To The Study of Preliminaries on Semi Open Sets And Semi Continuous Functions

M.Anitha Nambikai Mary^{#1}, Ms. R.Suganya^{*2}

^{#1}M.Phil (Scholar)& Mathematics & PRIST University Vallam, Thanjavur, Tamilnadu, India.
^{*2}M.Sc., M.Phil, Assistant professor & M.Phil (Mathematics) & PRIST University Vallam, Thanjavur, Tamilnadu, India.

Abstract — "Mathematics is the supreme judge; from its decisions there is no appeal" -Tobias Danzig, Chapter 1 is devoted to the study of Preliminaries on semi open sets and semi continuous functions. Chapter 2 is devoted to the study of μ -open sets. In section one of chapter 2; we study Properties and characterization of μ -open sets and relations between regular open sets, μ -open sets and semi open sets. In section two of chapter 2, we study μ -adherent, μ -closure of a subset A of a topological space, μ irresolute function between topological spaces and equivalence relation μ -correspondence on the set of a topologies of a set X. Chapter 3 is devoted to generalize the concept of fuzzy μ -open sets. In section one of chapter 3, preliminary results on fuzzy sets and fuzzy topological spaces that are needed for our study are collected. In Section two of chapter 3 is devoted to extend μ -open sets to fuzzy situation. We study properties and characterization of fuzzy μ -open sets.

Keywords — Fuzzy numbers, L - R type fuzzy numbers, trapezoidal numbers, and fuzzy μ -open

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1963,Levine[14] introduced the concept of semiopen sets. Mashhour et al[19] introduced the concept of preopen sets. Kuratowski[12] introduced the concept of regular open sets. Cameron[5] defined regular semiopen sets. Sharma[25] has renamed regular semi open sets as μ -open sets.

Maheswari and Prasad[16] introduced the concepts of semi T_0 , semi T_1 , semi T_2 axioms in topological spaces using semiopen sets. Malghan and Benchalli[18] defined the concepts of rT_0 and rT_1 axioms in topological spaces using regularly open sets. Sharma[26] introduced the concepts of μT_0 , μT_1 and μT_2 spaces in topological spaces.

The notation of a fuzzy set introduced by Zadeh[30] in 1965, has caused great interest among both 'pure' and applied mathematicians. It has also raised enthusiasum among some engineers, biologists, psychologists, economists and experts in other areas, who use mathematical ideas and methods in their research. General topology was one of the first branches of pure mathematics to which fuzzy sets have been applied systematically. In 1968, Chang[6] made the first "grating" of the notion of a fuzzy set onto general topology and defined fuzzy topological space. After the introduction of fuzzy set of Zadeh[30] and fuzzy topological space by Chang[6], several author's have worked on these concepts and developed the theory of fuzzy sets and fuzzy topological space in many directions and is applied in a wide variety of fields.

The aim of this dissertation is to generalize the concept of μ -open sets due to Sharma[25] to fuzzy topological spaces.

The dissertation is devoted to the study of the following concepts.

- (1) Semi open sets and Semi continuous functions.
- (2) μ -open sets and μ -axiom
- (3) Fuzzy μ -open sets.

The results discussed are contained in the following articles.

- (1) Semi open sets and semi continuity in topological spaces by Levine.N[14].
- (2) μ -open sets by Sharma, V.K[25].
- (3) μ -axiom by Sharma, V.K[26].
- (4) Fuzzy topological spaces by Chang.L[6].

Chapter 1 is devoted to the study of semi open sets and semi continuous functions due to Levine [14]. Preliminaries on semi open sets and semi continuous functions that are needed for our study collected. Chapter 2 is devoted to the study of μ -open sets and μ -axiom due to Sharma [25, 26]. A subset A of a topological space

 (X, \mathbf{T}) is said to be μ -open iff there exists a regularly open set R such that $R \subseteq A \subseteq cl(R)$ In section one we study, Properties and characterization of μ -open sets and relations between regular open sets, μ -open sets and semi open sets. It is interesting to note that

- (1) The component of a μ -open set is again a μ -open set.
- (2) Neither the union nor the intersection of two μ -open sets is μ -open.

In section two of chapter 2, we study μ -adherant, μ -closure of a subset A of a topological space, μ -irresolute function between topological spaces and equivalence relation μ -correspondence on the set of a topologies of a set X. In section three of chapter 2 is devoted to the study of μ -axiom due to Sharma [27]. Separation axioms μT_0 , μT_1 and μT_2 which are generalizations of separation axioms T_0, T_1 and T_2 respectively are studied. It is interesting to note in a topological space all the three axioms μT_0 , μT_1 and μT_2 coincide. Therefore these axioms are referred as μ -axiom. The interrelationship between μ -axiom and various separation axioms semi T_2 , semi T_1 , semi T_0 and μT_0 are discussed. Chapter 3 is devoted to generalize the concept of μ -axiom due to Sharma [26] to fuzzy topological spaces. In section one of chapter 3, preliminary results on fuzzy sets and fuzzy topological spaces that are needed for our study are collected. Section two of chapter 3 is devoted to extend μ -open sets to fuzzy situation. We study properties and characterization of fuzzy μ -open sets. It is interesting to note that

(i) The complement of a fuzzy μ -open set is fuzzy μ -open.

- (ii) If λ is a fuzzy μ -open set, then
 - (a) $int(cl(\lambda))=int(\lambda)$,
 - (b) If μ is a fuzzy regularly open set such that $\mu \leq \lambda \leq cl(\mu)$, Then $cl(\mu)=cl(\lambda)$

II. BASIC CONCEPTS OF µ-OPEN SETS AND µ-AXIOMS

In this chapter we study the concepts of μ -open sets and μ -axioms introduced by Sharma [25, 26].

In section 2.1 , we discuss properties and characterizations of μ -open sets.

In section 2.2, we study μ -adherant, μ -closure of a subset A of a topological space. μ -irresolute function between topological spaces and equivalence relation μ -correspondence on the set of topologies of a set X.

In section 2.3, we study separation axioms μT_{0} , μT_{1} and μT_{2} due to Sharma[26]. These three axioms are equivalent in topological spaces and it is called μ -axiom. This axiom lies between rT_{i} axioms of Malghan and Benchalli[18] and semi T_{i} axiom of Maheshwari and Prasad[16] i=0,1,2. We study properties and characterizations of μ -axiom. Section: 2.1 μ -open sets:

Definition: 2.1.1

A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is said to be μ -open iff there exist a regularly open set R such that $R \subseteq A \subseteq cl(R)$.

