

On Relative Order of Composite Function with respect to a Composite Function

Dibyendu Banerjee¹ And Mithun Adhikary²

Department of Mathematics, Visva-Bharati
Santiniketan- 731235, India

ABSTRACT

In this paper we prove some results on relative order of composite function with respect to a composite function formed with entire and meromorphic functions.

Keywords: Entire function, Meromorphic function, Relative order, Composite function.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 30D35.

I. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

The Maximum modulus of an entire function $f(z)$ is defined by

$$M_f(r) = \max\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\}.$$

If f is non-constant then $M_f(r)$ is strictly increasing and continuous function of r and its inverse

$$M_f^{-1} : (|f(0)|, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$$

exists and is such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} M_f(r) = \infty.$$

Definition 1.1 The order of an entire function $f(z)$ is defined as

$$\rho_f = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M_f(r)}{\log r}.$$

Definition 1.2 ([4]) If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are two entire functions then the relative order of $f(z)$ with respect to $g(z)$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_g(f) &= \inf\{\mu > 0 : M_f(r) < M_g(r^\mu) \text{ for all } r > r_0(\mu) > 0\} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1} M_f(r)}{\log r}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.3 ([7]) The relative order of a meromorphic function $f(z)$ with respect to an entire function $g(z)$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_g(f) &= \inf\{\lambda > 0 : T_f(r) < T_g(r^\lambda) \text{ for all } r > r_0(\lambda) > 0\} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T_g^{-1} T_f(r)}{\log r}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.4([1]) The relative order of a meromorphic function $f(z)$ with respect to another meromorphic function $g(z)$ is defined as

$$\rho_g(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T_f(r)}{\log T_g(r)}.$$

II. LEMMAS

In this section we present some lemmas which will be needed in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1([6]) Let g be an entire function. Then for all large values of r

$$T_g(r) \leq \log M_g(r) \leq 3T_g(2r).$$

Lemma 2.2([12]) Let f and g be two entire functions. Then for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity

$$T_{f \circ g}(r) \geq \frac{1}{3} \log M_f\left(\frac{1}{9} M_g\left(\frac{r}{4}\right)\right).$$

Lemma 2.3([5]) Let f and g be two entire functions. Then for all sufficiently large values of r

$$M_f\left(\frac{1}{8} M_g\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) - |g(0)|\right) \leq M_{f \circ g}(r) \leq M_f(M_g(r)).$$

Lemma 2.4([8]) Let f be a meromorphic function and g be an entire function with $0 < \mu < \rho_g < \infty$ and $\lambda_f > 0$. Then for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity

$$T_{f \circ g}(r) \geq T_g(\exp(r)^\mu).$$

Lemma 2.5 ([3]) Let f be a meromorphic function and g be an entire function with $0 < \mu < \rho_g \leq \infty$. Then for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity

$$T_{f \circ g}(r) \geq T_f(\exp(r)^\mu).$$

Lemma 2.6 ([4]) Let f be a meromorphic function and g be an entire function then for all large values of r

$$T_{f \circ g}(r) \leq \{1 + o(1)\} \frac{T_g(r)}{\log M_g(r)} T_f(M_g(r)).$$

Lemma 2.7([9]) Let f and g be two entire functions. If $M_g(r) > \frac{2+\delta}{\delta} |g(0)|$ for any $\delta > 0$, then

$$T_{f \circ g}(r) < (1 + \delta) T_f(M_g(r)).$$

In particular if $g(0) = 0$ then

$$T_{f \circ g}(r) < T_f(M_g(r))$$

for all $r > 0$.

Lemma 2.8 Let f be a meromorphic function and g be an entire function with $0 \leq \rho_g < \mu < \infty$.

Then for all large values of r

$$T_{f \circ g}(r) \leq \{1 + o(1)\} T_f(\exp(r)^\mu).$$

Proof: From Lemma 2.6

$$T_{f \circ g}(r) \leq \{1 + o(1)\} \frac{T_g(r)}{\log M_g(r)} T_f(M_g(r)). \quad (2.1)$$

Now from the definition of order of g we have for any $\delta > 0$ and large r

$$\log M_g(r) < r^{\rho_g + \delta}$$

$$\text{i.e, } M_g(r) < \exp r^{\rho_g + \delta} < \exp r^\mu \text{ when } \mu > \rho_g. \text{ (2.2)}$$

So using Lemma 2.1 we have from (2.1) and (2.2)

$$T_{f \circ g}(r) \leq \{1 + O(1)\} T_f(\exp(r)^\mu).$$

III. MAIN THEOREMS

In this section we present the main results of the paper.

