# ii-Separation Axioms in Topological Spaces

Hamant kumar

Department of Mathematics

Government Degree College, Bilaspur-Rampur, 244921, U.P. (India)

**Abstract**. The aim of this paper is to study and investigate the ii-open sets in topological spaces and to obtain a relationship among b-open, pre-open, semi-open,  $\alpha$ -open and  $\beta$ -open sets. Some new types of separation axioms such as ii- $T_0$ , ii- $T_1$ , ii- $R_0$  and ii- $R_1$  axioms in topological spaces by using ii-open sets also are introduced. The relationships among ii- $T_0$ , ii- $T_1$ , ii- $T_2$  and some other separation axioms are investigated.

**Keywords:** *ii-open and ii-closed sets; ii-continuous and ii-irresolute functions; ii-T<sub>k</sub>* (k = 0, 1, 2) *and ii-R<sub>k</sub>* (k = 0, 1) *spaces.* **2010 AMS Subject classification**: 54A05, 54C08, 54C10, 54D15.

#### I. Introduction

In 1963, N. Levine [5] introduced the notion of semi-open sets which is a weaker form of open sets in topological spaces. In 1965, Njastad [11] introduced the notion of  $\alpha$ -open sets. In 1975, Maheswari and Prasad [6] used semi-open sets to introduce the concepts of semi-T<sub>0</sub>, semi-T<sub>1</sub> and semi-T<sub>2</sub> spaces. In 1980, Maheswari and Prasad [7] introduced the concept of  $\alpha$ -T<sub>2</sub> space. In 1982, Mashhour [8] introduced the notion of pre-open sets and obtained their properties. In 1983, Monsef et al. [1] introduced and investigated the notion of  $\beta$ -open sets in topological spaces. In 1993, Maki et al. [9] introduced the concept of  $\alpha$ -T<sub>0</sub> and  $\alpha$ -T<sub>0</sub> spaces. In 1996, Andrijevic [2] introduced a new class of generalized open sets, called, b-open sets in topological spaces. This type of open sets were discussed by [4] under the name of  $\gamma$ -open sets. In 2006, Park [12] introduced the concept of b-T<sub>2</sub> spaces. In 2007, Caldas and Jafari [3] introduced and studied b-T<sub>0</sub> and b-T<sub>1</sub> spaces via b-open sets due to Andrijevic [2]. In 2019, Mohammed and Abdullah [10] introduced and investigated the notion of ii-open sets.

### **II.** Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, spaces  $(X, \Im)$ ,  $(Y, \tau)$ , and  $(Z, \eta)$  (or simply X, Y and Z) always mean topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. Let A be a subset of a space X. For a subset A of X, **Cl**(A) and **Int**(A) represents the closure of A and Interior of A respectively.

**Definition 2.1**. A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  is said to be

(i) pre-open set [8] if  $A \subset Int(Cl(A))$ ;

(ii) semi-open set [5] if  $A \subset Cl(Int(A))$ ;

(iii)  $\alpha$ -open [11] if  $A \subset Int(Cl(Int(A)))$ ;

(iv)  $\beta$ -open [1] if  $A \subset Cl(Int(Cl(A)))$ ;

(v) b-open [2] (or  $\gamma$ -open [4]) if  $A \subset In(Cl(A)) \cup Cl(Int(A))$ .

The complement of the pre-open (resp. semi-open,  $\alpha$ -open,  $\beta$ -open, b-open) set is called pre-closed (resp. semiclosed,  $\alpha$ -closed,  $\beta$ -closed, b-closed).

**Definition 2.1**. A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  is said to be **ii-open** [10] set if there exists an open set  $G \in \mathfrak{I}$ , such that

(i)  $G \neq \phi$ , X

(ii)  $A \subset Cl(A \cap G)$ 

(iii) Int(A) = G.

The complement of the ii-open set is called ii-closed. We denote the family of all ii-open (resp. ii-closed) sets of a topological space by ii-O(X) (resp. ii-C(X)). The ii-closure of a subset A of X, is the intersection of all ii-

closed sets containing A in X and is denoted by ii-Cl(A). The ii-interior of a subset A of X is the union of all ii-open sets contained in A and is denoted by ii-Int(A).

**Remark 2.2.** For a subset of a space, we have following implications:



Where none of the implications is reversible as can be seen from the following examples:

**Example 2.3.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\Im = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$ . Then

(1) b-open sets in  $(X, \Im)$  are  $\phi$ , X, {a}, {b}, {a, b}, {a, c}, {a, d}, {b, c}, {b, d}, {a, b, c}, {a, b, d}, {a, c, d}, {b, c, d}.

(2) pre-open sets in  $(X, \Im)$  are  $\phi$ , X,  $\{a\}$ ,  $\{b\}$ ,  $\{a, b\}$ ,  $\{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\{a, b, d\}$ .

(3) semi-open sets in (X,  $\Im$ ) are  $\phi$ , X, {a}, {b}, {a, b}, {a, c}, {a, d}, {b, c}, {b, d}, {a, b, c}, {a, b, d}, {a, c, d}, {b, c, d}.

(4)  $\alpha$ -open sets in (X,  $\Im$ ) are  $\phi$ , X, {a}, {b}, {a, b}, {a, b, c}, {a, b, d}.

(5)  $\beta$ -open sets in (X,  $\Im$ ) are  $\phi$ , X, {a}, {b}, {a, b}, {a, c}, {a, d}, {b, c}, {b, d}, {a, b, c}, {a, b, d}, {a, c, d}, {b, c, d}.

(6) ii-open sets in  $(X, \Im)$  are  $\phi$ , X, {a}, {b}, {a, b}, {a, c}, {a, d}, {b, c}, {b, d}, {a, b, c}, {a, b, d}, {a, c, d}, {b, c, d}.

**Example 2.4.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\Im = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$ . Then

(1) b-open sets in  $(X, \Im)$  are  $\phi$ , X, {a}, {b}, {a, b}, {a, c}, {b, c}.

- (2) pre-open sets in  $(X, \Im)$  are  $\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}$ .
- (3) semi-open sets in (X,  $\Im$ ) are  $\phi$ , X, {a}, {b}, {a, b}, {b, c}.
- (4)  $\alpha$ -open sets in (X,  $\Im$ ) are  $\phi$ , X, {a}, {b}, {a, b}.

(5)  $\beta$ -open sets in (X,  $\Im$ ) are  $\phi$ , X, {a}, {b}, {a, b}, {a, c}.

(6) ii-open sets in  $(X, \Im)$  are  $\phi$ , X, {a}, {b}, {a, b}, {a, c}, {b, c}.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\Im = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$ . Then

(1) b-open sets in  $(X, \Im)$  are  $\phi$ , X, {a}, {b}, {c}, {a, b}, {a, c}, {b, c}.

(2) pre-open sets in  $(X, \Im)$  are  $\phi$ , X, {a}, {b}, {c}, {a, b}, {a, c}, {b, c}.

- (3) semi-open sets in  $(X, \Im)$  are  $\phi$ , X,  $\{a\}$ ,  $\{b, c\}$ .
- (4)  $\alpha$ -open sets in (X,  $\Im$ ) are  $\phi$ , X, {a}, {b, c}.
- (5)  $\beta$ -open sets in (X,  $\Im$ ) are  $\phi$ , X, {a}, {b}, {c}, {a, b}, {a, c}, {b, c}.

(6) ii-open sets in (X,  $\Im$ ) are  $\phi$ , X, {a}, {b, c}.

**Example 2.6.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\Im = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$ . Then

(1) b-open sets in  $(X, \Im)$  are  $\phi$ , X, {a}, {b}, {c}, {d}, {a, b}, {a, c}, {a, d}, {b, c}, {b, d}, {c, d}, {a, b, c}, {a, b, d}, {a, c, d}, {b, c, d}.