Theorem: 2.1.2

A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is said to be μ -open iff there exist a regularly closed set F such that $int(F)\subseteq A\subseteq F$.

Proof:

Assume A is a μ -open set. To prove there exist a regularly closed set F such that $int(F) \subseteq A \subseteq F$ Since A is μ -open, there exist a regularly open set R such that $R \subseteq A \subseteq cl(R)$. Since R is regularly open set, we get R=int(cl(R)).....(1) Therefore cl(R) = cl(int(cl(R)))Let F = cl(int(F)). Hence F is regularly closed set. Since $A \subseteq cl(R)$ (by(1)), we get $A \subseteq F$ We know that $int(F) \subseteq F$. Hence $int(F) \subseteq A \subseteq F$. Hence there exist a regularly closed set F such that $int(F) \subseteq A \subseteq F$ Conversely assume there exist a regularly closed set F such that $int(F) \subseteq A \subseteq F$. To prove A is a μ -open set. Let R=int(F) Consider int(cl(R))=int(cl(int(F)))=int(F)=R, since F is regularly closed. Hence R is regularly open. Since $A \subseteq F$, $A \subseteq int(F) = R$. Since $A \subseteq R$, $A \subseteq cl(R)$ Since $int(F) \subseteq A$, $R \subseteq A$ Hence $R \subseteq A \subseteq cl(R)$

Hence A is μ -open.

```
Theorem: 2.1.3
A necessary and sufficient condition for a set A in a topological space (X, \tau) is said to be \mu-open is,
int(cl(A)) \subseteq A \subseteq cl(int(A)).
Proof:
Assume A is a \mu-open set in (X,\tau).
To prove int(cl(A)) \subseteq A \subseteq cl(int(A)).
Since A is \mu-open, there exists a regularly open set R such that R \subseteq A \subseteq cl(R).
Claim(i):
                      int(cl(A)) \subseteq A
Since R \subseteq A, int(cl(R)) \subseteq int(cl(A))
Since R is regularly open, R \subseteq int(cl(A))
                                                                             .....(1)
Since A \subseteq cl(R) \Longrightarrow cl(A) \subseteq cl(R) \Longrightarrow int(cl(A)) \subseteq int(cl(R))=R, since R is
regularly open.
Hence int(cl(A)) \subseteq R
                                                                             .....(2)
From (1) and (2) we get R=int(cl(A))
Hence int(cl(A)) \subseteq A
Hence claim(i).
Claim(ii):
                       A\subseteq cl(int(A))
Since R \subseteq A, R \subseteq int(A) \Longrightarrow cl(R) \subseteq cl(int(A))
Since A \subseteq cl(R), A \subseteq cl(int(A))
Hence claim(ii).
Hence int(cl(A)) \subseteq A \subseteq cl(int(A))
Conversely assume int(cl(A)) \subseteq A \subseteq cl(int(A))
To prove A is a \mu-open set in (X,\tau)
Since A \subseteq cl(A) \Longrightarrow int(A) \subseteq int(cl(A)) \Longrightarrow cl(int(A)) \subseteq cl(int(cl(A)))
Let R = int(cl(A))
Then R = int(cl(A)) \subseteq A \subseteq cl(int(A)) \subseteq cl(int(A)) = cl(R).
Therefore we get R \subseteq A \subseteq cl(R).
Since R is a regularly open set, we have A is a \mu-open set.
Theorem: 2.1.4
A is \mu-open in a topological space (X,\tau) iff A is semi open as well as semi closed in (X,\tau).
Proof:
Assume A is a \mu-open set in (X,\tau).
To prove A is semi open as well as semi closed in (X, \mathbf{T}).
Since A is \mu-open, we get int(cl(A)) \subseteq A \subseteq cl(int(A)) (by theorem 2.1.3) which implies int(cl(A)) \subseteq A and
A\subseteq cl(int(A)).
Claim(i): A is semi open.
Since A \subseteq cl(int(A)), for R = cl(A), we have R \subseteq A \subseteq cl(R)
Hence A is semi open.
Claim(ii): A is semi closed.
Since int(cl(A)) \subseteq A, for R=cl(A), we have int(R) \subseteq A \subseteq cl(A)=R
Hence A is semi closed.
Conversely assume A is semi open as well as semi closed.
To prove A is \mu-open in (X,\tau).
Since A is semi open, R \subseteq A \subseteq cl(R) for some open set R
Since R \subseteq A, R \subseteq int(A)
Hence we get cl(R) \subseteq cl(int(A))
Hence A \subseteq cl(R) \subseteq cl(int(A))
Since A is semi closed, int(R) \subseteq A \subseteq R for some closed set R
Since R is a closed set, A \subseteq R implies cl(A) \subseteq R
Then int(cl(A))\subseteq int(R)\subseteq A
Hence int(cl(A)) \subseteq A
Hence int(cl(A)) \subseteq A \subseteq cl(int(A))
Hence by theorem 2.1.3, we have A is \mu-open.
Corollary :2.1.5
A set A in a topological space (X, \tau) is \mu-open iff A=s-cl-s-int(A) and A=s-int-s-cl(A).
Remark : 2.1.6
```

By definition of a μ -open set it follows that every regularly open set is a \Box -open set and every \Box -open set is semi open set,

i.e., R O $(X, \tau) \subseteq V$ O $(X, \tau) \subseteq S$ O $\subseteq (X, \tau)$ However the converse of the above statements are not true in general as shown by the following examples.