Theorem 3.1 Let f_1, f_2, h_1 and h_2 be four entire functions of respective finite orders and g be a polynomial of degree m . Then the relative order of $h_1 \circ h_2$ with respect to $f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g$ satisfies the inequality

$$\rho_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(h_1 \circ h_2) \geq \frac{\lambda_{h_2}}{m \rho_{f_2}}$$

and further when $|h_2(0)| = 0$,

$$\rho_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(h_1 \circ h_2) \leq \frac{\rho_{h_2}}{m \lambda_{f_2}}.$$

Proof: We have by the definition of order for any $\delta > 0$ there exists $r_0(\delta) > 0$ such that

$$M_{f_1}(r) \leq \exp\{r^{\rho_{f_1} + \delta}\} \text{ for all } r > r_0(\delta). \tag{3.1}$$

$$\text{i.e, } M_{f_1}^{-1}(r) > \exp\left\{\frac{1}{\rho_{f_1} + \delta} \log^{[2]} r\right\}. \tag{3.2}$$

Similarly

$$M_{f_2}^{-1}(r) > \exp\left\{\frac{1}{\rho_{f_2} + \delta} \log^{[2]} r\right\}. \tag{3.3}$$

Again for arbitrary $\delta > 0$ and for all large values of r

$$M_{h_1}(r) > \exp\{r^{\lambda_{h_1} - \delta}\} \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$M_{h_2}(r) > \exp\{r^{\lambda_{h_2} - \delta}\}. \tag{3.5}$$

Let $g(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \dots + a_m z^m$.

Then for any $\delta > 0$ there exists $r_1(\delta) > 0$ such that

$$|a_m| r^m (1 - \delta) < M_g(r) < |a_m| r^m (1 + \delta) \text{ for all } r > r_1. \tag{3.6}$$

So

$$M_g^{-1}(r) > \left\{ \frac{r}{|a_m|(1+\delta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{m}} \text{ and } M_g^{-1}(r) < \left\{ \frac{r}{|a_m|(1-\delta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{m}}. \tag{3.7}$$

Again from the Lemma 2.3 we get

$$M_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(r) < M_{f_1}(M_{f_2}(M_g(r)))$$

i.e, $M_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}^{-1}(r) > M_g^{-1}(M_{f_2}^{-1}(M_{f_1}^{-1}(r))).$ (3.8)

Also by Lemma 2.3 for two entire functions h_1 and h_2 with $|h_2(0)| = 0$ we have

$$M_{h_1 \circ h_2}(r) \geq M_{h_1} \left(\frac{1}{8} M_{h_2} \left(\frac{r}{2} \right) \right). \tag{3.9}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(h_1 \circ h_2) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}^{-1}(M_{h_1 \circ h_2}(r))}{\log r} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1}(M_{f_2}^{-1}(M_{f_1}^{-1}(M_{h_1 \circ h_2}(r))))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.8)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1}(M_{f_2}^{-1}(M_{f_1}^{-1}(M_{h_1}(\frac{1}{8} M_{h_2}(\frac{r}{2}))))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.9)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1}(M_{f_2}^{-1}(M_{f_1}^{-1}(M_{h_1}(\frac{1}{8} \exp(\frac{r}{2})^{\lambda_{h_2}-\delta}))))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.5)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1}(M_{f_2}^{-1}(M_{f_1}^{-1}(\exp[\frac{1}{8} \exp(\frac{r}{2})^{\lambda_{h_2}-\delta}]^{\lambda_{h_1}-\delta})))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.4)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1}(M_{f_2}^{-1}(\exp\{\frac{1}{\rho_{f_1}+\delta} \log^{[2]}(\exp[\frac{1}{8} \exp(\frac{r}{2})^{\lambda_{h_2}-\delta}]^{\lambda_{h_1}-\delta})\}))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.2)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1}(M_{f_2}^{-1}(\exp\{\frac{\lambda_{h_1}-\delta}{\rho_{f_1}+\delta} (\frac{r}{2})^{\lambda_{h_2}-\delta}\})) + O(1)}{\log r} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1}(\exp\{\frac{1}{\rho_{f_2}+\delta} \log^{[2]}(\exp\{\frac{\lambda_{h_1}-\delta}{\rho_{f_1}+\delta} (\frac{r}{2})^{\lambda_{h_2}-\delta}\})\}) + O(1)}{\log r} \text{ from (3.3)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1}(\exp\{\frac{\lambda_{h_2}-\delta}{\rho_{f_2}+\delta} \log(\frac{r}{2})\}) + O(1)}{\log r} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1}((\frac{r}{2})^{\frac{\lambda_{h_2}-\delta}{\rho_{f_2}+\delta}}) + O(1)}{\log r} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left\{ \frac{\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{\rho_{f_2} + \delta}}{|a_m|(1+\delta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{m}} + O(1)}{\log r} \text{ from (3.7)} \\
 & \geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{m} \log \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{\rho_{f_2} + \delta} - \log |a_m|(1+\delta) + O(1)}{\log r} \\
 & \geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{m} \frac{\lambda_{h_2} - \delta}{\rho_{f_2} + \delta} \log \left(\frac{r}{2}\right) - \log |a_m|(1+\delta) + O(1)}{\log r} \\
 & \geq \frac{1}{m} \frac{\lambda_{h_2} - \delta}{\rho_{f_2} + \delta} \\
 & \geq \frac{1}{m} \frac{\lambda_{h_2}}{\rho_{f_2}}, \text{ since } \delta > 0 \text{ is arbitrary.}
 \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.3, for all sufficiently large values of r

