

Weakly Generalized Star Pre Regular Closed Sets In Topology

¹V.Vijayalaksmi, ²V.Senthilkumaran, ³Y.Palaniappan

¹M. Phil Scholar, Department of Mathematics
Arignar Anna govt college, Musiri, Tamilnadu, India

²Associate professor of Mathematics
Arignar Anna govt college, Musiri, Tamilnadu India,

³Associate professor of Mathematics (Retd)
Arignar Anna govt college, Musiri, Tamilnadu, India

ABSTRACT

In this paper we introduce a new class of sets namely, wg*pr closed sets in topological spaces. For these sets we investigate its properties .

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 54A05 , 54B05.

Keywords - wg*pr closed sets, wg*pr closure,,wg*pr interior

1. INTRODUCTION

Levine [7] generalized the concept of closed set to generalized closed sets. Bhattacharya and Lahiri [2] generalized the concept of closed sets to semi-generalized closed sets. In this paper we generalize the concept of closed set to w closed set via g pr open set and study some of their relationship and properties. Furthermore the notion of wg*pr neighbourhoodwg*pr limit points,wg*pr derived sets,wg*pr closure,wg*pr interior and wg*pr R0 as well as weakly wg*pr R0 spaces are presented.

2. PRELIMINARIES:

Throughout this paper (X, τ) denote a topological space on which no separation axioms is assumed. It is simply denoted by X . For a subset A of a space (X, τ) closure of A and interior of A with respect to τ are denoted by $Cl(A)$ and $Int(A)$ respectively. The complement of A is denoted by $X-A$.

Definition 2.1:

A subset A of a topological space X is called

- i. pre open [10] if $A \subseteq \tau\text{-int}(\text{cl}(A))$ and pre closed [] if $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq \tau\text{-cl}(A)$.
- ii. regular open [13] if $A = \tau\text{-int}(\text{cl}(A))$ and regular closed [] if $A = \text{cl}(\tau\text{-int}(A))$.
- iii. semi open [6] if $A \subseteq \tau\text{-cl}(\text{int}(A))$ and semi closed [] if $\text{int}(A) \subseteq \tau\text{-cl}(A)$

Definition 2.2:

A subset A of a topological space X is called

- i. weakly closed [14] (briefly w closed) if $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq \tau\text{-cl}(U)$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is semi open.
- ii. generalized pre regular closed [4] (briefly g pr closed) if $\text{p-cl}(A) \subseteq \tau\text{-cl}(U)$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is regular open

- iii. weakly generalized star pre regular closed (briefly wg^*pr closed) if $wcl A \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is gpr open.

Hereafter a topological space is simply written as TS.

3. wg^*pr Neighbourhoods:

Definition 3.1: A subset P of a TS X is called as semigeneralized star pre regular-neighbourhood (in short wg^*pr -nhd) of a point k of X if there arises a wg^*pr -open set U so that $k \in U \subseteq P$. The collection of entire wg^*pr -nhds of $x \in X$ is termed wg^*pr -nhd system of x and is labeled as wg^*pr - $N(x)$.

Theorem 3.2: Let p be any arbitrary point of a TS X . Then wg^*pr - $N(x)$ satisfies succeeding properties

- \square wg^*pr - $N(p) \subseteq \square$
- \square Whenever $N \in wg^*pr$ - $N(p)$ then $p \in N$.
- \square Whenever $N \in wg^*pr$ - $N(p)$ and $N \subset M$ at that time $M \in wg^*pr$ - $N(p)$.

Proof: (i) By the reason of each $p \in X$, X is a wg^*pr -open set. Therefore $x \in X \subset X$, implies X is wg^*pr -nhd of p , hence $X \in wg^*pr$ - $N(p)$. Accordingly, wg^*pr - $N(p) \subseteq \square$

- \square Given $N \in wg^*pr$ - $N(p)$, implies N is a wg^*pr -nhd of p , which indicates there is a wg^*pr -open set G so as $p \in G \subset N$. This implies $p \in N$.
- \square Given $N \in wg^*pr$ - $N(p)$ implies there is a wg^*pr -open set G in such a manner $p \in G \subset N$. And $N \subset M$, which implies $p \in G \subset M$. This shows that $M \in wg^*pr$ - $N(p)$.

