

# Closed Lie Ideals of Prime Rings with Generalized $\alpha$ -derivations

Barış Albayrak<sup>#1</sup>, Didem Yeşil<sup>\*2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Department of Banking and Finance, TURKEY

<sup>2</sup>Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Department of Mathematics, TURKEY

**Abstract** — In this paper, we study on closed Lie ideals  $L$  of prime ring  $R$  with generalized  $\alpha$ -derivation and show  $L \subseteq Z(R)$  under several conditions. Also, we investigate commutativity of Lie ideal  $L$  for two generalized  $\alpha$ -derivations  $F$  and  $G$ .

**Keywords** — Prime ring, Lie ideal, Generalized  $\alpha$ -derivations.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Let  $Z(R)$  be center of ring  $R$ . A ring  $R$  is prime ring if  $rRy = (0)$  then  $r = 0$  or  $y = 0$  for any  $r, y \in R$ . If  $L$  is an additive subgroup of  $R$  such that  $[L, R] \subseteq L$ , then  $L$  is a Lie ideal of  $R$ . Also, if  $r^2 \in L$  for all  $r \in L$ , then  $L$  is square closed.

The notation  $[r, y]$  is used for commutator  $ry - yr$  and  $r \circ y$  is used for anti-commutator  $ry + yr$  for any  $r, y \in R$ . Also, following equalities hold for commutator and anti-commutator.

- $[rp, t] = r[p, t] + [r, t]p$
- $[r, pt] = [r, p]t + p[r, t]$
- $(rp) \circ t = r(p \circ t) - [r, t]p = (r \circ t)p + r[p, t]$
- $r \circ (pt) = (r \circ p)t - p[r, t] = p(r \circ t) + [r, p]t$

From [5], an additive mapping  $d$  from  $R$  into  $R$  is called derivation if  $d(rp) = d(r)p + rd(p)$  for all  $r, p \in R$ . In [3], Bresar introduced definition of generalized derivation. An additive mapping  $F$  from  $R$  into  $R$  is called generalized derivation associated with derivation  $d$  if  $F(rp) = F(r)p + rd(p)$  for all  $r, p \in R$ . From [1] and [4], definition of  $\alpha$ -derivation and generalized  $\alpha$ -derivation is given as follows: Let  $d$  from  $R$  into  $R$  be an additive mapping and  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of  $R$ . If  $d(rp) = d(r)p + \alpha(r)d(p)$  holds for all  $r, p \in R$ , then  $d$  is called  $\alpha$ -derivation. Let  $F$  from  $R$  into  $R$  be an additive mapping. If  $F(rp) = F(r)p + \alpha(r)d(p)$  holds for all  $r, p \in R$ , then  $F$  is called generalized  $\alpha$ -derivation associated with  $\alpha$ -derivation  $d$ . In many years, authors have proved commutative theorems for prime rings with  $\alpha$ -derivation and generalized  $\alpha$ -derivation. Also many researchers have generalized results to ideals and Lie ideals of ring.

In this paper, we study on prime rings with generalized  $\alpha$ -derivation. Let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $\text{char } R \neq 2$ ,  $L$  be a square closed Lie ideal of  $R$  and  $0 \neq F: R \rightarrow R$  be a generalized  $\alpha$ -derivation associated with  $\alpha$ -derivation  $d$  such that  $d(Z(L)) \cap Z(L) \neq (0)$  and  $\alpha(L) \subset L$ . We study following conditions and prove  $L \subseteq Z(R)$ . (i)  $[F(r), r] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r \in L$ . (ii)  $F(r) \circ r \in Z(R)$  for all  $r \in L$ . (iii)  $[F(r), F(p)] - [r, p]$  for all  $r, p \in L$ . (iv)  $F(r) \circ F(p) - r \circ p \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ . (v)  $[F(r), F(p)] - r \circ p$  for all  $r, p \in L$ . (vi)  $F(r) \circ F(p) - [r, p] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ . (vii)  $F[r, p] - r \circ p \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ . (viii)  $F(r \circ p) - [r, p] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ . (ix)  $[F(r), \alpha(p)] - [r, p] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ . (x)  $F(r) \circ \alpha(y) - r \circ p \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ .

