$  be a collection of soft sets over X, then  $\tau$  is said to be a soft topology on X if

- (1)  $\phi$ ,  $\tilde{X}$  belong to  $\tau$ .
- (2) The union of any number of soft sets in  $\tau$  belongs to  $\tau$ .
- (3) The intersection of any two soft sets in  $\tau$  belongs to  $\tau$ .

The triplet  $(X, \tilde{\tau}, E)$  is called a soft topological space over X.

**Theorem 2.20.** [5] Let  $F_E$  and  $G_E$  be the soft sets over X. Then

- 1.  $(F_E \tilde{\cup} G_E)' = (F_E)' \tilde{\cap} (G_E)'$
- 2.  $(F_E \cap G_E)' = (F_E)' \cup (G_E)'$

# 3 Cech Soft Closure Spaces

**Definition 3.1.** An operator  $\tilde{c} : S(X, E) \to S(X, E)$  is defined on the set of all soft sets S(X, E) of a set X is called Čech soft closure operator on X if the following three axioms are satisfied:

- $(C1) \tilde{c}(\phi) = \phi ,$
- (C2)  $F_A \,\tilde{\subset} \,\tilde{c}(F_A)$ , for all soft sets  $F_A \, over X$ ,

(C3) 
$$\tilde{c}(F_A \tilde{\cup} F_B) = \tilde{c}(F_A) \tilde{\cup} \tilde{c}(F_B)$$
, for all soft sets  $F_A$ ,  $F_B$  over X.

Then  $\tilde{c}$ , together with the underlying set X, is called a  $\tilde{C}$  ech soft closure space and is denoted by  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$ . If  $\tilde{c}$  also satisfies:

(C4)  $\tilde{c}(\tilde{c}(F_A)) = \tilde{c}(F_A)$  for all  $F_A$  over X, then  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$  is a soft topological space.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $X = \{a, b\}, E = \{e_1, e_2\}$ . Then  $S(X, E) = \{F_{E_1} = \{(e_1, \{a\})\}, F_{E_2} = \{(e_1, \{b\})\}, F_{E_3} = \{(e_1, \{a, b\})\}, F_{E_4} = \{(e_2, \{a\})\}, F_{E_5} = \{(e_2, \{a, b\})\}, F_{E_6} = \{(e_2, \{a, b\})\}, F_{E_7} = \{(e_1, \{a\}), (e_2, \{a\})\}, F_{E_8} = \{(e_1, \{a\}), (e_2, \{b\})\}, F_{E_9} = \{(e_1, \{a\}), (e_2, \{a, b\})\}, F_{E_{10}} = \{(e_1, \{b\}), (e_2, \{a\})\}, F_{E_{11}} = \{(e_1, \{b\}), (e_2, \{a, b\})\}, F_{E_{12}} = \{(e_1, \{b\}), (e_2, \{a, b\})\}, F_{E_{13}} = \{(e_1, \{a, b\}), (e_2, \{a\})\}, F_{E_{14}} = \{(e_1, \{a, b\}), (e_2, \{a, b\})\}, F_{E_{15}} = \{(e_1, \{a, b\}), (e_2, \{a, b\})\}, F_{E_{16}} = \phi\}.$ Define  $\tilde{c}(F_{E_1}) = F_{E_3}, \tilde{c}(F_{E_2}) = F_{E_3}, \tilde{c}(F_{E_4}) = F_{E_6}, \tilde{c}(F_{E_5}) = F_{E_6}, \tilde{c}(F_{E_3}) = F_{E_3}, \tilde{c}(F_{E_6}) = F_{E_6}$  and for all other soft sets  $F_{E_i}$  over X, let  $\tilde{c}(F_{E_i}) = \begin{cases} \phi & \text{if } F_{E_i} = \phi, \\ \tilde{\cup}\{\tilde{c}(e_i, F(e_i)): (e_i, F(e_i)) \in F_{E_i}\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$  $(C1) \tilde{c}(\phi) = \phi$ ,

