Some Operations On Anti Fuzzy Graph

Dr.M. Prabhavathi¹, R. Uma Maheswari², K. Vinodhini³

¹Department of mathematics E.G.S.P.Arts and Science College, Nagapattinam-611002. ²Department of mathematics E.G.S.P.Arts and Science College, Nagapattinam-611002. ³Department of mathematics E.G.S.P.Arts and Science College, Nagapattinam-611002.

Abstract - Graphs are simple model of relation. It is a convenient way of representing information involving relationship between objects. In this chapter, we discussed the concept some operations such as null anti-fuzzy graph and the relationship between complete anti fuzzy graph and strong anti-fuzzy graphs are discussed. Suitable example is illustrated to demonstrate the null identity fuzzy graph.

Keywords: - Anti-fuzzy graph, strong anti-fuzzy graph, complete anti-fuzzy graph, null anti fuzzy graph.

I. INTRODUCTION

Zadeh [12] introduced fuzzy sets in 1965 to represent/manipulate data and information possessing non-statistical uncertainties. It was, particularly, designed to mathematically represent uncertainty and vagueness and to provide formalized tools for dealing with the imprecision intrinsic to manyproblems. But it was Rosenfeld [10] who considered fuzzy relations on fuzzy sets and developed the theory of fuzzy graphs in 1975. Rosenfeld has obtained the fuzzy analogues of several basic graph-theoretic concepts like bridges, paths,cycles, trees and connectedness and established some of their properties. There are several operations on G1 and G2 which result in agraph G whose set of points. These include the Cartesian product, the composition and the tensor product. Other operations of this form are developed in Harary and Wilcox [3] and they investigated some invariant properties of them. Operations on (crisp) graphs such as conjunction, disjunction, rejection and symmetric difference were extended to fuzzy graphs and a methodology is proposed to find the resulting fuzzy graphs of the same operations using adjacency matrices of G_1 and G_2 [7]. Bhutani [1] introduced the notion of weak isomorphism and isomorphism between fuzzy graphs. Nagoorgani and Malarvizhi [8] discussed the order, size and degree of the vertices of the isomorphic fuzzy graphs. Nagoorgani and Latha [6] introduced neighbourly irregular fuzzy graphs and highly irregular fuzzy graphs and a comparative study between them had been analysed. In this chapter, we discussed the concept some operations such as null - identity anti fuzzy graph and the relationship between complete anti-fuzzy graph and strong anti-fuzzy graphs are discussed. We derived some theorem and example on them.

II. PRELIMINARIES

DEFINITION: 2.1

Let G be a simple fuzzy graph M of G is called anti fuzzy graph such that $M(x, y) \leq S(x)S((y), \forall (x, y) \in G$ An anti-fuzzy graph AfG = (S, M) over the set V is called *strong anti-fuzzy graph* if $M(x, y) \leq S(x)S((y), \forall (x, y) \in G$

DEFINITION: 2.2

An anti-fuzzy graph $G_A = (\sigma, \mu)$ is a strong anti-fuzzy graph if $\mu(u, v) = \sigma(u) \lor \sigma(v), \forall (u, v) \in \mu^*$, and is a complete anti fuzzy graph if $\mu(u, v) = \sigma(u) \lor \sigma(v), \forall (u, v) \in \sigma^*$. Two nodes u and v are said to be neighbours if $\mu(u, v) > 0$.

DEFINITION: 2.3

Let G be simple graph, the anti-fuzzy graph

 $AFI \in AF$, (V, E) is called *identity anti-fuzzy graph* such that denoted by I- if $AFI(e) = 1, \forall e \in E$.

DEFINITION: 2.4

An IFG G: (V, E) is said to be a *strong IFG* if $\mu_2(v_i, v_j) = \min(\mu_1(v_i), \mu_1(v_j))$ and $v_2(v_i, v_j) = max(v_1(v_i), v_1(v_j)), \forall (v_i, v_j) \in E.$

III. OPERATIONS OF ANTI FUZZY GRAPH

DEFINITION 3.1

Consider an anti join $G_A^* = G_{A1}^* + G_{A2}^* = (v_1 \cup v_2, E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E')$ of anti fuzzy graphs where E' is the set of all edges joining the vertices of V_1 and V_2 where we assume that $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$. Then the anti join of anti fuzzy graphs G_{A1} and G_{A2} is an anti fuzzy graph $G_A = G_{A1} + G_{A2} : (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2, \mu_1 + \mu_2)$ is defined by

$$\sigma_{1} + \sigma_{2}(u) = \sigma_{1} \cup \sigma_{2}(u) \text{ if } u \in V_{1} \cup V_{2}$$

$$\mu_{1} + \mu_{2}(u, v) = \mu_{1} \cup \mu_{2}(u, v) \text{ if } (u, v) \in E_{1} \cup E_{2}$$

$$\mu_{1} + \mu_{2}(u, v) = \max\{\sigma_{1}(u), \sigma_{2}(v)\} \text{ if } (u, v) \in E'$$