Assume, arbitrary intersection of wg^*pr closed sets is wg^*pr closed -----(R)

Theorem 3.3: Let A be a member of a TS X . Thereupon A is wg^*pr -open iff A contains a wg^*pr -nhd of each of its points when R holds

Proof:

Allow A be a wg^*pr -open set in X . Make $x \in A$, which implies $x \in A \subseteq A$. So A is wg^*pr -nhd of x . Hence A contains a wg^*pr -nhd of each of its points. Contrarily, A contains a wg^*pr -nhd of each of its points. For each $x \in A$ there arises a wg^*pr neighbourhood N_x of x such that $x \in N_x \subseteq A$. By the definition of wg^*pr -nhd of x , there is a wg^*pr -open set G_x such that $x \in G_x \subseteq N_x \subseteq A$. Now we shall prove that $A = \bigcup \{G_x: x \in A\}$. Let $x \in A$. Then there is wg^*pr -open set G_x such that $x \in G_x$. Therefore, $x \in \bigcup \{G_x: x \in A\}$ which implies $A \subseteq \bigcup \{G_x: x \in A\}$. Now let $y \in \bigcup \{G_x: x \in A\}$ so that $y \in$ some G_x for some $x \in A$ and hence $y \in A$. Hence, $\bigcup \{G_x: x \in A\} \subseteq A$. Hence $A = \bigcup \{G_x: x \in A\}$. Also each G_x is a wg^*pr -open set. And hence A is a wg^*pr -open set.

Theorem 3.4: Whenever A is a wg^*pr -closed subset of X and $x \in X - A$, accordingly there is a wg^*pr -nhd N of x so that $N \cap A = \square$.

Proof: Assuming that A is a wg^*pr -closed set in X , then $X - A$ is a wg^*pr -open set. By the Theorem 4.3, $X - A$ contains a wg^*pr -nhd of each of its points. This implies that, there is an wg^*pr -nhd N of x so as $N \subseteq X - A$. That is, no point of N belongs to A and hence $N \cap A = \square$.

Definition 3.5: A point $x \in X$ is termed as wg^*pr -limit point of A iff each wg^*pr -nhd of x contains a point of A different from x . That is $(N - \{x\}) \cap A \neq \square$, for each wg^*pr -nhd N of x . Also equivalently iff each wg^*pr -open

set G comprising x contains a point of A other than x . The collection of entire wg^*pr -limit points of A is named as wg^*pr -derived set of A and is labeled as $wg^*pr-d(A)$.

Theorem 3.6 : Let A, B be subsets of X then $A \subseteq B$ implies $wg^*pr-d(A) \subseteq wg^*pr-d(B)$.

Proof: Enable $x \in wg^*pr-d(A)$ implies x is a wg^*pr -limit point of A . That is each wg^*pr -nhd of x contains a point of A other than x . As $A \subseteq B$, each wg^*pr -nhd of x contains a point of B other than x . Consequently x is a wg^*pr -limit point of B . That is $x \in wg^*pr-d(B)$. Hence $wg^*pr-d(A) \subseteq wg^*pr-d(B)$.

Theorem 3.7: A subset P of X is wg^*pr closed iff $wg^*pr-d(P) \subseteq P$ assuming R

Proof: Let P be wg^*pr -closed set. That is $X-P$ is wg^*pr -open. Now we prove that $wg^*pr-d(P) \subseteq P$. Allow $x \in wg^*pr-d(P)$ which intend x is a wg^*pr -limit point of P , that is each wg^*pr -nhd of x contains a point of P different from x . Now think $x \in P$ so that $x \in X-P$, which is wg^*pr -open and by definition of wg^*pr -open sets, there is a wg^*pr -nhd N of x in such a manner $N \subseteq X-P$. From this we conclude that N contains no point of P , which is a contradiction. Therefore $x \in P$ and hence $wg^*pr-d(P) \subseteq P$. conversely assume that $wg^*pr-d(P) \subseteq P$ and we will prove that P is a wg^*pr -closed set in X or $X-P$ is wg^*pr -open set. Ensure x be an arbitrary point of $X-P$, so that $x \notin P$ which implies that $x \in wg^*pr-d(A)$. That is there exists a wg^*pr -nbd N of x which consists of only points of $X-P$. This means that $X-P$ is wg^*pr -open. And hence P is wg^*pr -closed set in X .