- (C2)  $F_{E_i} \tilde{\subset} \tilde{c}(F_{E_i})$ , for all soft sets  $F_{E_i}$  over X,
- (C3) Let  $F_{E_i}$  and  $F_{E_j}$  be soft sets over X. Then  $\tilde{c}(F_{E_i} \cup F_{E_j}) = \tilde{\cup}\{\tilde{c}(e, F(e)) : (e, F(e)) \in F_{E_i} \cup F_{E_j}\} = (\tilde{\cup}\{\tilde{c}(e, F(e)) : (e, F(e)) \in F_{E_i}\}) \cup (\tilde{\cup}\{\tilde{c}(e, F(e)) : (e, F(e)) \in F_{E_i}\}) \cup (\tilde{\cup}\{\tilde{c}(e, F(e)) : (e, F(e)) \in F_{E_j}\}) = \tilde{c}(F_{E_i}) \cup \tilde{c}(F_{E_j})$ . Thus  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$  is a Čech soft closure space.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, E = \{e_1, e_2\}$  and let  $\tilde{c} : S(X, E) \rightarrow S(X, E)$  be an operator defined by  $\tilde{c}(\{(e_1, \{a, c\}), (e_2, \{b, c\})\}) = \{(e_1, \{a, c\}), (e_2, \{b, c\})\}, \tilde{c}(\{(e_1, \{a\}), (e_2, \{c\})\}) = \{(e_1, \{a\}), (e_2, \{c\})\}, \tilde{c}(\{(e_1, \{a, c\}), (e_2, \{c\})\}) = \{(e_1, \{a, c\}), (e_2, \{c\})\}, \tilde{c}(\{(e_1, \{a, c\}), (e_2, \{b\})\}) = \{(e_1, \{a, c\}), (e_2, \{b\})\}, \tilde{c}(\phi) = \phi, \tilde{c}(\tilde{X}) = \tilde{X} \text{ and for all other } F_{E_i}, define \tilde{c}(F_{E_i}) = \tilde{X}.$ Then  $\tilde{c}$  is not a  $\check{C}$  ech soft closure operator on X because  $\tilde{c}(\{(e_1, \{a\}), (e_2, \{c\})\}) = \tilde{c}(\{(e_1, \{a, c\}), (e_2, \{c\})\}) = \tilde{X}.$ But  $\tilde{c}(\{(e_1, \{a\}), (e_2, \{c\})\}) \cup \tilde{c}(\{(e_1, \{a, c\}), (e_2, \{c\})\}) = \{(e_1, \{a\}), (e_2, \{c\})\}) \cup \{(e_1, \{c\}), (e_2, \{c\})\}) = \{(e_1, \{a, c\}), (e_2, \{c\})\} \neq \tilde{X}.$ 

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $\tilde{c}$  and  $\tilde{d}$  be two  $\tilde{C}$  ech soft closure operators on a set X.  $\tilde{c}$  is said to be coarser than  $\tilde{d}$ , or equivalently  $\tilde{d}$  is finer than  $\tilde{c}$ , if  $\tilde{d}(F_E) \subset \tilde{c}(F_E)$ , for each soft set  $F_E$  over X.

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$  be a  $\tilde{C}$  ech soft closure space. A soft subset  $F_E$  over X is called soft closed provided  $F_E = \tilde{c}(F_E)$ . A soft subset  $F_E$  over X is called soft open provided its soft complement  $\tilde{X} - F_E$  is soft closed.

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$  be a Cech soft closure space.  $G_E$  be a soft set over X and  $x \in X$ . Then x is said to be a soft interior point of  $G_E$  if there exists a soft open set  $F_E$  such that  $x \in F_E \subset G_E$ .

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$  be a Cech soft closure space.  $G_E$  be a soft set over X and  $x \in X$ . Then  $G_E$  is said to be a soft neighbourhood of x if there exists a soft open set  $F_E$  such that  $x \in F_E \subset G_E$ .

**Remark 3.8.** For each  $\tilde{c}ech$  soft closure space, there exists an underlying topological space that can be defined in a natural way. If  $(X,\tilde{c}, E)$  is a  $\tilde{C}ech$  soft closure space, we denote the associated topology on X by  $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{c})$ . That is  $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{c}) = \{F'_E : \tilde{c}(F_E) = F_E\}$  where  $F'_E$  denotes the relative complement of  $F_E$ . Members of  $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{c})$  are the soft open sets of  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$  and their complements are the soft closed sets.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$  be a ech soft closure space and  $F_E \tilde{\subset} G_E \tilde{\subset} X$ . Then  $\tilde{c}(F_E)$  is contained in  $\tilde{c}(G_E)$ .

Proof.  $\tilde{c}(F_E) \subset \tilde{c}(F_E) \cup \tilde{c}(G_E) = \tilde{c}(F_E \cup G_E) = \tilde{c}(G_E)$ , since  $F_E \cup G_E = G_E$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$  be a  $\tilde{C}$  ech soft closure space and  $F_E$  be a soft set over X. If  $\tilde{c}(F_E)$  is contained in  $F_E$ , then  $F_E$  is soft closed.

