

Images of Multivariable Gimel-Function and Special Functions Pertaining to Multiple Erdélyi-Kober Operator of Weyl Type

F.Y. AYANT¹

¹ Teacher in High School , France

ABSTRACT

The aim in this paper is to establish the images of the product of certain special functions and multivariable Gimel function with $zt^h(t^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\rho}$ as an argument pertaining to the multiple Erdélyi-Saigo operator due to Galué et al. The results encompass several cases of interest for Riemann-Liouville operators, Erdélyi-Kober operator and Saigo operators et cetera involving the product of certain special functions of general arguments.

Keywords :Multivariable Gimel-function, multiple Erdélyi-Kober operator of Weyl type, General class of polynomials.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 33C99, 33C60, 44A20

1.Introduction and definitions

The multiple Erdélyi-Kober operator of Weyl type introduced by Galué et al. [4] is defined as :

$$K_{(\tau_w),(\lambda_w),r'}^{(\eta_w),(\zeta_w)} f(x) = \int_1^\infty H_{r',r'}^{r',0} \left[\frac{1}{y} \middle| \begin{matrix} (\eta_w + \zeta_w + \frac{1}{\tau_w}; \frac{1}{\tau_w})_{1,r'} \\ (\eta_w + \frac{1}{\lambda_w}; \frac{1}{\lambda_w})_{1,r'} \end{matrix} \right] f(xy) dy, \text{ if } \sum_{w=1}^r \zeta_w > 0 \tag{1.1}$$

$$= f(x), \text{ if } \zeta_w = 0, \lambda_w = \tau_w, w = 1, \dots, r', \text{ else}$$

where $\sum_{w=1}^{r'} \frac{1}{\lambda_w} \geq \sum_{w=1}^{r'} \frac{1}{\tau_w}$ and $f(x) \in C_\beta^*$

The class C_β^* is defined in the form ([3],page.56) .

$$C_\beta^* = \{f(x) = x^q \bar{f}; q < \beta^*, \bar{f} \in C(0, \infty), |\bar{f}(x)| < A_{\bar{f}}\} \text{ and } \beta^* \leq \max(\lambda_w, \eta_w) \tag{1.2}$$

Galué et al. ([3],p.56) have shown that :

$$K_{(\tau_w),(\lambda_w),r'}^{(\eta_w),(\zeta_w)} x^\rho = \prod_{w=1}^{r'} \frac{\Gamma(\eta_w - \frac{\rho}{\lambda_w})}{\Gamma(\eta_w + \zeta_w - \frac{\rho}{\lambda_w})} x^\rho \tag{1.3}$$

Srivastava and Garg [8] introduced and defined a general class of multivariable polynomials as follows

$$S_n^{w_1, \dots, w_s} [x_1, \dots, x_s] = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_s=0}^{w_1 k_1 + \dots + w_s k_s \leq n} (-n)_{w_1 k_1 + \dots + w_s k_s} A(n; k_1, \dots, k_s) \frac{x_1^{k_1} \dots x_s^{k_s}}{k_1! \dots k_s!} \tag{1.4}$$

n, w_1, \dots, w_s are integers and the coefficients $A(n; k_1, \dots, k_s)$ are arbitrary constants real or complex.

For $s = 1$ the polynomials (1.4) reduces to e general class of polynomials due to Srivastava [7]

$$S_n^w(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{[n/w]} \frac{(-n)_{wk}}{k!} A_{n,k} x^k, n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{1.5}$$

where w is an arbitrary positive integer, the coefficients $A_{n,k}$ ($n, k \in \mathbb{N}$) are arbitrary constants real or complex.

a) Since
$$H_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{[n/2]} \frac{(-)^k n!}{k!(n-2k)!} (2x)^{n-2k} \tag{1.6}$$

defines Hermite polynomials therefore in this case, if we take

$$w = 2, A_{n,k} = (-)^k, S_n^2(x) \rightarrow x^{n/2} H_n(1/2\sqrt{x}) \tag{1.7}$$

b) On setting $w = 1, A_{n,k} = \binom{\alpha+n}{n} \frac{(\alpha+\beta+n+1)_k}{(\alpha+1)_k}, S_n^1$ reduces to the Jacobi polynomials $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1-2x)$

defined by Szegö ([11], p. 68, eq. (4.3.2)),

$$P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+\alpha}{n-k} \binom{n+\beta}{k} \left(\frac{x-1}{2}\right)^k \left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right)^{n-k} \tag{1.8}$$

The following series representation of the H-function given in [3] will be required in the proof.

$$H_{R,S}^{K,L}(z) = H_{R,S}^{K,L} \left[\frac{1}{z} \middle| \begin{matrix} (e_R, E_R) \\ (f_S, F_S) \end{matrix} \right] = \sum_{h=1}^L \sum_{v_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-)^{v_1} \eta(\xi)}{v_1! E_h} \left(\frac{1}{z}\right)^\xi \tag{1.9}$$

where $\xi = \frac{e_h - 1 - v_1}{E_h}$, and $h = 1, \dots, L$ (1.10)

we note
$$\eta(\xi) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^K \Gamma(f_j + \xi F_j) \prod_{j=1, j \neq h}^L \Gamma(1 - e_j + E_j \xi)}{\prod_{j=K+1}^S \Gamma(1 - f_j + F_j \xi) \prod_{j=L+1}^R \Gamma(e_j + E_j \xi)} \tag{1.11}$$

which exists for $z \neq 0$ if $\mu < 0$ and for $|z| > \beta$ if $\mu = 0$

$$\mu = \sum_{j=1}^S F_j - \sum_{j=1}^R E_j \text{ and } \beta = \prod_{j=1}^R (E_j)^{E_j} \prod_{j=1}^S (F_j)^{-F_j}$$

