

Product of Composition Operators

Dr. S. K. Latha,
SriGuru Institute of Technology,
Coimbatore District, -Tamilnadu-641 110, INDIA

Abstract

In this paper it is proved that the product of two composition operators C_1 and C_2 with $h_2 h_1 = 1$ and $h_1 = h_1 \circ T_2$ is quasi isometry and 2-isometry. Also it is proved that this condition is not necessary for C_1 and C_2 with an example.

Key words: Isometric operators, Composition Operators

I. INTRODUCTION

Let (X, Σ, λ) be a sigma finite measure space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a non singular measurable transformation. The equation $Cf = f \circ T$, $f \in L^2(\lambda)$ defines a composition transformation on $L^2(\lambda)$.

When the measure $\lambda \circ T^{-1}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to λ and the Radon Nikodym derivative $\frac{d\lambda \circ T^{-1}}{d\lambda} = f_0 = h$ is essentially bounded, T induces a composition operator C on $L^2(\lambda)$.

The Radon Nikodym derivative of $\lambda(T \circ T)^{-1}$ with respect to λ is denoted by $f_0^{(2)}$ (ie) $\frac{d\lambda(T \circ T)^{-1}}{d\lambda} = f_0^{(2)}$ and the Radon Nikodym derivative of $\lambda(T \circ T)^{-1}$ with respect to λT^{-1} is denoted by g_0 (ie) $\frac{d\lambda(T \circ T)^{-1}}{d\lambda T^{-1}} = g_0$.

Every essentially bounded complex-valued measurable function f_0 induces the bounded operator M_{f_0} on $L^2(\lambda)$ which is defined by $M_{f_0} f = f_0 f$ for every $f \in L^2(\lambda)$.

Further from [6], we have $C^* C = f_0$ and in [5] it is proved that $C^{*2} C^2 = f_0^{(2)}$. Also we have $C^{*k} C^k = f_0^{(k)}$ from [2].

II. ISOMETRIC COMPOSITION OPERATORS

In [4], S. M. Patel has introduced quasi-isometric operator and studied some of its properties.

Definition: [4]: A bounded linear operator T is called a quasi-isometry if $T^{*2} T^2 = T^* T$.

Theorem : [10]: A composition operator C on $L^2(\lambda)$ is quasi-isometry iff $f_0^{(2)} = f_0$ a.e.

Corollary :[10]: If C is an quasi-isometric operator then $g_0 = 1$ a.e.

Definition. [1]: A bounded linear operator T is m -isometry if $\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} T^{*m-k} T^{m-k} = 0$.

Definition. [3]: A bounded linear operator T is called 2-isometry if $T^{*2} T^2 - 2T^* T + I = 0$

Lemma : Let $C, M_\theta \in B(L^2(\lambda))$. Then $C M_\theta = M_\theta C$ iff $\theta = \theta \circ T$ a.e where M_θ is the multiplication operator induced by θ . [7]