Example : 2.1.7

Let X={a,b,c} and $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\}$ Then {a,c} is a \Box -open set but not regularly open. Solution Let X={a,b,c} and $T = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\}$ Then $\tau^{c} = \{X, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{c\}\}$ To prove $\{a,c\}$ is a \Box -open set but not regularly open. Claim: {a,c} is not regularly open Consider cl({a,c})=intersection of all closed sets containing{a,c} $= \bigcap \{X, \{a,c\}\}$ $=\{a,c\}$ Now consider $int(cl(\{a,c\})) = Union of all open sets contained in \{a,c\}$ $= \{a\}$ Hence $int(cl(\{a,c\})) = \{a\} \neq \{a,c\}$ Hence $\{a,c\}$ is not regularly open Hence claim. By above claim $\{a,c\}$ is regularly closed set. Now to prove $\{a,c\}$ is \Box -open. By theorem 2.1.2, it is enough to prove there exists a regularly closed set F such that $int(F) \subseteq A \subseteq F$. Since $\{a,c\}$ is regularly closed set and $int\{a,c\} \subseteq \{a,c\} \subseteq \{a,c\}$ We have $\{a,c\}$ is a \Box -open set. Example: 2.1.8 Let X={a,b,c} & $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$ then the set {a,b} is a semi open which is not \Box -open. Proof: Let X={a,b,c} and \mathbf{T} ={ \emptyset ,X,{a}} To prove {a,b} is semi open. The open sets of X are $=\emptyset, X, \{a\}$. Then $cl\{\emptyset\} = \emptyset$, cl(X) = X and $cl\{a\} = X$ Therefore $\{a\} \subseteq \{a,b\} \subseteq cl\{a\} = X$ Hence {a,b} is a semi open set. The \Box -open sets of X are \emptyset and X. Since \Box -open sets of X are X and \emptyset , for each pair of distinct points of X there exists no open set containing one but not the other. Hence it is not a \Box -open. Theorem :2.1.9 A \Box -open set A is regularly open if A \subseteq int(cl(A)) Proof: Assume A is a \Box -open set and A \subseteq int(cl(A))(1) To prove A is regularly open. Since A is \Box -open, there exists a regularly open set O such that $O \subseteq A \subseteq cl(O)$ Then $cl(A) \subseteq cl(cl(O)) = cl(O)$ implies $int(cl(A)) \subseteq int(cl(O)) = O$. Since O is a regularly open set. Therefore $int(cl(A)) \subseteq O \subseteq A$ implies $int(cl(A)) \subseteq A$(2) From (1) and (2) we get A=int(cl(A)) Hence A is regularly open. Theorem :2.1.10 A semi open set A is \Box -open if int(cl(A)) \subseteq A Proof: Assume A is semi open and $int(cl(A)) \subseteq A$ Claim: A is \Box -open

Since A is semi open, there exists an open set O such that $O \subseteq A \subseteq cl(O)$

But O⊆int(A) Then $cl(O) \subseteq cl(int(A))$ Hence $A \subseteq cl(O) \subseteq cl(int(A))$ Hence $A \subseteq cl(int(A))$(1) By our assumption, $int(cl(A)) \subseteq A$(2) From (1) and (2), we get $int(cl(A)) \subseteq A \subseteq cl(int(A))$ Hence by theorem 2.1.3, we get A is \Box -open. Hence the claim. Theorem :2.1.11 A semi closed set A is \Box -open if A \subseteq cl(int(A)) Proof: Let A be a semi closed set and $A \subseteq cl(int(A))$ Claim: A is \Box -open Since A is semi closed. O such that there exists a closed set $int(O) \subseteq A \subseteq O$(1) Then $cl(A)\subseteq cl(O)$, Since O is a closed set, cl(O)=OTherefore we get $cl(A) \subseteq O$(2) Hence $int(cl(A))\subseteq int(O)\subseteq A$ (from (1) and (2)) Since $A \subseteq cl(int(A))$, we get $int(cl(A)) \subseteq A \subseteq cl(int(A))$ By Theorem 2.1.3, we get A is \Box -open. Hence the claim. Remark : 2.1.12 Neither the union nor the intersection of two \Box -open sets is \Box -open. Example : 2.1.13 Let X={a,b,c} and $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\}$ then {a,c} and {b,c} are \Box -open sets. But $\{a,c\} \cap \{b,c\} = \{c\}$ is not a \Box -open sets. Proof: Let X= $\{a,b,c\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\}$ Then $T^{c} = \{X, \emptyset, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{c\}\}$ The regularly open sets of X are $\{a\}, \{b\}, X, \emptyset$. To prove $\{a,c\}$ is a \Box -open set. $cl({a})={a,c}, int(cl({a}))={a}$ Therefore {a} is a regularly open set. Since {a} is regularly open set and since $\{a\} \subseteq \{a,c\} \subseteq cl(\{a\}), \{a,c\} \text{ is } \Box\text{-open.}$ To prove $\{b,c\}$ is a \Box -open. $cl({b})={b,c}, int(cl({b}))={b}$ Therefore {b} is regularly open set, Since {b} is regularly open set and since $b \subseteq \{b,c\} \subseteq cl(\{b\})$, therefore $\{b,c\}$ is \Box -open. To prove $\{a,c\} \cap \{b,c\} = \{c\}$ is not a \Box -open set. Since there exists no regularly open set R such that $R \subseteq \{c\} \subseteq cl(R)$ Therefore $\{c\}$ is not a \Box -open set. Example : 2.1.14 Let X={a,b,c} and $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\}$ then {a} and {b} are \Box -open sets but {a}U{b}={a,b} is not a \Box open set. Proof: Let X= $\{a,b,c\}$ and $\mathbf{T} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\}$ Then $T^{c} = \{X, \emptyset, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{c\}\}$ The regularly open sets of X are $\{a\},\{b\},X,\emptyset$. To prove $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$ are \Box -open sets. $cl({a})={a,c}, int(cl({a}))={a}$ Since $\{a\}$ is a regularly open set and since $\{a\} \subseteq \{a\} \subseteq cl(\{a\})$ $cl(\{b\}) = \{b,c\}, int(cl(\{b\})) = \{b\}$