Throughout this paper, let \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{N} be set of complex numbers, real numbers and positive integers respectively. Also $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

We define a generalized transcendental function of several complex variables noted \mathfrak{J} .

$$\mathfrak{J}(z_1, \dots, z_r) = \mathfrak{J}_{p_{i_2}, q_{i_2}, \tau_{i_2}; R_2; p_{i_3}, q_{i_3}, \tau_{i_3}; R_3; \dots; p_{i_r}, q_{i_r}, \tau_{i_r}; R_r; p_i(1), q_i(1), \tau_i(1); R^{(1)}; \dots; p_i(r), q_i(r), \tau_i(r); R^{(r)}}^{0, n_2; 0, n_3; \dots; 0, n_r; m^{(1)}, n^{(1)}; m^{(2)}, n^{(2)}; \dots; m^{(r)}, n^{(r)}} \left(\begin{matrix} z_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ z_r \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$[(a_{2j}; \alpha_{2j}^{(1)}, \alpha_{2j}^{(2)}; A_{2j})]_{1, n_2}, [\tau_{i_2}(a_{2ji_2}; \alpha_{2ji_2}^{(1)}, \alpha_{2ji_2}^{(2)}; A_{2ji_2})]_{n_2+1, p_{i_2}}; [(a_{3j}; \alpha_{3j}^{(1)}, \alpha_{3j}^{(2)}, \alpha_{3j}^{(3)}; A_{3j})]_{1, n_3}, [\tau_{i_2}(b_{2ji_2}; \beta_{2ji_2}^{(1)}, \beta_{2ji_2}^{(2)}; B_{2ji_2})]_{1, q_{i_2}};$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [\tau_{i_3}(a_{3ji_3}; \alpha_{3ji_3}^{(1)}, \alpha_{3ji_3}^{(2)}, \alpha_{3ji_3}^{(3)}; A_{3ji_3})]_{n_3+1, p_{i_3}}; \dots; [(a_{rj}; \alpha_{rj}^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{rj}^{(r)}; A_{rj})_{1, n_r}], \\
 & [\tau_{i_3}(b_{3ji_3}; \beta_{3ji_3}^{(1)}, \beta_{3ji_3}^{(2)}, \beta_{3ji_3}^{(3)}; B_{3ji_3})]_{1, q_{i_3}}; \dots; \dots \\
 & [\tau_{i_r}(a_{rji_r}; \alpha_{rji_r}^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{rji_r}^{(r)}; A_{rji_r})_{n_r+1, p_r}] : [(c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)}; C_j^{(1)})_{1, n^{(1)}}], [\tau_{i^{(1)}}(c_{ji^{(1)}}^{(1)}, \gamma_{ji^{(1)}}^{(1)}; C_{ji^{(1)}}^{(1)})_{n^{(1)}+1, p_i^{(1)}}] \\
 & [\tau_{i_r}(b_{rji_r}; \beta_{rji_r}^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_{rji_r}^{(r)}; B_{rji_r})_{1, q_r}] : [(d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)}; D_j^{(1)})_{1, m^{(1)}}], [\tau_{i^{(1)}}(d_{ji^{(1)}}^{(1)}, \delta_{ji^{(1)}}^{(1)}; D_{ji^{(1)}}^{(1)})_{m^{(1)}+1, q_i^{(1)}}] \\
 & \left. \begin{aligned}
 & \dots; [(c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)}; C_j^{(r)})_{1, m^{(r)}}], [\tau_{i^{(r)}}(c_{ji^{(r)}}^{(r)}, \gamma_{ji^{(r)}}^{(r)}; C_{ji^{(r)}}^{(r)})_{m^{(r)}+1, p_i^{(r)}}] \\
 & \dots; [(d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)}; D_j^{(r)})_{1, n^{(r)}}], [\tau_{i^{(r)}}(d_{ji^{(r)}}^{(r)}, \delta_{ji^{(r)}}^{(r)}; D_{ji^{(r)}}^{(r)})_{n^{(r)}+1, q_i^{(r)}}]
 \end{aligned} \right) \\
 & = \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^r} \int_{L_1} \dots \int_{L_r} \psi(s_1, \dots, s_r) \prod_{k=1}^r \theta_k(s_k) z_k^{s_k} ds_1 \dots ds_r \tag{1.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

with $\omega = \sqrt{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(s_1, \dots, s_r) = & \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \Gamma^{A_{2j}} (1 - a_{2j} + \sum_{k=1}^2 \alpha_{2j}^{(k)} s_k)}{\sum_{i_2=1}^{R_2} [\tau_{i_2} \prod_{j=n_2+1}^{p_{i_2}} \Gamma^{A_{2ji_2}} (a_{2ji_2} - \sum_{k=1}^2 \alpha_{2ji_2}^{(k)} s_k) \prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_2}} \Gamma^{B_{2ji_2}} (1 - b_{2ji_2} + \sum_{k=1}^2 \beta_{2ji_2}^{(k)} s_k)]} \\
 & \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n_3} \Gamma^{A_{3j}} (1 - a_{3j} + \sum_{k=1}^3 \alpha_{3j}^{(k)} s_k)}{\sum_{i_3=1}^{R_3} [\tau_{i_3} \prod_{j=n_3+1}^{p_{i_3}} \Gamma^{A_{3ji_3}} (a_{3ji_3} - \sum_{k=1}^3 \alpha_{3ji_3}^{(k)} s_k) \prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_3}} \Gamma^{B_{3ji_3}} (1 - b_{3ji_3} + \sum_{k=1}^3 \beta_{3ji_3}^{(k)} s_k)]} \\
 & \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \\
 & \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \\
 & \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \\
 & \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n_r} \Gamma^{A_{rj}} (1 - a_{rj} + \sum_{k=1}^r \alpha_{rj}^{(k)} s_k)}{\sum_{i_r=1}^{R_r} [\tau_{i_r} \prod_{j=n_r+1}^{p_{i_r}} \Gamma^{A_{rji_r}} (a_{rji_r} - \sum_{k=1}^r \alpha_{rji_r}^{(k)} s_k) \prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_r}} \Gamma^{B_{rji_r}} (1 - b_{rji_r} + \sum_{k=1}^r \beta_{rji_r}^{(k)} s_k)]} \tag{1.13}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\theta_k(s_k) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m^{(k)}} \Gamma^{D_j^{(k)}} (d_j^{(k)} - \delta_j^{(k)} s_k) \prod_{j=1}^{n^{(k)}} \Gamma^{C_j^{(k)}} (1 - c_j^{(k)} + \gamma_j^{(k)} s_k)}{\sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{R^{(k)}} [\tau_{i^{(k)}} \prod_{j=m^{(k)}+1}^{q_{i^{(k)}}} \Gamma^{D_{ji^{(k)}}^{(k)}} (1 - d_{ji^{(k)}}^{(k)} + \delta_{ji^{(k)}}^{(k)} s_k) \prod_{j=n^{(k)}+1}^{p_{i^{(k)}}} \Gamma^{C_{ji^{(k)}}^{(k)}} (c_{ji^{(k)}}^{(k)} - \gamma_{ji^{(k)}}^{(k)} s_k)]} \tag{1.14}$$