Theorem 3.8: Each wg^*pr -derived set in X is wg^*pr closed, when R holds

Proof: Permit A be a member of X and $wg^*pr-d(A)$ is wg^*pr -derived set of A . By Theorem 4.7, $wg^*pr-d(A)$ is wg^*pr -closed iff $wg^*pr-d(wg^*pr-d(A)) \subseteq wg^*pr-d(A)$. That is each wg^*pr -limit point of $wg^*pr-d(A)$ belongs to $wg^*pr-d(A)$.

Now allow x be a wg^*pr -limit point of $wg^*pr-d(A)$. That is $x \in wg^*pr-d(wg^*pr-d(A))$. So that there is a wg^*pr -open set G containing x such that $\{G - \{x\}\} \cap wg^*pr-d(A) \neq \emptyset$, which implies $\{G - \{x\}\} \cap A \neq \emptyset$, as each wg^*pr -nhd of an element of $wg^*pr-d(A)$ has at least one point of A . Hence x is a wg^*pr -limit point of A . That is x belongs to $wg^*pr-d(A)$. So $x \in wg^*pr-d(wg^*pr-d(A)) \subseteq wg^*pr-d(A)$. Accordingly $wg^*pr-d(A)$ is wg^*pr -closed set in X .

Theorem 3.9: The following properties are true for $A, B \subseteq X$

- i) $wg^*pr-d(\emptyset) = \emptyset$
- ii) Whenever $A \subseteq B$ then $wg^*pr-d(A) \subseteq wg^*pr-d(B)$.
- iii) Whenever $q \in wg^*pr-d(A)$ then $q \in wg^*pr-d(A - \{q\})$.
- iv) $wg^*pr-d(A) \cup wg^*pr-d(B) \subseteq wg^*pr-d(A \cup B)$.
- v) $wg^*pr-d(A \cap B) \subseteq wg^*pr-d(A) \cap wg^*pr-d(B)$.

Proof: (i) Let $q \in X$ and G be a wg^*pr -open involving q . Then $(G - \{q\}) \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$. This suggest $q \notin wg^*pr-d(\emptyset)$. Accordingly for any $q \in X$, q is not wg^*pr -limit point of \emptyset . Hence $wg^*pr-d(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.

ii) Allow $q \in wg^*pr-d(A)$. Afterwards $G \cap (A - \{q\}) \neq \emptyset$, for each wg^*pr -open set G involving q . As $A \subseteq B$, implies $G \cap (B - \{q\}) \neq \emptyset$. This impart $q \in wg^*pr-d(B)$. Thereupon, $q \in wg^*pr-d(A)$ implies $q \in wg^*pr-d(B)$. Therefore, $wg^*pr-d(A) \subseteq wg^*pr-d(B)$.

iii) Let $q \in wg^*pr-d(A)$. Then $G \cap (A - \{q\}) \neq \emptyset$, for each wg^*pr -open set G containing q . This implies that each wg^*pr -open set G including q , contains at least one point different from q of $A - \{q\}$. Therefore $q \in wg^*pr-d(A - \{q\})$.

iv) Since $A \subseteq A \cup B$ and $B \subseteq A \cup B$ and by (ii), $wg^*pr-d(A) \subseteq wg^*pr-d(A \cup B)$ and $wg^*pr-d(B) \subseteq wg^*pr-d(A \cup B)$. Hence, $wg^*pr-d(A) \cup wg^*pr-d(B) \subseteq wg^*pr-d(A \cup B)$.

v) Since $A \cap B \subset A$ and $A \cap B \subset B$ and by (ii), $wg^*pr-d(A \cap B) \subset wg^*pr-d(A)$ and $wg^*pr-d(A \cap B) \subset wg^*pr-d(B)$. Therefore $wg^*pr-d(A \cap B) \subset wg^*pr-d(A) \cap wg^*pr-d(B)$.

Theorem 3.10: Whenever A is a member of X , then $A \cup wg^*pr-d(A)$ is wg^*pr -closed set, assuming R .