**Theorem 3.11.** Let  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$  be a Cech soft closure space. Then  $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{c})$  is a soft topology on X.  $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{c})$  is called the underlying soft topology of  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$ .

Proof. Clearly,  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\phi$  are members of  $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{c})$ . Suppose  $F_E$  and  $G_E$  are members of  $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{c})$ .  $\tilde{X} - (F_E \cap G_E) = (\tilde{X} - F_E) \cup (\tilde{X} - G_E) = \tilde{c}(\tilde{X} - F_E) \cup \tilde{c}(\tilde{X} - G_E) = \tilde{c}(\tilde{X} - F_E) \cup \tilde{X} - G_E) = \tilde{c}(\tilde{X} - (F_E \cap \tilde{G}_E))$ . Now consider an arbitrary collection of soft sets  $\{F_{E_\alpha} : \alpha \in J\}$ , each a member of  $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{c})$ . For each  $\alpha \in J$ ,  $\tilde{X} - F_{E_\alpha}$  is soft closed and  $\cap \{\tilde{X} - F_{E_\alpha} : \alpha \in J\}$  is contained in  $\tilde{X} - F_{E_\alpha}$ . Theorem 3.8, then implies that  $\tilde{c}(\cap \{\tilde{X} - F_{E_\alpha} : \alpha \in J\})$  is contained in  $\tilde{c}(\tilde{X} - F_{E_\alpha}) = \tilde{X} - F_{E_\alpha}$ , for every  $\alpha \in J$ . Hence  $\tilde{c}(\cap \{\tilde{X} - F_{E_\alpha} : \alpha \in J\})$  is contained in  $\cap \{\tilde{X} - F_{E_\alpha} : \alpha \in J\}$  and by Theorem 3.9,  $\cap \{\tilde{X} - F_{E_\alpha} : \alpha \in J\}$  is soft closed.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.12.** Let  $\{F_{E_{\alpha}}\}_{\alpha \in J}$  be a collection of soft closed sets in a Cech soft closure space  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$ . Then

- 1. The intersection of any number of soft closed sets is a soft closed set over X.
- 2. The union of any two soft closed sets is a soft closed set over X.

Proof. (i) Since  $\tilde{\cap}F_{E_{\alpha}} \subseteq F_{E_{\alpha}}$  for each  $\alpha \in J$ , by Theorem 3.8,  $\tilde{c} \cap_{\alpha \in J} F_{E_{\alpha}} \subseteq \tilde{c}F_{E_{\alpha}}$ , for all  $\alpha \in J$ . Since  $\{F_{E_{\alpha}}\}_{\alpha \in J}$  is a collection of soft closed sets,  $\tilde{c}(F_{E_{\alpha}}) = F_{E_{\alpha}}$  for all  $\alpha \in J$ . Hence  $\tilde{c}(\tilde{\cap}_{\alpha \in J}F_{E_{\alpha}}) \subseteq F_{E_{\alpha}}$ , for each  $\alpha \in J$ . Thus,  $\tilde{c}(\tilde{\cap}_{\alpha \in J}F_{E_{\alpha}}) \subseteq \tilde{\cap}_{\alpha \in J}F_{E_{\alpha}}$ . Since  $\tilde{\cap}_{\alpha \in J}F_{E_{\alpha}} \subseteq \tilde{c}(\tilde{\cap}_{\alpha \in J}F_{E_{\alpha}})$ ,  $\tilde{\cap}_{\alpha \in J}F_{E_{\alpha}} = \tilde{c}(\tilde{\cap}_{\alpha \in J}F_{E_{\alpha}})$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{\cap}_{\alpha \in J}F_{E_{\alpha}}$  is a soft closed set.

(ii) Follows from the definition of Cech soft closure space.

**Theorem 3.13.** Let  $\{F_{E_{\alpha}}\}_{\alpha \in J}$  be a collection of soft open sets in a Cech soft closure space  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$ . Then

- 1. The union of any number of soft open sets is a soft open set over X.
- 2. The intersection of any two soft open sets is a soft open set over X.