1) $[(c_j^{(1)}; \gamma_j^{(1)})_{1, n_1}]$ stands for $(c_1^{(1)}; \gamma_1^{(1)}), \dots, (c_{n_1}^{(1)}; \gamma_{n_1}^{(1)})$.

2) $n_2, \dots, n_r, m^{(1)}, n^{(1)}, \dots, m^{(r)}, n^{(r)}, p_{i_2}, q_{i_2}, R_2, \tau_{i_2}, \dots, p_{i_r}, q_{i_r}, R_r, \tau_{i_r}, p_{i^{(r)}}, q_{i^{(r)}}, \tau_{i^{(r)}}, R^{(r)} \in \mathbb{N}$ and verify :

$0 \leq m_2, \dots, 0 \leq m_r, 0 \leq n_2 \leq p_{i_2}, \dots, 0 \leq n_r \leq p_{i_r}, 0 \leq m^{(1)} \leq q_{i^{(1)}}, \dots, 0 \leq m^{(r)} \leq q_{i^{(r)}}$

$$0 \leq n^{(1)} \leq p_{i(1)}, \dots, 0 \leq n^{(r)} \leq p_{i(r)}.$$

$$3) \tau_{i_2} (i_2 = 1, \dots, R_2) \in \mathbb{R}^+; \tau_{i_r} \in \mathbb{R}^+ (i_r = 1, \dots, R_r); \tau_{i(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^+ (i = 1, \dots, R^{(k)}), (k = 1, \dots, r).$$

$$4) \gamma_j^{(k)}, C_j^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^+; (j = 1, \dots, n^{(k)}); (k = 1, \dots, r); \delta_j^{(k)}, D_j^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^+; (j = 1, \dots, m^{(k)}); (k = 1, \dots, r).$$

$$C_{ji^{(k)}}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^+, (j = m^{(k)} + 1, \dots, p^{(k)}); (k = 1, \dots, r);$$

$$D_{ji^{(k)}}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^+, (j = n^{(k)} + 1, \dots, q^{(k)}); (k = 1, \dots, r).$$

$$\alpha_{kj}^{(l)}, A_{kj} \in \mathbb{R}^+; (j = 1, \dots, n_k); (k = 2, \dots, r); (l = 1, \dots, k).$$

$$\alpha_{kj i_k}^{(l)}, A_{kj i_k} \in \mathbb{R}^+; (j = n_k + 1, \dots, p_{i_k}); (k = 2, \dots, r); (l = 1, \dots, k).$$

$$\beta_{kj i_k}^{(l)}, B_{kj i_k} \in \mathbb{R}^+; (j = m_k + 1, \dots, q_{i_k}); (k = 2, \dots, r); (l = 1, \dots, k).$$

$$\delta_{ji^{(k)}}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^+; (i = 1, \dots, R^{(k)}); (j = m^{(k)} + 1, \dots, q_{i(k)}); (k = 1, \dots, r).$$

$$\gamma_{ji^{(k)}}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^+; (i = 1, \dots, R^{(k)}); (j = n^{(k)} + 1, \dots, p_{i(k)}); (k = 1, \dots, r).$$

$$5) c_j^{(k)} \in \mathbb{C}; (j = 1, \dots, n^{(k)}); (k = 1, \dots, r); d_j^{(k)} \in \mathbb{C}; (j = 1, \dots, m^{(k)}); (k = 1, \dots, r).$$

$$a_{kj i_k} \in \mathbb{C}; (j = n_k + 1, \dots, p_{i_k}); (k = 2, \dots, r).$$

$$b_{kj i_k} \in \mathbb{C}; (j = 1, \dots, q_{i_k}); (k = 2, \dots, r).$$

$$d_{ji^{(k)}}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{C}; (i = 1, \dots, R^{(k)}); (j = m^{(k)} + 1, \dots, q_{i(k)}); (k = 1, \dots, r).$$

$$\gamma_{ji^{(k)}}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{C}; (i = 1, \dots, R^{(k)}); (j = n^{(k)} + 1, \dots, p_{i(k)}); (k = 1, \dots, r).$$