(2) pre-open sets in (X,  $\Im$ ) are  $\phi$ , X, {a}, {b}, {c}, {d}, {a, b}, {a, c}, {a, d}, {b, c}, {b, d}, {c, d}, {a, b, c}, {a, b, d}, {a, c, d}, {b, c, d}.

(3) semi-open sets in  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  are  $\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b, c, d\}$ .

(4)  $\alpha$ -open sets in (X,  $\Im$ ) are  $\phi$ , X, {a}, {b, c, d}.

(5)  $\beta$ -open sets in (X,  $\Im$ ) are  $\phi$ , X, {a}, {b}, {c}, {d}, {a, b}, {a, c}, {a, d}, {b, c}, {b, d}, {c, d}, {a, b, c}, {a, b, d}, {a, c, d}, {b, c, d}.

(6) ii-open sets in  $(X, \Im)$  are  $\phi$ , X, {a}, {b}, {c}, {d}, {b, c}, {b, d}, {c, d}, {b, c, d}.

Remark 2.7. The concepts of ii-open and pre-open sets are independent as shown in the above examples.

**Remark 2.8**. The concepts of ii-open and  $\beta$ -open sets are independent as shown in the above examples.

Definition 2.9. A space X is said to be:

(i) **b-T**<sub>0</sub> [3] if for each pair of distinct points x and y in X, there exists a b-open set G containing x but not y or a b-open set H containing y but not x.

(ii)  $b-T_1$  [3] if for each pair of distinct points x, y in X, there exist a b-open set G containing x but not y and a b-open set H containing y but not x.

(iii) **b-T<sub>2</sub>** [12] if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X, there exist two disjoint b-open sets U and V containing x and y respectively.

**Definition 2.10**. A space X is said to be:

(i)  $\alpha$ -T<sub>0</sub> [9] if for each pair of distinct points x and y in X, there exists an  $\alpha$ -open set G containing x but not y or an  $\alpha$ -open set H containing y but not x.

(ii)  $\alpha$ -T<sub>1</sub> [9] if for each pair of distinct points x, y in X, there exist an  $\alpha$ -open set G containing x but not y and an  $\alpha$ -open set H containing y but not x.

(iii)  $\alpha$ -T<sub>2</sub> [7] if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X, there exist two of disjoint  $\alpha$ -open sets U and V containing x and y respectively.

Definition 2.11. A space X is said to be:

(i) semi- $T_0$  [6] if for each pair of distinct points x and y in X, there exists a semi-open set G containing x but not y or a semi-open set H containing y but not x.

(ii) semi- $T_1$  [6] if for each pair of distinct points x, y in X, there exist a semi-open set G containing x but not y and a semi-open set H containing y but not x.

(iii) semi- $T_2$  [6] if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X, there exist two disjoint semi-open sets U and V containing x and y respectively.

Theorem 2.12. (i) Every open set is ii-open.

(ii) Every  $\alpha$ -open set is ii-open.

(iii) Every semi-open set is ii-open.

(iv) Every ii-open set is b-open.

**Definition 2.13.** Let X and Y be topological spaces. A function  $f : X \to Y$  is said to be **ii-continuous** if the inverse image of every open set in Y is ii-open in X.

**Definition 2.14.** Let X and Y be topological spaces. A function  $f: X \to Y$  is said to be **ii-closed** if the image of every closed set in X is ii-closed in Y.

**Definition 2.15.** Let X and Y be topological spaces. A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be **ii-irresolute** if the inverse image of every ii-closed set in Y is ii-closed in X.

**Definition 2.16.** Let X be a topological space. A subset  $N \subset X$  is called an **ii-neighbourhood** (briefly **ii-nhd**) of a point  $x \in X$  if there exists an ii-open set G such that  $x \in G \subset N$ .

#### III. ii-T<sub>0</sub> Spaces

In this section, we define  $ii-T_0$  space and study some of their properties via some other weaker forms of open sets.

**Definition 3.1.** A topological space (X,  $\Im$ ) is said to be **ii**-T<sub>0</sub> if for each pair of distinct points x, y in X, there exists an ii-open set U such that either  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin U$  or  $x \notin U$  and  $y \in U$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** (i) Every semi- $T_0$  space is ii- $T_0$ .

(ii) Every  $\alpha$ -T<sub>0</sub> space is ii-T<sub>0</sub>.

(iii) Every ii- $T_0$  space is b- $T_0$ .

**Proof.** (i) Let X be a semi-T<sub>0</sub> space. Let x and y be any two distinct points in X. Since X is semi-T<sub>0</sub>, there exists a semi-open set U such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin U$  or  $y \in U$  and  $x \notin U$ . By **Theorem 2.12 (iii)**, U is an ii-open set such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin U$  or  $y \in U$  and  $x \notin U$ . Thus X is ii-T<sub>0</sub>.

(ii) Since every  $\alpha$ -open set is ii-open and so, by the **Theorem 2.12** (ii), every  $\alpha$ -T<sub>0</sub> space is ii-T<sub>0</sub>.

(iii) Since every ii-open set is b-open and so, by the **Theorem 2.12** (iv), every ii- $T_0$  space is b- $T_0$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Every topological space is ii-T<sub>0</sub>.

**Proof.** Since every open set is ii-open and so, by the **Theorem 2.12** (i), every  $T_0$  space is ii- $T_0$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** A topological space (X,  $\Im$ ) is ii-T<sub>0</sub> if and only if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X, ii-Cl({x})  $\neq$  ii-Cl({y}).

**Proof.** Necessity. Let  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  be an ii- $T_0$  space and x, y be any two distinct points of X. There exists an ii-open set U containing x or y, say x but not y. Then X - U is an ii-closed set which does not contain x but contains y. Since ii-bCl( $\{y\}$ ) is the smallest ii-closed set containing y, ii-Cl( $\{y\}$ )  $\subset X - U$  and therefore  $x \notin ii$ -Cl( $\{y\}$ ). Consequently ii-Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\neq$  ii-Cl( $\{y\}$ ).

**Sufficiency**. Suppose that x,  $y \in X$ ,  $x \neq y$  and ii-Cl({x})  $\neq$  ii-Cl({y}). Let z be a point of X such that  $z \in$  ii-Cl({x}) but  $z \notin$  ii-Cl({y}). We claim that  $x \notin$  ii-Cl({y}). For, if  $x \in$  ii-Cl({y}) then ii-Cl({x})  $\subset$  ii-Cl({y}). This contradicts the fact that  $z \notin$  ii-Cl({y}). Consequently x belongs to the ii-open set X – ii-Cl({y}) to which y does not belong.

**Theorem 3.5**. Every subspace of an  $ii-T_0$  space is  $ii-T_0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(Y,\tau)$  be a subspace of a topological space  $(X, \mathfrak{T})$ , where  $\tau$  is the relative topology of  $\mathfrak{T}$  on Y. Let x, y be two distinct points of Y. As  $Y \subset X$ , x and y are also distinct points of X and there exists an ii-open set G such that  $x \in G$  but  $y \notin G$ , since X is ii- $T_0$ . Then  $G \cap Y$  is an ii-open set in  $(Y, \tau)$  which contains x but does not contain y. Hence  $(Y, \tau)$  is an ii- $T_0$  space.

# IV. ii-T<sub>1</sub> Spaces

In this section, we define  $ii-T_1$  space and study some of their properties via some other weaker forms of open sets.

**Definition 4.1.** A topological space (X,  $\Im$ ) is said to be **ii**-T<sub>1</sub> if for each pair of distinct points x, y in X, there exist two ii-open sets U and V such that  $x \in U$  but  $y \notin U$  and  $y \in V$  but  $x \notin V$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** (i) Every semi- $T_1$  space is ii- $T_1$ .