III. PRODUCT OF COMPOSITION OPERATORS

Theorem 1: Let C_1 and C_2 be two composition operators with $h_2 h_1 = 1$ and $h_1 = h_1 \circ T_2$. Then the product $C_1 C_2$ is quasi isometry.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Consider } (C_1 C_2)^{*2} (C_1 C_2)^2 \\ &= (C_2^* C_1^*)^2 (C_1 C_2)^2 \\ &= C_2^* C_1^* C_2^* C_1^* C_1 C_2 C_1 C_2 \\ &= C_2^* C_1^* C_2^* M_{h_1} C_2 C_1 C_2 \\ &= C_2^* C_1^* C_2^* C_2 M_{h_1} C_1 C_2 \\ &= C_2^* C_1^* M_{h_2} M_{h_1} C_1 C_2 \\ &= C_2^* C_1^* C_1 C_2 \\ &= (C_1 C_2)^* (C_1 C_2). \\ &\Rightarrow C_1 C_2 \text{ is quasi-isometry.} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2: Let C_1 and C_2 be two composition operators with $h_2 h_1 = 1$ and $h_1 = h_1 \circ T_2$. Then the product $C_1 C_2$ is 2- isometry.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Consider } (C_1 C_2)^{*2} (C_1 C_2)^2 + I \\ &= (C_2^* C_1^*)^2 (C_1 C_2)^2 + I \\ &= C_2^* C_1^* C_2^* C_1^* C_1 C_2 C_1 C_2 + I \\ &= C_2^* C_1^* C_2^* M_{h_1} C_2 C_1 C_2 + I \\ &= C_2^* C_1^* C_2^* C_2 M_{h_1} C_1 C_2 + I \\ &= C_2^* C_1^* M_{h_2} M_{h_1} C_1 C_2 + I \\ &= C_2^* C_1^* C_1 C_2 + I \\ &= C_2^* C_1^* C_1 C_2 + M_{h_2} M_{h_1} \\ &= (C_1 C_2)^* (C_1 C_2) + C_2^* C_2 M_{h_1} \\ &= (C_1 C_2)^* (C_1 C_2) + C_2^* M_{h_1} C_2 \\ &= (C_1 C_2)^* (C_1 C_2) + C_2^* C_1^* C_1 C_2 \\ &= (C_1 C_2)^* (C_1 C_2) + (C_1 C_2)^* (C_1 C_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 2(C_1 C_2)^* (C_1 C_2).$$

$\Rightarrow C_1 C_2$ is 2-isometry.

Corollary: The following example shows that the conditions of Theorem 2 are not necessary for the composition operators C_1 and C_2 .

Example: Let $X = N$, the set of all natural numbers and λ be the counting measure on it.

Define $T_1 : N \rightarrow N$ by $T_1(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 1, 2 \\ n-1, & n \geq 3 \end{cases}$ and $n \in N$.

Define $T_2 : N \rightarrow N$ by $T_2(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 1, 2, 3 \\ n-2, & n \geq 4 \end{cases}$ and $n \in N$.

Now $T_1 \circ T_2 : N \rightarrow N$ is defined as $(T_1 \circ T_2)(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 1, 2, 3, 4 \\ n-3, & n \geq 5 \end{cases}$.

This operator induces a composition operator $C_1 C_2$ which is 2-isometry since $f_0^{(2)} - 2f_0 + 1 = 0, \forall n \in N$.

But $h_2 h_1(1) \neq 1$.

Lemma: Let $C \in B(L^2(\lambda))$ be quasinormal. Then $M_h^n C = C M_h^n, n \in N$. [8]

Lemma: Let C_1 and C_2 are quasinormal with $h_i \circ T_j = h_i$ a.e. and $h_j \circ T_i = h_j$ a.e. for $i, j = 1, 2$. Then

$$M_{h_i}^m C_j^n = C_j^n M_{h_i}^m, i, j = 1, 2. [8]$$

Theorem 7: Let C_1 and C_2 are quasinormal with $h_2^n h_1^m = 1$ and $h_1 = h_1 \circ T_2$. Then the product of the powers of C_1 and C_2 (i.e.) $C_1^m C_2^n$ is 2-isometry for all $m, n \in N$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Consider } (C_1^m C_2^n)^{*2} (C_1^m C_2^n)^2 + I \\ &= C_2^{n*} C_1^{m*} C_2^{n*} C_1^{m*} C_1^m C_2^n C_1^m C_2^n + I \\ &= C_2^{n*} C_1^{m*} C_2^{n*} M_{h_1}^m C_2^n C_1^m C_2^n + I \\ &= C_2^{n*} C_1^{m*} C_2^{n*} C_2^n M_{h_1}^m C_1^m C_2^n + I \\ &= C_2^{n*} C_1^{m*} M_{h_2}^n M_{h_1}^m C_1^m C_2^n + I \\ &= C_2^{n*} C_1^{m*} C_1^m C_2^n + I \\ &= (C_1^m C_2^n)^* (C_1^m C_2^n) + I \\ &= (C_1^m C_2^n)^* (C_1^m C_2^n) + M_{h_2}^n M_{h_1}^m \\ &= (C_1^m C_2^n)^* (C_1^m C_2^n) + C_2^{n*} C_2^n M_{h_1}^m \\ &= (C_1^m C_2^n)^* (C_1^m C_2^n) + C_2^{n*} M_{h_1}^m C_2^n \\ &= (C_1^m C_2^n)^* (C_1^m C_2^n) + C_2^{n*} C_1^{m*} C_1^m C_2^n \\ &= (C_1^m C_2^n)^* (C_1^m C_2^n) + (C_1^m C_2^n)^* (C_1^m C_2^n) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 2(C_1^m C_2^n)^* (C_1^m C_2^n).$$