The contour L_k is in the $s_k (k = 1, \dots, r)$ - plane and run from $\sigma - i\infty$ to $\sigma + i\infty$ where σ if is a real number with loop, if necessary to ensure that the poles of $\Gamma^{A_{2j}} \left(1 - a_{2j} + \sum_{k=1}^2 \alpha_{2j}^{(k)} s_k \right) (j = 1, \dots, n_2), \Gamma^{A_{3j}} \left(1 - a_{3j} + \sum_{k=1}^3 \alpha_{3j}^{(k)} s_k \right) (j = 1, \dots, n_3), \dots, \Gamma^{A_{rj}} \left(1 - a_{rj} + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_{rj}^{(i)} \right) (j = 1, \dots, n_r), \Gamma^{C_j^{(k)}} \left(1 - c_j^{(k)} + \gamma_j^{(k)} s_k \right) (j = 1, \dots, n^{(k)}) (k = 1, \dots, r)$ to the right of the contour L_k and the poles of $\Gamma^{D_j^{(k)}} \left(d_j^{(k)} - \delta_j^{(k)} s_k \right) (j = 1, \dots, m^{(k)}) (k = 1, \dots, r)$ lie to the left of the contour L_k . The condition for absolute convergence of multiple Mellin-Barnes type contour (1.1) can be obtained of the corresponding conditions for multivariable H-function given by as :

$$|arg(z_k)| < \frac{1}{2} A_i^{(k)} \pi \text{ where}$$

$$A_i^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^{m^{(k)}} D_j^{(k)} \delta_j^{(k)} + \sum_{j=1}^{n^{(k)}} C_j^{(k)} \gamma_j^{(k)} - \tau_{i(k)} \left(\sum_{j=m^{(k)}+1}^{q_i^{(k)}} D_{ji^{(k)}}^{(k)} \delta_{ji^{(k)}}^{(k)} + \sum_{j=n^{(k)}+1}^{p_i^{(k)}} C_{ji^{(k)}}^{(k)} \gamma_{ji^{(k)}}^{(k)} \right) +$$

$$- \tau_{i_2} \left(\sum_{j=n_2+1}^{p_{i_2}} A_{2ji_2} \alpha_{2ji_2}^{(k)} + \sum_{j=1}^{q_{i_2}} B_{2ji_2} \beta_{2ji_2}^{(k)} \right) - \dots - \tau_{i_r} \left(\sum_{j=n_r+1}^{p_{i_r}} A_{rji_r} \alpha_{rji_r}^{(k)} + \sum_{j=1}^{q_{i_r}} B_{rji_r} \beta_{rji_r}^{(k)} \right) \quad (1.15)$$

Following the lines of Braaksma ([2] p. 278), we may establish the the asymptotic expansion in the following convenient form :

$$\mathfrak{I}(z_1, \dots, z_r) = 0(|z_1|^{\alpha_1}, \dots, |z_r|^{\alpha_r}), \max(|z_1|, \dots, |z_r|) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\mathfrak{I}(z_1, \dots, z_r) = 0(|z_1|^{\beta_1}, \dots, |z_r|^{\beta_r}), \min(|z_1|, \dots, |z_r|) \rightarrow \infty \text{ where } i = 1, \dots, r :$$

$$\alpha_i = \min_{1 \leq j \leq m^{(i)}} \operatorname{Re} \left[D_j^{(i)} \left(\frac{d_j^{(i)}}{\delta_j^{(i)}} \right) \right] \text{ and } \beta_i = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n^{(i)}} \operatorname{Re} \left[C_j^{(i)} \left(\frac{c_j^{(i)} - 1}{\gamma_j^{(i)}} \right) \right]$$

Remark 1.

If $n_2 = \dots = n_{r-1} = p_{i_2} = q_{i_2} = \dots = p_{i_{r-1}} = q_{i_{r-1}} = 0$ and $A_{2j} = A_{2ji_2} = B_{2ji_2} = \dots = A_{rj} = A_{rji_r} = B_{rji_r} = 1$, then the multivariable Gimel-function reduces in the multivariable Aleph- function defined by Ayant [1].

Remark 2.

If $n_2 = \dots = n_r = p_{i_2} = q_{i_2} = \dots = p_{i_r} = q_{i_r} = 0$ and $\tau_{i_2} = \dots = \tau_{i_r} = \tau_{i(1)} = \dots = \tau_{i(r)} = R_2 = \dots = R_r = R^{(1)} = \dots = R^{(r)} = 1$, then the multivariable Gimel-function reduces in a multivariable I-function defined by Prathima et al. [6].

Remark 3.

If $A_{2j} = A_{2ji_2} = B_{2ji_2} = \dots = A_{rj} = A_{rji_r} = B_{rji_r} = 1$ and $\tau_{i_2} = \dots = \tau_{i_r} = \tau_{i(1)} = \dots = \tau_{i(r)} = R_2 = \dots = R_r = R^{(1)} = \dots = R^{(r)} = 1$, then the generalized multivariable Gimel-function reduces in multivariable I-function defined by Prasad [5].

Remark 4.

If the three above conditions are satisfied at the same time, then the generalized multivariable Gimel-function reduces in the multivariable H-function defined by Srivastava and Panda [9,10].