(ii) Every  $\alpha$ -T<sub>1</sub> space is ii-T<sub>1</sub>.

(iii) Every ii- $T_1$  space is b- $T_1$ .

**Proof.** (i). Suppose X is a semi-T<sub>1</sub> space. Let x and y be two distinct points in X. Since X is semi-T<sub>1</sub>, there exist semi-open sets U and V such that  $x \in U$  but  $y \notin U$  and  $y \in V$  but  $x \notin V$ . By **Theorem 2.12 (iii)**, every semi-open set is ii-open, so U and V are ii-open sets such that  $x \in U$  but  $y \notin U$  and  $y \in V$  but  $x \notin V$ . Hence X is ii-T<sub>1</sub>.

(ii). Since every  $\alpha$ -open set is ii-open and so, by the **Theorem 2.12** (ii), every  $\alpha$ -T<sub>1</sub> space is ii-T<sub>1</sub>.

(iii) Since every ii-open set is b-open and so, by the **Theorem 2.12** (iv), every ii- $T_1$  space is b- $T_1$ .

**Theorem 4.3**. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be an ii-irresolute, injective map. If Y is ii-T<sub>1</sub>, then X is ii-T<sub>1</sub>.

**Proof.** Assume that Y is ii-T<sub>1</sub>. Let x,  $y \in Y$  with  $x \neq y$ . Then there exists a pair of ii-open sets U, V of Y such that  $f(x) \in U$ ,  $f(y) \in V$  and  $f(x) \notin V$ ,  $f(y) \notin U$ . Then  $x \in f^{-1}(U)$ ,  $y \notin f^{-1}(U)$  and  $y \in f^{-1}(V)$ ,  $x \notin f^{-1}(V)$ . Since f is ii-irresolute, X is ii-T<sub>1</sub>.

**Theorem 4.4**. A topological space (X,  $\Im$ ) is ii-T<sub>1</sub> if and only if the singletons are ii-closed sets.

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  be ii-T<sub>1</sub> and x be any point of X. Suppose  $y \in X - \{x\}$ , then  $x \neq y$  and so there exists an ii-open set U such that  $y \in U$  but  $x \notin U$ . Consequently  $y \in U \subset X - \{x\}$ , that is  $X - \{x\} = \bigcup \{U : y \in X - \{x\}\}$  which is ii-open.

**Conversely**, suppose {p} is ii-closed for every  $p \in X$ . Let x,  $y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ . Now  $x \neq y$  implies  $y \in X - \{x\}$ . Hence  $X - \{x\}$  is an ii-open set contains y but not x. Similarly  $X - \{y\}$  is an ii-open set contains x but not y. Accordingly, X is an ii-T<sub>1</sub> space.

**Theorem 4.5**. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be bijective.

(i) If f is ii-continuous and  $(Y, \mathfrak{I}_2)$  is  $T_1$ , then  $(X, \mathfrak{I}_1)$  is ii- $T_1$ .

(ii) If f is ii-open and  $(X, \mathfrak{I}_1)$  is ii- $T_1$ , then  $(Y, \mathfrak{I}_2)$  is ii- $T_1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f: (X, \mathfrak{I}_1) \to (Y, \mathfrak{I}_2)$  be bijective.

(i) Suppose  $f : (X, \mathfrak{I}_1) \to (Y, \mathfrak{I}_2)$  is ii-continuous and  $(Y, \mathfrak{I}_2)$  is  $T_1$ . Let  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . Since f is bijective,  $y_1 = f(x_1) \neq f(x_2) = y_2$  for some  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ . Since  $(Y, \mathfrak{I}_2)$  is  $T_1$ , there exist open sets G sand H such that  $y_1 \in G$  but  $y_2 \notin G$  and  $y_2 \in H$  but  $y_1 \notin H$ . Since f is bijective,  $x_1 = f^{-1}(y_1) \in f^{-1}(G)$  but  $x_2 = f^{-1}(y_2) \notin f^{-1}(G)$  and  $x_2 = f^{-1}(y_2) \notin f^{-1}(H)$  but  $x_1 = f^{-1}(y_1) \notin f^{-1}(H)$ . Since f is ii-continuous,  $f^{-1}(G)$  and  $f^{-1}(H)$  are ii-open sets in  $(X, \mathfrak{I}_1)$ . It follows that  $(X, \mathfrak{I}_1)$  is ii- $T_1$ . This proves (i).

(ii) Suppose f is ii-open and  $(X, \mathfrak{I}_1)$  is ii-T<sub>1</sub>. Let  $y_1 \neq y_2 \in Y$ . Since f is bijective, there exist  $x_1, x_2$  in X, such that  $y_1 = f(x_1)$  and  $f(x_2) = y_2$  with  $x_{1 \neq} x_2$ . Since  $(X, \mathfrak{I}_1)$  is ii-T<sub>1</sub>, there exist ii-open sets G sand H in X such that  $x_1 \in G$  but  $x_2 \notin G$  and  $x_2 \in H$  but  $x_1 \notin H$ . Since f is ii-open, f(G) and f(H) are ii-open in Y such that  $y_1 = f(x_1) \in f(G)$  and  $y_2 = f(x_2) \in f(H)$ . Again since f is bijective,  $y_2 = f(x_2) \notin f(G)$  and  $y_1 = f(x_1) \notin f(H)$ . Thus  $(Y, \mathfrak{I}_2)$  is ii-T<sub>1</sub>. This proves (ii).

**Definition 4.6**. A topological space (X,  $\mathfrak{I}$ ) is said to be **ii-symmetric** if for x and y in X,  $x \in \text{ii-Cl}(\{y\})$  implies  $y \in \text{ii-Cl}(\{x\})$ .

**Theorem 4.7**. If  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  is a topological space, then the following are equivalent:

(i) (X,  $\Im$ ) is an ii-symmetric space.

(ii)  $\{x\}$  is ii-closed, for each  $x \in X$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Assume that  $\{x\} \subset U \in ii$ -O(X), but ii-Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\subset U$ . Then ii-Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\cap (X - U) \neq \phi$ . Now, we take  $y \in ii$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\cap (X - U)$ , then by hypothesis  $x \in ii$ -Cl( $\{y\}$ )  $\subset X - U$  and  $x \notin U$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\{x\}$  is ii-closed, for each  $x \in X$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Assume that  $x \in ii$ -Cl({y}), but  $y \notin ii$ -Cl({x}). Then  $\{y\} \subset X - ii$ -Cl({x}) and hence ii-Cl({y})  $\subset X - ii$ -Cl({x}). Therefore  $x \in X - ii$ -Cl({x}), which is a contradiction and hence  $y \in ii$ -Cl({x}).

**Corollary 4.8.** If a topological space  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  is an ii-T<sub>1</sub> space, then it is ii-symmetric.

**Proof.** In an ii- $T_1$  space, every singleton is ii-closed (**Theorem 4.4**) and therefore is by **Theorem 4.7**, (X,  $\mathfrak{I}$ ) is ii-symmetric.

**Corollary 4.9.** If a topological space  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  is ii-symmetric and ii-T<sub>0</sub>, then  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  is ii-T<sub>1</sub>.

**Proof.** Let  $x \neq y$  and as  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  is ii-T<sub>0</sub>, we may assume that  $x \in U \subset X - \{y\}$  for some  $U \in ii$ -O(X). Then  $x \notin ii$ -Cl( $\{y\}$ ) and hence  $y \notin ii$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ ). There exists an ii-open set V such that  $y \in V \subset X - \{x\}$  and thus  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  is an ii-T<sub>1</sub> space.

# V. ii-T<sub>2</sub> Spaces

In this section, we introduce  $ii-T_2$  space and investigate some of their basic properties via some other weaker forms of open sets.