Since {b} is a regularly open set and since {b} \subseteq {b} \subseteq cl({b}) Therefore {a} and {b} are -open sets. To prove $\{a\} \cup \{b\} = \{a, b\}$ is not a \Box -open set. $cl({a})={a,c}{a} \subseteq {a,b}$ does not contain ${a,c} \subseteq cl({a})$ Therefore $\{a,b\}$ is not \Box -open. Theorem :2.1.15 The complement of \Box -open set is again a \Box -open set. Proof: Assume A is \Box -open. To prove the complement of A is a \Box -open set. Since A is \Box -open, there exists a regularly open set R such that $R \subseteq A \subseteq cl(R)$ Therefore we have $X-R \supseteq X-A \supseteq X-cl(R)$ i.e., X-cl(R) \subseteq X-A \subseteq X-R Hence $int(X-R) \subseteq X-A \subseteq X-R$(1) Since R is regularly open, X-R is regularly closed. Hence by Theorem 2.1.2, and from (1), we have A is \Box -open. Theorem :2.1.16 If A is a \Box -open set then (a) int(cl(A))=int(A)(b)cl(R)=cl(A), where R is a regularly open set such that $R \subseteq A \subseteq cl(R)$. Proof: Assume A is \Box -open set. Claim(i): int(cl(A))=int(A) We know that $int(A) \subseteq int(cl(A))$ for any set A. Since A is \Box -open, int(cl(A)) \subseteq A, (by Theorem 2.1.3) Therefore $int(cl(A)) \subseteq int(A)$ Hence int(cl(A))=int(A)Hence claim(i) Hence (a). Claim(ii): cl(R)=cl(A)Since R is a regularly open set such that $R \subseteq A \subseteq cl(R)$, $cl(R) \subseteq cl(A)$. Since $A \subseteq cl(R)$, therefore $cl(A) \subseteq cl(R)$ Hence cl(R)=cl(A)Hence claim(ii) Hence (b). Theorem :2.1.17 If A and R are regularly open sets and S is \Box -open such that $R \subseteq S \subseteq cl(R)$, then $A \cap S = \emptyset$, implies $A \cap S = \emptyset$. Proof: Assume A and R are regularly open sets and S is □-open such that $R \subseteq S \subseteq cl(R)$ Claim: $A \cap R = \emptyset$ implies $A \cap S = \emptyset$.. Since $A \cap R = \emptyset$, therefore $R \subseteq X$ -A. Since A is regularly open, we get X-A is regularly closed. Hence X-A is closed. Therefore $R \subseteq X$ -A implies $cl(R) \subseteq X$ -A Since $S \subseteq cl(R)$, we get $S \subseteq X$ -A. Hence $A \cap S = \emptyset$. Hence the claim. Theorem :2.1.18 Intersection of a \Box -open set S and regularly open set U is a \Box -open set. Proof : Let S be a \Box -open set and U be a regularly open set.

Since S is \Box -open, there exists a regularly open set R such that $R \subseteq S \subseteq cl(R).$ Case(i): $S \cap U = \emptyset$ Let $S \cap U = \emptyset$ It is obvious that then $S \cap U$ is a \Box -open set. Case(ii): S∩U≠Ø Since $R \subseteq S$ and $S \cap U \neq \emptyset$, we get $R \cap U \neq \emptyset$ (by Theorem 2.1.17) Since R and U are regularly open sets, we get $R \cap U$ is a regularly open set. Since $R \subseteq S$, we get $R \cap U \subseteq S \cap U$ If $x \in S \cap U$, then either x belongs to U and R (or) x belongs to U and S-R. Case (a): x belongs to U and R. Then $x \in S \cap U \subseteq cl(U \cap R)$ Hence $S \cap U \subseteq cl(U \cap R)$ Hence $U \cap R \subseteq S \cap U \subseteq cl(U \cap R)$ Therefore, $S \cap U$ is a \Box -open set Case (b): x belongs to U and S-R. Then x belongs to U and x is a limit point of R, since $S \subseteq cl(R)$. Let N be a \Box -neighbourhood of x. Then N \cap U is a \Box -neighbourhood of x and (N \cap U) \cap R $\neq \emptyset$ which implies $N\cap(U\cap R) \neq \emptyset$ Therefore x is a limit point of $U \cap R$. Hence x belongs to $cl(U \cap R)$ Hence $R \cap U \subseteq S \cap U \subseteq cl(R \cap U)$ Hence $S \cap U$ is a \Box -open set.

III. FUZZY μ -OPEN SETS

This chapter is devoted to the study of μ -open set in fuzzy topological spaces. We generalize the concept of μ -open sets due to Sharma [25] in topological spaces to fuzzy topological spaces and extend few results on μ -open sets to fuzzy situations. In section one of this chapter, we collect preliminary results on fuzzy sets and fuzzy topological spaces. In section two, we introduce fuzzy μ -open sets and study its properties, characterizations and relations with other generalized fuzzy open sets.

Section: 3.1

Preliminaries on fuzzy topological spaces:

Definition: 3.1.1

Let X be a non-empty set and I be the unit interval [0,1]. A fuzzy set in X is a function with domain X and values in I that is an element of I^X .

Let $A, B \in I^X$. We define the following fuzzy sets,

(i) A includes B (that is $B \subset A$) by $B(x) \leq A(x)$ for every $x \in X$.

(ii) $A \cap B \in I^X$ by $(A \cap B)(x) = \min\{A(x), B(x)\}$ for every $x \in X$.

(iii) $A \cup B \in I^X$ by $(A \cup B)(x) = \max\{A(x), B(x)\}$ for every $x \in X$.

(iv) $A' \in I^X$ by A'(x)=1-A(x) for every $x \in X$.

Let Δ be an indexing set and $\{A_{\lambda}/\lambda \in \Delta\}$ be a family of fuzzy sets in X. Then their union and intersection are defined as follows,

 $(\cup A_{\lambda})(x) = \sup \{A_{\lambda}(x) | \lambda \in \Delta\}.$ $(\cap A_{\lambda})(x) = \inf \{A_{\lambda}(x) | \lambda \in \Delta\}.$

Definition: 3.1.2

Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be fuzzy sets in X. The product fuzzy set

 $A = A_1 x A_2 x$,.... $x A_n$ in X^n is defined by

 $A(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = min(A_1(x_1), A_2(x_2), \dots, A_n(x_n)).$

Note: 3.1.3

The ordinary subset X can be considered as fuzzy sets by identifying them with their characteristic functions. Ordinary subsets are referred to as Crisp sets when they are considered as fuzzy sets. Ordinary topological space is referred to as Crisp topological spaces

If $A \subseteq X$ and if we consider A is fuzzy set then we mean $A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in A \\ 0, & x \notin A \end{cases}$

Notation: 3.1.4

When an ordinary set A is considered as fuzzy sets we write it as χ_A or A itself.

In view of this, empty set ϕ and whole space X can be considered as fuzzy sets by identifying them with the constant functions 0 and 1 respectively.

Definition: 3.1.5 For $x \in X$ and $t \in I_0$ a fuzzy point x_t is defined by $x_t(Y) = \begin{cases} t, & if \ y = x \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$ where $I_0 = (0,1]$.