In your investigation, we shall use the following notations.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A} = & [(a_{2j}; \alpha_{2j}^{(1)}, \alpha_{2j}^{(2)}; A_{2j})_{1, n_2}, [\tau_{i_2}(a_{2ji_2}; \alpha_{2ji_2}^{(1)}, \alpha_{2ji_2}^{(2)}; A_{2ji_2})_{n_2+1, p_{i_2}}, [(a_{3j}; \alpha_{3j}^{(1)}, \alpha_{3j}^{(2)}, \alpha_{3j}^{(3)}; A_{3j})_{1, n_3}, \\ & [\tau_{i_3}(a_{3ji_3}; \alpha_{3ji_3}^{(1)}, \alpha_{3ji_3}^{(2)}, \alpha_{3ji_3}^{(3)}; A_{3ji_3})_{n_3+1, p_{i_3}}; \dots; [(a_{(r-1)j}; \alpha_{(r-1)j}^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{(r-1)j}^{(r-1)}; A_{(r-1)j})_{1, n_{r-1}}, \\ & [\tau_{i_{r-1}}(a_{(r-1)ji_{r-1}}; \alpha_{(r-1)ji_{r-1}}^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{(r-1)ji_{r-1}}^{(r-1)}; A_{(r-1)ji_{r-1}})_{n_{r-1}+1, p_{i_{r-1}}}] \end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

$$\mathbf{A} = [(a_{rj}; \alpha_{rj}^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{rj}^{(r)}; A_{rj})_{1, n_r}, [\tau_{i_r}(a_{rji_r}; \alpha_{rji_r}^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{rji_r}^{(r)}; A_{rji_r})_{n+1, p_{i_r}}] \tag{1.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A = & [(c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)}; C_j^{(1)})_{1, m^{(1)}}], [\tau_{i(1)}(c_{ji(1)}^{(1)}, \gamma_{ji(1)}^{(1)}; C_{ji(1)}^{(1)})_{m^{(1)}+1, p_i^{(1)}}]; \dots; \\ & [(c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)}; C_j^{(r)})_{1, m^{(r)}}], [\tau_{i(r)}(c_{ji(r)}^{(r)}, \gamma_{ji(r)}^{(r)}; C_{ji(r)}^{(r)})_{m^{(r)}+1, p_i^{(r)}}] \end{aligned} \tag{1.18}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{B} = & [\tau_{i_2}(b_{2ji_2}; \beta_{2ji_2}^{(1)}, \beta_{2ji_2}^{(2)}; B_{2ji_2})_{1, q_{i_2}}, [\tau_{i_3}(b_{3ji_3}; \beta_{3ji_3}^{(1)}, \beta_{3ji_3}^{(2)}, \beta_{3ji_3}^{(3)}; B_{3ji_3})_{1, q_{i_3}}; \dots; \\ & [\tau_{i_{r-1}}(b_{(r-1)ji_{r-1}}; \beta_{(r-1)ji_{r-1}}^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_{(r-1)ji_{r-1}}^{(r-1)}; B_{(r-1)ji_{r-1}})_{1, q_{i_{r-1}}}] \end{aligned} \tag{1.19}$$

$$\mathbf{B} = [\tau_{i_r}(b_{rji_r}; \beta_{rji_r}^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_{rji_r}^{(r)}; B_{rji_r})_{1, q_{i_r}}] \tag{1.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B = & [(d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)}; D_j^{(1)})_{1, m^{(1)}}], [\tau_{i(1)}(d_{ji(1)}^{(1)}, \delta_{ji(1)}^{(1)}; D_{ji(1)}^{(1)})_{m^{(1)}+1, q_i^{(1)}}]; \dots; \\ & [(d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)}; D_j^{(r)})_{1, m^{(r)}}], [\tau_{i(r)}(d_{ji(r)}^{(r)}, \delta_{ji(r)}^{(r)}; D_{ji(r)}^{(r)})_{m^{(r)}+1, q_i^{(r)}}] \end{aligned} \tag{1.21}$$

$$U = 0, n_2; 0, n_3; \dots; 0, n_{r-1}; V = m^{(1)}, n^{(1)}; m^{(2)}, n^{(2)}; \dots; m^{(r)}, n^{(r)} \tag{1.22}$$

$$X = p_{i_2}, q_{i_2}, \tau_{i_2}; R_2; \dots; p_{i_{r-1}}, q_{i_{r-1}}, \tau_{i_{r-1}} : R_{r-1}; Y = p_{i^{(1)}}, q_{i^{(1)}}, \tau_{i^{(1)}}; R^{(1)}; \dots; p_{i^{(r)}}, q_{i^{(r)}}, \tau_{i^{(r)}}; R^{(r)} \tag{1.23}$$

For the sake of brevity, and an empty product is interpreted as unity We shall note.

$$A_1 = Re \left(t + \mu \eta Re \min_{1 \leq i' \leq M} \left(\frac{f_{i'}}{F_{i'}} \right) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^r (h_i + \mu \rho_i) \min_{1 \leq j \leq m^{(i)}} Re \left[D_j^{(i)} \left(\frac{d_j^{(i)}}{\delta_j^{(i)}} \right) \right] > 0 \tag{1.24}$$

2. Images under multiple Erdélyi-Kober operator.

We shall consider the Gimel-function of r -variables.

Theorem.

If will be shown here that , if

$$f(x) = x^\rho (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\sigma} \mathfrak{J} \left(\begin{matrix} z_1 x^{-h_1} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\rho_1} \\ \vdots \\ z_r x^{-h_r} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\rho_r} \end{matrix} \right) S_n^{w_1, \dots, w_s} \left(\begin{matrix} x^{p_1} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-q_1} \\ \vdots \\ x^{p_r} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-q_r} \end{matrix} \right) H_{R,S}^{K,L} \left[z x^{-i} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\eta} \middle| \begin{matrix} (e_R, E_R) \\ (f_S, F_S) \end{matrix} \right] \tag{2.1}$$

with $Re(-A_1 + \min_{1 \leq k \leq r'} (\lambda_k \gamma_k)) > 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^{r'} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{r'} \frac{1}{\tau_i}$ and $\eta, \rho, \sigma, h_i, \rho_i (i = 1, \dots, r), p_i, q_i (i = 1, \dots, s) > 0$ and