*Proof.* Follows from the Theorem 3.12, and De-Morgan's laws for soft sets which are given in Theorem 2.20.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 3.14.** Let  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$  be a  $\tilde{C}$ ech soft closure space. If  $\tilde{c}(F_E) = F_E$ for every soft set  $F_E$  contained in  $\tilde{X}$ ,  $\tilde{c}$  is called the soft discrete closure operator on X. If  $\tilde{c}(F_E) = \tilde{X}$  for every soft set  $F_E$  contained in  $\tilde{X}$ ,  $\tilde{c}$  is called the trivial  $\check{C}$ ech soft closure operator on X.

we extend the notions of a soft subspace, a soft sum and a product to  $\check{C}$  ech soft closure spaces.

One may easily verify the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.15.** Let  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$  be Čech soft closure space and let Y be an arbitrary subset of X. The operator  $\tilde{c}_Y : S(Y, E) \to S(Y, E)$  defined by  $\tilde{c}_Y(F_E) = \tilde{Y} \cap \tilde{c}(F_E)$  is a Čech soft closure operator on Y.

**Definition 3.16.** Let  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$  be Čech soft closure space and let Y be an arbitrary subset of X. The Čech soft closure operator  $\tilde{c}_Y$  (defined above) is called the relative Čech soft closure operator on Y induced by  $\tilde{c}$ . The triple  $(Y, \tilde{c}_Y, E)$  is said to be a Čech soft closure subspace of  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$ , it is a soft closed(resp. soft open) subspace if  $\tilde{c}(\tilde{Y}) = \tilde{Y}$  (resp.  $\tilde{c}(\tilde{X} - \tilde{Y}) = \tilde{X} - \tilde{Y}$ .

If  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$  is a soft topological space under its closure operator, the definition of a Čech soft closure subspace is reduced to the corresponding definition of a subspace. It is well known that if  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$  is a soft topological space under its Čech soft closure operator, then the two soft topologies defined on a subset Y of X, viz., the soft relative topology  $(\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{c}))_Y$  on Y induced by  $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{c})$  and the soft topology  $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{c}_Y)$  are identical. But in general, we have only the following result.

**Theorem 3.17.** Let Y be an arbitrary subset of a Čech soft closure space  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$ . The relative soft topology  $(\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{c}))_Y$  on Y induced by  $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{c})$  is coarser than the soft topology  $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{c}_Y)$ .

Proof. Let  $F_E$  be a  $(\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{c}))_Y$ -soft closed set over Y. Then  $F_E = Y \cap F_F$  for some  $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{c})$ -soft closed set in  $F_F$  over X. But  $F_E \subseteq F_F$  implies that  $\tilde{c}F_E \subseteq \tilde{c}F_F = F_F$ Hence,  $\tilde{c}_Y(F_E) = \tilde{Y} \cap \tilde{c}F_E \subseteq \tilde{Y} \cap F_F = F_E$ . Consequently  $\tilde{c}_Y(F_E) = F_E$  and  $F_E$  in  $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{c}_Y)$ -soft closed.

**Theorem 3.18.** Let  $\{(X_i, \tilde{c}_i, E_i) : i \in I\}$  be a family of pairwise disjoint  $\check{C}$  ech soft closure spaces. If  $X = \bigcup X_i$  and  $E = \bigcup E_i$ , then the operator  $\oplus \tilde{c}_i : S(X, E) \to S(X, E)$  defined by,  $\oplus \tilde{c}_i(F_E) = \tilde{\bigcup} \tilde{c}_i(\tilde{X}_i \cap F_E)$ , is a  $\check{C}$  ech soft closure operator on X.

Proof. (C1)  $\oplus \tilde{c}_i(\phi) = \tilde{\cup} \tilde{c}_i(\tilde{X}_i \cap \phi) = \tilde{\cup} \tilde{c}_i(\phi) = \phi.$ 

$$(C2) \oplus \tilde{c}_i(F_E) = \tilde{\cup}\tilde{c}_i(X_i \tilde{\cap} F_E) \tilde{\supset} \tilde{\cup} (X_i \tilde{\cap} F_E) = F_E.$$

 $(C3) \oplus \tilde{c}_i(F_E \tilde{\cup} G_E) = \tilde{\cup} \tilde{c}_i(\tilde{X}_i \tilde{\cap} (F_E \tilde{\cup} G_E)) = \tilde{\cup} \tilde{c}_i((\tilde{X}_i \tilde{\cap} F_E) \tilde{\cup} (\tilde{X}_i \tilde{\cap} G_E)) = \\ \tilde{\cup} (\tilde{c}_i(\tilde{X}_i \tilde{\cap} F_E) \tilde{\cup} \tilde{c}_i(\tilde{X}_i \tilde{\cap} G_E)) = (\tilde{\cup} \tilde{c}_i(\tilde{X}_i \tilde{\cap} F_E)) \tilde{\cup} (\tilde{\cup} \tilde{c}_i(\tilde{X}_i \tilde{\cap} G_E)) = \oplus \tilde{c}_i(F_E) \tilde{\cup} \\ \oplus \tilde{c}_i(G_E).$ 