Definition: 3.1.6

Let f be a function from X to Y. Let B be a fuzzy set in Y. Then inverse image of B or preimage of B written as $f^{1}(B)$ is a fuzzy set in X defined by $f^{1}(B)(x)=B(f(x))$, for all $x \in X$. Conversely, let A be a fuzzy set in X. The image of A, written as f(A) is a fuzzy set in Y defined by

 $f(A)(Y) = (supA(z), f^{-1}(y) \text{ is non-empty, where } f^{-1}(y) = \{x / f(x) = y\}$ $z \in f^{-1}(y)$, Otherwise 0 for all $y \in Y$. Note: 3.1.7 $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{A}) \ \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})_{=} \begin{cases} \sup A(y) \\ y \in f^{-1} \ (f(x)) \end{cases}$ $\geq A(x)$ as $x \in f^{1}(f(x))$ Properties: 3.1.8 Let f be a function from X to Y. Then a) $f^{1}(B') = \{f^{1}(B)\}$ for any fuzzy set B in Y. b) $f(A') \supset \{f(A)\}'$ for any fuzzy set A in X. c) $B_1 \subseteq B_2 \Longrightarrow f^1(B_1) \subseteq f^1(B_2)$ where B_1 and B_2 are fuzzy sets in Y. d) $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \implies f^{-1}(A_1) \subseteq f^{-1}(A_2)$ where A_1 and A_2 are fuzzy sets in X. e) $B \supset f\{f^1(B)\}$ for any fuzzy set B in Y. f) $A \subseteq f\{f^{1}(A)\}$ for any fuzzy set A in X. $(gof)^{-1}(c) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(c))$ for any fuzzy set g) Let f be a function from X to Y and g be a function from Y to Z. Then c in Z, where (gof) is the composition of g and f.

h) If f is onto then $f(f^{-1}(A))=A$.

Definition: 3.1.9

Let λ , $\mu \in I^X$, λ is said to be quasi-coincidence with μ , denoted by $\lambda q \mu$ if there exists $x \in X$ such that $\lambda(x) + \mu(x) > 1$. Otherwise we denote it by $\lambda \overline{q} \mu$

Definition: 3.1.10
A fuzzy topology on a set X in a collection of fuzzy sets in X satisfying the following axioms,
(i) Ø,X ∈ δ.
(ii) A,B ∈ δ ⇒ A ∩ B ∈ δ
(iii) A_λ ∈ δ for λ∈Δ ⇒ ∪ A_λ ∈ δ λ∈Δ
The pair (X,δ) is referred to as fuzzy topological space. A fuzzy topological space is referred to as fts in short.

Definition: 3.1.11 If (X, δ) is a fuzzy topological space, members of δ are called open fuzzy sets. A fuzzy set A is called a closed fuzzy set iff $A \in \delta$.

Definition: 3.1.12 Let (X, δ) be a fts. Then the closure and interior of a fuzzy set $A \in I^X$ are defined respectively as $\overline{\mathbf{A}} = \bigcap \{B | B \supset A, B' \in \delta \}$ $A^0 = \bigcup \{B | B \subset A, B \in \delta \}$ It is easily seen that $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ is the smallest closed fuzzy set larger than A and that A^0 is the largest open fuzzy set smaller than A.

Definition: 3.1.13 Let $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ be a fuzzy topology on a set X. A subfamily $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{B}}$ of $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ is a base for fif each member of $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ can be expressed as the union member of $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{B}}$. Definition: 3.1.14

Let $(X, \delta), (Y, \delta')$ be two fts's. A mapping f: $(X, \delta) \rightarrow (Y, \delta')$ is fuzzy continuous iff for each open fuzzy set V in δ' the inverse image $f^{-1}(V)$ is open in δ .

Definition: 3.1.15 Let $(X, \delta), (Y, \delta')$ be two fts's. A mapping f: $(X, \delta) \rightarrow (Y, \delta')$ is called fuzzy open iff for each open fuzzy set V in \Box the image f(V) is open in \Box' .

Definition: 3.1.16 Let $(X, \Box), (Y, \Box')$ be two fts's. A bijective mapping f: $(X, \Box) \rightarrow (Y, \Box')$ is a fuzzy homeomorphism iff it is fuzzy continuous and fuzzy open.

Proposotion: 3.1.17

Let f be fuzzy continuous (respectively, fuzzy open) mapping of a fts (X, \Box) into a fts (Y, \Box') and g be a fuzzy continuous (respectively, fuzzy open) mapping of (Y, \Box') into a (Z, \Box'') . Then the composition (gof) is a fuzzy continuous (respectively, fuzzy open) mapping of (X, \Box) into a (Z, \Box'') .

Definition : 3.1.18 Given two fuzzy topologies \Box_1 , \Box_2 on the same set X, we say \Box_1 finer than \Box_2 (and that \Box_2 is coarser than \Box_1) if the identity mapping of (X, \Box_1) into (X, \Box_2) is fuzzy continuous.

Definition : 3.1.19 Let λ be a fuzzy set of a topological space (X, \Box) . Then λ is called (i) a fuzzy semi open set of X if there exists a such that $\Box \in \Box$ such that $\Box \leq \Delta \leq cl(\Box)$, and (ii) a fuzzy semi closed set of X if there exists a $\Box \in \Box$ such that $int(\Box) \leq \lambda \leq \Box$.

Definition : 3.1.20 The following are equivalent : (a) λ is a fuzzy semi closed set, (b) λ' is a fuzzy semi open set, (c) int(cl(λ)) $\leq \lambda$, and (d) cl(int(λ')) $\geq \lambda'$

Theorem: 3.1.21(a) Any union of fuzzy semi open sets is a fuzzy semi open set, and(b) Any intersection of fuzzy semi closed sets is a fuzzy semi closed set.

Definition: 3.1.22 A fuzzy set λ of a topological space (X, \Box) is called (i) a fuzzy regularly open set of X if $int(cl(\lambda)) = \lambda$, and (ii) a fuzzy regularly closed set of X if $cl(int(\lambda)) = \lambda$

Theorem: 3.1. A fuzzy set λ of a topological space (X, \Box) is fuzzy regularly open iff λ ' is fuzzy regularly closed.

Theorem: 3.1.24

(a) The intersection of two fuzzy regularly open sets is a fuzzy regularly open set, and

(b) The union of two fuzzy regularly closed sets is a fuzzy regularly closed set.

Theorem: 3.1.25

(a) The closure of a fuzzy open set is a fuzzy regular closed set, and

(b) The interior of a fuzzy closed set is a fuzzy regular open set.