In addition, we investigate commutative property on square closed Lie ideal of prime ring  $R$  for two generalized  $\alpha$ -derivations  $0 \neq F, G: R \rightarrow R$  associated with  $\alpha$ -derivations  $d, g: R \rightarrow R$  respectively. We study following conditions and prove  $L \subseteq Z(R)$ . (i)  $[F(r), G(p)] - [r, p] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ . (ii)  $F[r, p] - [p, G(r)] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ . (iii)  $F(r \circ p) - p \circ G(r) \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ . (iv)  $[F(r), r] - [r, G(r)] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r \in L$ . (v)  $F(r) \circ r - r \circ G(r) \in Z(R)$  for all  $r \in L$ .

## II. PRELIMINARIES

**Remark 1** Let  $R$  be ring and  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of ring  $R$ . If  $\alpha(r) \in Z(R)$ , then  $r \in Z(R)$ .

**Remark 2** Let  $R$  be a prime ring. For an elements  $r \in Z(R)$  and  $s \in R$ , if  $rs \in Z(R)$ , then  $s \in Z(R)$  or  $r = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.1** Let  $R$  be a prime ring with  $\text{char} R \neq 2$  and  $L$  be a square closed Lie ideal of  $R$ . Then  $2rs \in L$  for all  $r, s \in L$ .

**Lemma 2.2** [6] Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and  $L$  be a nonzero Lie ideal of  $R$ . Then  $Z(L) \subseteq Z(R)$ .

**Lemma 2.3** [2] Let  $R$  be a prime ring with  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$  and  $L$  be a nonzero square closed Lie ideal of  $R$ . If  $[x, y] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in L$ , then  $L \subseteq Z(R)$ .

**Lemma 2.4** [2] Let  $R$  be a prime ring with  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$  and  $L$  be a nonzero square closed Lie ideal of  $R$ . If  $x \circ y \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in L$ , then  $L \subseteq Z(R)$ .

### III. RESULTS

**Theorem 3.1** Let ring  $R$  be prime with  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ ,  $(0) \neq L$  be a square closed Lie ideal of  $R$  and  $0 \neq F: R \rightarrow R$  be a generalized  $\alpha$ -derivation associated with  $\alpha$ -derivation  $d$  such that  $Z(L) \cap d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$  and  $\alpha(L) \subset L$ . If  $[F(r), r] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r \in L$ , then  $R$  is commutative.

*Proof.* Let  $[F(r), r] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r \in L$ . Replacing  $r$  by  $r + p$ , we get

$$[F(r), p] + [F(y), p] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L. \quad (3.1)$$

From  $Z(L) \cap d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$ , we take fixed element  $0 \neq s \in Z(L)$  which  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(L)$ . Also,  $s, d(s) \in Z(R)$  from the Lemma 2.2. Replacing  $p$  by  $2ps$  in Equation (3.1) and using  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ , we get

$$[F(r), p]s + p[F(r), s] + F(p)[s, r] + [F(p), r]s + \alpha(p)[d(s), r] + [\alpha(p), r]d(s) \in Z(R).$$

In this expression, using  $s, d(s) \in Z(R)$  and Equation (3.1), we have

$$[\alpha(p), r]d(s) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

Hence, using  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(R)$  and Remark 2, we obtain

$$[\alpha(p), r] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

Replacing  $r$  by  $\alpha(r)$  in above relation, we have  $[\alpha(p), \alpha(r)] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ . Using the fact that  $\alpha$  is automorphism, we get

$$\alpha([r, p]) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

From the Remark 1, we obtain

$$[r, p] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

Hence, from the Lemma 2.3 we get  $L \subseteq Z(R)$ .

**Theorem 3.2** Let ring  $R$  be prime with  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ ,  $(0) \neq L$  be a square closed Lie ideal of  $R$  and  $0 \neq F: R \rightarrow R$  be a generalized  $\alpha$ -derivation associated with  $\alpha$ -derivation  $d$  such that  $Z(L) \cap d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$  and  $\alpha(L) \subset L$ . If  $F(r) \circ r \in Z(R)$  for all  $r \in L$ , then  $R$  is commutative.

