Smarandache Anti Q-Fuzzy Semigroups

S.Suganya

Assistant professor in Department of Mathematics RA College for women, Thiruvarur, India.

Abstract

In this paper we introduce the concept of Smarandache anti Q-fuzzy semigroups, Smarandache anti Q-fuzzy normal semigroups and some of their properties are discussed.

Mathematical Subject Classification : 03E72, 08A72, 20N25, 03G25.

Keywords : *Q*-fuzzy subset, anti *Q*-fuzzy group, Smarandache semigroup, Smarandache anti *Q*-fuzzy semigroups, Smarandache anti *Q*-fuzzy normal semigroups.

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1965, L.A.Zadeh[10] introduced the concept of fuzzy subset. In 1971, A.Rosenfeld[7] introduced the notion of fuzzy group. R.Biswas[2] introduced the concept of anti fuzzy groups of in 1990. Padilla Raul[5] introduced the notion of Smarandache semigroup in the year 1998. Smarandache fuzzy semigroups were studied in 2003 by W.B.Vasantha kandasamy[9]. In 2008, A.Solairaju and R.Nagarajan[8] introduced a new algebraic structure namely Q-fuzzy groups. R.Arul doss and S.Suganya [1] introduced the idea of Smarandache Q-fuzzy semigroups and Smarandache Q-fuzzy normal semigroups in 2016. In this paper Smarandache anti Q-fuzzy semigroups, Smarandache anti Q-fuzzy normal semigroups are defined and some of their properties are discussed.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1. Let X be a non empty set. A fuzzy subset μ of the set

X is a function $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.2. Let H be a Semigroup. H is said to be Smarandache semigroup(S-semigroup) if H has a proper subset G such that G is a Group under the operation of H.

Definition 2.3. Let X and Q be non empty sets. A Q-fuzzy subset A of X is a function $A : X \times Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$

Definition 2.4. Let G be a group and Q be any non empty set. A Q-fuzzy subset A of G is said to be Anti Q-fuzzy group of G if

- (i) $A(xy, q) \le \max{A(x, q), A(y, q)}$
- (ii) $A(x^{-1}, q) = A(x, q)$, for all $x, y \in G$ and $q \in Q$

Definition 2.5. Let G be a group and Q be any non empty subset. For any

 $a \in G$, $a\mu a^{-1}$ is an Anti Q-fuzzy middle coset of G, if $(a\mu a^{-1})(x, q) = \mu(a^{-1}xa)$, for all $x \in G$ and $q \in Q$.

III. SMARANDACHE ANTI Q-FUZZY SEMIGROUPS

Definition 3.1. Let S be an S-semigroup. A Q-fuzzy subset A of S is said to be a **Smarandache anti Q-fuzzy** semigroup if $A : S \times Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is such that A is restricted to at least one proper subset G of S which is a group and the restriction map $A_G : G \times Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is an anti Q-fuzzy group,

that is for all x, y \in G and q \in Q, $A_G(xy, q) \le max \{A_G(x, q), A_G(y, q)\}$ and $A_G(x, q)=A_G(x^{-1}, q)$.

Example 3.2. Consider an S-semigroup Z₄ under multiplication modulo 4.

Let
$$Q = \{1\}$$
.

Let $A: Z_4 \times Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be defined by,

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 0.4 & if \ x = (0,1) \\ 0.5 & if \ x = (1,1), (3,1) \\ 0.6 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

Clearly A is a Q-fuzzy subset of Z_4 . Consider P = {1, 3} which is a proper subset of Z_4 and is also a group in Z_4 . It can be easily verified that $A_P : P \times Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is an anti Q-fuzzy group. Therefore A is a Smarandache anti Q-fuzzy semigroup.

Remark 3.3. Throughout this paper we mention Smarandache Anti Q-Fuzzy Semigroup as S-AQFS.

Proposition 3.4. If A is an S-AQFS of an S-semigroup S relative to a group G and Q is a non empty set, then

(i) $A_G(e, q) \le A_G(x, q)$, where e is the identity element of G.