$$M_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(r) \geq M_{f_1 \circ f_2} \left(\frac{1}{9} M_g \left(\frac{r}{2} \right) \right) \geq M_{f_1} \left(\frac{1}{9} M_{f_2} \left(\frac{1}{18} \left(M_g \left(\frac{r}{2} \right) \right) \right) \right) \tag{3.10}$$

$$\text{i.e., } M_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}^{-1}(r) \leq 2M_g^{-1}(18M_{f_2}^{-1}(9M_{f_1}^{-1}(r))). \tag{3.11}$$

Also for all sufficiently large values of r we get from Lemma 2.3

$$M_{h_1 \circ h_2}(r) \leq M_{h_1}(M_{h_2}(r)). \tag{3.12}$$

Again for sufficiently large values of r

$$M_{h_1}(r) \leq \exp\{r^{\rho_{h_1} + \delta}\} \text{ and } M_{h_2}(r) \leq \exp\{r^{\rho_{h_2} + \delta}\} \tag{3.13}$$

and

$$M_{f_1}^{-1}(r) < \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_{f_1} - \delta} \log^{[2]} r \right\} \text{ and } M_{f_2}^{-1}(r) < \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_{f_2} - \delta} \log^{[2]} r \right\}. \tag{3.14}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(h_1 \circ h_2) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}^{-1}(M_{h_1 \circ h_2}(r))}{\log r} \\
 &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(2M_g^{-1}(18M_{f_2}^{-1}(9M_{f_1}^{-1}(M_{h_1 \circ h_2}(r))))))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.11)} \\
 &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(2M_g^{-1}(18M_{f_2}^{-1}(9M_{f_1}^{-1}(M_{h_1}(M_{h_2}(r))))))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.12)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(2M_g^{-1}(18M_{f_2}^{-1}(9M_{f_1}^{-1}(M_{h_1}(\exp\{r^{\rho_{h_2}+\delta}\}))))))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.13)} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(2M_g^{-1}(18M_{f_2}^{-1}(9M_{f_1}^{-1}(\exp[\exp\{r^{\rho_{h_2}+\delta}\}]^{\rho_{h_1}+\delta}))))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.13)} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(2M_g^{-1}(18M_{f_2}^{-1}(9\exp\{\frac{1}{\lambda_{f_1}-\delta} \log^{[2]}(\exp[\exp\{r^{\rho_{h_2}+\delta}\}]^{\rho_{h_1}+\delta}\}))))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.14)} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(2M_g^{-1}(18M_{f_2}^{-1}(9\exp\{\frac{\rho_{h_1}+\delta}{\lambda_{f_1}-\delta} r^{\rho_{h_2}+\delta}\}))))}{\log r} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(2M_g^{-1}(18\exp\{\frac{1}{\lambda_{f_2}-\delta} \log^{[2]}(9\exp\{\frac{\rho_{h_1}+\delta}{\lambda_{f_1}-\delta} r^{\rho_{h_2}+\delta}\}\})))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.14)} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(2M_g^{-1}(18\exp\{\frac{1}{\lambda_{f_2}-\delta} \log[\frac{\rho_{h_1}+\delta}{\lambda_{f_1}-\delta} r^{\rho_{h_2}+\delta}]\}))) + O(1)}{\log r} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(2M_g^{-1}(18r^{\frac{\rho_{h_2}+\delta}{\lambda_{f_2}-\delta}})) + O(1)}{\log r} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log\{\frac{18r^{\frac{\rho_{h_2}+\delta}{\lambda_{f_2}-\delta}}}{|a_m|(1-\delta)}\}^m + O(1)}{\log r} \text{ from (3.7)} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{m} \log r^{\frac{\rho_{h_2}+\delta}{\lambda_{f_2}-\delta}} + O(1)}{\log r} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m} \frac{\rho_{h_2}}{\lambda_{f_2}}, \text{ since } \delta > 0 \text{ is arbitrary.} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.2 Let f_1, f_2, h_1 and h_2 be four entire functions of respective finite orders such that $\rho_{f_2} \neq 0$ and g_1, g_2 be two polynomials of degree m_1, m_2 respectively such that $|g_2(0)| = 0$. Then the relative order of $h_1 \circ h_2 \circ g_2$ with respect to $f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g_1$ satisfies the inequality