*Proof.* Let  $F(r) \circ r \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in I$ . Replacing  $r$  by  $r + p$ , we get

$$F(r) \circ p + F(p) \circ r \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L. \quad (3.2)$$

From  $Z(L) \cap d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$ , we take fixed element  $0 \neq s \in Z(L)$  which  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(L)$ . Also,  $s, d(s) \in Z(R)$  from the Lemma 2.2. Replacing  $p$  by  $2ps$  in Equation (3.2) and using  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ , we have

$$(F(r) \circ p)s - p[F(r), s] + (F(p) \circ r)s + F(p)[s, r] + (\alpha(p) \circ r)d(s) + \alpha(p)[d(s), r] \in Z(R)$$

In this expression, using  $d(s) \in Z(R)$  and Equation (3.2), we get

$$(\alpha(p) \circ r)d(s) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

Hence, using  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(R)$  and Remark 2, we have

$$\alpha(p) \circ r \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

Replacing  $r$  by  $\alpha(r)$  in above relation, we have  $\alpha(p) \circ \alpha(r) \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ . Using the fact that  $\alpha$  is automorphism, we obtain

$$\alpha(r \circ p) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

From the Remark 1, we have

$$r \circ p \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

Hence, from the Lemma 2.4 we get  $L \subseteq Z(R)$ .

**Lemma 3.3** *Let ring  $R$  be prime with  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ ,  $(0) \neq L$  be a square closed Lie ideal of  $R$  and  $0 \neq F: R \rightarrow R$  be a generalized  $\alpha$ -derivation associated with  $\alpha$ -derivation  $d$  such that  $Z(L) \cap d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$  and  $\alpha(L) \subset L$ . If one of the following conditions is satisfied, then  $L \subseteq Z(R)$ .*

i.  $[F(r), \alpha(p)] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ .

ii.  $F(r) \circ \alpha(p) \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ .

*Proof.* i. Let

$$[F(r), \alpha(p)] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L. \tag{3.3}$$

From  $Z(L) \cap d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$ , we take fixed element  $0 \neq s \in Z(L)$  which  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(L)$ . Replacing  $r$  by  $2rs$  in Equation (3.3) and using  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ , we have

$$[F(r), \alpha(p)]s + F(r)[s, \alpha(p)] + \alpha(r)[d(s), \alpha(p)] + [\alpha(r), \alpha(p)]d(s) \in Z(R).$$

In this expression, using  $d(s) \in Z(R)$  from the Lemma 2.2 and Equation (3.3), we get

$$[\alpha(r), \alpha(p)]d(s) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

Hence, using  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(R)$  and Remark 2, we obtain

$$[\alpha(r), \alpha(p)] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

Using the fact that  $\alpha$  is automorphism, we get  $\alpha([r, p]) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in L$ . From the Remark 1, we obtain  $[r, p] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ . Hence, from the Lemma 2.3 we get  $L \subseteq Z(R)$ .

ii. Let

$$F(r) \circ \alpha(p) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L. \tag{3.4}$$

From  $Z(L) \cap d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$ , we take fixed element  $0 \neq s \in Z(L)$  which  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(L)$ . Replacing  $r$  by  $2rs$  in Equation (3.4) and using  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ , we have

$$(F(r) \circ \alpha(p))s + F(r)[s, \alpha(p)] + \alpha(r)[d(s), \alpha(p)] + (\alpha(r) \circ \alpha(p))d(s) \in Z(R).$$

In this expression, using  $d(s) \in Z(R)$  from the Lemma 2.2 and Equation (3.4), we have

$$(\alpha(r) \circ \alpha(p))d(s) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

Hence, using  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(R)$  and Remark 2, we obtain

$$\alpha(r) \circ \alpha(p) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

Using the fact that  $\alpha$  is automorphism, we get  $\alpha(r \circ p) \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ . From the Remark 1, we obtain  $r \circ p \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ . Hence, from the Lemma 2.3 we get  $L \subseteq Z(R)$ .