**Definition 3.19.** Let  $\Im = \{(X_i, \tilde{c}_i, E_i) : i \in I\}$  be a family of pairwise disjoint  $\check{C}$  ech soft closure spaces. The  $\check{C}$  ech soft closure operator  $\oplus \tilde{c}_i$  (defined above) is called the sum  $\check{C}$  ech soft closure operator on  $X = \bigcup X_i$  and the triple  $(X, \oplus \tilde{c}_i, E)$ , where  $E = \bigcup E_i$  is the sum  $\check{C}$  ech soft closure space of the family  $\Im$ .

**Remark 3.20.** If  $\{(X_i, \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{c}_i), E_i) : i \in I\}$  are soft topological spaces under their Čech soft closure operators, the definition of the sum Čech soft closure space is reduced to the corresponding definition of sum soft space.

**Remark 3.21.** One may notice that in a sum  $\hat{C}$  ech soft closure space  $(X, \oplus \tilde{c}_i, E)$ ,  $x \in \oplus \tilde{c}_i(F_E)$  if and only if  $x \in \tilde{c}_i(\tilde{X}_i \cap F_E)$  for a unique *i*.

We shall define the product Čech soft closure space. Given a cartesian product of sets  $X = \pi X_i$  and the parameter set  $E = \pi E_i$ , let  $p_i : X \to X_i$ , and  $q_i : E \to E_i$  be projection maps in classical meaning. Then the soft map  $p_{q_i} : S(X, E) \to S(X_i, E_i)$  is called soft projection map, where  $p_{q_i}(F_E) = F_{E_i}$ for  $F_E$  over  $\pi X_i$ .

**Theorem 3.22.** Let  $\{(X_i, \tilde{c}_i, E) : i \in I\}$  be a family of Čech soft closure spaces and let  $X = \pi X_i$ . Define an operator  $\otimes \tilde{c}_i : S(X, E) \to S(X, E)$  as follows:

For a soft subset  $F_E$  over X and  $x \in X$ ;  $x \in \otimes \tilde{c}_i(F_E)$  if the following condition is satisfied:

 $F_E = F_{E_1} \tilde{\cup} F_{E_2} \tilde{\cup} ... \tilde{\cup} F_{E_n} (F_{E_j} \tilde{\subset} \tilde{X}) \text{ implies there is } j \text{ such that } x_i \in \tilde{c}_i(p_{q_i}(F_{E_j}))$ for all *i*. The operator  $\otimes \tilde{c}_i$  is a  $\check{C}$  ech soft closure operator on X.

*Proof.* (C1) Clearly  $\otimes \tilde{c}_i(\phi) = \phi$ .

- (C2) Let  $x \in F_E = F_{E_1} \tilde{\cup} F_{E_2} \tilde{\cup} ... \tilde{\cup} F_{E_n}$ ,  $(F_{E_i} \tilde{\subset} \tilde{X})$ . There is j such that  $x \in F_{E_i}$ . Thus  $x_i \in p_{q_i}(F_{E_i}) \tilde{\subset} \tilde{c}_i(p_{q_i}(F_{E_i}))$  for all i. By definition,  $x \in \otimes \tilde{c}_i(F_E)$ , that is  $F_E \tilde{\subset} \otimes \tilde{c}_i(F_E)$ .
- (C3) Clearly, if  $F_E \tilde{\subset} G_E(F_E, F_E \tilde{\subset} \tilde{X})$ , then  $\otimes \tilde{c}_i(F_E) \tilde{\subset} \otimes \tilde{c}_i(G_E)$ . Thus we need only show that  $\otimes \tilde{c}_i(F_E \tilde{\cup} G_E) \tilde{\subset} \otimes \tilde{c}_i(F_E) \tilde{\cup} \otimes \tilde{c}_i(G_E)$ . Let  $x \notin \otimes \tilde{c}_i(F_E) \tilde{\cup} \otimes \tilde{c}_i(G_E)$ . Then there exist covering  $F_{E_1}, \dots, F_{E_m}$  of  $F_E$  and  $F_{E_m+1}, \dots, F_{E_n}$  of  $G_E$  together with incises  $i_1, \dots, i_n$  such that  $x_j \notin \tilde{c}_j(p_j(F_{E_i}))$ for  $j = i_k$ , where  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $F_{E_1}, \dots, F_{E_n}$  covers  $F_E \tilde{\cup} G_E$ ;  $x \notin \otimes \tilde{c}_i(F_E \tilde{\cup} G_E)$ .