$\Rightarrow C_1^m C_2^n$ is 2- isometry for all $m, n \in N$.

Theorem 8: Let C_1 and C_2 are quasinormal with $h_2^n h_1^m = 1$ and $h_1 = h_1 \circ T_2$. Then the product of the powers of C_1 and C_2 (i.e) $C_1^m C_2^n$ is quasi-isometry for all $m, n \in N$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Consider } (C_1^m C_2^n)^{*2} (C_1^m C_2^n)^2 \\ &= (C_2^{n*} C_1^{m*})^2 (C_1^m C_2^n)^2 \\ &= C_2^{n*} C_1^{m*} C_2^{n*} C_1^{m*} C_1^m C_2^n C_1^m C_2^n \\ &= C_2^{n*} C_1^{m*} C_2^{n*} M_{h_1}^m C_2^n C_1^m C_2^n \\ &= C_2^{n*} C_1^{m*} C_2^{n*} C_2^n M_{h_1}^m C_1^m C_2^n \\ &= C_2^{n*} C_1^{m*} M_{h_2}^n M_{h_1}^m C_1^m C_2^n \\ &= C_2^{n*} C_1^{m*} C_1^m C_2^n \\ &= (C_1^m C_2^n)^* (C_1^m C_2^n). \\ &\Rightarrow C_1^m C_2^n \text{ is quasi-isometry.} \end{aligned}$$

Corollary: Normaloid does not imply 2-isometry and quasi-isometry.

Example: Let $X = N$, λ be the counting measure on it and $L^2 = l^2$. Define $T : N \rightarrow N$ by $T(n) = n + 1, n \in N$. It is already proved in [9], that $\|f_0^{(k)}\| = 1, \forall k \in N$.

$\Rightarrow C$ is normaloid.

But since $f_0^{(2)} - 2f_0 + 1 \neq 0$ for $n = 1$, C is not 2- isometry.

Also since $f_0^{(2)} \neq f_0$ for $n = 2$, C is not quasi- isometry.

Hence normaloid does not imply 2-isometry and quasi-isometry.

REFERENCES

- [1] Agler. J and Stankus. M, m-isometries transformations of Hilbert space, I. Integral Equations and Operator theory, 21(1995), 383-427
- [2] S. Panayappan, Non-Hyponormal Composition Operators, Indian Journal of Math. 35(1993), 293-98
- [3] Patel S. M., 2- Isometric Operators, Glasnik Matematicki, Vol 37 (57) (2002) 143-147
- [4] Patel S. M., A note on Quasi-isometries, Glasnik Matematicki, Vol 35 (55) (2000) 307-312
- [5] Pushpa, R. Suri and Singh.N., M-quasihyponormal Composition operators, Inter. J. Math and Math. Sci., 10(3) , (1987), 621-623.
- [6] Singh. R. K., Compact and quasinormal composition operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (1974), 80-82.
- [7] Singh R.K. and David Chandrakumar, R, Some results on Composition operators, Indian Journ. Pure Appl. Math., 14(10) (1983), 1233-1237.
- [8] K. Thiruganasambandam, Class Q composition operators on L^2 spaces, Thesis, May 2009, Bharathiar University, India.
- [9] S. Panayappan, Non-normal Composition operators on L^2 spaces, Thesis, 1993-Bharathiar University, India.
- [10] S. Panayappan, S. K. Latha, Some Isometric Composition Operators, Int. J. Contemp. math. Sciences, Vol.5, 2010, no 13, 615-621