

LINEAR CONNECTIONS ON MANIFOLD ADMITTING $F(2K + 5, 5)$ -STRUCTURE

ABHISHEK SINGH AND ABHIRAM SHUKLA

ABSTRACT. D. Demetropoulou [2] and others have studied linear connections in the manifold admitting $f(2\nu+3, -1)$ -structure. The aim of the present paper is to study some properties of linear connections in a manifold admitting $F(2K + 5, 5)$ -structure. Certain interesting results have been obtained.

1. Preliminaries

Let F be a non-zero tensor field of the type $(1, 1)$ and of class C^∞ on an n -dimensional manifold M^n such that [5, 8]

$$F^{2K+5} + F^5 = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where K is a fixed positive integer greater than or equal to 1. The rank of $(F) = r = \text{constant}$.

Let us define the operators on M as follows [5, 8]

$$l = -F^{2K}, \quad m = I + F^{2K} \quad (1.2)$$

where I denotes the identity operator.

We will state the following two theorems [5]

Theorem 1.1. *Let M^n be an F -structure manifold satisfying (1.1), then*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a. \quad l + m = I, \\ b. \quad l^2 = l, \\ c. \quad m^2 = m, \\ d. \quad lm = ml = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (1.3)$$

Key words and phrases. Linear connection, projection, geodesic, parallelism.

Thus for $(1, 1)$ tensor field $F(\neq 0)$ satisfying (1.1), there exist complementary distributions D_l and D_m corresponding to the projection operators l and m respectively. Then, $\dim D_l = r$ and $\dim D_m = (n - r)$.

Theorem 1.2. *We have*

$$\begin{cases} a. & lF = Fl = F, & mF = Fm = 0. \\ b. & F^{2K}m = 0, & F^{2K}l = -l. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

Thus F^K acts on D_l as an almost complex structure and on D_m as a null operator.

Let us define the operators $\bar{\nabla}$ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ on M^n in terms of an arbitrary connections ∇ as under

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = l\nabla_X(mY) + m\nabla_X(lY) \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = l\nabla_{lX}(mY) + m\nabla_{mX}(lY) + l[lX, mY] + m[mX, lY] \quad (1.6)$$

Then it is easy to show that $\bar{\nabla}$ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ are linear connections on the manifold M^n [2]

2. Distributions anti-parallelism and anti-half parallelism

In this section, first we have the following definitions:

Definition 2.1. *Let us call the distribution D_L as ∇ -anti parallel if for all $X \in D_L$ and $Y \in TM^n$ denotes the tangent bundle of the manifold M^n .*

Definition 2.2. *The distribution D_L will be called ∇ anti-half parallel if for all $X \in D_L$ and $Y \in TM^n$, the vector field $\nabla_Y X \in D_M$, where*

$$(\Delta F)(X, Y) = F\nabla_X Y - F\nabla_Y X - \nabla_{FX} Y + \nabla_Y FX \quad (2.1)$$

F being a $(1, 1)$ tensor field on M^n satisfying the equation (1.1).

In a similar manner, anti-half parallelism of the distribution D_M can also be defined.

Theorem 2.3. *In the $F(2K + 5, 5)$ -structure manifold M^n , the distribution D_L and D_M are anti-parallel with respect to connections $\bar{\nabla}$ and $\tilde{\nabla}$.*

Proof. Let $X \in TM^n$ and $Y \in D_L$, therefore $mY = 0$. Hence in view of equation (1.5), we get

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = m\nabla_X(lY) \in D_M.$$

Hence the distribution D_L is anti-half parallel with respect to the linear connection $\bar{\nabla}$. Similarly, it can also be shown that D_M is also $\bar{\nabla}$ is also anti-parallel.

Again in view of the equation (1.5), taking $mY = 0$, we obtain

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = m\nabla_{mX}(lY) + m[mX, lY] \in D_M. \quad (2.2)$$

Thus the distribution D_L is anti-parallel with respect to the linear connection $\tilde{\nabla}$. A similar result for D_M can also be proved in a similar manner. ■

Theorem 2.4. *In the $F(2K + 5, 5)$ -structure manifold M^n , the distribution D_L and D_M are anti-parallel with respect to connection ∇ if and only if ∇ and $\bar{\nabla}$ are equal.*

Proof. Since the distributions D_L and D_M are anti-parallel with respect to the ∇ , hence

$$l\nabla_X(lY) = m\nabla_X(mY) = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

for the vector fields $X, Y \in TM^n$.