Definition: 3.1.26

Let f: $(X, \Box_X) \rightarrow (Y, \Box_Y)$ be a mapping from a fuzzy topological space (X, \Box_X) to another fuzzy topological space (Y, \Box_Y) Then f is called

(i) a fuzzy continuous mapping if $f^{1}(\lambda) \in \Box_{X}$ for each $\lambda \in \Box_{Y}(or)$ equivalently $f^{1}(\Box)$ is a fuzzy closed set of X for each fuzzy closed set \Box of Y

(ii) a fuzzy open mapping if $f(\lambda) \in \Box_Y$ for each $\lambda \in \Box_X$

(iii) a fuzzy closed mapping if $f(\Box)$ is a fuzzy closed set of Y, for each fuzzy closed set \Box of X

(iv) a fuzzy semi continuous mapping if $f^{1}(\lambda)$ is a fuzzy semi open set of X, for each $\lambda \in \Box_{Y}$.

(v) a fuzzy semi open mapping if $f(\lambda)$ is a fuzzy semi open set for each $\lambda \in \Box_X$ (vi) a fuzzy semi closed mapping if $f(\Box)$ is a fuzzy closed set for each fuzzy closed set \Box of X. Section: 3.2 Fuzzy □-open Sets: Definition: 3.2.1 A fuzzy set $\lambda \in I^X$ in a fuzzy topological space (X, \Box) is said to be fuzzy \Box -open iff there exist a fuzzy regularly open set \Box such that $\Box \leq \lambda \leq cl(\Box).$ Theorem: 3.2.2 A fuzzy set $\lambda \in I^X$ in a fuzzy topological space (X, \Box) is fuzzy v-open iff there exist a fuzzy regularly closed set \Box such that $int(\Box) \leq \lambda \leq \Box$ Proof: Assume is a fuzzy \Box -open set in (X, \Box). Claim(i): There exists a fuzzy regularly closed set \Box such that $int(\Box) \leq \lambda \leq \Box$. Since λ is fuzzy \Box -open, there exist a fuzzy regularly open set such that $\Box \leq \lambda \leq cl(\Box)$(1) Since \Box is a fuzzy regularly open set, we get $\Box = int(cl(\Box))$. Therefore $cl(\Box) = cl(int(cl(\Box)))$ Let $\Box = cl(\Box)$ Then we get $\Box = cl(int(\Box))$ Hence \Box is a fuzzy regularly closed set. Since $\lambda \leq cl(\Box)$ (by(1)), we get $\lambda \leq \Box$ Since \Box is regularly open set $\Box \leq \lambda$, $int(cl(\Box)) \leq \lambda$ i.e., $int(\Box) \leq \lambda$ Hence there exists a fuzzy regularly closed set \Box such that $int(\Box) \leq \lambda \leq \Box$ Hence claim(i) Conversely assume there exists a fuzzy regularly closed set \Box such that $int(\Box) \leq \lambda \leq \Box$ Claim(ii): λ is fuzzy \Box -open. Let $\Box = int(\Box)$. Then $int(cl(\Box)) = int(cl(int(\Box))) = int(\Box)$, since \Box is a fuzzy regularly closed set. Hence \Box is a fuzzy regularly open set. Since $int(\Box) \leq \lambda$, $\Box \leq \lambda$ Since $\lambda \leq \Box$, $\lambda \leq cl(int(\Box))$ implies $\lambda \leq cl(\Box)$ Hence $\Box \leq \lambda \leq cl(\Box)$ Hence λ is fuzzy \Box -open. Hence claim(ii). Theorem: 3.2.3 A necessary and sufficient condition for a fuzzy set λ in a fuzzy topological space (X, \Box) to be fuzzy \Box -open is, $int(cl(\lambda)) \leq \lambda \leq cl(int(\lambda)).$ Proof: Assume λ is a fuzzy \Box -open set in a fuzzy topological space (X, \Box) To prove $int(cl(\lambda)) \le \lambda \le cl(int(\lambda))$. Since λ is a fuzzy \Box -open, there exists a fuzzy regularly closed set \Box such that $\Box \leq \lambda \leq cl(\Box)$. Claim(i): $int(cl(\lambda)) \leq \lambda$. Since $\Box \leq \lambda$, $int(cl(\Box)) \leq int(cl(\lambda))$ Since \Box is fuzzy regularly open, $\Box = int(cl(\Box)) \leq int(cl(\lambda))$(1) Since $\lambda \le cl(\Box)$, $cl(\lambda) \le cl(\Box)$ implies $int(cl(\lambda)) \le int(cl(\Box)) = \Box$, Since \Box is fuzzyregularlyopen set(2) From (1) and (2) $\Box = int(cl(\lambda))$. Hence $int(cl(\lambda)) \leq \lambda$. Hence claim(i). Claim(ii): $\lambda \leq cl(int(\lambda))$ Since $\Box \leq \lambda$, we get $\Box \leq int(\lambda)$ implies $cl(\Box) \leq cl(int(\lambda))$. Since $\lambda \leq cl(\Box)$, $\lambda \leq cl(int(\lambda))$ Hence claim(ii). Conversely assume $int(cl(\lambda)) \le \lambda \le cl(int(\lambda))$ To prove λ is fuzzy \Box -open.

Since $\lambda \le cl(\lambda)$, $int(\lambda) \le int(cl(\lambda))$ implies $cl(int(\lambda)) \le cl(int(cl(\lambda)))$ Let $\Box = int(cl(\lambda))$ Then \Box is a fuzzy regularly open set, λ is fuzzy \Box -open.