**Definition 5.1.** A space X is said to be  $ii-T_2$  if for every pair of distinct points x and y in X, there exist disjoint ii-open sets U and V of X containing x and y respectively.

**Remark 5.2.** For a space, we have following implications:

 $T_2$  $T_1$  $T_0$  $\downarrow$  $\downarrow$  $\downarrow$  $\alpha$ -T<sub>2</sub>  $\alpha - T_1$  $\rightarrow \alpha - T_0$  $\downarrow$  $\downarrow$  $\downarrow$  $\rightarrow$  semi-T<sub>1</sub>  $\rightarrow$  semi-T<sub>0</sub> semi-T<sub>2</sub>  $\downarrow$  $\downarrow$  $\downarrow$ ii-T<sub>2</sub> ii-T<sub>1</sub>  $\rightarrow$  ii-T<sub>0</sub>  $\rightarrow$  $\downarrow$  $\downarrow$  $\downarrow$  $b-T_2$  $\rightarrow$  b-T<sub>1</sub>  $\rightarrow$  b-T<sub>0</sub>

Where none of the implications are reversible as can be seen from the following examples.

**Example 5.3**.Consider the space (X,  $\Im$ ), where X = {a, b, c, d} and  $\Im$  = { $\phi$ , {a}, {b}, {a, b}, {a, b, c}, X}. Clearly (X,  $\Im$ ) is ii-T<sub>1</sub> as well as b-T<sub>1</sub>. But it is neither T<sub>1</sub> nor  $\alpha$ -T<sub>1</sub>.

**Example 5.4**. Consider the space (X,  $\Im$ ), where X = {a, b, c, d} and  $\Im$  = { $\phi$ , {a, b}, {a, b, c}, {a, b, d}, X}. Then (X, $\Im$ ) is b-T<sub>1</sub> but not ii-T<sub>1</sub>. But it is neither semi-T<sub>1</sub> nor  $\alpha$ -T<sub>1</sub>.

**Example 5.5**. Consider the space (X,  $\Im$ ), where X = {a, b, c} and  $\Im$  = { $\phi$ , {a, b}, X}. Then (X, \Im) is b-T<sub>2</sub> but not ii-T<sub>2</sub>. But it is neither semi-T<sub>2</sub> nor  $\alpha$ -T<sub>2</sub>.

**Example 5.6**. Consider the space (X,  $\Im$ ), where X = {a, b, c} and  $\Im$  = { $\phi$ , {a}, {b, c}, X}. Then (X, $\Im$ ) is b-T<sub>2</sub> but not ii-T<sub>2</sub>. But it is neither semi-T<sub>2</sub> nor  $\alpha$ -T<sub>2</sub>.

**Example 5.7**. Consider the space (X,  $\Im$ ), where X = {a, b, c, d} and  $\Im$  = { $\phi$ , {a}, {b}, {a, b}, X}. Then (X, $\Im$ ) is semi-T<sub>2</sub> as well as ii-T<sub>2</sub>. But it is not  $\alpha$ -T<sub>2</sub>.

**Example 5.8**. Consider the space (X,  $\Im$ ), where X = {a, b, c} and  $\Im$  = { $\phi$ , {a}, {b, c}, X}. Then (X, $\Im$ ) is b-T<sub>0</sub>. But it is neither ii-T<sub>0</sub> nor  $\alpha$ -T<sub>0</sub>.

**Theorem 5.9.** (i) Every semi- $T_2$  space is ii- $T_2$ .

(ii) Every  $\alpha$ -T<sub>2</sub> space is ii-T<sub>2</sub>.

(iii) Every ii- $T_2$  space is b- $T_2$ .

**Proof.** (i) Let X be a semi-T<sub>2</sub> space. Let x and y be two distinct points in X. Since X is semi-T<sub>2</sub>, there exist disjoint semi-open sets U and V such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . By **Theorem 2.12 (iii)**, U and V are disjoint ii-open sets such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . Hence X is ii-T<sub>2</sub>.

(ii) Suppose X is  $\alpha$ -T<sub>2</sub> space. Let x and y be two distinct points in X. Since X is  $\alpha$ -T<sub>2</sub>, there exist disjoint  $\alpha$ -open sets U and V such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . By **Theorem 2.12 (ii)**, U and V are disjoint ii-open sets such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . Hence X is ii-T<sub>2</sub>.

(iii) Suppose X is ii- $T_2$  space. Let x and y be two distinct points in X. Since X is ii- $T_2$ , there exist disjoint ii-open sets U and V such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . By **Theorem 2.12 (iv)**, U and V are disjoint b-open sets such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . Hence X is b- $T_2$ .

**Theorem 5.10**. Every  $ii-T_2$  space is  $ii-T_1$ .

**Proof.** Let X be an ii- $T_2$  space. Let x and y be two distinct points of X. Since X is ii- $T_2$ , there exist disjoint iiopen sets U and V such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . Since U and V are disjoint, so  $x \in U$  but  $y \notin U$  and  $y \in V$  but x  $\notin V$ . Hence X is ii- $T_1$ .

Theorem 5.11. For a topological space X, the following are equivalent:

(i) X is an ii-T<sub>2</sub> space.

(ii) Let  $x \in X$ . Then for each  $x \neq y$ , there exists an ii-open set U such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin ii-Cl(U)$ .

(iii) For each  $x \in X$ ,  $\cap$  {ii-Cl(U) : U  $\in$  ii-O(X) and  $x \in U$ } = {x}.

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Suppose X is an ii-T<sub>2</sub> space. Then for each  $x \neq y$ , there exist disjoint ii-open sets U and V such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . Since V is ii-open, V<sup>c</sup> is ii-closed and  $U \subset V^c$ . This implies that ii-Cl(U)  $\subset V^c$ . Since  $y \notin V^c$ ,  $y \notin ii$ -Cl(U).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). If  $y \neq x$ , then there exists an ii-open set U such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin$  ii-Cl(U). Therefore  $y \notin \cap \{\text{ii-Cl}(U) : U \in \text{ii-O}(X) \text{ and } x \in U\}$ . Therefore  $\cap \{\text{ii-Cl}(U) : U \in \text{ii-O}(X) \text{ and } x \in U\} = \{x\}$ . This proves (iii).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $y \neq x$  in X. Then  $y \notin \{x\} = \cap \{ii\text{-}Cl(U) : U \in ii\text{-}O(X) \text{ and } x \in U\}$ . This implies that there exists an ii-open set U such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin ii\text{-}Cl(U)$ . Let  $V = (ii\text{-}Cl(U))^c$ . Then V is ii-open and  $y \in V$ . Now  $U \cap V = U \cap (ii\text{-}Cl(U))^c \subset U \cap (U)^c = \phi$ . Therefore, X is ii-T<sub>2</sub> space.

**Theorem 5.12**. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a bijection.

(i) If f is ii-open and X is  $T_2$ , then Y is ii- $T_2$ .

(ii) If f is ii-continuous and Y is  $T_2$ , then X is ii- $T_2$ .

**Proof**. Let  $f : X \to Y$  be a bijection.

(i) Suppose F is ii-open and X is  $T_2$ . Let  $y_1 \neq y_2 \in Y$ . Since f is a bijection. There exist  $x_1, x_2$  in X such that  $f(x_1) = y_1$  and  $f(x_2) = y_2$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . Since X is  $T_2$ , there exist disjoint open sets U and V in X such that  $x_1 \in U$  and  $x_2 \in V$ . Since f is ii-open, f(U) and f (V) are ii-open in Y such that  $y_1 = f(x_1) \in f(U)$  and  $y_2 = f(x_2) \in f(V)$ . Again since f is a bijection, f(U) and f(V) are disjoint in Y. Thus Y is ii- $T_2$ .