Since $l + m = I$, hence in view of equation (2.3), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X(lY) &= m\nabla_X(lY), \\ \nabla_X(mY) &= l\nabla_X(mY) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Thus in view of the equations (1.5) and (2.4), it follows that

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y.$$

Hence, the connections ∇ and $\bar{\nabla}$ are equal.

The converse can also be proved easily. ■

Theorem 2.5. *In a $F(2K + 5, 5)$ -structure manifold M^n , the distribution D_M is anti-half parallel with respect to connection $\bar{\nabla}$ if*

$$m\nabla_{FX}(lY) = m\bar{\nabla}_Y(FX), \quad (2.5)$$

for arbitrary $X \in D_M$ and $Y \in TM^n$.

Proof. Since $mF = Fm = 0$, hence in view of the equation (2.1), we get for the connection $\bar{\nabla}$

$$m(\Delta F)(X, Y) = m\bar{\nabla}_Y(FX) - m\nabla_{FX}(Y). \quad (2.6)$$

By virtue of the equation (1.5), the above equation (2.6) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} m(\Delta F)(X, Y) &= m\{l\bar{\nabla}_Y(mFX) + m\bar{\nabla}_Y(lFX)\} \\ &- m\{l\nabla_{FX}(mY) + m\nabla_{FX}(lY)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Since, $ml = lm = 0$; $Fl = lF = F$ and m is the projection operator, the above equation (2.7) takes the form,

$$m(\Delta F)(X, Y) = m\bar{\nabla}_Y(FX) - m\nabla_{FX}(lY). \quad (2.8)$$

Since the distribution D_M is $\bar{\nabla}$ anti-half parallel so far all $X \in D_M$, $Y \in TM^n$,

$$m(\Delta F)(X, Y) \in D_L.$$

Thus,

$$m\bar{\nabla}_Y(FX) = m\nabla_{FX}(lY).$$

Hence, the theorem is proved. ■

Theorem 2.6. *In the manifold M^n equipped with $F(2K+5, 5)$ -structure, the distribution D_L is anti-half parallel with respect to the connection $\bar{\nabla}$ if*

$$F\nabla_X(lY) = l\nabla_{FX}(mY),$$

for arbitrary $X \in D_L$ and $Y \in TM^n$.

Proof. Proof follows easily in a way similar to that of the theorem 2.5. ■

Theorem 2.7. *In the $F(2K+5, 5)$ -structure manifold M^n , the distribution D_M is anti-half parallel with respect to the connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ if for $X \in D_M$ and $Y \in TM^n$ the equation*

$$m\nabla_{mY}(FX) + m[mY, FX] = 0$$

is satisfied.

Proof. For $X \in D_M$ and $Y \in TM^n$, we have for the connection $\tilde{\nabla}$

$$(\Delta F)(X, Y) = F\tilde{\nabla}_X Y - F\tilde{\nabla}_Y X - \tilde{\nabla}_{FX} Y + \tilde{\nabla}_Y FX. \quad (2.9)$$

As $Fm = mF = 0$, hence from the above equation (2.9), it follows that

$$m(\Delta F)(X, Y) = m\tilde{\nabla}_Y FX - m\tilde{\nabla}_{FX} Y. \quad (2.10)$$

In view of the equation (1.4) and (1.6), it is easy to show that

$$m\tilde{\nabla}_{FX} Y = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$m\tilde{\nabla}_Y FX = m\nabla_{mY}(FX) + m[mY, FX]. \quad (2.12)$$

Thus, we get

$$m(\Delta F)(X, Y) = m\nabla_{mY}(FX) + m[mY, FX]. \quad (2.13)$$

The distribution D_M will be $\tilde{\nabla}$ anti-half parallel if $X \in D_M, Y \in TM^n$, the vector field $(\Delta F)(X, Y) \in D_L$. Thus,

$$m(\Delta F)(X, Y) = 0$$

i.e.,

$$m\nabla_{mY}(FX) + m[mY, FX] = 0.$$

Hence, the theorem is proved. ■

3. Geodesic in the manifold M^n

Let C be a curve in M^n , T a tangent field and ∇ arbitrary connection on M^n . Then, we have

Definition 3.1. *The curve C is a geodesic with respect to the connection ∇ if $\nabla_T T = 0$ along C .*

Applying the definition for the connection $\bar{\nabla}$ and $\tilde{\nabla}$, we have the following results in the $F(2K + 5, 5)$ -structure manifold M^n .