**Definition 3.23.** Let  $\Im = \{(X_i, \tilde{c}_i, E) : i \in J\}$  be a family of Čech soft closure spaces. The soft closure operator  $\tilde{c}_i$  on  $X = \pi X_i$ , defined above, is called the product Čech soft closure operator on X. The triplet  $(X, \otimes \tilde{c}_i, E)$  is said to be the product Čech soft closure space of the family  $\Im$ .

## 4 Soft continuous

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$  and  $(Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$  be two Čech soft closure spaces. A soft mapping  $\varphi_{\psi} : (X, \tilde{c}, E) \to (Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$  is said to be soft continuous if  $\varphi_{\psi}(\tilde{c}(F_A)) \subseteq \tilde{d}(\varphi_{\psi}(F_A))$ , for every soft subset  $F_A \subseteq \tilde{X}$ .

**Theorem 4.2.**  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$  and  $(Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$  be two Čech soft closure spaces.  $\varphi_{\psi}$ :  $(X, \tilde{c}, E) \to (Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$  is soft continuous, then  $\tilde{c}(\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(F_B)) \subseteq \varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(\tilde{d}(F_B))$  for every soft subset  $F_B \subseteq \tilde{Y}$ .

Proof. Let  $F_B \subseteq \tilde{Y}$ . Then  $\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(F_B) \subseteq \tilde{X}$ . Since  $\varphi_{\psi}$  is soft continuous, we have  $\varphi_{\psi}(\tilde{c}(\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(F_B))) \subseteq \tilde{d}(\varphi_{\psi}(\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(F_B))) \subseteq \tilde{d}(F_B)$ . Therefore,  $\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(\varphi_{\psi}(\tilde{c}(\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(F_B)))) \subseteq \varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(\tilde{d}(F_B))$ . Hence  $\tilde{c}(\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(F_B)) \subseteq \varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(\tilde{d}(F_B))$ .

Clearly, if  $\varphi_{\psi} : (X, \tilde{c}, E) \to (Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$  is soft continuous, then  $\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(F_F)$  is a soft closed subset of  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$  for every soft closed subst  $F_F$  of  $(Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$ . The following statement is evident.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$  and  $(Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$  be Čech soft closure spaces. If  $\varphi_{\psi} : (X, \tilde{c}, E) \to (Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$  is soft continuous, then  $\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(F_G)$  is a soft open subset of  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$  for every soft open subset  $F_G$  of  $(Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$ ,  $(Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$  and  $(Z, \tilde{e}, E^{**})$  be Čech soft closure spaces. If  $\varphi_{\psi} : (X, \tilde{c}, E) \to (Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$  and  $\gamma_{\delta} : (Y, \tilde{d}, E^*) \to (Z, \tilde{e}, E^{**})$  are soft continuous, then  $\gamma_{\delta} \circ \varphi_{\psi} : (X, \tilde{c}, E) \to (Z, \tilde{e}, E^{**})$  is soft continuous.

Proof. Let  $F_A \subseteq \tilde{X}$ . Since  $\gamma_{\delta} \circ \varphi_{\psi}(\tilde{c}(F_A)) = \gamma_{\delta}(\varphi_{\psi}(\tilde{c}(F_A)))$  and  $\varphi_{\psi}$  is soft continuous,  $\gamma_{\delta}(\varphi_{\psi}(\tilde{c}(F_A))) \subseteq \gamma_{\delta}(\tilde{d}(\varphi_{\psi}(F_A)))$ . As  $\gamma_{\delta}$  soft continuous, we get  $\gamma_{\delta}(\tilde{d}(\varphi_{\psi}(F_A))) \subseteq \tilde{e}(\gamma_{\delta}(\varphi_{\psi}(F_A)))$ . Consequently,  $\gamma_{\delta} \circ \varphi_{\psi}(\tilde{c}(F_A)) \subseteq \tilde{e}(\gamma_{\delta}(\varphi_{\psi}(F_A)))$ . Hence  $\gamma_{\delta} \circ \varphi_{\psi}$  is soft continuous.