$|arg z_k| < \frac{1}{2} A_i^{(k)} \pi$, see (1.15), then there holds the following formula

$$K_{(\tau_w), (\lambda_w), r'}^{(\eta_w), (\zeta_w)} [f(x)] = x^\rho c^{-\mu\sigma} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_s=0}^{w_1 k_1 + \dots + w_s k_s \leq n} (-n)_{w_1 k_1 + \dots + w_s k_s} A(n; k_1, \dots, k_s) \frac{c^{-\mu \sum_{i=1}^s q_i k_i}}{k_1! \dots k_s!} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-)^l x^{\mu l}}{l! c^{\mu l}} H_{R,S}^{K,L} (z x^{-i} c^{-\mu \eta}) \mathfrak{J}_{X; p_{i_r}, r'+1, q_{i_r}, r'+1, \tau_{i_r}; R_r: Y}^{U; 0, n_r, r'+1: V} \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{z_1}{x^{h_1} c^{\mu \rho_1}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{z_r}{x^{h_r} c^{\mu \rho_r}} \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} \mathbb{A}: [1-\eta_j + E; \frac{h_1}{\lambda_j}, \dots, \frac{h_r}{\lambda_j}; 1]_{1, r'} \\ \mathbb{B}: \mathbf{B}, [1-\eta_j - \zeta_j + E; \frac{h_1}{\lambda_j}, \dots, \frac{h_r}{\lambda_j}; 1]_{1, r'} \end{matrix} \right) , [1-\Delta - l; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_r; 1], \mathbf{A} : A \left. \begin{matrix} \vdots \\ [1-\Delta; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_r; 1] : B \end{matrix} \right) \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$E = \frac{\rho + \sum_{i=1}^s p_i k_i + \mu l - \check{t}\xi}{\lambda_j}, \Delta = \sigma + \sum_{i=1}^s q_i k_i - \eta \xi \tag{2.3}$$

and the serie defined by the equation (2.2) is convergent.

Proof : Let
$$M = \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^r} \int_{L_1} \cdots \int_{L_r} \psi(s_1, \dots, s_r) \prod_{k=1}^r \theta_k(s_k) z_k^{\xi_k} \tag{2.4}$$

and
$$f(x) = x^\rho (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\sigma} \mathfrak{J} \left(\begin{matrix} z_1 x^{-h_1} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\rho_1} \\ \vdots \\ z_r x^{-h_r} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\rho_r} \end{matrix} \right) S_n^{w_1, \dots, w_s} \left(\begin{matrix} X^{p_1} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-q_1} \\ \vdots \\ X^{p_s} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-q_s} \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$H_{R,S}^{K,L} \left[z x^{-i} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\eta} \middle| \begin{matrix} (e_R, E_R) \\ (f_S, F_S) \end{matrix} \right] \tag{2.5}$$

First, we express the multivariable Gimel-function , general class of polynomials and H-function by using equations (1.12), (1.4) and (1.9) respectively.

We have :
$$f(x) = x^\rho (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\sigma} M \left\{ \left[x^{-h_1} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\rho_1} \right]^{-\xi_1} \cdots \left[x^{-h_r} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\rho_r} \right]^{-\xi_r} \right\}$$

$$\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_s=0}^{w_1 k_1 + \dots + w_s k_s \leq n} (-n)_{w_1 k_1 + \dots + w_s k_s} A(n; k_1, \dots, k_s) \frac{[x^{p_1} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-q_1}]^{k_1}}{k_1!} \cdots \frac{[x^{p_s} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-q_s}]^{k_s}}{k_s!}$$

$$\sum_{h=1}^L \sum_{v_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-)^{v_1}}{v_1!} \frac{\eta(\xi)}{E_h} \left(z x^{-i} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\eta} \right)^\xi \left. \right\} d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_r \tag{2.6}$$

Now, change the order of integration and summation (which is easily seen to be justified due to the absolute convergence of the integral and the summations involved in the process), we thus find that

$$f(x) = x^\rho \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_s=0}^{w_1 k_1 + \dots + w_s k_s \leq n} \sum_{h=1}^L \sum_{v_1=0}^{\infty} (-n)_{w_1 k_1 + \dots + w_s k_s} \frac{A(n; k_1, \dots, k_s)}{k_1! \cdots k_s!} \frac{(-)^{v_1}}{v_1!} \frac{\eta(\xi)}{E_h} z^{-\xi}$$

$$M \left\{ x^{\rho - i\xi + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i \xi_i + \sum_{i=1}^s p_i k_i} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\sigma + \eta\xi + \sum_{i=1}^r \rho_i \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^s q_i k_i} \right\} ds_1 \cdots ds_r \tag{2.7}$$

with algebraic manipulations, we obtain

$$f(x) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_s=0}^{w_1 k_1 + \dots + w_s k_s \leq n} \sum_{h=1}^L \sum_{v_1=0}^{\infty} (-n)_{w_1 k_1 + \dots + w_s k_s} \frac{A(n; k_1, \dots, k_s)}{k_1! \cdots k_s!} \frac{(-)^{v_1}}{v_1!} \frac{\eta(\xi)}{E_h} z^{-\xi}$$

$$M \left\{ x^{\rho - i\xi + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i \xi_i + \sum_{i=1}^s p_i k_i} \left(1 + \frac{x^\mu}{c^\mu} \right)^{-\sigma + \eta\xi + \sum_{i=1}^r \rho_i \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^s q_i k_i} c^{\mu(-\sigma + \eta\xi + \sum_{i=1}^r \rho_i \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^s q_i k_i)} \right\} ds_1 \cdots ds_r \tag{2.8}$$

Use the binomial formula, we get

$$f(x) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_s=0}^{w_1 k_1 + \dots + w_s k_s \leq n} \sum_{h=1}^L \sum_{v_1=0}^{\infty} (-n)_{w_1 k_1 + \dots + w_s k_s} \frac{A(n; k_1, \dots, k_s)}{k_1! \dots k_s!} \frac{(-)^{v_1} \eta(\xi)}{v_1! E_h} z^{-\xi}$$