(ii) Suppose  $f : X \to Y$  is ii-continuous and Y is  $T_2$ . Let  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . Let  $y_1 = f(x_1)$  and  $y_2 = f(x_2)$ . Since f is one-one,  $y_1 \neq y_2$ . Since Y is  $T_2$ , there exist disjoint open sets U and V containing  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  respectively. Since f is ii-continuous bijective,  $f^{-1}(U)$  and  $f^{-1}(V)$  are disjoint ii-open sets containing  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  respectively. Thus X is ii- $T_2$ .

**Theorem 5.13.** A topological space  $(X, \Im)$  is ii-T<sub>2</sub> if and only if the intersection of all ii-closed, ii-neighbourhoods of each point of the space is reduced to that point.

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  be ii-T<sub>2</sub> and  $x \in X$ . Then for each  $y \neq x$  in X, there exist disjoint ii-open sets U and V such that  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$ . Now  $U \cap V = \phi$  implies  $x \in U \subset V^c$ . Therefore  $V^c$  is an ii-neighbourhood of x. Since V is ii-open,  $V^c$  is ii-closed and ii-neighbourhood of x to which y does not belong. That is there is an ii-closed, ii-

neighbourhoods of x which does not contain y. So we get the intersection of all ii-closed, ii-neighbourhood of x is  $\{x\}$ .

**Conversely**, let x,  $y \in X$  such that  $x \neq y$  in X. Then by assumption, there exist an ii-closed, ii-neighbourgood V of x such that  $y \notin V$ . Now there exists an ii-open set U such that  $x \in U \subset V$ . Thus U and V<sup>c</sup> are disjoint ii-open sets containing x and y respectively. Thus  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  is ii-T<sub>2</sub>.

**Theorem 5.14**. If  $f: X \to Y$  be bijective, ii-irresolute map and X is ii-T<sub>2</sub>, then  $(X, \mathfrak{I}_2)$  is ii-T<sub>2</sub>.

**Proof.** Suppose  $f : (X, \mathfrak{I}_1) \to (Y, \mathfrak{I}_2)$  is bijective. f is ii-irresolute, and  $(Y, \mathfrak{I}_2)$  is ii-T<sub>2</sub>. Let  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . Since f is bijective,  $y_1 = f(x_1) \neq f(x_2) = y_2$  for some  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ . Since  $(Y, \mathfrak{I}_2)$  is ii-T<sub>2</sub>, there exist disjoint ii-open sets G and H such that  $y_1 \in G$  and  $y_2 \in H$ . Again since f is bijective,  $x_1 = f^{-1}(y_1) \in f^{-1}(G)$  and  $x_2 = f^{-1}(y) \in f^{-1}(H)$ . Since f is ii-irresolute,  $f^{-1}(G)$  and  $f^{-1}(H)$  are ii-open sets in  $(X, \mathfrak{I}_1)$ . Also f is bijective,  $G \cap H = \phi$  implies that  $f^{-1}(G) \cap f^{-1}(H) = f^{-1}(G \cap H) = f(\phi) = \phi$ . It follows that  $(X, \mathfrak{I}_2)$  is ii-T<sub>2</sub>.

# **VI.** ii- $R_k$ Space (k = 0, 1)

In this section, new classes of topological spaces called, ii-R<sub>0</sub> and ii-R<sub>1</sub> spaces are introduced.

**Definition 6.1**. A topological space (X,  $\mathfrak{I}$ ) is said to be **ii**-**R**<sub>0</sub> if U is an ii-open set and  $x \in U$  then ii-Cl({x})  $\subset$  U.

**Theorem 6.2**. For a topological space  $(X, \Im)$  the following properties are equivalent:

(i) (X, ℑ) is ii-R<sub>0</sub>.

(ii) For any  $F \in ii$ -C(X),  $x \notin F$  implies  $F \subset U$  and  $x \notin U$  for some  $U \in ii$ -O(X).

(iii) For any  $F \in ii$ -C(X),  $x \notin F$  implies  $F \cap ii$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ ) =  $\phi$ .

(iv) For any distinct points x and y of X, either ii-Cl( $\{x\}$ ) = ii-Cl( $\{y\}$ ) or ii-Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\cap$  ii-Cl( $\{y\}$ ) =  $\phi$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $F \in ii$ -C(X) and  $x \notin F$ . Then by (i), ii-Cl({x})  $\subset X - F$ . Set U = X - ii-Cl({x}), then U is an ii-open set such that  $F \subset U$  and  $x \notin U$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Let  $F \in ii$ -C(X) and  $x \notin F$ . There exists  $U \in ii$ -O(X) such that  $F \subset U$  and  $x \notin U$ . Since  $U \in ii$ -O(X),  $U \cap ii$ -Cl({x}) =  $\phi$  and  $F \cap ii$ -Cl({x}) =  $\phi$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). Suppose that ii-Cl({x})  $\neq$  ii-Cl({y}) for distinct points x,  $y \in X$ . There exists  $z \in$  ii-Cl({x}) such that  $z \notin$  ii-Cl({y}) (or  $z \in$  ii-Cl({y}) such that  $z \notin$  ii-Cl({x})). There exists  $V \in$  ii-O(X) such that  $y \notin V$  and  $z \in V$ ; hence  $x \in V$ . Therefore, we have  $x \notin$  ii-Cl({y}). By (iii), we obtain ii-Cl({x})  $\cap$  ii-Cl({y}) =  $\phi$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $V \in ii$ -O(X) and  $x \in V$ . For each  $y \notin V$ ,  $x \neq y$  and  $x \notin ii$ -Cl( $\{y\}$ ). This shows that ii-Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\neq ii$ -Cl( $\{y\}$ ). By (iv), ii-Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\cap$  ii-Cl( $\{y\}$ ) =  $\phi$  for each  $y \in X - V$  and hence ii-Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\cap$  ( $\bigcup_{y \in X - V}$  ii-Cl( $\{y\}$ )) =  $\phi$ . On other hand, since  $V \in ii$ -O(X) and  $y \in X - V$ , we have ii-Cl( $\{y\}$ )  $\subset X - V$  and hence  $X - V = \bigcup_{y \in X - V}$  ii-Cl( $\{y\}$ ). Therefore, we obtain  $(X - V) \cap ii$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ ) =  $\phi$  and ii-Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\subset$  V. This shows that (X,  $\Im$ ) is an ii-R<sub>0</sub> space.

**Theorem 6.3**. If a topological space  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  is ii-T<sub>0</sub> and an ii-R<sub>0</sub> space then it is ii-T<sub>1</sub>.

**Proof.** Let x and y be any distinct points of X. Since X is  $ii \cdot T_0$ , there exists an ii-open set U such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin U$ . As  $x \in U$  implies that  $ii \cdot Cl(\{x\}) \subset U$ . Since  $y \notin U$ , so  $y \notin ii \cdot Cl(\{x\})$ . Hence  $y \in V = X - ii \cdot Cl(\{x\})$  and it is clear that  $x \notin V$ . Hence it follows that there exist ii-open sets U and V containing x and y respectively, such that  $y \notin U$  and  $x \notin V$ . This implies that X is ii- $T_1$ .

**Theorem 6.4**. For a topological space (X, 3) the following properties are equivalent:

(i) (X, ℑ) is ii-R<sub>0</sub>.

(ii)  $x \in ii$ -Cl({y}) if and only if  $y \in ii$ -Cl({x}), for any points x and y in X.

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Assume that X is ii-R<sub>0</sub>. Let  $x \in \text{ii-Cl}(\{y\})$  and V be any ii-open set such that  $y \in V$ . Now, by hypothesis,  $x \in V$ . Therefore, every ii-open set which contain y contains x also. Hence  $y \in \text{ii-Cl}(\{x\})$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let U be an ii-open set and  $x \in U$ . If  $y \notin U$ , then  $x \notin ii$ -Cl( $\{y\}$ ) and hence  $y \notin ii$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ ). This implies that ii-Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\subset$  U. Hence (X,  $\Im$ ) is ii-R<sub>0</sub>.