**Definition 4.5.** Let  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$  and  $(Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$  be Čech soft closure spaces. A soft mapping  $\varphi_{\psi} : (X, \tilde{c}, E) \to (Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$  is said to be soft closed (resp. soft open) if  $\varphi_{\psi}(F_F)$  is a soft closed (resp. soft open) subset of  $(Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$  whenever  $F_F$  is soft closed (resp. soft open) subset of  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** A soft mapping  $\varphi_{\psi} : (X, \tilde{c}, E) \to (Y, d, E^*)$  is soft closed if and only if, for each soft subset  $F_B$  of  $\tilde{Y}$  and each soft open subset  $F_G$ of  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$  containing  $\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(F_B)$ , there is a soft open subset  $F_U$  of  $(Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$ such that  $F_U \subseteq F_B$  and  $\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(F_U) \subseteq F_G$ . Proof. Suppose that  $\varphi_{\psi}$  is soft closed let  $F_B$  be a soft subset of  $\tilde{Y}$  and  $F_G$  be a soft open subset of  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$  such that  $\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(F_B) \subseteq F_G$ . Then  $\varphi_{\psi}(\tilde{X} - F_G)$  is a soft closed subset of  $(Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$ . Let  $F_U = \tilde{Y} - \varphi_{\psi}(\tilde{X} - F_G)$ . Then  $F_U$  is a soft open subset of  $(Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$  and  $\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}F_U = \varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(\tilde{Y} - \varphi_{\psi}(\tilde{X} - F_G)) = \tilde{X} - \varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(\varphi_{\psi}(\tilde{X} - F_G)) \subseteq \tilde{X} - (\tilde{X} - F_G) = F_G$ . Therefore,  $F_U$  is a soft open subset of  $(Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$  containing  $F_B$  such that  $\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(F_U) \subseteq F_G$ . Conversely, suppose that  $F_F$  is a soft closed subset of  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$ . Then  $\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(\tilde{Y} - \varphi_{\psi}(F_F)) \subseteq \tilde{X} - F_F$  and  $\tilde{X} - F_F$  is a soft open subset of  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$ . By hypothesis, there is a soft open subset  $F_U$  of  $(Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$  such that  $\tilde{Y} - \varphi_{\psi}(F_F) \subseteq F_U$  and  $\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(F_U) \subseteq \tilde{X} - F_F$ . Therefore  $F_F \subseteq \tilde{X} - \varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(F_U)$ . Consequently,  $\tilde{Y} - F_U \subseteq \varphi_{\psi}(F_F) \subseteq \varphi_{\psi}(\tilde{X} - \varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(F_U)) \subseteq \tilde{Y} - F_U$ , which implies that  $\varphi_{\psi}(F_F) = \tilde{Y} - F_U$ . Thus,  $\varphi_{\psi}(F_F)$  is a soft closed subset of  $(Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$ . Hence  $\varphi_{\psi}$  is soft closed. □

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$ ,  $(Y, d, E^*)$  and  $(Z, \tilde{e}, E^{**})$  be Cech soft closure spaces. Let  $\varphi_{\psi} : (X, \tilde{c}, E) \to (Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$  and  $\gamma_{\delta} : (Y, \tilde{d}, E^*) \to (Z, \tilde{e}, E^{**})$  soft mappings. Then

- 1. If  $\varphi_{\psi}$  and  $\gamma_{\delta}$  are soft closed, then  $\gamma_{\delta} \tilde{\circ} \varphi_{\psi}$ .
- 2. If  $\gamma_{\delta} \tilde{\circ} \varphi_{\psi}$  is soft closed and  $\varphi_{\psi}$  is soft continuous and surjection, then  $\gamma_{\delta}$  is soft closed.
- 3. If  $\gamma_{\delta} \tilde{\circ} \varphi_{\psi}$  is soft closed and  $\gamma_{\delta}$  is soft continuous and injection, then  $\varphi_{\psi}$  is soft closed.

*Proof.* (i)Let  $F_F$  be a soft closed subset of  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$ . Since  $\varphi_{\psi}$  is soft closed,  $\varphi_{\psi}(F_F)$  is soft closed in  $(Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$ . Hence  $\gamma_{\delta}(\varphi_{\psi}(F_G))$  is soft closed in  $(Z, \tilde{e}, E^*)$ . \*). Thus  $\gamma_{\delta} \tilde{\circ} \varphi_{\psi}$  is soft closed.