Proof: To prove $A \cup wg^*pr-d(A)$ is wg^*pr -closed set, it is sufficient to prove $X - (A \cup wg^*pr-d(A))$ is wg^*pr open. Whenever $X - (A \cup wg^*pr-d(A)) = \emptyset$, then it is clearly wg^*pr -open set. Enable $X - (A \cup wg^*pr-d(A)) \neq \emptyset$ and $x \in X - (A \cup wg^*pr-d(A))$, implies $x \notin A \cup wg^*pr-d(A)$. This implies $x \notin A$ and $x \notin wg^*pr-d(A)$. Now $x \notin wg^*pr-d(A)$, which indicates x is not wg^*pr -limit point of A . Therefore, there is a wg^*pr -open set G containing x so that $G \cap (A - \{x\}) = \emptyset$. As $x \notin A$, implies $G \cap A = \emptyset$. This suggests $x \in G \subset X - A$ —(1). Again G is wg^*pr -open set and $G \cap A = \emptyset$, implies no point of G can be wg^*pr -limit point of A . This follows $G \cap wg^*pr-d(A) = \emptyset$, implies $x \in G \subset X - wg^*pr-d(A)$ —(2). From (1) and (2), $x \in G \subset (X - A) \cap (X - wg^*pr-d(A)) = X - (A \cup wg^*pr-d(A))$. That is $x \in G \subset X - (A \cup wg^*pr-d(A))$. This implies $X - (A \cup wg^*pr-d(A))$ contains wg^*pr -nhd of each of its points. By theorem 3.4, $X - (A \cup wg^*pr-d(A))$ is wg^*pr open as well as $A \cup wg^*pr-d(A)$ is wg^*pr closed set.

4. On wg^*pr \square closure and wg^*pr -interior operators

Definition 5.1: Consider X be a TS and $Q \subseteq X$. The set of intersection of entire wg^*pr -closed sets including Q is named wg^*pr -closure of Q and is labeled as $wg^*prCl(Q)$.

Theorem 4.2: For members A, B of X , the listed properties hold:

- i) $wg^*prCl(X) = X$ and $wg^*prCl(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.
- ii) Whenever $A \subseteq B$, then $wg^*prCl(A) \subseteq wg^*prCl(B)$
- iii) $wg^*prCl(P) \cup wg^*prCl(Q) \subseteq wg^*prCl(P \cup Q)$
- iv) $wg^*prCl(A \cap B) \subseteq wg^*prCl(A) \cap wg^*prCl(B)$
- v) $wg^*prCl(wg^*prCl(A)) = wg^*prCl(A)$
- vi) A is wg^*pr -closed iff $wg^*prCl(A) = A$, when R holds

Theorem 4.3: For $A \subseteq X$, then $x \in wg^*prCl(A)$ iff $G \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for each wg^*pr -open set G containing x .

Proof: Necessity: Enable $x \in wg^*prCl(A)$ for any $x \in X$. Expect there is a wg^*pr -open set G comprising x so that $G \cap A = \emptyset$. Then $A \subseteq X - G$. As $X - G$ is wg^*pr -closed set comprising A , we have $wg^*prCl(A) \subseteq X - G$, which indicates $x \notin wg^*prCl(A)$. This is contradiction to hypothesis. Hence $G \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Conversely, assume $x \in wg^*prCl(A)$. There exist a wg^*pr -closed set F containing A so that $x \notin F$. Then $x \in X - F$ and $X - F$ is wg^*pr -open. Also $(X - F) \cap A = \emptyset$. This is contradiction to the hypothesis. Therefore $x \in wg^*prCl(A)$.

Definition 4.4: For a TS X and $S \subseteq X$ the union of entire wg^*pr open sets included in S is termed as wg^*pr -interior of S and is labeled as $wg^*prInt(A)$.