DEFINITION: 3.2

Let G be a simple graph let $AfH = (S_i, M_i)$ and AfG = (S, M) is two anti-fuzzy graph over set v then AfH is called anti fuzzy sub-graph of anti-fuzzy graph such that $S_i(x) \le S(x)M_i(x, y) \le M(x, y) \forall (x, y) \in AfG$

Now we define null-identity anti fuzzy graph.

EXAMPLE: 3.1

Let = $[v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4]$ and $E = [e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4]$. Here anti fuzzy graph AfG such that

 $M(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 \ if \ v_i is \ not \ end \ of \ e_i \\ 1 \ if \ v_i is \ end \ of \ e_i \end{cases}$

$$V(x_i, x_j = 1, \forall (x_i, x_j) \in V) \text{ while } V(x_i, x_j) = i,$$
$$i = 2,3,4,5, \forall (x_i, x_j) \notin V$$

In the following graph G_1 and G_2



Of the graph G_1 we apply Anti fuzzy graph $M(v_1, v_2) = 1 \text{ and } S(v_1)S(v_2) = 1$ Then $M(v_1, v_2) \le S(v_1)S(v_2)$ $M(v_1, v_2) = 2$ $S(v_1) = 1, S(v_3) = 3$ Then $M(v_1, v_3) \le S(v_1)S(v_3)$ If $M(v_3, v_4) = 3$ $S(v_3) = 3, S(v_4) = 4$ $M(v_3, v_4) \le S(v_3)S(v_4)$ If $M(v_2, v_4) = 4$ $S(v_2) = 1, S(v_4) = 4$

Then $M(v_2, v_4) \leq S(v_2)S(v_4)$

Of the graph G_2 we apply Anti fuzzy graph $M(v_1, v_2) = 2$ and $S(v_1) = 2, S(v_2) = 3$

such that $S(v_1) S(v_2) = 6$

Then
$$M(v_1, v_2) \leq S(v_1)S(v_2)$$

If $M(v_1, v_3) = 4$
 $S(v_1) = 2, S(v_3) = 4$
Then $M(v_1, v_3) \leq S(v_1)S(v_3)$
If $M(v_3, v_4) = 5$
 $S(v_3) = 4, S(v_4) = 5$
Then $M(v_3, v_4) \leq S(v_3)S(v_4)$
If $M(v_2, v_4) = 3$
 $S(v_2) = 3, S(v_4) = 5$
Then $M(v_2, v_4) \leq S(v_2)S(v_4)$

THEOREM: 3.1

If $G_1: (\sigma_1, \mu_1)$ and $G_2: (\sigma_2, \mu_2)$ are complete anti fuzzy graphs, then $G_1 \bigoplus G_2$ is a strong anti-fuzzy graph.

PROOF:

Let
$$G_1 \oplus G_2 = G = (\sigma, \mu)$$
 where $\sigma = \sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2$ and $\mu = \mu_1 \oplus \mu_2$ and
 $G: (V, X)$ where $V = V_1 \times V_2$ and
 $X = \{\{(u_1, v_1), (u_1, v_2) / (u_1 \in v_{1,}(v_1, v_2) \in X_2\} \cup \{((u_1, v_1)(u_2, v_1)))$
 $/v_1 \in v_2, (u_1, u_2) \in X_1\}$
 $\cup \{((u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2)), u_1, u_2 \in V_1, u_1 \neq u_2, v_1, v_2 \in V_1, v_1 \neq v_2\}$

Either $\{(u_1, u_2) \in X_1 \text{ or } (v_1, v_2) \in X_2\}$

CASE (i):

Let
$$\mathbf{e} = ((u_1, v_1), (u_1, v_2)), \forall u_1 \in V_1, (v_1, v_2) \in X_2$$

Then,

$$(\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)((u_1, v_1), (u_1, v_2)) = \min\{\sigma_1(u_1), \mu_2(v_1, v_2)\}$$
$$= \sigma_1(u_1) \vee [\sigma_2(v_1) \vee \sigma_2(v_2)]$$