(ii) Let  $F_F$  be a soft closed subset of  $(Y, \tilde{d}, E^*)$ . Since  $\varphi_{\psi}$  is a soft continuous map,  $\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(F_F)$  is soft closed in  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$ . Since  $\gamma_{\delta} \circ \varphi_{\psi}$  is soft closed,  $\gamma_{\delta} \circ \varphi_{\psi}(\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(F_G)) = \gamma_{\delta}(\varphi_{\psi}(\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(F_G)))$  is soft closed in  $(Z, \tilde{e}, E^*)$ . But  $\varphi_{\psi}$ is surjection, so that  $\gamma_{\delta} \circ \varphi_{\psi}(\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(F_G)) = \gamma_{\delta}(\varphi_{\psi}(\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(F_G))) = \gamma_{\delta}(F_G)$ . Hence,  $\gamma_{\delta}(F_G)$  soft closed in  $(Z, \tilde{e}, E^*)$ . Therefore  $\gamma_{\delta}$  is soft closed.

(iii) Let  $F_F$  be a soft closed subset of  $(X, \tilde{c}, E)$ . Since  $\gamma_{\delta} \tilde{\circ} \varphi_{\psi}$  is soft closed,  $\gamma_{\delta}(\varphi_{\psi}(F_F))$  is soft closed in  $(Z, \tilde{e}, E^{**})$ . As  $\gamma_{\delta}$  is soft continuous,  $\gamma_{\delta}^{-1}(\gamma_{\delta}(\varphi_{\psi}(F_F)))$ is soft closed in  $(Y, \tilde{d}, E^{*})$ . But  $\gamma_{\delta}$  is injective, so that  $\gamma_{\delta}^{-1}(\gamma_{\delta}(\varphi_{\psi}(F_F))) = \varphi_{\psi}(F_F)$  is soft closed in  $(Y, \tilde{d}, E^{*})$ . Therefore,  $\varphi_{\psi}$  is soft closed.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.8.** The product Cech soft closure space is the largest Cech soft closure space for which every soft projection is soft continuous.

Proof. Let  $\mathfrak{F} = \{(X_i, \tilde{c}_i, E_i) : i \in I\}$  be a family of Čech soft closure spaces and let  $(X, \otimes \tilde{c}_i, E)$  be the product Čech soft closure space of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . The Čech soft continuity of each soft projection follows from Definitions and. Now, given any Čech soft closure operator  $\tilde{c}$  for which each soft projection is Čech soft continuous, consider any point  $x \in \tilde{c}(F_A)$  and let  $F_A = F_{A_1} \tilde{\cup} F_{A_2} \tilde{\cup} F_{A_3} \tilde{\cup} ... \tilde{\cup} F_{A_n} (F_{A_i} \tilde{\subset} \tilde{X})$ . By (C3), there exists j such that  $x \in$  $\tilde{c}(F_{A_j})$ . Since each  $p_{q_i}$  is Čech soft continuous, it follows that  $p_{q_i}(c(F_{A_j})) \tilde{\subset} \tilde{c}_i(p_{q_i}(F_{A_j}))$ for all i. This implies that  $x \in \otimes \tilde{c}_i(F_{A_i}) \tilde{\subset} \otimes \tilde{c}_i(F_A)$ .

**Conclusion 4.9.** In this paper, we have studied  $\check{C}$  ech soft closure operators which are defined in the set of all soft sets over a non-empty set and a fixed set of parameters. The notions of soft closed set, soft open set, soft interior points, soft neighbourhood of a soft point are also studied. Then we have studied for each  $\check{C}$  ech soft closure space there exists an underlying soft topological space that can be defined in a natural way. We have defined sum  $\check{C}$  ech soft closure space and product  $\check{C}$  ech soft closure space from a family of  $\check{C}$  ech soft closure spaces. Finally, we have defined  $\check{C}$  ech soft continuous function and studied some of its properties.

### References

- [1] E. Cech, Topolgical Spaces, Interscience Publishers, John Wiley and Sons, New York (1966).
- [2] E. Cech, Topological Spaces, Topological Papers of Eduard Cech, Academia, Prague 1968, 436-472.
- [3] P. K. Maji, R. Biswas, and A. R. Roy, Soft set theory, Comput. Math. Appl., 45, 555-562(2003).
- [4] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory-first results, Comput. Math. Appl. 37(1999).
- [5] M. Shabir and M. Naz, On soft topological spaces, Comput. Math. Appl., 61, 1786-1799(2011).
- [6] I. Zorlutuna, M. Akdag, W. K. Min, and S. Atmaca, Remarks on soft topological spaces, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 3, 171-185(2012).