**Theorem 3.4** *Let ring  $R$  be prime with  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ ,  $(0) \neq L$  be a square closed Lie ideal of  $R$  and  $0 \neq F: R \rightarrow R$  be a generalized  $\alpha$ -derivation associated with  $\alpha$ -derivation  $d$  such that  $Z(L) \cap d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$  and  $\alpha(L) \subseteq L$ . If one of the following conditions is satisfied, then  $L \subseteq Z(R)$ .*

- i.  $[F(r), F(p)] - [r, p] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ .
- ii.  $F(r) \circ F(p) - r \circ p \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ .
- iii.  $[F(r), F(p)] - r \circ p \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ .
- iv.  $F(r) \circ F(p) - [r, p] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ .

*Proof.* i. By assumption,

$$[F(r), F(p)] - [r, p] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L. \tag{3.5}$$

From  $Z(L) \cap d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$ , we take fixed element  $0 \neq s \in Z(L)$  which  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(L)$ . Also,  $s, d(s) \in Z(R)$  from the Lemma 2.2. Replacing  $p$  by  $2ps$  in Equation (3.5) and using  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ , we have

$$[F(r), F(p)]s + [F(r), \alpha(p)]d(s) - [r, p]s \in Z(R).$$

Using Equation (3.5), we obtain

$$[F(r), \alpha(p)]d(s) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

From Remark 2, we get

$$[F(r), \alpha(p)] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

So,  $L \subseteq Z(R)$  from Lemma 3.3.

ii. By assumption,

$$F(r) \circ F(p) - r \circ p \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L. \tag{3.6}$$

Since  $Z(L) \cap d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$ , we take fixed element  $0 \neq s \in Z(L)$  which  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(L)$ . Also,  $s, d(s) \in Z(R)$  from the Lemma 2.2. Replacing  $p$  by  $2ps$  in Equation (3.6) and using  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ , we obtain

$$(F(r) \circ F(p))s + (F(r) \circ \alpha(p))d(s) - (r \circ p)s \in Z(R).$$

Using Equation (3.6), we get

$$(F(r) \circ \alpha(p))d(s) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

From Remark 2, we have

$$F(r) \circ \alpha(p) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

So,  $L \subseteq Z(R)$  from Lemma 3.3.

iii. By assumption,

$$[F(r), F(p)] - r \circ p \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L. \quad (3.7)$$

From  $Z(L) \cap d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$ , we take fixed element  $0 \neq s \in Z(L)$  which  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(L)$ . Also,  $s, d(s) \in Z(R)$  from the Lemma 2.2. Replacing  $p$  by  $2ps$  in Equation (3.7) and using  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ , we have

$$[F(r), F(p)]s + [F(r), \alpha(p)]d(s) - (r \circ p)s \in Z(R).$$

Using Equation (3.7), we obtain

$$[F(r), \alpha(p)]d(s) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

From Remark 2, we get

$$[F(r), \alpha(p)] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

So,  $L \subseteq Z(R)$  from Lemma 3.3.

*iv.* By assumption,

$$F(r) \circ F(p) - [r, p] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L. \quad (3.8)$$

From  $Z(L) \cap d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$ , we take fixed element  $0 \neq s \in Z(L)$  which  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(L)$ . Also,  $s, d(s) \in Z(R)$  from the Lemma 2.2. Replacing  $p$  by  $2ps$  in Equation (3.8) and using  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ , we obtain

$$(F(r) \circ F(p))s + (F(r) \circ \alpha(p))d(s) - [r, p]s \in Z(R).$$

Using Equation (3.8), we get

$$(F(r) \circ \alpha(p))d(s) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

From Remark 2, we have

$$F(r) \circ \alpha(p) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

So,  $L \subseteq Z(R)$  from Lemma 3.3.