Theorem 4.5: A and B be members of TS X. Then the listed results hold:

- i) $wg^*pr\ Int(X)=X$ and $wg^*pr\ Int(\square\square\square\square\square\square)$.
- ii) Whenever $A \subseteq B$, then $wg^*pr\ Int(A) \subseteq wg^*pr\ Int(B)$
- iii) $wg^*pr\ Int(A) \cap wg^*pr\ Int(B) \subseteq wg^*pr\ Int(A \cap B)$
- iv) $wg^*pr\ Int(A \cap B) \subseteq wg^*pr\ Int(A) \cap wg^*pr\ Int(B)$
- v) $wg^*pr\ Int(wg^*pr\ Int(A)) = wg^*pr\ Int(A)$
- vi) A is wg^*pr -open iff $wg^*pr\ Int(A)=A$, assuming R

Theorem 4.6: For a member A of X, the listed results hold:

- i) $wg^*prCl(X-A) = X - wg^*prInt(A)$
- ii) $wg^*prInt(X-A) = X - wg^*prCl(A)$
- iii) $wg^*prInt(A) = X - wg^*prCl(X-A)$
- iv) $wg^*prCl(A) = X - wg^*prInt(X-A)$

Proof: (i) Allow $x \in X - wg^*prInt(A)$. So $x \in wg^*prInt(A)$, implies for each wg^*pr -open set U comprising x we have $U \cap (X-A) = \emptyset$. Thus, $x \in wg^*prCl(X-A)$. Hence $X - wg^*prInt(A) \subseteq wg^*prCl(X-A)$. Conversely, allow $x \in wg^*prCl(X-A)$. So $U \cap A = \emptyset$ for each wg^*pr -open set U comprising x. Hence $x \in wg^*prInt(A)$, implies $x \in X - wg^*prInt(A)$. This indicates $wg^*prCl(X-A) \subseteq X - wg^*prInt(A)$. Therefore, $X - wg^*prInt(A) = wg^*prCl(X-A)$.

(ii) Enable $x \in X - wg^*prCl(A)$. So $x \in wg^*prCl(A)$, implies for each wg^*pr open set U including x we have $U \cap A = \emptyset$. This impart $x \in A^c$, so $x \in wg^*prInt(A^c)$ or $x \in wg^*prInt(X-A)$. Therefore we have $X - wg^*prCl(A) \subseteq wg^*prInt(X-A)$. Conversely, make $x \in wg^*prInt(X-A)$. Then there is a wg^*pr -open set U including x so that $x \in U \cap X-A$. Hence $U \cap A = \emptyset$, $x \in wg^*prCl(A)$, implies $x \in X - wg^*prCl(A)$. This indicates $wg^*prInt(X-A) \subseteq X - wg^*prCl(A)$. Therefore, $X - wg^*prCl(A) = wg^*prInt(X-A)$

(iii) Replacing A by X-A in (ii) we result (iii)

(iv) Replacing A by X-A in (i) we result (iv)

5. wg^*pr - R_0 SPACES

Definition 5.1: Let A be a subset of a TS X. The wg^*pr -kernel of A, labeled as $wg^*pr\text{-ker}(A)$ is defined to be the set $wg^*pr\text{-ker}(A) = \{U: A \subseteq U \text{ and } U \text{ is } wg^*pr\text{-open in } X\}$

Definition 5.2: Let x be a point of a TS X. The wg^*pr -kernel of x, labeled as $wg^*pr\text{-ker}(\{x\})$ is defined to be the set $wg^*pr\text{-ker}(\{x\}) = \{U: x \in U \text{ and } U \text{ is } wg^*pr\text{-open in } (X, \tau)\}$

Lemma 5.3: Let X be a TS and $x \in X$. Then $wg^*pr\text{-ker}(\{x\}) = \{x \in X: wg^*prCl(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$.

Proof: Let $x \in wg^*pr\text{-ker}(\{x\})$ and suppose $wg^*prCl(\{x\}) \cap A = \emptyset$. Hence $x \in X - wg^*prCl(\{x\})$ which is a wg^*pr -open set including A. This is absurd, as $x \in wg^*pr\text{-ker}(\{x\})$. Hence $wg^*prCl(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Contrarily, let $wg^*prCl(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and assume that $x \notin wg^*pr\text{-ker}(\{x\})$. Then there is a wg^*pr open set U including A and $x \notin U$. Let $y \in wg^*prCl(\{x\}) \cap A$. Hence, U is a wg^*pr nhd of y in which $x \notin U$. By this contradiction, $x \in wg^*pr\text{-ker}(\{x\})$ and the claim.