$$\rho_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g_1}(h_1 \circ h_2 \circ g_2) \geq \frac{m_2}{m_1} \frac{\lambda_{h_2}}{\rho_{f_2}}$$

and

$$\rho_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g_1}(h_1 \circ h_2 \circ g_2) \leq \frac{m_2}{m_1} \frac{\rho_{h_2}}{\lambda_{f_2}}.$$

Proof: Let $g_1(z) = a_0 + a_1z + a_2z^2 + \dots + a_{m_1}z^{m_1}$

and

$$g_2(z) = b_0 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \dots + b_{m_2}z^{m_2}$$

be two polynomials of degree m_1, m_2 respectively. By the definition of relative order of an entire function with respect to another entire function we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g_1}(h_1 \circ h_2 \circ g_2) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g_1}^{-1}(M_{h_1 \circ h_2 \circ g_2}(r))}{\log r} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_{g_1}^{-1}(M_{f_2}^{-1}(M_{f_1}^{-1}(M_{h_1 \circ h_2 \circ g_2}(r))))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.8)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_{g_1}^{-1}(M_{f_2}^{-1}(M_{f_1}^{-1}(M_{h_1}(\frac{1}{9}M_{h_2}(\frac{1}{18}M_{g_2}(\frac{r}{2}))))))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.10)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_{g_1}^{-1}(M_{f_2}^{-1}(M_{f_1}^{-1}(M_{h_1}(\frac{1}{9}M_{h_2}(\frac{1}{18}|b_m|(1-\delta)(\frac{r}{2})^{m_2}))))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.6)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_{g_1}^{-1}(M_{f_2}^{-1}(M_{f_1}^{-1}(M_{h_1}(\frac{1}{9}\exp(\frac{1}{18}|b_m|(1-\delta)(\frac{r}{2})^{m_2})^{\lambda_{h_2}-\delta}))))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.5)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_{g_1}^{-1}(M_{f_2}^{-1}(M_{f_1}^{-1}(\exp[\frac{1}{9}\exp(\frac{1}{18}|b_m|(1-\delta)(\frac{r}{2})^{m_2})^{\lambda_{h_2}-\delta}]^{\lambda_{h_1}-\delta}))))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.4)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_{g_1}^{-1}(M_{f_2}^{-1}(\exp\{\frac{\lambda_{h_1}-\delta}{\rho_{f_1}+\delta}\log(\frac{1}{9}\exp(\frac{1}{18}|b_m|(1-\delta)(\frac{r}{2})^{m_2})^{\lambda_{h_2}-\delta}\})))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.2)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_{g_1}^{-1}(M_{f_2}^{-1}(\exp\{\frac{\lambda_{h_1}-\delta}{\rho_{f_1}+\delta}(\frac{|b_m|}{18})(1-\delta)(\frac{r}{2})^{m_2}\}^{\lambda_{h_2}-\delta})) + O(1)}{\log r} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_{g_1}^{-1}(\exp(\frac{1}{\rho_{f_2}+\delta}\log^{[2]}(\exp\{\frac{\lambda_{h_1}-\delta}{\rho_{f_1}+\delta}(\frac{|b_m|}{18})(1-\delta)(\frac{r}{2})^{m_2}\}^{\lambda_{h_2}-\delta}))) + O(1)}{\log r} \text{ from (3.3)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \log M_{g_1}^{-1}(\exp\{\frac{\lambda_{h_2} - \delta}{\rho_{f_2} + \delta} \log(\{\frac{\lambda_{h_1} - \delta}{\rho_{f_1} + \delta} (\frac{|b_m|}{18})(1 - \delta)(\frac{r}{2})^{m_2}\})\}) + O(1) \\ \geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} & \frac{\log M_{g_1}^{-1}(\exp\{\frac{\lambda_{h_2} - \delta}{\rho_{f_2} + \delta} \log(\frac{r}{2})^{m_2}\}) + O(1)}{\log r} \\ \geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} & \frac{\log M_{g_1}^{-1}[(\frac{r}{2})^{\frac{\lambda_{h_2} - \delta}{\rho_{f_2} + \delta}}] + O(1)}{\log r} \\ \geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} & \frac{\frac{1}{m_1} \log \frac{[(\frac{r}{2})^{\frac{\lambda_{h_2} - \delta}{\rho_{f_2} + \delta}}] + O(1)}{|a_m|(1 + \delta)}}{\log r} \text{ from (3.7)} \\ \geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} & \frac{\frac{1}{m_1} \log(\frac{r}{2})^{\frac{m_2 \lambda_{h_2} - \delta}{\rho_{f_2} + \delta}} + O(1)}{\log r} = \frac{m_2}{m_1} \frac{\lambda_{h_2} - \delta}{\rho_{f_2} + \delta} \\ \geq & \frac{m_2}{m_1} \frac{\lambda_{h_2}}{\rho_{f_2}}, \text{ since } \delta > 0 \text{ is arbitrary.} \end{aligned}$$