**Theorem 3.5** *Let ring  $R$  be prime with  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ ,  $(0) \neq L$  be a square closed Lie ideal of  $R$  and  $0 \neq F: R \rightarrow R$  be a generalized  $\alpha$ -derivation associated with  $\alpha$ -derivation  $d$  such that  $Z(L) \cap d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$  and  $\alpha(L) \subset L$ . If one of the following conditions is satisfied, then  $L \subseteq Z(R)$ .*

- i.  $F[r, p] - r \circ p \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ .
- ii.  $F(r \circ p) - [r, p] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ .
- iii.  $[F(r), \alpha(p)] - [r, p] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ .
- iv.  $F(r) \circ \alpha(p) - r \circ p \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ .

*Proof.* *i.* Let

$$F[r, p] - r \circ p \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L. \quad (3.9)$$

From  $Z(L) \cap d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$ , we take fixed element  $0 \neq s \in Z(L)$  which  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(L)$ . Also,  $s, d(s) \in Z(R)$  from the Lemma 2.2. Replacing  $p$  by  $2ps$  in Equation (3.9) and using  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ , we have

$$F([r, p])s + \alpha([r, p])d(s) - (r \circ p)s + p[r, s] \in Z(R).$$

In this expression, using  $s \in Z(R)$  and Equation (3.9), we have

$$\alpha([r, p])d(s) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

Hence, using  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(R)$  and Remark 2, we get

$$\alpha([r, p]) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

From the Remark 1, we obtain  $[r, p] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ . Hence, from the Lemma 2.3 we get  $L \subseteq Z(R)$ .

ii. Let

$$F(r \circ p) - [r, p] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L. \tag{3.10}$$

From  $Z(L) \cap d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$ , we take fixed element  $0 \neq s \in Z(L)$  which  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(L)$ . Also,  $s, d(s) \in Z(R)$  from the Lemma 2.2. Replacing  $p$  by  $2ps$  in Equation (3.10) and using  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ , we get

$$F(r \circ p)s + \alpha(r \circ p)d(s) - [r, p]s \in Z(R).$$

Using Equation (3.4), we obtain

$$\alpha(r \circ p)d(s) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

Hence, using  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(R)$  and Remark 2, we obtain

$$\alpha(r \circ p) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

From the Remark 1, we have  $r \circ p \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, y \in L$ . Hence, from the Lemma 2.3 we get  $L \subseteq Z(R)$ .

iii. Let

$$[F(r), \alpha(p)] - [r, p] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L. \tag{3.11}$$

From  $Z(L) \cap d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$ , we take fixed element  $0 \neq s \in Z(L)$  which  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(L)$ . Also,  $s, d(s) \in Z(R)$  from the Lemma 2.2. Replacing  $r$  by  $2rs$  in Equation (3.11) and using  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ , we have

$$[F(r), \alpha(p)]s + [\alpha(r), \alpha(p)]d(s) - [r, p]s \in Z(R).$$

From Equation (3.11), we obtain

$$[\alpha(r), \alpha(p)]d(s) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

Hence, using  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(R)$  and Remark 2, we get

$$[\alpha(r), \alpha(p)] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

Using the fact that  $\alpha$  is automorphism, we have  $\alpha([r, p]) \in Z(R)$ . From the Remark 1, we obtain  $[r, p] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ . Hence, from the Lemma 2.3 we get  $L \subseteq Z(R)$ .

iv. Let

$$F(r) \circ \alpha(p) - r \circ p \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L. \tag{3.12}$$

From  $Z(L) \cap d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$ , we take fixed element  $0 \neq s \in Z(L)$  which  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(L)$ . Also,  $s, d(s) \in Z(R)$  from the Lemma 2.2. Replacing  $r$  by  $2rs$  in Equation (3.12) and using  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ , we obtain

$$(F(r) \circ \alpha(p))s + (\alpha(r) \circ \alpha(p))d(s) - (r \circ p)s \in Z(R).$$

Using Equation (3.12), we have

$$(\alpha(r) \circ \alpha(p))d(s) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

Hence, using  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(R)$  and Remark 2, we get

$$\alpha(r) \circ \alpha(p) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

Using the fact that  $\alpha$  is automorphism, we get  $\alpha(r \circ p) \in Z(R)$ . From the Remark 1, we obtain  $r \circ p \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ . Hence, from the Lemma 2.3 we get  $L \subseteq Z(R)$ .