Definition 5.4: A TS X is named as w generalized star pre **regular** R_0 (in short, wg^*prR_0) space iff for each wg^*pr open set G and $x \in G$ implies $wg^*prCl(\{x\}) \subseteq G$.

Lemma 5.5: Let X be a TS and $x \in X$. Then $y \in \text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{x\})$ iff $x \in \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{y\})$.

Proof: Suppose that $y \in \text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{x\})$. Then there exists a $\text{wg}^*\text{-pr}$ open set V comprising x such that $y \in V$. Therefore we have $x \in \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{y\})$. The proof of converse can be done similarly.

Lemma 5.6: The following results are similar for any points x and y in a TS X :

i) $\text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{x\}) \neq \text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{y\})$

ii) $\text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{y\})$.

Proof: (i) \square (ii). Suppose that $\text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{x\}) \neq \text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{y\})$ then there exists a point z in X such that $z \in \text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{x\})$ and $z \notin \text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{y\})$. From $z \in \text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{x\})$ it follows that $\{x\} \in \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{z\}) \neq \square$ which implies $x \in \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{z\})$. By $z \in \text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{y\})$, we have $\{y\} \cap \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{z\}) = \square$. Since $x \in \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{z\})$, $\text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{x\}) \cap \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{z\})$ and $\{y\} \in \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{x\}) = \square$. Therefore it follows that $\text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{y\})$. (ii) \square (i). Suppose that $\text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{y\})$. There exists a point z in X such that $z \in \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{x\})$ and $z \notin \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{y\})$. Then there exists a $\text{wg}^*\text{-pr}$ -open set containing z and therefore x but not y , namely, $y \notin \text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{x\})$. Hence $\text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{x\}) \neq \text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{y\})$.

Theorem 5.7: A TS X is $\text{wg}^*\text{-pr-R}_0$ space iff for any x, y in X , $\text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{y\})$ implies $\text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{x\}) \cap \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{y\}) = \square$.

Proof: Consider X is $\text{wg}^*\text{-pr-R}_0$ space and $x, y \in X$ in that case $\text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{y\})$. Then there exists a point $z \in \text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{x\})$ so that $z \in \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{y\})$ (or $z \in \text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{y\})$ such that $z \in \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{x\})$). There exists a $\text{wg}^*\text{-pr}$ -open set V such that $y \in V$ and $z \in V$; hence $x \in V$. Therefore, we have $x \in \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{y\})$. Thus $x \in X - \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{y\})$ a $\text{wg}^*\text{-pr}$ -open set, which implies $\text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{x\}) \cap X - \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{y\})$ and $\text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{x\}) \cap \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{y\}) = \square$.

Contrarily, let V be a $\text{wg}^*\text{-pr}$ -open set in X and let $x \in V$. Now we have claim that $\text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{x\}) \cap V$. Make $y \in V$, that is, $y \in X - V$. Then $x \neq y$ as well as $x \in \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{y\})$. This implies $\text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{y\})$. By assumption, $\text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{x\}) \cap \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{y\}) = \square$. Hence $y \in \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{x\})$ and therefore $\text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{x\}) \cap V$.

Theorem 5.8: If a TS X is $\text{wg}^*\text{-pr-R}_0$ space, then for any x, y in X $\text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{x\}) \neq \text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{y\})$ implies $\text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{x\}) \cap \text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{y\}) = \square$.

Proof: Suppose X is $\text{wg}^*\text{-pr-R}_0$ space. Thus by Lemma 6.6 for any points $x, y \in X$ whenever $\text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{x\}) \neq \text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{y\})$ then $\text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{y\})$. Now we prove that $\text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{x\}) \cap \text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{y\}) = \square$. Suppose that $z \in \text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{x\}) \cap \text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{y\})$. By Lemma 6.5 and $z \in \text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{x\})$ implies $x \in \text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{z\})$. Since $x \in \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{x\})$, by Theorem 6.7, $\text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{x\}) = \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{z\})$. Similarly, we have $\text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{y\}) = \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{z\})$ a contradiction. Hence $\text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{x\}) \cap \text{wg}^*\text{-pr-ker}(\{y\}) = \square$.