Since G $_2$ is a complete anti fuzzy graph.

$$= [\sigma_1(u_1) \lor \sigma_2(v_1)] \lor [\sigma_1(u_1) \lor \sigma_2(v_2)]$$

$$= (\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2)(u_1, v_1) \lor (\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2)(u_1, v_2)$$

CASE (ii):

Let
$$\mathbf{e} = ((u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_1)), \forall v_1 \in V_2, (u_1, u_2) \in X_1$$

Then,

$$(\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)((u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_1)) = \min\{\sigma_2(v_1), \mu_1(u_1, u_2)\}$$

$$= \sigma_2(v_1) \vee [\sigma_1(u_1) \vee \sigma_1(u_2)]$$

Since G_1 is a complete anti fuzzy graph.

$$= [\sigma_1(u_1) \vee \sigma_2(v_1)] \vee [\sigma_1(u_2) \vee \sigma_2(v_1)]$$

$$= (\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2)(u_1, v_1) \lor (\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2)(u_2, v_1)$$

CASE (iii):

Let
$$\mathbf{e} = ((u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2)), \forall u_1, u_2 \in V_1, (v_1, v_2) \in V_2$$

a) Suppose $(u_1, u_2) \notin X_1$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in X_2$

Then,

$$(\mu_1 \bigoplus \mu_2) \big((u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \big) = \min\{\sigma_1(u_1), \sigma_1(u_2) \mu_2(v_1, v_2)\}$$

$$= \sigma_1(u_1) \vee \sigma_1(u_2) \vee \{\sigma_2(v_1), \sigma_2(v_2)\}$$

Since G_2 is a complete anti fuzzy graph.

$$= [\sigma_1(u_1) \vee \sigma_2(v_1)] \vee [\sigma_1(u_2) \vee \sigma_2(v_2)]$$
$$= (\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2)(u_1, v_1) \vee (\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2)(u_2, v_2)$$

b) Suppose $(u_1, u_2) \in X_1$ and $(v_1, v_2) \notin X_2$

Then,

$$(\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)((u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2)) = \min\{\sigma_2(v_1), \sigma_2(v_2), \mu_1(u_1, u_2)\}$$

$$= \sigma_2(v_1) \vee \sigma_2(v_2) \vee \{\sigma_1(u_1), \sigma_1(u_2)\}$$

Since G_1 is a complete anti fuzzy graph.

$$= [\sigma_1(u_1) \lor \sigma_2(v_1)] \lor [\sigma_1(u_2) \lor \sigma_2(v_2)]$$

$$= (\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2)(u_1, v_1) \lor (\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2)(u_2, v_2)$$

Thus in all cases, it is true that $G_1 \oplus G_2$ is a strong anti-fuzzy graph.

REFERENE

- [1] K. R. Bhutani, On automorphism of fuzzy graphs, Pattern Recognition Letter 9 (1989), 159-162.
- [2] Frank Harary, Graph Theory, Indian Student Edition, Narosa/Addison Wesley, 1988.
- [3] Frank Harary and Gordon W. Wilcox, Boolean operations on graphs, Scand. 20 (1967), 41-51.
- [4] A. Nagoorgani and V. T. Chandrasekaran, A First Look at Fuzzy Graph Theory, Allied Publishers, 2010.
- [5] A. Nagoorgani and S. R. Latha, On irregular fuzzy graphs, Appl. J.Math. Sci. 6(11) (2012), 517-523.
- [6] A. Nagoorgani and S. R. Latha, Isomorphism on irregular fuzzy graphs, Inter. J.Math. Sci. Engg. Appls. (IJMSEA) 6(III) (2012), 193-208.
- [7] A. Nagoorgani and S. R. Latha, Some operations on fuzzy graph, Proceedings of the International Conference on Mathematical Methods and Computation, Feb. 2014.
- [8] A. Nagoorgani and J. Malarvizhi, Isomorphism on fuzzy graphs, Inter. J. Comput. Math. Sci. 2 (2008), 200-206.
- [9] A. Nagoorgani and K. Radha, On regular fuzzy graphs, J. Phys. Sci. 12 (2010), 33-40.
- [10] A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy graphs, Fuzzy Sets and their Applications to Cognitive and Decision Process, L. A. Zadeh, K. S. Fu, K.Tanaka and M. Shimura, eds., Academic Press, New York, 1975, pp. 75-95.
- [11] M. S. Sunitha and A. Vijayakumar, Complement of fuzzy graph, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 33(9) (2002), 1451-1464.
- [12] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control 8 (1965) 338-353.