Using the same arguments as in Theorem 3.1 we can show that

$$\rho_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g_1}(h_1 \circ h_2 \circ g_2) \leq \frac{m_2}{m_1} \frac{\rho_{h_2}}{\lambda_{f_2}}.$$

Theorem 3.3 Let f_1, f_2 and h_2 be three entire functions of respective positive orders and h_1 be meromorphic function of finite order ρ_{h_1} and g be a polynomial of degree m . Then the relative order of $h_1 \circ h_2$ with respect to $f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g$ satisfies the inequality

$$\rho_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(h_1 \circ h_2) \geq \frac{1}{m} \frac{\rho_{h_2}}{\rho_{f_2}} \text{ and } \rho_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(h_1 \circ h_2) \leq \frac{1}{m} \frac{\rho_{h_2}}{\lambda_{f_2}}.$$

Proof: For any $\delta > 0$ and for all large values of r we get

$$T_{f_1}(r) < r^{\rho_{f_1} + \delta} \text{ and } T_{h_1}(r) < r^{\rho_{h_1} + \delta}. \tag{3.15}$$

$$T_{f_1}^{-1}(r) > r^{\frac{1}{\rho_{f_1} + \delta}} \tag{3.16}$$

for all large values of r .

Also for all large values of r we have

$$T_{h_1}(r) > r^{\lambda_{h_1} - \delta}. \tag{3.17}$$

For three entire functions f_1, f_2 and h_2 we use the Lemma 2.7 we get

$$T_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(r) \leq 3T_{f_1}(M_{f_2}(M_g(r))).$$

So for all large values of r we get

$$T_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}^{-1}(r) \geq M_g^{-1}(M_{f_2}^{-1}(T_{f_1}^{-1}(\frac{r}{3}))). \tag{3.18}$$

Again from Lemma 2.5 we get for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity and $0 < \mu < \rho_{h_2}$

$$T_{h_1 \circ h_2}(r) \geq T_{h_1}(\exp(r)^\mu). \tag{3.19}$$

Let $g(z) = a_0 + a_1z + a_2z^2 + \dots + a_mz^m$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(h_1 \circ h_2) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}^{-1}(T_{h_1 \circ h_2}(r))}{\log r} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1}(M_{f_2}^{-1}(T_{f_1}^{-1}(\frac{T_{h_1 \circ h_2}(r)}{3})))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.18)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1}(M_{f_2}^{-1}(T_{f_1}^{-1}(\frac{T_{h_1}(\exp r^\mu)}{3})))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.19)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1}(M_{f_2}^{-1}(T_{f_1}^{-1}(\{\exp r^\mu\}^{\lambda_{h_1} - 2\delta})))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.17)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1}(M_{f_2}^{-1}([\{\exp r^\mu\}^{\lambda_{h_1} - 2\delta}]^{\frac{1}{\rho_{f_1} + \delta}}))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.16)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1}(M_{f_2}^{-1}([\{\exp r^\mu\}]^{\frac{\lambda_{h_1} - 2\delta}{\rho_{f_1} + \delta}}))}{\log r} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1}(\exp\{\frac{1}{\rho_{f_2} + \delta} \log^{[2]}(\{\exp r^\mu\}^{\frac{\lambda_{h_1} - 2\delta}{\rho_{f_1} + \delta}})\})}{\log r} \text{ from (3.3)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1}(\exp\{\frac{1}{\rho_{f_2} + \delta} \log(r^\mu \frac{\lambda_{h_1} - 2\delta}{\rho_{f_1} + \delta})\})}{\log r} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1}(\exp\{\frac{\mu}{\rho_{f_2} + \delta} \log r + \frac{1}{\rho_{f_2} + \delta} \log \frac{\lambda_{h_1} - 2\delta}{\rho_{f_1} + \delta}\})}{\log r} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1}(r^{\frac{\mu}{\rho_{f_2} + \delta}}) + O(1)}{\log r} \\
 &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \frac{\log\left(\frac{r^{\frac{\mu}{\rho_{f_2} + \delta}}}{|a_m|(1+\delta)}\right) + O(1)}{\log r} \text{ from (3.7)} \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{m} \frac{\mu}{\rho_{f_2} + \delta} \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{m} \frac{\rho_{h_2}}{\rho_{f_2}}, \text{ since } \delta > 0 \text{ is arbitrary.}
 \end{aligned}$$