Theorem 5.9: For a TS X the following properties are equivalent:

i) X is a $\text{wg}^*\text{-pr-R}_0$ space.

ii) $x \in \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{y\})$ if and only if $y \in \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{x\})$ for any points x and y in X .

Proof: (i) \square (ii). Assume that X is a $\text{wg}^*\text{-pr-R}_0$ space. Let $x \in \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{y\})$ and U be any $\text{wg}^*\text{-pr}$ -open set such that $y \in U$. Now by hypothesis $x \in U$. Therefore, every $\text{wg}^*\text{-pr}$ -open set containing y contains x . Hence $y \in \text{wg}^*\text{-prCl}(\{x\})$.

(ii) \square (i). Let V be a wg^*pr -open set and $x \in V$. If $y \in V$ then $x \in wg^*prCl(\{y\})$ and hence $y \in wg^*prCl(\{x\})$. This implies that $wg^*prCl(\{x\}) \subseteq V$. Hence X is a wg^*pr-R_0 space.

Theorem 5.10: For a topological space X the following properties are equivalent, when R holds

i) X is a wg^*pr-R_0 space.

ii) Whenever A is a wg^*pr -closed, then $A = wg^*pr-ker(A)$.

iii) Whenever A is a wg^*pr -closed as well as $x \in A$, thereupon $wg^*pr-ker(\{x\}) \subseteq A$

iv) Whenever $x \in X$, then $wg^*pr-ker(\{x\}) \subseteq wg^*prCl(\{x\})$.

Proof: (i) \square (ii). Let A be wg^*pr -closed and $x \in A$. Thus $X - A$ is a wg^*pr -open and $x \in X - A$. Since X is a wg^*pr-R_0 space $wg^*prCl(\{x\}) \subseteq X - A$. Thus $wg^*prCl(\{x\}) \subseteq A = \emptyset$ and by the Lemma 5.3, $x \in wg^*pr-ker(A)$. Therefore $wg^*pr-ker(A) = A$.

(ii) \square (iii). In general $U \subseteq V$ implies $wg^*pr-ker(U) \subseteq wg^*pr-ker(V)$

. Therefore $wg^*pr-ker(\{x\}) \subseteq wg^*pr-ker(A) = A$ by (ii).

(iii) \square (iv). Since $x \in wg^*prCl(\{x\})$ and $wg^*prCl(\{x\})$ is wg^*pr -closed by

(iii) $wg^*pr-ker(\{x\}) \subseteq wg^*prCl(\{x\})$.

(iv) \square (i). Let $x \in wg^*prCl(\{y\})$ then by the Lemma 5.5, $y \in wg^*pr-ker(\{x\})$. Since $x \in wg^*prCl(\{x\})$ and $wg^*prCl(\{x\})$ is wg^*pr -closed, by (iv) we obtain $y \in wg^*pr-ker(\{x\}) \subseteq wg^*prCl(\{x\})$. Therefore $x \in wg^*prCl(\{y\})$ implies $y \in wg^*prCl(\{x\})$. The converse is obvious and X is a wg^*pr-R_0 space.

Definition 5.11: A TS X is termed as

- \square wg^*pr-C_0 whenever for $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, there exists a wg^*pr -open set G such that $wg^*prCl(G)$ contains one of x and y but not other.
- \square wg^*prCl whenever $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, there exist wg^*pr open sets G and H such that $x \in wg^*prCl(G)$,
- \square wg^*prCl whenever for $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, there exist wg^*pr -open sets G and H such that $x \in wg^*prCl(G)$
 $y \in wg^*prCl(H)$ but $x \notin wg^*prCl(H)$, $y \notin wg^*prCl(G)$.
- \square weakly wg^*pr-C_0 whenever $\square \square wg^*pr-ker(\{x\} / x \in X) = \square$.
- \square weakly wg^*pr-R_0 whenever $\square \square \{ wg^*prCl(\{x\}) / x \in X \} = \square$

Theorem 5.12: A topological space X is weakly wg^*pr-R_0 if and only if $wg^*pr-ker(\{x\}) \neq X$ for $x \in X$

Proof: Necessity: Assume that there is a point x_0 in X with $wg^*pr-ker(\{x_0\}) = X$. Then X is the only wg^*pr -open set containing x_0 . This implies that $x_0 \in wg^*prCl(\{x\})$ for every $x \in X$. Hence $x_0 \in \square \square \{ wg^*prCl(\{x\}) / x \in X \} \neq \square$, a contradiction.