(ii) $A_G(x^{-1}, q) \le A(x, q)$ for all $x \in G$ and $q \in Q$.

Proof. Let A be an S-AQFS of an S-semigroup S. Then A is restricted to at least one proper subset G of S which is a group and $A_G : G \times Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is an anti Q-fuzzy group.

Therefore $A_G(x, q) = A(x, q), \forall x \in G \text{ and } q \in Q$

(i) Let $e \in G$, where e is the identity element of G. Now,

$$\begin{split} A_G(e,\,q) &= A_G(xx^{-1},\,q) \\ &\leq \max\{A_G(x,\,q),\,A_G(x^{-1},q)\} \\ &= \max\{A_G(x,\,q),\,A_G(x,\,q)\} \\ &= A_G(x,\,q) \end{split}$$

Therefore $A_G(e, q) \leq A_G(x, q)$.

(ii)
$$A_G(x^{-1}, q) = A_G(ex^{-1}, q)$$

 $\leq \max\{A_G(e, q), A_G(x^{-1}, q)\}$
 $= \max\{A_G(e, q), A_G(x, q)\}$
 $= A_G(x, q)(by (i))$

$$A_G(x^{-1}, q) \leq A_G(x, q)$$

Therefore $A_G(x^{-1}, q) \leq A_G(x, q)$.

Theorem 3.5. Let S be an S-semigroup, Q any nonempty set and let G be a proper subset of S which is a group in S. $\mu : S \times Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is an S-AQFS relative to G if and only if $\mu_G(xy^{-1}, q) \le \max{\{\mu_G(x, q), \mu_G(y, q)\}}$, for all x, y \in G and $q \in Q$.

Proof. Assume that $\mu:S \times Q \rightarrow [0,1]$ is an S-AQFS relative to G. Then μ is restricted to G and $\mu_G: G \times Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is an anti Q-fuzzy group. Then

 $\mu_G(x, q) = \mu(x, q), \forall x \in G \text{ and } q \in Q.$

Let x, $y^{-1} \in G$ and $q \in Q$.

Then
$$\mu_G(xy^{-1}, q) \le \max{\{\mu_G(x, q), \mu_G(y^{-1}, q)\}}$$

 $= \max\{\mu_G(x, q), \mu_G(y, q) \\ \text{Therefore, } \mu_G(xy^{-1}, q) \le \max\{\mu_G(x, q), \mu_G(y, q)\} \\ \text{Conversely, assume that}$

 $\mu_G(xy^{-1}, q) \le \max{\{\mu_G(x, q), \mu_G(y, q)\}}$ (i)

for all x,
$$y \in G$$
 and $q \in Q$

Put y = x in (i).

Then
$$\mu_G(xx^{-1}, q) \le \max{\{\mu_G(x, q), \mu_G(x, q)\}}$$

$$\mu_{G}(e, q) \leq \mu_{G}(x, q) \tag{ii}$$

iii)Now,

$$\begin{split} \mu_G(y^{-1}, q) &= \mu_G(ey^{-1}, q) \\ &\leq \max \{ \mu_G(e, q), \mu_G(y, q) \} \text{ (by (i))} \\ \mu_G(y^{-1}, q) &\leq \mu_G(y, q) \text{ (by(ii))} \end{split}$$

Also,

$$\begin{split} \mu_G(y, q) &= \mu_G(e(y^{-1})^{-1}, q) \\ &\leq \max\{\mu_G(e, q), \mu_G(y^{-1}, q)\}(\text{ by }(i)) \\ \mu_G(y, q) &\leq \mu_G(y^{-1}, q) \text{ (by }(ii)) \end{split}$$

Therefore $\mu_G(y, q) = \mu_G(y^{-1}, q)$

iv)

$$\begin{split} \mu_G(xy,q) &= \mu_G(xy)^{-1},q) \\ &= \mu_G(y^{-1}x^{-1},q) \\ &\leq \max\{\mu_G(y^{-1},q),\mu_G(x^{-1},q)\} \\ &\leq \max\{\mu_G(y,q),\mu_G(x,q)\}(by~(i)) \\ &\mu_G(xy,q) \leq \max\{\mu_G(x,q),\mu_G(y,q)\} \\ \text{From (iii) and (iv) } \mu \text{ is an S-AQFS relative to a group G.} \end{split}$$

Theorem 3.6. The union of two S-AQFS of an S-semigroup S relative to a group G is also an S-AQFS of S.