We know from the definition of lower order for all large values of r

$$M_{f_1}^{-1}(r) < \exp\left\{\frac{1}{\lambda_{f_1} - \delta} \log^{[2]} r\right\}. \tag{3.20}$$

Similarly, for all large values of r

$$M_{f_2}^{-1}(r) < \exp\left\{\frac{1}{\lambda_{f_2} - \delta} \log^{[2]} r\right\}. \tag{3.21}$$

Also $T(r, h_1) < r^{\rho_{h_1} + \delta}$ for all large values of r .

Again by Lemma 2.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(r) &\geq \frac{1}{3} \log M_{f_1}\left(\frac{1}{9} M_{f_2 \circ g}\left(\frac{r}{4}\right)\right) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{3} \log M_{f_1}\left(\frac{1}{9} M_{f_2}\left(\frac{1}{10} M_g\left(\frac{r}{8}\right)\right)\right) \\
 \text{i.e., } T_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}^{-1}(r) &\leq 8M_g^{-1}(10M_{f_2}^{-1}(9M_{f_1}^{-1}(\exp 3r))).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Also for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity we get from Lemma 2.8

$$T_{h_1 \circ h_2}(r) \leq \{1 + O(1)\} T_{h_1}(\exp(r)^\mu) \text{ where } \mu > \rho_{h_2}. \tag{3.23}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(h_1 \circ h_2) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}^{-1}(T_{h_1 \circ h_2}(r))}{\log r} \\
 &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(8M_g^{-1}(10M_{f_2}^{-1}(9M_{f_1}^{-1}(\exp(3T_{h_1 \circ h_2}(r))))))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.22)} \\
 &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(8M_g^{-1}(10M_{f_2}^{-1}(9M_{f_1}^{-1}(\exp(3\{1 + O(1)\}T_{h_1}(\exp r^\mu))))))}{\log r} \text{ from (3.23)} \\
 &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(8M_g^{-1}(10M_{f_2}^{-1}(9 \exp\{\frac{1}{\lambda_{f_1} - \delta} \log^{[2]}(\exp(3T_{h_1}(\exp r^\mu))))\})) + O(1)}{\log r}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(8M_g^{-1}(10M_{f_2}^{-1}(9 \exp\{\frac{1}{\lambda_{f_1} - \delta} \log(3T_{h_1}(\exp r^\mu)\}))) + O(1))}{\log r} \\
 & \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(8M_g^{-1}(10M_{f_2}^{-1}(9[3T_{h_1}(\exp r^\mu)]^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{f_1} - \delta}}))) + O(1)}{\log r} \\
 & \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(8M_g^{-1}(10M_{f_2}^{-1}(9[3(\exp r^\mu)^{\rho_{h_1} + \delta}]^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{f_1} - \delta}}))) + O(1)}{\log r} \text{ from (3.15)} \\
 & \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(8M_g^{-1}(10 \exp\{\frac{1}{\lambda_{f_2} - \delta} \log^{[2]}(9[3(\exp r^\mu)^{\rho_{h_1} + \delta}]^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{f_1} - \delta}})\})) + O(1)}{\log r} \text{ from (3.21)} \\
 & \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(8M_g^{-1}(10 \exp\{\frac{1}{\lambda_{f_2} - \delta} \log(r^\mu \frac{\rho_{h_1} + 2\delta}{\lambda_{f_1} - \delta})\})) + O(1)}{\log r} \\
 & \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(8M_g^{-1}(10 \exp\{\frac{\mu}{\lambda_{f_2} - \delta} \log r + \frac{1}{\lambda_{f_2} - \delta} \log \frac{\rho_{h_1} + 2\delta}{\lambda_{f_1} - \delta}\})) + O(1)}{\log r} \\
 & \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(8M_g^{-1}(10 \exp\{\log r^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda_{f_2} - \delta}}\})) + O(1)}{\log r} \\
 & \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(8M_g^{-1}(10 r^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda_{f_2} - \delta}})) + O(1)}{\log r} \\
 & \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(M_g^{-1}(10 r^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda_{f_2} - \delta}})) + O(1)}{\log r} \\
 & \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \frac{\log(\frac{10 r^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda_{f_2} - \delta}}}{|a_m|(1-\delta)}) + O(1)}{\log r} \text{ from (3.7)} \\
 & \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \frac{(\log 10 + \frac{\mu}{\lambda_{f_2} - \delta} \log r - \log |a_m|(1-\delta)) + O(1)}{\log r} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{m} \frac{\mu}{\lambda_{f_2} - \delta} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{m} \frac{\rho_{h_2}}{\lambda_{f_2}}, \text{ since } \delta > 0 \text{ is arbitrary.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.4 Let f_1 and h_1 be two meromorphic functions of finite non-zero orders such that $\rho_{f_1} \neq 0$ and f_2 and h_2 be two entire functions of finite non-zero orders such that $\rho_{f_2} \neq 0$ and g be a polynomial of degree m . Then the relative order of $h_1 \circ h_2$ with respect to $f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g$ satisfies the inequality