**Theorem 3.6** *Let ring  $R$  be prime with  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ ,  $(0) \neq L$  be a square closed Lie ideal of  $R$  and  $0 \neq F, G: R \rightarrow R$  are generalized  $\alpha$  – derivations associated with  $\alpha$  – derivations  $d$  and  $g$  respectively such that  $Z(L) \cap d(Z(L)) \neq (0), Z(L) \cap g(Z(L)) \neq (0)$  and  $\alpha(L) \subset L$ . If one of the following conditions is satisfy, then  $L \subseteq Z(R)$ .*

i.  $[F(r), G(p)] - [r, p] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ .

ii.  $F[r, p] - [p, G(r)] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ .

iii.  $F(r \circ p) - p \circ G(r) \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ .

*Proof.* i) For all  $r, p \in L$ , let

$$[F(r), G(p)] - [r, p] \in Z(R). \tag{3.13}$$

By hypothesis,  $Z(L) \cap g(Z(L)) \neq (0)$ . Then, we take fixed element  $0 \neq s \in Z(L)$  which  $0 \neq g(s) \in Z(L)$ . Also,  $s, g(s) \in Z(R)$  from the Lemma 2.2. Replacing  $p$  by  $2ps$  in Equation (3.13) and using  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ , we get

$$[F(r), G(p)]s + [F(r), \alpha(p)]g(s) - [r, p]s \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

From Equation (3.13), we obtain

$$[F(r), \alpha(p)]g(s) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

In this expression, using  $0 \neq g(s) \in Z(R)$  and Remark 2, we have

$$[F(r), \alpha(p)] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

From the Lemma 3.3, we get  $L \subseteq Z(R)$ .

ii) For all  $r, p \in L$ , let

$$F[r, p] - [p, G(r)] \in Z(R). \tag{3.14}$$

By hypothesis,  $Z(L) \cap d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$ . Then, we take fixed element  $0 \neq s \in Z(L)$  which  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(L)$ . Also,  $s, d(s) \in Z(R)$  from the Lemma 2.2. Replacing  $p$  by  $2ps$  in Equation (3.14) and using  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ , we get

$$F([r, p])s + \alpha([r, p])d(s) - [p, G(r)]s \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

From Equation (3.14), we obtain

$$\alpha([r, p])d(s) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

In this expression, using  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(R)$  and Remark 2, we get

$$\alpha([r, p]) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

From the Remark 1, we obtain  $[r, p] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ . Hence, from the Lemma 2.3 we get  $L \subseteq Z(R)$ .

iii) For all  $r, y \in L$ , let

$$F(r \circ p) - p \circ G(r) \in Z(R). \tag{3.15}$$

By hypothesis,  $Z(L) \cap d(Z(L)) \neq (0)$ . Then, we take fixed element  $0 \neq s \in Z(L)$  which  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(L)$ . Also,  $s, d(s) \in Z(R)$  from the Lemma 2.2. Replacing  $p$  by  $2ps$  in Equation (3.15) and using  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ , we have

$$F(r \circ p)s + \alpha(r \circ p)d(s) - (p \circ G(r))s \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

From Equation (3.15), we get

$$\alpha(r \circ p)d(s) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

In this expression, using  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(R)$  and Remark 2, we have

$$\alpha(r \circ p) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

From the Remark 1, we obtain  $r \circ p \in Z(R)$  for all  $r, p \in L$ . Hence, from the Lemma 2.3 we get  $L \subseteq Z(R)$ .

**Theorem 3.7** *Let ring  $R$  be prime with  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ ,  $(0) \neq L$  be a square closed Lie ideal of  $R$  and  $0 \neq F, G: R \rightarrow R$  are generalized  $\alpha$ -derivations associated with  $\alpha$ -derivations  $d$  and  $g$  respectively such that  $\{s \in Z(L) | 0 \neq d(s), 0 \neq g(s) \in Z(L), d(s) \neq \mp g(s)\} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\alpha(L) \subset L$ . If one of the following conditions is satisfied, then  $L \subseteq Z(R)$ .*

i.  $[F(r), r] - [r, G(r)] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r \in L$ .

ii.  $F(r) \circ r - r \circ G(r) \in Z(R)$  for all  $r \in L$ .