Proof. Let A and B be any two S-AQFS of an S-semigroup S relative to a group G. Then $A_G : G \times Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $B_G : G \times Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are anti Q-fuzzy groups.

Let x, y \in G and q \in Q.

(i) $(A_G \cup B_G)(xy, q) = \max\{A_G(xy, q), B_G(xy, q)\}$

 $\leq \max \{ \max\{A_G(x, q), A_G(y, q)\}, \max\{B_G(x, q), B_G(y, q)\} \}$ = max{max{A_G(x, q), B_G(x, q)}, max{A_G(y, q), B_G(y, q)}} = max{(A_GUB_G)(x, q), (A_GUB_G)(y, q)}

$$\begin{split} (A_G \cup B_G)(xy,\,q) \, &\leq max\,\{(A_G \cup B_G)(x,\,q),\,(A_G \cup B_G)(y,\,q)\} \\ (ii) \quad (A_G \cup B_G)(x^{-1},\,q) = max\,\{A_G(x^{-1},\,q),\,B_G(x^{-1},\,q)\} \end{split}$$

 $= \max\{(A_G(x, q), B_G(x, q)\} \\ (A_G \cup B_G)(x^{-1}, q) = (A_G \cup B_G)(x, q)$

From (i) and (ii), the union of two S-AQFS is also an S-AQFS of S relative a group G. \Box

Theorem 3.7. The union of a family of S-AQFS of an S-semigroup S relative to a group G is an S-AQFS.

Proof. Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of S-anti Q fuzzy semigroups of S relative to a group G.

That is, $A = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$

Then for x, $y \in G$ and $q \in Q$,

 $\begin{aligned} A(xy, q) &= \sup A_i(xy, q) \\ &i \in I \\ &\leq \sup \max\{A_i(x, q), A_i(y, q)\} \\ &i \in I \\ &= \max\{\sup A_i(x, q), \sup A_i(y, q)\} \\ &= \max\{A(x, q), A(y, q)\} \forall x, y \in G \text{ and } q \in Q. \end{aligned}$ (i) $\begin{aligned} A(xy, q) &= \max\{A(x, q), A(y, q)\} \forall x, y \in G \text{ and } q \in Q. \end{aligned}$ (i) $\begin{aligned} A(x^{-1}, q) &= \sup A_i(x^{-1}, q) \\ &i \in I \\ &\leq \sup A_i(x, q) \\ &i \in I \\ &= A(x, q) \end{aligned}$ $\begin{aligned} A(x^{-1}) &\leq A(x) \forall x \in G \text{ and } q \in Q. \end{aligned}$

From (i) & (ii) the union of family of S-AQFS is an S-AQFS.

Theorem 3.8. Let μ be an S-AQFS relative to a group G \subset S, where S is an S-semigroup. If $\mu_G(xy^{-1}, q)= 0$, then $\mu_G(x, q) = \mu_G(y, q)$ for all x, $y \in G$ and $q \in Q$.

(ii)

Proof. Let μ be an S-AQFS relative to a group G \subset S, where S is an S-semigroup. Then the restriction map $\mu_G: G \times Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is an anti Q-fuzzy group.