$$\rho_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(h_1 \circ h_2) \geq \frac{\lambda_{h_1}}{\rho_{f_1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(h_1 \circ h_2) \leq \frac{\rho_{h_1}}{m\lambda_{f_2}}.$$

Proof: Let $g(z) = a_0 + a_1z + a_2z^2 + \dots + a_mz^m$ be a polynomial of degree m . We have from Lemma 2.5

$$T_{h_1 \circ h_2}(r) \geq T_{h_1}(\exp(r)^\mu). \tag{3.24}$$

Again for all large values of r we get from Lemma 2.7

$$\begin{aligned} T_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(r) &\leq T_{f_1}(M_{f_2 \circ g}(r)) \\ &\leq T_{f_1}(M_{f_2}(M_g(r))). \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Now by the definition of relative order we get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(h_1 \circ h_2) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T_{h_1 \circ h_2}(r)}{\log T_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(r)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T_{h_1}(\exp r^\mu)}{\log T_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(r)} \text{ from (3.24)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T_{h_1}(\exp r^\mu)}{\log T_{f_1}(M_{f_2}(M_g(r)))} \text{ from (3.25)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T_{h_1}(\exp r^\mu)}{\log T_{f_1}(M_{f_2}(|a_m|(1+\delta)r^m))} \text{ from (3.6)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T_{h_1}(\exp r^\mu)}{\log T_{f_1}(\exp[|a_m|(1+\delta)r^m]^{\rho_{f_2}+\delta})} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T_{h_1}(\exp r^\mu)}{(\rho_{f_1} + \delta)[|a_m|(1+\delta)r^m]^{\rho_{f_2}+\delta}} \text{ from (3.15)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\lambda_{h_1} - \delta)r^\mu}{(\rho_{f_1} + \delta)[|a_m|(1+\delta)r^m]^{\rho_{f_2}+\delta}} \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda_{h_1}}{\rho_{f_1}} \text{ when } \mu > m\rho_{f_2} \text{ and } \delta > 0 \text{ is arbitrary.} \end{aligned}$$

Also we have from Lemma 2.6

$$T_{h_1 \circ h_2}(r) \leq T_{h_1}(M_{h_2}(r)). \tag{3.26}$$

Also for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity we get from Lemma 2.4