Sufficiency: If X is not weakly wg^*pr-R_0 , then choose some x_0 in X such that $x_0 \in \square \square \{ wg^*prCl(\{x\}) / x \in X \}$. This implies that every wg^*pr -open set containing x_0 must contain every point of X . Thus the space X is the unique wg^*pr -open set containing x_0 . Hence $wg^*pr-ker(\{x_0\}) = X$, which is a contradiction. Therefore X is weakly wg^*pr-R_0 .

Theorem 5.13: A space X is weakly wg^*pr-C_0 if and only if for each $x \in X$, there exists a proper wg^*pr -closed set containing y .

Proof: Suppose there is some $y \in X$ such that X is the only wg^*pr -closed set containing y . Let U be any proper wg^*pr -open subset of X containing a point x_0 of X . This implies that $X - U \neq X$. Since $X - U$ is wg^*pr -closed set, we have $y \in X - U$. So, $y \in U$. Thus $y \in \{wg^*pr\text{-ker}(\{x\}) / x \in X\}$ for any point x of X , a contradiction. Conversely, suppose X is not weakly wg^*pr-C_0 , then choose $y \in \{wg^*pr\text{-ker}(\{x\}) / x \in X\}$. So y belongs to $wg^*pr\text{-ker}(\{x\})$ for any $x \in X$. This implies that X is the only wg^*pr -open set which contains the point y , a contradiction.

Theorem 5.14: Every wg^*pr-C_0 space is weakly wg^*pr-C_0

Proof: Whenever $p, q \in X$ such that $p \neq q$, where X is a wg^*pr-C_0 space, then without loss of generality, we can assume that there exists a wg^*pr -open set G such that $p \in wg^*prCl(G)$ but $q \notin wg^*prCl(G)$. This implies that $G \neq \emptyset$. Hence we can choose some z in G . Now $wg^*pr\text{-ker}(z) \subseteq wg^*pr\text{-ker}(q) \subseteq G \subseteq (wg^*prCl(G))^c \subseteq wg^*prCl(G) \subseteq (wg^*prCl(G))^c = \emptyset$. Therefore $\{wg^*pr\text{-ker}(\{p\}) / p \in X\} = \emptyset$. Hence the space X is weakly wg^*pr-C_0 .

REFERENCES

- [1]. Arya S.P. and Nour, T.M. 1990. Characterizations of S-normal spaces, Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math., 21(8), 717-719.
- [2]. Bhattacharya, P. and Lahiri, B.K. 1987. Semi-generalized closed sets in topology, Indian J. Math., 29(3), 375-382.
- [3]. Crossley, S. G. and Hildebrand, S. K. 1972. Semi-Topological properties, Fund. Math. 74, 233-254.
- [4]. Gnanambal, Y. 1997. On generalized pre regular closed sets in topological spaces, Indian J Pure. Appl. Math. 28(3), 351-360.
- [5]. Govidappa Navalagi and Sujata S. Tallur 2018. Properties of $sg^*\alpha$ closed sets in topology. Int J Current Research Vol10, Issue8, 72218-72223
- [6]. Levine, N. 1963. Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly, 70 36-41.
- [7]. Levine, N. 1970. Generalized closed sets in topology, Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo, 19, (2), 89-96.
- [8]. Maki, H., Devi R. and Balachandran, K. 1993. Generalized α closed sets in topology, Bull. Fukuoka Univ. Ed. Part III, 42, 13-21.
- [9]. Maki, H., Devi R. and Balachandran, K. 1994. Associated topologies of generalized α closed sets and α -generalized closed sets, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A. Math., 1, 51-63.
- [10]. Mashhour, A.S., Abd Monsef, M.E. and El Deeb, S.N., 1982. On pre continuous and weak pre continuous mapping. Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt 53, 47-53
- [11]. Njåstad, O. 1965. On some classes of nearly open sets, Pacific J. Math., 15, 961-970.
- [12]. Rayangoudar, T. D. Ph.D. Thesis, 2007. Karnatak Univ, Dharwad-580003, Karnataka