Theorem: 3.2.4 λ is fuzzy \Box -open iff λ is fuzzy semiopen as well as fuzzy semi closed in (X, \Box) . Proof: Assume λ is fuzzy \Box -open in a fuzzy topological space (X, \Box) . To prove λ is fuzzy semi open as well as fuzzy semi closed. Since λ is fuzzy \Box -open, by Theorem 3.2.3, we get $\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(\lambda)) \leq \lambda \leq \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int}(\lambda))$, which implies $\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(\lambda)) \leq \lambda$ and $\lambda \leq \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int}(\lambda))$ Claim(i): λ is fuzzy semi open. Since $\lambda \leq cl(int(\lambda))$, for $\Box = int(\lambda)$, we have $\Box \leq \lambda \leq cl(\Box)$ Hence λ is fuzzy semi open. Hence claim (i). Claim(ii): λ is fuzzy semi closed. Since $int(cl(\lambda)) \le \lambda$, for $\Box = int(\lambda)$, we have $int(\Box) \leq \lambda \leq cl(\lambda) = \Box$ Hence λ is fuzzy semi closed. Hence claim (ii). Conversely assume λ is fuzzy semi open as well as fuzzy semi closed To prove λ is fuzzy \Box -open. Since λ is fuzzy semi open, $\Box \leq \lambda \leq cl(\Box)$ for some fuzzy open set \Box . Since $\Box \leq \lambda$, $\Box \leq int(\lambda)$ and $cl(\Box) \leq cl(int(\lambda))$. Hence $\lambda \leq cl(\Box) \leq cl(int(\lambda))$(1) Since λ is fuzzy semi closed, int(\Box) $\leq \lambda \leq \Box$ for a fuzzy closed set \Box Since \Box is a fuzzy closed set, $\lambda \leq \Box$ implies $cl(\lambda) \leq \Box$ Hence $int(cl(\lambda)) \leq int(\Box) \leq \lambda$ Hence $int(cl(\lambda)) \leq \lambda$(2) From (1) and (2), we have $int(cl(\lambda)) \le \lambda \le cl(int(\lambda))$ Hence by Theorem 3.2.3, we get λ is fuzzy \Box -open. Corollary: 3.2.5 A fuzzy set λ in (X, \Box) is a fuzzy \Box -open set iff λ =s-cl(s-int(λ)) or scl(sint(λ)) and λ =s-int(s-cl(λ)) or sint(scl(λ)). Theorem: 3.2.6 A fuzzy \Box -open set λ is fuzzy regularly open if $\lambda \leq int(cl(\lambda))$ Proof: Assume λ is a fuzzy \Box -open set and $\lambda \leq int(cl(\lambda))$(1) Claim: λ is fuzzy regularly open. Since λ is fuzzy \Box -open, by Theorem 3.2.3, $int(cl(\lambda)) \leq \lambda$(2) From (1) and (2), we get $\lambda = int(cl(\lambda))$ Hence λ is fuzzy regularly open. Hence the claim. Theorem: 3.2.7 A fuzzy semi open set λ fuzzy \Box -open if $int(cl(\lambda)) \leq \lambda$. Proof: Assume λ is fuzzy semi open set and $int(cl(\lambda)) \leq \lambda$. Claim: λ is fuzzy \Box -open. Since λ is fuzzy semi open, there exists a fuzzy open set \Box such that $\Box \leq \lambda \leq cl(\Box).$ But $\Box \leq int(\lambda)$. Hence $cl(\Box) \leq cl(int(\lambda))$. Hence $\lambda \leq cl(\Box) \leq cl(int(\lambda))$. Hence $\lambda \leq cl(int(\lambda))$(1) By our assumption, $int(cl(\lambda)) \leq \lambda$(2) From (1) and (2), we get $int(cl(\lambda)) \le \lambda \le cl(int(\lambda))$ Hence by Theorem 3.2.3. we get λ is fuzzy \Box -open. Hence the claim.

Theorem: 3.2.8 A fuzzy semi closed set λ fuzzy \Box -open if $\lambda \leq cl(int(\lambda))$. Proof: Assume λ is a fuzzy semiclosed set and $\lambda \leq cl(int(\lambda))$. To prove λ is fuzzy \Box -open Since λ is fuzzy semiclosed set, there exists a fuzzy closed set \Box such that $int(\Box) \le \lambda \le \Box$(1) Then $cl(\lambda) \leq cl(\Box)$, since $\lambda \leq \Box$. Since \Box is fuzzy closed set $cl(\Box) \leq \Box$. Therefore $cl(\lambda) \leq \Box$(2) Hence $int(cl(\lambda)) \le int(\Box) \le \lambda$ (from (1) and (2)) Since $\lambda \leq cl(int(\lambda))$, we get $int(cl(\lambda)) \leq \lambda \leq cl(int(\lambda))$ Hence by Theorem 3.2.3, we get λ is fuzzy \Box -open. Theorem: 3.2.9 The complement of fuzzy \Box -open set is again a fuzzy \Box -open set. Proof: Assume λ is fuzzy \Box -open set. To prove the complement of λ is fuzzy \Box -open. Since λ is fuzzy \Box -open, there is a fuzzy regularly open set \Box such that $\Box \leq \lambda \leq cl(\Box).$ Therefore we get $1 - \Box \ge 1 - \lambda \ge 1 - cl(\Box)$. i.e., $1-cl(\Box) \leq 1-\lambda \leq 1-\Box$. Hence int $(1 - \Box) \leq 1 - \lambda \leq 1 - \Box$. Since \Box is fuzzy regularly open, 1- \Box is fuzzy regularly closed. Hence by Theorem 3.2.2, we get 1- λ is a fuzzy \Box -open set. Theorem: 3.2.10 If λ is a fuzzy \Box -open set then a) $int(cl(\lambda)) = int(\lambda)$ (b) $cl(\Box) = cl(\lambda)$, where \Box is a fuzzy regularly open set Such that $\Box \leq \lambda \leq cl(\Box)$. Proof: Assume λ is a fuzzy \Box -open set. To prove (a) Claim (i): $int(cl(\lambda)) = int(\lambda)$ We know that $int(\lambda) \leq int(cl(\lambda))$ for any fuzzy set λ . Since λ is fuzzy v-open, int(cl(λ)) $\leq \lambda$, (by Theorem 3.2.3) Therefore we get $int(cl(\lambda)) \leq int(\lambda)$. Hence claim (i) Hence (a) To prove (b) Claim (ii): $cl(\Box) = cl(\lambda)$ where \Box is a regularly open set such that $\Box \leq \lambda \leq cl(\Box).$ Since $\Box \leq \lambda$, $cl(\Box) \leq cl(\lambda)$. Since $\lambda \leq cl(\Box), cl(\lambda) \leq cl(\Box)$. Hence we get $cl(\Box) = cl(\lambda)$. Hence claim (ii) Hence (b). Theorem: 3.2.11 If \Box is a fuzzy set in a fuzzy topological space (X, \Box) such that $\lambda \leq \Box \leq cl(\lambda)$. Then \Box is a fuzzy \Box -open set if λ is fuzzy \Box -open. Proof: Let \Box be a fuzzy set in a fuzzy topological space (X, \Box) such that $\lambda \leq \Box \leq cl(\lambda).$ Assume λ is fuzzy \Box -open Claim : \Box is a fuzzy \Box -open set. Since λ is a fuzzy \Box -open set, there exists a fuzzy regularly open set \Box such that $\Box \leq \lambda \leq cl(\Box)$. Since $\lambda \leq \Box$ and $\leq \lambda$, $\Box \leq \Box$(1) Since $\lambda \leq cl(\Box)$, $cl(\lambda) \leq cl(\Box)$

Since $\Box \leq cl(\lambda), \Box \leq cl(\Box)$ From (1) and (2), we have $\Box \leq \Box \leq cl(\Box)$ for a fuzzy regularly open set \Box . Hence is a fuzzy \Box -open set. Hence the claim.