From **Definition 4.6** and **Theorem 6.4**, the notions of ii-symmetric and ii-R<sub>0</sub> are equivalent.

**Definition 6.5**. Let A be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$ . The **ii-kernel** of A, denoted by ii-ker(A) is defined to be the set

$$ii\text{-ker}(A) = \cap \{U \in ii\text{-}O(X) : A \subset U\}.$$

**Theorem 6.6**. Let  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  be a topological space and  $x \in X$ . Then  $y \in ii$ -ker $(\{x\})$  if and only if  $x \in ii$ -Cl $(\{y\})$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $y \notin ii$ -ker({x}). Then there exists an ii-open set V containing x such that  $y \notin V$ . Therefore, we have  $x \notin ii$ -Cl({y}). The proof of the converse case can be done similarly.

**Theorem 6.7**. Let  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  be a topological space and A be a subset of X. Then, ii-ker $(A) = \{x \in X : ii-Cl(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \phi\}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x \in ii$ -ker(A) and suppose ii-Cl({x})  $\cap A = \phi$ . Hence  $x \notin X - ii$ -Cl({x}) which is an ii-open set containing A. This is impossible, since  $x \in ii$ -ker(A). Consequently, ii-Cl({x})  $\cap A \neq \phi$ . Next, let  $x \in X$  such that ii-Cl({x})  $\cap A \neq \phi$  and suppose that  $x \notin ii$ -ker(A). Then, there exists an ii-open set V containing A and  $x \notin V$ . Let  $y \in ii$ -Cl({x})  $\cap A$ . Hence, V is an ii-neighbourhood of y which does not contain x. By this contradiction  $x \in ii$ -ker(A) and the claim.

**Definition 6.8.** A subset A of a topological space X is called an **ii-difference set** (briefly, **ii-D-set**) if there are U,  $V \in ii-O(X)$  such that  $U \neq X$  and A = U - V.

**Theorem 6.9.** The following properties hold for the subsets A, B of a topological space  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$ :

(i)  $A \subset ii$ -ker(A).

(ii)  $A \subset B$  implies that ii-ker(A)  $\subset$  ii-ker(B).

(iii) If A is ii-open in  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$ , then A = ii-ker(A).

(iv) ii-ker(ii-ker(A)) = ii-ker(A).

**Proof.** (i), (ii) and (iii) are immediate consequences of **Definition 6.5**. To prove (iv), first observe that by (i) and (ii), we have ii-ker(A)  $\subset$  ii-ker(ii-ker(A)). If  $x \notin$  ii-ker(A), then there exists  $U \in$  ii-O(X) such that  $A \subset U$  and  $x \notin U$ . Hence ii-ker(A)  $\subset U$ , and so we have  $x \notin$  ii-ker(ii-ker(A)). Thus ii-ker(ii-ker(A)) = ii-ker(A).

**Proposition 6.10.** If a singleton  $\{x\}$  is an ii-D-set of  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$ , then ii-ker $(\{x\}) \neq X$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\{x\}$  is an ii-D-set of  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$ , then there exist two subsets  $U_1, U_2 \in \text{ii-O}(X)$  such that  $\{x\} = U_1 - U_2, \{x\} \subset U_1$  and  $U_1 \neq X$ . Thus, we have that ii-ker $(\{x\}) \subset U_1 \neq X$  and so ii-ker $(\{x\}) \neq X$ .

**Theorem 6.11**. The following statements are equivalent for any points x and y in a topological space  $(X, \Im)$ :

(i) ii-ker( $\{x\}$ )  $\neq$  ii-ker( $\{y\}$ ).

(ii) ii-Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\neq$  ii-Cl( $\{y\}$ ).

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Suppose that ii-ker({x})  $\neq$  ii-ker({y}), then there exists a point z in X such that  $z \in$  ii-ker({x}) and  $z \notin$  ii-ker({y}). From  $z \in$  ii-ker({x}) it follows that  $\{x\} \cap$  ii-Cl({z})  $\neq \phi$  which implies  $x \in$  ii-Cl({z}). By  $z \notin$  ii-ker({y}), we have  $\{y\} \cap$  ii-Cl({z}) =  $\phi$ . Since  $x \in$  ii-Cl({z}), ii-Cl({z}) and  $\{y\} \cap$  ii-Cl({x})  $\neq$  ii

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Suppose that ii-Cl({x})  $\neq$  ii-Cl({y}). Then there exists a point z in X such that  $z \in$  ii-Cl({x}) and  $z \notin$  ii-Cl({y}). Then, there exists an ii-open set containing z and therefore x but not y, namely,  $y \notin$  ii-ker({x}) and thus ii-ker({x})  $\neq$  ii-ker({y}).

**Theorem 6.12**. Let  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  be a topological space. Then  $\cap \{\text{ii-Cl}(\{x\}) : x \in X\} = \phi$  if and only if ii-ker $(\{x\}) \neq X$  for every  $x \in X$ .

**Proof.** Necessity. Suppose that  $\cap \{ii-Cl(\{x\}) : x \in X\} = \phi$ . Assume that there is a point y in X such that ii-ker( $\{y\}$ ) = X. Let x be any point of X. Then  $x \in V$  for every ii-open set V containing y and hence  $y \in ii-Cl(\{x\})$  for any  $x \in X$ . This implies that  $y \in \cap\{ii-Cl(\{x\}) : x \in X\}$ . But this is a contradiction.

**Sufficiency**. Assume that ii-ker({x})  $\neq X$  for every  $x \in X$ . If there exists a point y in X such that  $y \in \cap$  {ii-Cl({x}) :  $x \in X$ }, then every ii-open set containing y must contain every point of X. This implies that the space X is the unique ii-open set containing y. Hence ii-ker({y}) = X which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\cap$  {ii-Cl({x}) :  $x \in X$ } =  $\phi$ .

**Theorem 6.13.** A topological space (X,  $\Im$ ) is ii-R<sub>0</sub> if and only if for every x and y in X, ii-Cl({x})  $\neq$  ii-Cl({y}) implies ii-Cl({x})  $\cap$  ii-Cl({y}) =  $\phi$ .

**Proof.** Necessity. Suppose that  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  is ii- $R_0$  and  $x, y \in X$  such that ii- $Cl(\{x\}) \neq ii$ - $Cl(\{y\})$ . Then, there exists  $z \in ii$ - $Cl(\{x\})$  such that  $z \notin ii$ - $Cl(\{y\})$  (or  $z \in ii$ - $Cl(\{y\})$ ) such that  $z \notin ii$ - $Cl(\{x\})$ ). There exists  $V \in ii$ -O(X) such that  $y \notin V$  and  $z \in V$ , hence  $x \in V$ . Therefore, we have  $x \notin ii$ - $Cl(\{y\})$ . Thus  $x \in [X - ii$ - $Cl(\{y\})] \in ii$ -O(X), which implies ii- $Cl(\{x\}) \subset [X - ii$ - $Cl(\{y\})]$  and ii- $Cl(\{x\}) \cap ii$ - $Cl(\{y\}) = \phi$ .

**Sufficiency**. Let  $V \in ii$ -O(X) and let  $x \in V$ . We still show that ii-Cl({x})  $\subset V$ . Let  $y \notin V$ , that is  $y \in X - V$ . Then  $x \neq y$  and  $x \notin ii$ -Cl({y}). This shows that ii-Cl({x})  $\neq ii$ -Cl({y}). By assumption, ii-Cl({x})  $\cap ii$ -Cl({y}) =  $\phi$ . Hence  $y \notin ii$ -Cl({x}) and therefore ii-Cl({x})  $\subset V$ .