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-)^l}{l!} M \left\{ (\sigma - \eta\xi - \sum_{i=1}^r \rho_i \xi_i + \sum_{i=1}^s q_i k_i)_l c^{\mu(-\sigma-l+\eta\xi+\sum_{i=1}^r \rho_i \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^s q_i k_i)} \right.$$

$$\left. x^{\rho+\mu l - i\xi + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i \xi_i + \sum_{i=1}^s p_i k_i} \right\} ds_1 \dots ds_r \tag{2.9}$$

Now to establish the images under multiple Erdélyi-Kober operator of the function defined in equations (2.1) and (1.3), we get :

$$K_{(\tau_w), (\lambda_w), r'}^{(\eta_w), (\zeta_w)} [f(x)] = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_s=0}^{w_1 k_1 + \dots + w_s k_s \leq n} \sum_{h=1}^L \sum_{v_1=0}^{\infty} (-n)_{w_1 k_1 + \dots + w_s k_s} \frac{A(n; k_1, \dots, k_s)}{k_1! \dots k_s!} \frac{(-)^{v_1} \eta(\xi)}{v_1! E_h} z^{-\xi}$$

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-)^l}{l!} M \left\{ (\sigma - \eta\xi - \sum_{i=1}^r \rho_i \xi_i + \sum_{i=1}^s q_i k_i)_l c^{\mu(-\sigma-l+\eta\xi - \sum_{i=1}^r \rho_i \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^s q_i k_i)} \right.$$

$$\left. \prod_{w=1}^{r'} \frac{\Gamma \left[\eta_w - \frac{\rho+\mu l - i\xi + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i \xi_i + \sum_{i=1}^s p_i k_i}{\lambda_w} \right]}{\Gamma \left[\eta_w - \rho + \zeta_w - \frac{\mu l - i\xi + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i \xi_i + \sum_{i=1}^s p_i k_i}{\lambda_w} \right]} x^{\rho+\mu l - i\xi + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i \xi_i + \sum_{i=1}^s p_i k_i} \right\} ds_1 \dots ds_r \tag{2.10}$$

Finally interpreting the result thus obtained with the Mellin-barnes contour integral with the help of (1.12) and use also the equation (1.9), we arrive at the desired result (2.2).

3. Applications.

Taking $s = 1$ in the equation (2.2), the polynomial (1.4) will reduce to $S_n^w(x)$ which is defined by the equation (1.5) and consequently, we obtain the following result

Corollary 1.

$$K_{(\tau_w), (\lambda_w), r'}^{(\eta_w), (\zeta_w)} [f_1(x)] = x^\rho c^{-\mu\sigma} \sum_{k=0}^{[n/w]} \frac{A(n; k) x^{pk}}{k!} c^{-q\mu k} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-)^l x^{\mu l}}{l! c^{\mu l}} H_{R,S}^{K,L} (zx^{-i} c^{-\mu\eta})$$

$$\mathfrak{J}_{X; p_{i_r}, r'+1, q_{i_r}, r'+1, \tau_{i_r}; R_r: Y}^{U; 0, n_r, r'+1: V} \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{z_1}{x^{h_1} c^{\mu\rho_1}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{z_r}{x^{h_r} c^{\mu\rho_r}} \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} \mathbb{A}: [1-\eta_j + E^*; \frac{h_1}{\lambda_j}, \dots, \frac{h_r}{\lambda_j}; 1]_{1, r'} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbb{B}: \mathbf{B}, [1-\eta_j - \zeta_j + E'^*; \frac{h_1}{\lambda_j}, \dots, \frac{h_r}{\lambda_j}; 1]_{1, r'} \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$\left. \begin{matrix} , [1-\Delta^* - l; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_r; 1], \mathbf{A} : A \\ \vdots \\ , [1-\Delta^*; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_r; 1] : B \end{matrix} \right) \tag{3.1}$$

where $E^* = \frac{\rho + pk + \mu l - \ddot{t}\xi}{\lambda_j}, \Delta^* = \sigma + qk - \eta\xi$ (3.2)

and $f_1(x) = x^\rho(x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\sigma} \mathfrak{J} \left(\begin{matrix} z_1 x^{-h_1} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\rho_1} \\ \vdots \\ z_r x^{-h_r} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\rho_r} \end{matrix} \right) S_n^w(x^p(x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-q})$

$$H_{R,S}^{K,L} \left[zx^{-\ddot{t}}(x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\eta} \middle| \begin{matrix} (e_R, E_R) \\ (f_S, F_S) \end{matrix} \right] \tag{3.3}$$

under the same validity conditions that (2.2)

Setting $s = 1, w = 2, A_{n,k} = (-)^k$ in (2.2), then by virtue of the result (1.7), we have the following result.

Corollary 2.

$$K_{(\tau_w),(\lambda_w),r'}^{(\eta_w),(\zeta_w)} [f_2(x)] = x^\rho c^{-\mu\sigma} \sum_{k=0}^{[n/2]} (-)^k (-n)_{2k} \frac{c^{-kq\mu}}{k!} x^{pk} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-)^l x^{l\mu}}{l! c^{l\mu}} H_{R,S}^{K,L} (zx^{-\ddot{t}} c^{-\mu\eta})$$