Theorem 3.2. *A curve C is a geodesic in the manifold M^n with respect to the connection ∇ if the vector fields*

$$\nabla_T T - \nabla_T(lT) \in D_M \text{ and } \nabla_T(lT) \in D_L.$$

Proof. The curve C will be $\bar{\nabla}$ geodesic if $\bar{\nabla}_T T = 0$.

In view of the equation (1.5), the above equation takes the form

$$l\nabla_T(I - l)T + m\nabla_T(lT) = 0$$

or equivalently

$$l\nabla_T T - l\nabla_T(lT) + m\nabla_T(lT) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$\nabla_T T - \nabla_T(lT) \in D_M \text{ and } \nabla_T(lT) \in D_L.$$

This proves the theorem. ■

Theorem 3.3. *A curve C is a geodesic in the manifold M^n with respect to the connection ∇ if*

$$\nabla_{lT} T - \nabla_{lT}(lT) + [lT, mT] \in D_M \text{ and } \nabla_{mT}(lT) + [mT, lT] \in D_L.$$

Proof. Using definition of ∇ from the equation (1.6), proof follows easily as of theorem 3.2. ■

Theorem 3.4. *The (1,1) tensor field l is covariant constant with respect to the connection $\bar{\nabla}$ if*

$$m\nabla_X(lY) = l\nabla_X(mY) \tag{3.1}$$

but the tensor field m is always covariant constant.

Proof. We have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X l)Y = \bar{\nabla}_X(lY) - l\bar{\nabla}_X Y \quad (3.2)$$

In view of equation (1.5), the above equation takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_X l)Y &= l\nabla_X(mY) + m\nabla_X(lY) \\ &- l\{l\nabla_X(mY) + m\nabla_X(lY)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Since $l^2 = l$ and $lm = ml = 0$, the equation (3.3) takes the form

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X l)Y = m\nabla_X(lY) - l\nabla_X(mY). \quad (3.4)$$

The $(1, 1)$ tensor field l is covariant with respect to the connection $\bar{\nabla}$ if

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X l)Y = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Hence in view of the equation (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$m\nabla_X(lY) = l\nabla_X(mY).$$

This proves the first part of the theorem.

Again it can be easily shown that

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X m)Y = 0,$$

for all vector fields $X, Y \in TM^n$. Thus, the tensor field m is always covariant constant. ■

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Prof. Ram Nivas, Head of the Department of Mathematics and Astronomy, Lucknow University, for his guidance in the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] **Demetropoulou-Psomopoulou, D. and Gouli-Andreou, F.**, *On necessary and sufficient conditions for an n -dimensional manifold to admit a tensor field $f(\neq 0)$ of type $(1, 1)$ satisfying $f^{2\nu+3} + f = 0$* , Tensor, N.S., vol. 42, 252-257 (1985).
- [2] **Demetropoulou-Psomopoulou, D.**, *Linear connections on manifold admitting $f(2\nu + 3, 1)$ -structure*, Tensor, N.S., vol. 47, 235-239 (1988).
- [3] **Mishra, R.S.** *Structures on a differentiable manifold and their applications*; Chandrama Prakashan, 50- A, Balrampur House, Allahabad, India (1984).
- [4] **Yano, K.**, *On structure defined by a tensor field f of type $(1,1)$ satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$* . Tensor, N. S., 14, 99-109 (1963).
- [5] **Singh, A.**, *On CR-structures and F -structure satisfying $F^{2K+P} + F^P = 0$* , Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, Vol. 4, no. 21, 2009.
- [6] **Yano, K. and Kon, M.**, *Structures on manifold*, World Scientific Press 1984.
- [7] **Goldberg, S.I.**, *On the existence of manifold with an f -structure*, Tensor, N. S. 26, 323-329 (1972).

- [8] **Nikkie, J.**, *F*($2k + 1, 1$)-structure on the Lagrangian space FILOMAT (Nis), 161-167 (1995).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BBDNITM, LUCKNOW-226007 (INDIA).
E-mail address: `abhi.rmlau@gmail.com`