**Theorem 6.14.** A topological space  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  is ii- $R_0$  if and only if for any points x and y in X, ii-ker({x})  $\neq$  ii-ker({y}) implies ii-ker({x})  $\cap$  ii-ker({y}) =  $\phi$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  is an ii- $R_0$  space. Thus by **Theorem 6.11**, for any points x and y in X if ii-ker({x})  $\neq$  ii-ker({y}) then ii-Cl({x})  $\neq$  ii-Cl({y}). Now we prove that ii-ker({x})  $\cap$  ii-ker({y}) =  $\phi$ . Assume that  $z \in$  ii-ker({x})  $\cap$  ii-ker({y}). By  $z \in$  ii-ker({x}) and **Theorem 6.6**, it follows that  $x \in$  ii-Cl({z}). Since  $x \in$  ii-Cl({x}), by **Theorem 6.2**, ii-Cl({x}) = ii-Cl({z}). Similarly, we have ii-Cl({y}) = ii-Cl({z}) = ii-Cl({x}). This is a contradiction. Therefore, we have ii-ker({x})  $\cap$  ii-ker({y}) =  $\phi$ .

**Conversely**, let  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  be a topological space such that for any points x and y in X, ii-ker $(\{x\}) \neq \text{ii-ker}(\{y\})$ implies ii-ker $(\{x\}) \cap \text{ii-ker}(\{y\}) = \phi$ . If ii-Cl $(\{x\}) \neq \text{ii-Cl}(\{y\})$ , then by **Theorem 6.11**, ii-ker $(\{x\}) \neq \text{ii-ker}(\{y\})$ . Hence, ii-ker $(\{x\}) \cap \text{ii-ker}(\{y\}) = \phi$  which implies ii-Cl $(\{x\}) \cap \text{ii-Cl}(\{y\}) = \phi$ . Because  $z \in \text{ii-Cl}(\{x\})$  implies that  $x \in \text{ii-ker}(\{z\})$  and therefore ii-ker $(\{z\}) \cap \text{ii-ker}(\{z\}) \neq \phi$ . By hypothesis, we have ii-ker $(\{x\}) = \text{ii-ker}(\{z\})$ . Then  $z \in \text{ii-Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \text{ii-Cl}(\{y\})$  implies that ii-ker $(\{x\}) = \text{ii-ker}(\{z\}) = \text{ii-ker}(\{y\})$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore, ii-Cl $(\{x\}) \cap \text{ii-Cl}(\{y\}) = \phi$  and by **Theorem 6.2**,  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  is an ii-R<sub>0</sub> space.

**Theorem 6.15**. For a topological space  $(X, \Im)$  the following properties are equivalent:

(i) (X,  $\mathfrak{I}$ ) is an ii-R<sub>0</sub> space.

(ii) For any non-empty set A and G  $\in$  ii-O(X) such that A  $\cap$  G  $\neq \phi$ , there exists F  $\in$  ii-C(X) such that A  $\cap$  F  $\neq \phi$  and F  $\subset$  G.

(iii) For any  $G \in ii$ -O(X), we have  $G = \bigcup \{F \in ii$ -C(X) :  $F \subset G\}$ .

(iv) For any  $F \in ii$ -C(X), we have  $F = \cap \{G \in ii$ -O(X) :  $F \subset G\}$ .

(v) For every  $x \in X$ , ii-Cl({x})  $\subset$  ii-ker({x}).

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let A be a non-empty subset of X and G  $\in$  ii-O(X) such that A  $\cap$  G  $\neq \phi$ . There exists  $x \in A \cap$  G. Since  $x \in G \in$  ii-O(X), ii-Cl({x})  $\subset$  G. Set F = ii-Cl({x}), then F  $\in$  ii-C(X), F  $\subset$  G and A  $\cap$  F  $\neq \phi$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Let  $G \in \text{ii-O}(X)$ , then  $G \supset \cup \{F \in \text{ii-C}(X) : F \subset G\}$ . Let x be any point of G. There exists  $F \in \text{ii-C}(X)$  such that  $x \in F$  and  $F \subset G$ . Therefore, we have  $x \in F \subset \cup \{F \in \text{ii-C}(X) : F \subset G\}$  and hence  $G = \cup \{F \in \text{ii-C}(X) : F \subset G\}$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). Obvious.

 $(iv) \Rightarrow (v)$ . Let x be any point of X and  $y \notin ii\text{-ker}(\{x\})$ . There exists  $V \in ii\text{-O}(X)$  such that  $x \in V$  and  $y \notin V$ , hence  $ii\text{-Cl}(\{y\}) \cap V = \phi$ . By (iv),  $(\cap \{G \in ii\text{-O}(X) : ii\text{-Cl}(\{y\}) \subset G\}) \cap V = \phi$  and there exists  $G \in ii\text{-O}(X)$  such that  $x \notin G$  and  $ii\text{-Cl}(\{y\}) \subset G$ . Therefore  $ii\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) \cap G = \phi$  and  $y \notin ii\text{-Cl}(\{x\})$ . Consequently, we obtain  $ii\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) \subset ii\text{-ker}(\{x\})$ .

 $(v) \Rightarrow (i)$ . Let  $G \in ii$ -O(X) and  $x \in G$ . Let  $y \in ii$ -ker({x}), then  $x \in ii$ -Cl({y}) and  $y \in G$ . This implies that ii-ker({x})  $\subset G$ . Therefore, we obtain  $x \in ii$ -Cl({x})  $\subset ii$ -ker({x})  $\subset G$ . This shows that (X,  $\mathfrak{I}$ ) is an ii-R<sub>0</sub> space.

**Corollary 6.16**. For a topological space  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  the following properties are equivalent:

(i) (X,  $\tau$ ) is an ii-R<sub>0</sub> space.

(ii) ii-Cl( $\{x\}$ ) = ii-ker( $\{x\}$ ) for all  $x \in X$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Suppose that (X,  $\Im$ ) is an ii-R<sub>0</sub> space. By **Theorem 6.15**, ii-Cl({x})  $\subset$  ii-ker({x}) for each  $x \in X$ . Let  $y \in$  ii-ker({x}), then  $x \in$  ii-Cl({y}) and by **Theorem 6.2**, ii-Cl({x}) = ii-Cl({y}). Therefore,  $y \in$  ii-Cl({x}) and hence ii-ker({x})  $\subset$  ii-Cl({x}). This shows that ii-Cl({x}) = ii-ker({x}).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Follows from **Theorem 6.15**.

**Theorem 6.17**. For a topological space  $(X, \Im)$  the following properties are equivalent:

(i) (X,  $\mathfrak{I}$ ) is an ii-R<sub>0</sub> space.

(ii) If F is ii-closed, then F = ii-ker(F).

(iii) If F is ii-closed and  $x \in F$ , then ii-ker({x})  $\subset F$ .

(iv) If  $x \in X$ , then ii-ker({x})  $\subset$  ii-Cl({x}).

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let F be an ii-closed and  $x \notin F$ . Thus (X - F) is an ii-open set containing x. Since  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  is ii-R<sub>0</sub>, ii-Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\subset$  (X - F). Thus ii-Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\cap$  F =  $\phi$  and by **Theorem 6.7**,  $x \notin$  ii-ker(F). Therefore ii-ker(F) = F.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). In general, A  $\subset$  B implies ii-ker(A)  $\subset$  ii-ker(B). Therefore, it follows from (ii), that ii-ker({x})  $\subset$  ii-ker(F) = F.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). Since  $x \in ii$ -Cl({x}) and ii-Cl({x}) is ii-closed, by (iii), ii-ker({x})  $\subset$  ii-Cl({x}).