$$\mathfrak{J}_{X;p_{i_r+r'+1},q_{i_r+r'+1},\tau_{i_r};R_r;Y}^{U;0,n_r+r'+1;V} \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{z_1}{x^{h_1} c^{\mu\rho_1}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{z_r}{x^{h_r} c^{\mu\rho_r}} \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} \mathbb{A}: [1-\eta_j + E^*; \frac{h_1}{\lambda_j}, \dots, \frac{h_r}{\lambda_j}; 1]_{1,r'} \\ \mathbb{B}: \mathbf{B}, [1-\eta_j - \zeta_j + E'^*; \frac{h_1}{\lambda_j}, \dots, \frac{h_r}{\lambda_j}; 1]_{1,r'} \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$\left(\begin{matrix} , [1-\Delta^* - l; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_r; 1], \mathbf{A} : A \\ \vdots \\ , [1-\Delta^*; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_r; 1] : B \end{matrix} \right) \tag{3.4}$$

and $f_2(x) = x^{\rho+\frac{np}{2}}(x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\sigma-\frac{nq}{2}} \mathfrak{J} \left(\begin{matrix} z_1 x^{-h_1} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\rho_1} \\ \vdots \\ z_r x^{-h_r} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\rho_r} \end{matrix} \right) H_n \left[\frac{(x^\mu + c^\mu)^{q/2}}{2x^{p/2}} \right]$

$$H_{R,S}^{K,L} \left[zx^{-\ddot{t}}(x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\eta} \middle| \begin{matrix} (e_R, E_R) \\ (f_S, F_S) \end{matrix} \right] \tag{3.5}$$

Next , if we set $s = 1, w = 1$ and $A_{n,k} = \binom{n + \alpha}{n} \frac{(\alpha + \beta + n + 1)_k}{(\alpha + 1)_k}$ in (2.2), then by virtue of the result (1.8), we

have the following result under the same validity conditions and notations that (3.1).

Corollary 3.

$$K_{(\tau_w),(\lambda_w),r}^{(\eta_w),(\zeta_w)} [f_3(x)] = x^\rho c^{-\mu\sigma} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+\alpha}{n} \frac{(\alpha+\beta+n+1)_k}{(\alpha+1)_k} (-n)_k \frac{c^{-kq\mu}}{k!} x^{pk} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-)^l x^{\mu l}}{l! c^{\mu l}} H_{R,S}^{K,L} (zx^{-\tilde{t}} c^{-\mu\eta})$$

$$\mathfrak{J}_{X;p_{i_r+r'+1},q_{i_r+r'+1},\tau_{i_r}:R_r:Y}^{U;0,n_r+r'+1:V} \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{z_1}{x^{h_1} c^{\mu\rho_1}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{z_r}{x^{h_r} c^{\mu\rho_r}} \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{A}: [1-\eta_j + E^*; \frac{h_1}{\lambda_j}, \dots, \frac{h_r}{\lambda_j}; 1]_{1,r'} \\ \mathbb{B}: \mathbf{B}, [1-\eta_j - \zeta_j + E'^*; \frac{h_1}{\lambda_j}, \dots, \frac{h_r}{\lambda_j}; 1]_{1,r'} \end{array} \right)$$

$$, \left(\begin{array}{l} [1-\Delta^* - l; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_r; 1], \mathbf{A} : A \\ \vdots \\ [1-\Delta^*; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_r; 1] : B \end{array} \right) \tag{3.6}$$

and $f_3(x) = x^\rho (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\sigma} \mathfrak{J} \left(\begin{array}{c} z_1 x^{-h_1} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\rho_1} \\ \vdots \\ z_r x^{-h_r} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\rho_r} \end{array} \right) P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} [1 - 2x^p (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-q}]$

$$H_{R,S}^{K,L} \left[zx^{-\tilde{t}} (x^\mu + c^\mu)^{-\eta} \middle| \begin{array}{l} (e_R, E_R) \\ (f_S, F_S) \end{array} \right] \tag{3.7}$$

under the same validity conditions and notations that (3.1)

4. Conclusion.

In this paper we have evaluated the images of the product of certain special functions and multivariable Gimel-function, a class of polynomials and Fox's H-function of one variable pertaining to multiple Erdélyi-Kober operator. The images established in this paper is of very general nature as it contains multivariable Gimel-function, which is a general function of several complex variables studied so far. Thus, the integral established in this research work would serve as a key formula from which, upon specializing the parameters, as many as desired results involving the special functions of one and several variables can be obtained.

REFERENCES.

[1] F. Ayant, An integral associated with the Aleph-functions of several variables. International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology (IJMTT), 31(3) (2016), 142-154.

[2] B.L.J. Braaksma, Asymptotics expansions and analytic continuations for a class of Barnes-integrals, Compositio Math. 15 (1962-1964), 239-341.

[3] V.B.L.Chaurasia and N. Gupta, General fractional integral operators, general class of polynomials and Fox's H-function. Soochow. J. Math. Vol 25(4), 1999, page333-339.

[4] S.L. Galué, V.S. Kiryakova V.S. And S.L. Kalla, solution of dual integral equations by fractional calculus . Mathematica Balkanica, vol 7 (1993) , page 53-72.

[5] Y.N. Prasad, Multivariable I-function , Vijnana Parisha Anusandhan Patrika 29 (1986), 231-237.

[6] J. Prathima, V. Nambisan and S.K. Kurumujji, A Study of I-function of Several Complex Variables, International Journal of Engineering Mathematics Vol (2014), 1-12.

- [7] H.M. Srivastava, A contour integral involving Fox's H-function, Indian. J. Math. 14(1972), 1-6.
- [8] H.M. Srivastava and M. Garg, Some integral involving a general class of polynomials and multivariable H-function.
- [9] H.M. Srivastava and R. Panda, Some expansion theorems and generating relations for the H-function of several complex variables. Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. 24 (1975),119-137.
- [10] H.M. Srivastava and R. Panda, Some expansion theorems and generating relations for the H-function of several complex variables II. Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. 25 (1976), 167-197.
- [11] G. Szego, Orthogonal polynomials. Amer. Math Soc. Colloq. Publ. 23, Fourth edition, amer, math, soc, Providence, Rhode Island (1975).