*Proof.* i. Let  $[F(r), r] - [r, G(r)] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r \in L$ . Replacing  $r$  by  $r + p$  for any  $p \in L$ , we get

$$[F(r), p] + [F(p), r] - [r, G(p)] - [p, G(r)] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L \tag{3.16}$$

From  $\{s \in Z(L) | 0 \neq d(s), 0 \neq g(s) \in Z(L)\} \neq \emptyset$ , we take fixed element  $0 \neq s \in Z(L)$  which  $0 \neq d(s), 0 \neq g(s) \in Z(L) \subset Z(R)$ . Replacing  $p$  by  $2ps$  in Equation (3.16) and using  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ , we get

$$[F(r), p]s + [F(p), r]s + [\alpha(p), r]d(s) - s - [r, \alpha(p)]g(s) - [p, G(r)]s \in Z(R)$$

From Equation (3.16), we have

$$[\alpha(p), r]d(s) - [r, \alpha(p)]g(s) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L$$

Using equation  $-[r, \alpha(p)] = [\alpha(p), r]$ , we get

$$[\alpha(p), r](d(s) + g(s)) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L$$

Hence, using  $0 \neq d(s), 0 \neq g(s) \in Z(R)$  and Remark 2, we obtain

$$[\alpha(p), r] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

Using same process in Theorem 3.1, we get  $R$  is commutative.

ii. Let  $F(r) \circ r - r \circ G(r) \in Z(R)$  for all  $r \in L$ . Replacing  $r$  by  $r + p$  for any  $p \in L$ , we get

$$F(r) \circ p + F(p) \circ r - r \circ G(p) - p \circ G(r) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L \tag{3.17}$$

From  $\{s \in Z(L) | 0 \neq d(s), 0 \neq g(s) \in Z(L)\} \neq \emptyset$ , we take fixed element  $0 \neq s \in Z(L)$  which  $0 \neq d(s), 0 \neq g(s) \in Z(L) \subset Z(R)$ . Replacing  $p$  by  $2ps$  in Equation (3.17) and using  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ , we get

$$(F(r) \circ p)s + (F(p) \circ r)s + (\alpha(p) \circ r)d(s) - (r \circ G(p))s - (r \circ \alpha(p))g(s) - (p \circ G(r))s \in Z(R)$$



From Equation (3.17), we have

$$(\alpha(p) \circ r)d(s) - (r \circ \alpha(p))g(s) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L$$

Using equation  $r \circ \alpha(p) = \alpha(p) \circ r$ , we get

$$(\alpha(p) \circ r)(d(s) - g(s)) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L$$

Hence, using  $0 \neq d(s), 0 \neq g(s) \in Z(R)$  and Remark 2, we obtain

$$\alpha(p) \circ r \in Z(R) \text{ for all } r, p \in L.$$

Using same process in Theorem 3.1, we get  $R$  is commutative.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. Argaç, "On near-rings with two-sided  $\alpha$ -derivations", *Turk. J. Math.*, vol. 28, pp. 195–204, 2004.
- [2] O. Atay, N. Aydın and B. Albayrak, "Generalized reverse derivations on closed Lie ideals", *Journal of Scientific Perspectives*, vol. 2(3), pp. 61-74, 2018.
- [3] M. Bresar, "On the distance of the composition of two derivations to the generalized derivations", *Glaskow Math. J.*, vol. 33, pp. 89-93, 1991.
- [4] J. C. Chang, "Right generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$  - derivations having power central values", *Taiwanese J. Math.*, vol. 13(4), pp. 1111-1120, 2009.
- [5] N. Rehman, "On commutativity of Rings with Generalized Derivations", *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, vol. 44, pp. 43-49, 2002.
- [6] N. Rehman, H. Motoshi and R. M. Al-Omary, "Centralizing Mappings, Morita Context and Generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$  - derivations", *J. Taibah Univ. Sci.*, vol. 8(4), pp. 370-374, 2014.