 $(iv) \Rightarrow (i)$ . We show the implication by using **Theorem 6.4**. Let  $x \in ii$ -Cl({y}). Then by **Theorem 6.6**,  $y \in ii$ -ker({x}). Since  $x \in ii$ -Cl({x}) and ii-Cl({x}) is ii-closed, by (iv), we obtain  $y \in ii$ -ker({x})  $\subset ii$ -Cl({x}). Therefore  $x \in ii$ -Cl({y}) implies  $y \in ii$ -Cl({x}). The converse is obvious and  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  is ii-R<sub>0</sub>.

**Definition 6.18.** A topological space (X,  $\mathfrak{I}$ ) is said to be **ii-R**<sub>1</sub> if for x, y in X with ii-Cl({x})  $\neq$  ii-Cl({y}), there exist disjoint ii-open sets U and V such that ii-Cl({x})  $\subset$  U and ii-Cl({y})  $\subset$  V.

**Theorem 6.19**. A topological space  $(X, \Im)$  is ii- $R_1$  if it is ii- $T_2$ .

**Proof.** Let x and y be any points of X such that ii-Cl({x})  $\neq$  ii-Cl({y}). By **Theorem 5.10**, every ii-T<sub>2</sub> space is ii-T<sub>1</sub>. Therefore, by **Theorem 4.4**, ii-Cl({x}) = {x}, ii-Cl({y}) = {y} and hence {x}  $\neq$  {y}. Since (X,  $\Im$ ) is ii-T<sub>2</sub>, there exist disjoint ii-open sets U and V such that ii-Cl({x}) = {x}  $\subset$  U and ii-Cl({y}) = {y}  $\subset$  V. This shows that (X,  $\Im$ ) is ii-R<sub>1</sub>.

**Theorem 6.20.** If a topological space  $(X, \mathfrak{T})$  is ii-symmetric, then the following are equivalent:

(i) (X, ℑ) is ii-T<sub>2</sub>.

(ii) (X,  $\Im$ ) is ii-R<sub>1</sub> and ii-T<sub>1</sub>.

(iii) (X,  $\mathfrak{I}$ ) is ii-R<sub>1</sub> and ii-T<sub>0</sub>.

Proof. Straightforward.

**Theorem 6.21**. For a topological space  $(X, \Im)$  the following statements are equivalent:

(i) (X,  $\mathfrak{I}$ ) is ii-R<sub>1</sub>.

(ii) If x,  $y \in X$  such that ii-Cl({x})  $\neq$  ii-Cl({y}), then there exist ii-closed sets  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  such that  $x \in F_1$ ,  $y \notin F_1$ ,  $y \in F_2$ ,  $x \notin F_2$  and  $X = F_1 \cup F_2$ .

Proof. Obvious.

**Theorem 6.22**. If  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  is ii- $R_1$ , then  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  is ii- $R_0$ .

**Proof.** Let U be an ii-open set such that  $x \in U$ . If  $y \notin U$ , since  $x \notin ii-Cl(\{y\})$ , we have  $ii-Cl(\{x\}) \neq ii-Cl(\{y\})$ . So, there exists an ii-open set V such that  $ii-Cl(\{y\}) \subset V$  and  $x \notin V$ , which implies  $y \notin ii-Cl(\{x\})$ . Hence  $ii-Cl(\{x\}) \subset U$ . Therefore,  $(X, \mathfrak{J})$  is  $ii-R_0$ .

**Corollary 6.23.** A topological space  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  is ii- $R_1$  if and only if for  $x, y \in X$ , ii-ker $(\{x\}) \neq$  ii-ker $(\{y\})$ , there exist disjoint ii-open sets U and V such that ii-Cl $(\{x\}) \subset$  U and ii-Cl $(\{y\}) \subset$  V.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 6.11.

**Theorem 6.24.** A topological space  $(X, \Im)$  is ii-R<sub>1</sub> if and only if  $x \in X - \text{ii-Cl}(\{y\})$  implies that x and y have disjoint ii-open neighbourhoods.

**Proof.** Necessity. Let  $x \in X - \text{ii-Cl}(\{y\})$ . Then ii-Cl $(\{x\}) \neq \text{ii-Cl}(\{y\})$ , so, x and y have disjoint ii-open neighbourhoods.

**Sufficiency**. First, we show that  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  is ii-R<sub>0</sub>. Let U be an ii-open set and  $x \in U$ . Suppose that  $y \notin U$ . Then, ii-Cl({y})  $\cap$  U =  $\phi$  and  $x \notin$  ii-Cl({y}). There exist ii-open sets U<sub>x</sub> and U<sub>y</sub> such that  $x \in U_x$ ,  $y \in U_y$  and  $U_x \cap U_y = \phi$ . Hence, ii-Cl({x})  $\subset$  ii-Cl(U<sub>x</sub>) and ii-Cl({x})  $\cap$  U<sub>y</sub>  $\subset$  ii-Cl(U<sub>x</sub>)  $\cap$  U<sub>y</sub> =  $\phi$ . Therefore,  $y \notin$  ii-Cl({x}). Consequently, ii-Cl({x})  $\subset$  U and (X, \mathfrak{I}) is ii-R<sub>0</sub>. Next, we show that (X, \mathfrak{I}) is ii-R<sub>1</sub>. Suppose that ii-Cl({x})  $\neq$  ii-Cl({y}). Then, we can assume that there exists  $z \in$  ii-Cl({x}) such that  $z \notin$  ii-Cl({y}). There exist ii-open sets V<sub>z</sub> and V<sub>y</sub> such that  $z \in V_z$ ,  $y \in V_y$  and  $V_z \cap V_y = \phi$ . Since  $z \in$  ii-Cl({x}),  $x \in V_z$ . Since (X, \mathfrak{I}) is ii-R<sub>0</sub>, we obtain ii-Cl({x})  $\subset$  V<sub>y</sub> ii-Cl({y})  $\subset$  V<sub>y</sub> and V<sub>z</sub>  $\cap$  V<sub>y</sub> =  $\phi$ . This shows that (X, \mathfrak{I}) is ii-R<sub>1</sub>.

#### References

- [1] M. E. Abd EI-Monsef, S. N. EI Deeb and R. A. Mohamoud,  $\beta$ -open sets and  $\beta$ -continuous mappings, Bull. Fac. Assiut Univ. Sci., **12**(1983), 77-90.
- [2] D. Andrijevic, on b-open sets, Mat. Vesnik, 48 (1996), 59-64.
- [3] M. Caldas and S. Jafari, On some applications of b-open sets in topological spaces, Kochi J. Math., 2(2007), 11-19.
- [4] A. A. El Atik, A study of some types of mappings on topological spaces, Master Thesis, Tanda University, Egypt, (1997).
- [5] N. Levine, Semiopen sets and semicontinuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly, 70 (1963), 36-41.
- [6] S. N. Maheswari and R. Prasad, some new separation axioms, annalesde lasociete, Scientifique de Bruxelles, T., III, 89(1975), 395-402.
- [7] S. N. Maheswari and S. S. Thakur, On α-irresolute mappings, Tamkang J, Math., 11(1980), 209-214.
- [8] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd EI-Monsef and S. N. EI-Deeb, On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt, 53(1982), 47-53.
- [9] H. Maki, R. Devi and K. Balachandran, Generalized –closed sets in topology, Bull. Fukuoka Univ. Ed., Part-III, 42(1993), 13-21.
- [10] A. A. Mohammed and B. S. Abdullah, ii-open sets in topological spaces, International Mathematical Forum, Vol. 14, No. 1, (2019), 41-48.
- [11] O. Njastad, On some classes of nearly open sets, Pacific J. Math., 15 (1965), 961-970.
- [12] J. H. Park, Strongly θ-b-continuous functions, Acta Math. Hungar., 110(4), (2006), 347-359.