.....(2)

IV. CONCLUSIONS

This dissertation is an attempt to generalize μ -open sets due to Sharma[25] to fuzzy topological spaces. In chapter 1, semi open sets and semi continuous functions due to Levine [14] are analysed. In chapter 2, μ -open sets and μ axiom due to Sharma[25,26] are studied. In section one of chapter 2, µ-open sets, its properties and characterizations are studied. In section two of chapter 2, μ -adherent, μ -closure of a subset A of a topological space, μ -irresolute function between topological spaces and the relation μ -correspondence on the set of the topologies on a set X are studied. In section three of chapter 2, separation axioms μT_0 , μT_1 and μT_2 and their equivalence in topological spaces are discussed. Properties and characterizations of μ -spaces are analysed. In chapter 3, some of the results discussed on μ -open sets in chapter 2 are generalized to fuzzy situation. In section one of chapter 3, fundamentals on fuzzy sets and fuzzy topological spaces are collected. In section two of chapter 3, μ -open sets, its properties and characterizations are generalized to fuzzy topological spaces.

REFERENCES

- [1] Andrijevic.D- Semi preopen sets., Mat, Vesnik 38(1986), 24-32
- [2] Azad, K.K On fuzzy semicontinuity, fuzzy almost continuity and fuzzy weakly continuity., Journal of Mathematical analysis and Applications., 82(1981), 14-32.
- [3] Balasubramaniam.G and Sundaram.P -On some generalizations of fuzzy continuous functions., fuzzy sets and systems., 86(1997), 93-100.
- [4] Caldas.M, Jafari.S and Noiri.T On some classes of sets via θ -generalized open sets ., Mathematica, Tome., 49(72)(2007), 131-138.
- [5] Cameron, D.E- Properties of s-closed spaces., Proc.Amer.Math.Soc. 72(1978), 581-586.
- [6] Chang, C.L-Fuzzy topological spaces., J.Math.Anal.Appl, 24(1968), 182-190.
- [7] Crossky, S.G and Hildebrand, S.K- semi topological properties., Found. Math 74(1972)., 233-254.
- [8] Dontchev.J- Topological properties defined in terms of open sets^{*}, Yatsushiro T_0 topological conference, 23-24 August 1997, Japan.
- [9] Ganguly.S and Saha.S- A note on semi open sets in fuzzy topological spaces., fuzzy Sets and systems., 18(1),(1986), 83-96.
- [10] Hong-Yan Lia and Fu-Gul Shi- Some separation axioms in continuity in fuzzy bitopological spaces., fuzzy sets and systems., 79(2)(1996), 251-256.
- [11] Jiling Cao, Maximillan Ganster and Lvan Rilly- On generalized closed sets., Topology and its Applications., 123(1)(2002), 37-46.
- [12] Kuratowski.C.,- Topology., Acadamic Press. New York., 1(1966).
- [13] Lelley, J.L- General Topology., Van Nostrand Prienceton N.J.(1995)
- [14] Levine.N-Semi open sets and semi continuity in topological spaces., Amer Math Monthly., 70(1963), 36-41
- [15] Levine.N-Generalized closed sets in topology, Rand Circ.Math.Paleromo, 2(19)(1970), 89-96
- [16] Maheshwari, S.N and Prasad.R- Some new separation axioms., Ann.Soc.Sci., Bruxelles., 89(1975), 395-402.
- [17] M.A and Abd Ellah Mahmoud, F.S., Fath Alla, S.M- Fuzzy Topology on fuzzy set, fuzzy continuity and fuzzy semi separation axioms., Applied Mathematics and computation., 153(1)(2004), 127-140.
- [18] Malghan, S.R and Benchalli, S.S- On new separation axioms., The J.of Karnataka University Sci., 23(1978), 38-47.
- [19] Mashhour, A.S Abd EI-Monsef, M.E and EI-Deeb, S.N-On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings., Proc.Math. and Phys.Soc.Egypt., 51(1981).
- [20] Mathew, Sunil.U and Johnson, T.F-Generalized closed fuzzy sets and simple extension of a fuzzy topology., J.Fuzzy Math., 11(2)(2003), 195-202.
- [21] Navalagi,G.B- Semi precontinuous functions and proper generalized semi preclosed sets in topological spaces., International Journal of Mathematics and mathematical science., 29(2)(2003), 85-93
- [22] Nijasad.O- On some classes of nearly open sets., Pacific J.Math 15(1965), 961-970.
- [23] Nouh, A.A- On Separation axioms in fuzzy bitopological spaces., fuzzy sets and systems ., 80(2)(1996), 225-236.
- [24] Sanjay Tahiliani- A study on some spaces related to β -open sets ., Note di Mathematician 27(1)(2007), 145-152.
- [25] Sharma, V.K- μ-open sets., Acta Ciencia Indica., 2(2006), 685-690.
- [26] Sharma, V.K- µ-axiom., Acta Ciencia Indica., 33(2007), 1807-1809.
- [27] Shyamapada Modak and Chanda Bandyopathyay-*- Topology and Generalized open sets., Soochow Journal of Mathematicians., 32(2)(2006), 201-210.
- [28] Singal,M.K and Nitti Prakash-Fuzzy preopen set and fuzzy preseparation axioms., Fuzzy sets and systems., 44(2)(1991), 273-281. [29] Thakur.S.S and Malviya.R- Semi open sets and semi continuity in fuzzy bitopological spaces., Fuzzy sets and systems., 79(2)(1996), 251-256.
- [30] Zadeh,L.A- Fuzzy sets., Information and control., 8(1965) 338-353.