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(r) &\geq T_{f_2 \circ g}(\exp r^\mu) \text{ for } \mu < \rho_{f_2 \circ g} \\
 &\geq \log M_{f_2 \circ g}(\exp r^\mu) \\
 &\geq \log M_{f_2} \left(\frac{1}{8} M_g \left(\frac{\exp r^\mu}{4} \right) \right). \tag{3.27}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now by the definition of relative order we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(h_1 \circ h_2) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T_{h_1 \circ h_2}(r)}{\log T_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(r)} \\
 &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T_{h_1}(M_{h_2}(r))}{\log T_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(r)} \text{ from (3.26)} \\
 &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T_{h_1}(M_{h_2}(r))}{\log^{[2]} \left(M_{f_2} \left(\frac{1}{8} \left(M_g \left(\frac{\exp r^\mu}{4} \right) \right) \right) \right)} \text{ from (3.27)} \\
 &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T_{h_1}(M_{h_2}(r))}{\log^{[2]} \left(M_{f_2} \left(\frac{1}{8} |a_m| (1-\delta) \left[\frac{\exp r^\mu}{4} \right]^m \right) \right)} \text{ from (3.6)} \\
 &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T_{h_1}(\exp\{r^{\rho_{h_2} + \delta}\})}{\log^{[2]} \left(M_{f_2} \left(\frac{1}{8} |a_m| (1-\delta) \left[\frac{\exp r^\mu}{4} \right]^m \right) \right)} \\
 &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\rho_{h_1} + \delta) \log[\exp\{r^{\rho_{h_2} + \delta}\}]}{(\lambda_{f_2} - \delta) \log \left(\frac{1}{8} |a_m| (1-\delta) \left(\frac{\exp r^\mu}{4} \right)^m \right)} \\
 &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\rho_{h_1} + \delta) \{r^{\rho_{h_2} + \delta}\}}{(\lambda_{f_2} - \delta) m r^\mu + O(1)} \\
 &\leq \frac{\rho_{h_1}}{m \lambda_{f_2}} \text{ when } \mu < \rho_{h_2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.5 Let f_1 and h_1 be two meromorphic functions of finite non-zero order and f_2, h_2 and g be three entire functions such that $\rho_{f_2} > 0$. Then

$$\rho_{h_1 \circ h_2}(f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g) = \infty.$$

Proof: From the definition of relative order of meromorphic function with respect to another meromorphic function we have

$$\rho_{h_1 \circ h_2}(f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T_{f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g}(r)}{\log T_{h_1 \circ h_2}(r)}.$$

Also for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity

$$M_g(r) > \exp\{r^{\rho_g - \delta}\}. \quad (3.28)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{h_1 \circ h_2}(f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g) &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M_{f_2} \left(\frac{1}{8} M_g \left(\frac{\exp r^\mu}{4} \right) \right)}{\log T_{h_1 \circ h_2}(r)} \text{ from (3.27)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M_{f_2} \left(\frac{1}{8} M_g \left(\frac{\exp r^\mu}{4} \right) \right)}{\log T_{h_1}(M_{h_2}(r))} \text{ from (3.26)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M_{f_2} \left(\frac{1}{8} \exp \left(\frac{\exp r^\mu}{4} \right)^{\rho_g - \delta} \right)}{\log T_{h_1}(M_{h_2}(r))} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M_{f_2} \left(\frac{1}{8} \exp \left(\frac{\exp r^\mu}{4} \right)^{\rho_g - \delta} \right)}{\log T_{h_1}(\exp(r^{\rho_{h_2} + \delta}))} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\rho_{f_2} - \delta) \left(\frac{\exp r^\mu}{4} \right)^{\rho_g - \delta}}{(\rho_{h_1} + \delta)(r^{\rho_{h_2} + \delta})} \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\rho_{h_1 \circ h_2}(f_1 \circ f_2 \circ g) = \infty$.

This completes the proof.

REFERNCES

- [1] Banerjee. D, A note on relative order of meromorphic functions, Bull.Cal.Math.Soc., 98, 1(2006), 25-30.
- [2] Bergweiler. W, On the Nevanlinna characteristic of composite functions, Complex Variables, 1(1988), 225-236.
- [3] Bergweiler. W, On the growth rate of composite meromorphic functions, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ., 14(1990), 187-196.
- [4] Bernal. L, Orden relativo de crecimiento de funciones enteras, Collectanea Mathematica, Vol 39(1988), 209-229.
- [5] Clunie. J, The composition of entire and meromorphic functions, Mathematical essays dedicated to A. J. Macintyre, Ohio University Press (1970), 75-92.
- [6] Hayman. W. K., Meromorphic functions, The Clarendon Press, Oxford(1964).
- [7] Lahiri. B. K. and Banerjee. D., Relative order of an entire and meromorphic functions, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. A., 69(A), 3(1999), 339-354.
- [8] Lahiri. I. and Sharma. D. K., Growth of composite entire and meromorphic functions, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math, 26(5)(1995), 451-458.
- [9] Mondal. M., Growth properties of composition of two meromorphic functions, I.J.M.T.T, 57(2018),31-48.
- [10] Niino. K. and Smita. N., Growth of a composite function of entire functions, KodaiMath.J., 3(1980), 374-379.
- [11] Niino. K. and Yang. C. C., Some growth relationship on factors of two composite entire functions, in: Factorization Theory of Meromorphic Functions and Related Topics, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York/Basel,(1982), 95-99.
- [12] Tu. J., Chen. Z.-X. and Zheng. X.-M., Composition of entire functions with finite iterated order, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 353(2009), 295-304.