Let x, y \in G and q \in Q.

$$\mu_{G}(x, q) = \mu_{G}(xy^{-1}y, q)$$

$$\leq \max\{\mu_{G}(xy^{-1}, q), \mu_{G}(y, q)\}(\text{ since } \mu \text{ is an } S-AQFS) = \max\{0, \\ \mu_{G}(y, q) \\ = \mu_{G}(y, q)$$

$$\mu_{G}(x, q) \leq \mu_{G}(y, q) \qquad (i)$$

$$\mu_{G}(y, q) = \mu_{G}(y^{-1}, q)(By \text{ the definition of } S-AQFS)$$

$$= \mu_{G}(x^{-1}xy^{-1}, q) \\ \leq \max\{\mu_{G}(x^{-1}, q), \mu_{G}(xy^{-1}, q)\}$$

$$= \max\{\mu_{A}(x^{-1}, q), \mu_{G}(xy^{-1}, q)\}$$

$$= \mu_{G}(x^{-1}, q) = \mu_{G}(x, q)$$

$$\mu_{G}(y, q) \leq \mu_{G}(x, q) \qquad (ii)$$

From (i) and (ii), if $\mu_G(xy^{-1}, q) = 0$, then $\mu_G(x, q) = \mu_G(y, q)$ for all $x, y \in G$ and $q \in Q$.

IV. SMARANDACHE ANTI Q-FUZZY NORMAL SEMIGROUPS

Definition 4.1. Let A be an S-AQFS of an S-semigroup S relative to a group G. Then A is called Smarandache anti Q-fuzzy normal semigroups (S-AQFNS) if $A_G(xy, q) = A_G(yx, q)$, that is $A_G(x, q) = A_G(yxy^{-1}, q)$ for all $x, y \in G \subset S$ and $q \in Q$.

Theorem 4.2. If A is an S-AQFNS of an S-semigroup S relative to a group G, then $A_G(xy, q) = A_G(yx, q)$ if and only if $A_G(x, q) = A_G(y^{-1}xy, q)$ for every $x, y \in G$.

Proof. Let A be an S-AQFNS of an S-semigroup S relative to a group G.

Let x, $y \in G$.

Assume that $A_G(xy, q) = A_G(yx, q)$.

We have
$$A_G(y^{-1}xy, q) = A_G(y^{-1}yx, q)$$

= $A_G(ex, q)$
= $A_G(x, q)$

Therefore $A_G(y^{-1}xy, q) = A_G(x, q)$

Conversely, assume that $A_G(x, q) = A_G(y^{-1}xy, q)$.

 $\begin{array}{ll} A_G(xy,\,q) = A_G(xyxx^{-1}) \\ &= & A_G(yx,\,q) \mbox{ (by assumption)} \end{array}$

 $A_G(xy,\,q)=A_G(yx,\,q)\forall\,x,\,y\in\,G.$

Theorem 4.3. The union of two S-AQFNS of an S-semigroup S relative to a group G is also an S-AQFNS of S.

Proof. Let λ and μ be two S-AQFNS of an S-semigroup S relative to a same group G in S.

Then the restriction maps $\lambda_G : G \times Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\mu_G : G \times Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are anti Q-fuzzy groups. $\lambda_G \cup \mu_G$ is an S-AQFS of H relative to G.

For all x, $y \in G$, we have

$$(\lambda_{G} \cup \mu_{G})(xyx^{-1}, q) = \max \{\lambda_{G}(xyx^{-1}, q), \mu_{G}(xyx^{-1}, q)\}$$
$$= \max \{\lambda_{G}(y, q), \mu_{G}(y, q)\}$$
$$= (\lambda_{G} \cup \mu_{G})(y, q)$$

Therefore $(\lambda_G \cup \mu_G)(xyx^{-1}, q) = (\lambda_G \cup \mu_G)(y, q)$ Hence $(\lambda_G \cup \mu_G)$ is an S-AQFNS of S relative to G.

Theorem 4.4. Let A be an S-AQFNS of an S-semigroup S relative to a group G in S and Q be any non-empty set. Define a set $S_{AG} = \{x \in G | A_G(x, q) = A_G(e, q), e \text{ is the identity of G and } q \in Q\}$. Then S_{AG} is a normal subgroup of G.

Proof. Let A be an S-AQFNS of an S-semigroup S relative to a group G in S. Therefore A is restricted to G such that A_G is an anti-Q fuzzy group. Define $S_{AG} = \{x \in G | A_G(x, q) = A_G(e, q)\}$. Clearly $e \in S_{AG}$.

Therefore S_{AG} is a non empty subset of G. Let x, y $\in S_{AG}$ and q $\in Q$.

Now $A_G(xy^{-1}, q) \le \max\{A_G(x, q), A_G(y, q)\}$ $= \max\{A_G(e, q), A_G(e, q)\}$ $= A_G(e,q)$ $Therefore \; A_G(xy^{-1} \qquad, q) \leq A_G(e,q)$ Also, $A_G(xy^{-1}, q) \ge A_G(e, q)$ Therefore $A_G(xy^{-1}, q) = A_G(e, q)$ By the definition of S_{AG} , $(xy^{-1}, q) \in S_{AG}$. (i) Therefore S_{AG} is a subgroup of G. Now let $x \in S_{AG}$ and $y \in G$. Then $A_G(x, q) = A_G(e, q)$. Since A is an S-fuzzy normal semigroup of G, $A_G(y^{-1}xy, q) = A_G(x, q)$ $= A_P (e, q)$ Therefore $A_G(y^{-1}xy, q) = A_G(e, q)$ $(y^{-1}xy, q) \in S_{AG}$ (ii) From (i) and (ii) S_{AG} is a normal subgroup of G.

Theorem 4.5. If A is an S-AQFNS of an S-semigroup S relative to a group G and Q is any non-empty set, then gAg⁻¹is also an S-AQFNS.

Proof. Let A be an S-AQFNS of an S-semigroup S relative to a group G and Q be any non-empty set. Therefore the restriction map $A_G : G \times Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is an anti Q-fuzzy group.

$$(gAg^{-1})(xyx^{-1}, q) = A(g^{-1}(xyx^{-1}, q)g)$$
 (by definition 2.5)
= $A(xyx^{-1}, q)($ since A is an S-AQFNS)
= $A(y, q)$
= $A(gyg^{-1}, q)$ for some $g \in G$
 $(gAg^{-1})(xyx^{-1}, q) = gAg^{-1}(y)$

Therefore gAg^{-1} is an S-AQFNS.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the concept of Smarandache anti Q-fuzzy semigroups and Smarandache anti Q-fuzzy normal semigroups are defined and some of their properties are discussed.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.Aruldoss and S.Suganya, "Smarandache Q-fuzzy semigroups", Advances in fuzzy mathematics, Vol.11 (1), 89-97, 2016.
- [2] R.Biswas, "Fuzzy Subgroups and Anti fuzzy Subgroups", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Vol.35, 121-124, 1990.
- [3] P.S.Das, "Fuzzy groups and level subgroups", J.Math.Anal. Appl, 84, 264-269, 1981.
 [4] A.S.Mashour, M. H. Ghanim and F. I. Sidky, "Normal Fuzzy Subgroups", Univ.u Novom Sadu Zb.Rad.Prirod.-Mat.Fak.Ser.Mat., 20(2), 53-59, 1990.
- [5] Padilla Raul, "Smarandache algebraic structures", Bull.of pure and Appl.sci., Vol.17E, 119-121, 1998.
- [6] Prabir Bhattacharya, "Fuzzy Subgroups: Some Characterizations", J.Math. Anal. Appl., 128, 241 252, 1987.
- [7] A.Rosenfeld, "Fuzzy groups", J.Math.Anal.Appl.,35, 512-517, 1971.
- [8] A.Solairaju and R. Nagarajan, "A New Structure and Construction of Q-Fuzzy Groups", Advances in Fuzzy mathematics, Vol 4 (1), pp.23-29, 2009.
- [9] W.B.Vasantha Kandasamy, "Smarandache fuzzy algebra", American Research press, Rehoboth, NM, (2003).
- [10] L.A.Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets and systems", Information Control 8, 338-353, 1965.