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#### Abstract

In this work, we investigate the global stability of the following fourth- order rational difference equation $$
\begin{equation*} x_{n+1}=\frac{x_{n-1}^{b} x_{n-2}^{b} x_{n-3}+x_{n-1}^{b}+x_{n-3}+x_{n-2}^{b}+a}{x_{n-1}^{b} x_{n-2}^{b}+x_{n-1}^{b} x_{n-3}+x_{n-2}^{b} x_{n-3}+1+a}, \tag{1} \end{equation*}
$$


where $a, b \in[0, \infty)$ and the initial values $x_{-3}, x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_{0} \in(0, \infty)$.
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## 1 Introduction

Recently there been a great interest in studying the qualitative properties of rational difference equations for the systematical studies of rational and nonrational difference equations, one can refer to the monographs $[1,2]$ and the papers $[3-10]$ and references therein.

Rational difference equations can be look very simple, but in fact their global behaviors are mostly very complicated. Li and Zhu [1] are obtained a sufficient condition to quarente the global asymptotic stability of the following recursive sequence.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\frac{x_{n} x_{n-1}^{b}+x_{n-2}^{b}+a}{x_{n-1}^{b}+x_{n} x_{n-2}^{b}+a}, n=0,1,2,3 \ldots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{Li}[2]$ use a new method to investigate the qualitative properties of the following rational differ

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\frac{x_{n} x_{n-1} x_{n-3}+x_{n}+x_{n-1}+x_{n-3}+a}{x_{n} x_{n-1}+x_{n-1} x_{n-3}+x_{n} x_{n-3}+1+a} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the method of Li.V.V.Khuong[21,22,23] investigated the global behavior of the following fourth-order rational difference equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\frac{x_{n} x_{n-1}^{b} x_{n-3}+x_{n}+x_{n-1}^{b}+x_{n-3}+a}{x_{n} x_{n-1}^{b}+x_{n-1}^{b} x_{n-3}+x_{n} x_{n-3}+1+a}, n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To be motivated by the above studies, in this paper, we consider the following nonlinear differece equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\frac{x_{n-1}^{b} x_{n-2}^{b} x_{n-3}+x_{n-1}^{b}+x_{n-2}^{b}+x_{n-3}+a}{x_{n-1}^{b} x_{n-2}^{b}+x_{n-2}^{b} x_{n-3}+x_{n-1}^{b} x_{n-3}+1+a}, n=0,1,2,3 \ldots \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $a, b \in[0, \infty)$ and the inital values $x_{-3}, x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_{0} \in(0, \infty)$
We review some results which will be useful in our investigation.
Definition 1.1. Let $I \subset R$ and $f: I^{k+1} \rightarrow I$ be a continously differentiable, then for every set of intital conditions $x_{-k}, x_{-k+1}, \ldots, x_{-3}, x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_{0} \in I$ then the difference equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=f\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_{n-k}\right), n=0,1,2,3, \ldots \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Has an unique solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-k}^{\infty}$ A point $\bar{x} \in I$ is called an equilibrium point of equation (6) if
$\bar{x}=f(\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \ldots, \bar{x})$
Definition 1.2. let $\bar{x}$ be the equilibrium point of the Eq. (6)
(i) The equilibrium point $\bar{x}$ of Eq.(6) is locally stable if for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that for all
$x_{-k}, x_{-k+1}, \ldots, x_{0} \in I$, with

$$
\left|x_{-k}-\bar{x}\right|+\left|x_{-k+1}-\bar{x}\right|+\ldots+\left|x_{0}-\bar{x}\right|<\delta
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{n}-\bar{x}\right|<\varepsilon \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geqslant-k$ (ii) The equilibrium point $\bar{x}$ of Eq.(6) is called a global attractor if for every $x_{-k}, x_{-k+1}, \ldots, x_{0} \in I$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=\bar{x} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) The equilibrium point $\bar{x} \in E q$.(6) is called a global asymptotically stable of it is locally stable and a global attractor.
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Definition 1.3. A positive semicycle of a solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-k}^{\infty}$ consists of a "string" of terms $\left\{x_{l}, x_{l+1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$, all greater than or equal to the equilibrium $\bar{x}$, with $l \geqslant-3$ and $m \leqslant \infty$ and such that.

Either $l=-3$, or $l>-3$ and $x_{l-1}<\bar{x}$.
and either $m=\infty$, or $m<\infty$ and $x_{m+1}<\bar{x}$.

A negative semycycle of a solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ consists of a "string" of terms $\left\{x_{l}, x_{l+1}, x_{l+2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$ all less than to $\bar{x}$, with $l \geqslant-3$ and $m \leqslant \infty$ and such that. Either $l=-3$ or $l>-3$ and $x_{l-1} \geqslant \bar{x}$
and either $m=\infty$ or $m<\infty$ and $x_{m+1} \geqslant \bar{x}$ The lengh of a semicycle is the number of the total terms contained in it.

## 2 Several Lemmas

It is easy to see that the positive equilibrium point $\bar{x}$ of $\mathrm{Eq}(1)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}=\frac{\bar{x}^{1+2 b}+\bar{x}+2 \bar{x}^{b}+a}{2 \bar{x}^{1+b}+\bar{x}^{2 b}+1+a} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From which one can see that $\operatorname{Eq}(9)$ has an unique positve equilibrium $\bar{x}=1$
Lemma 2.1. A positive solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-3}^{\infty}$ of Eq.(1) is eventually equal to 1 if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{-2}-1\right)\left(x_{-3}-1\right)\left(x_{-1}-1\right)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume the (10) holds. Then according to Eq.(1), it is easy to see that $x_{n}=1$ for $n \geqslant 2$.
Conversely, assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{-2}-1\right)\left(x_{-3}-1\right)\left(x_{-1}-1\right)\left(x_{1}-1\right) \neq 0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then one can show that $x_{n} \neq 1$ for any $n \geqslant 2$
Assume the contrary that for some $N \geqslant 2$
$x_{n}=1$ and that $x_{n} \neq 1$ for $-3 \leqslant n \leqslant N-1$
It is easy to see that

$$
1=x_{N}=\frac{x_{N-2}^{b} x_{N-3}^{b} x_{N-4}+x_{N-2}^{b}+x_{N-3}^{b}+x_{N-4}+a}{x_{N-2}^{b} x_{N-3}^{b}+x_{N-3}^{b} x_{N-4}+x_{N-2}^{b} x_{N-4}+1+a}
$$

Which implies $\left(x_{N-4}-1\right)\left(x_{N-3}^{b}-1\right)\left(x_{N-2}^{b}-1\right)=0$. Obviously, this contradicts (10).

Remark 2.1 If the initial anditons do not satisfy (10), then, the for any solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-3}^{\infty}$ of Eq.(1), $x_{n} \neq 1$ for $n \geqslant-3$.
Here, the solution is a nontrivial one.
Definition 1.4 A solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-3}^{\infty}$ of Eq.(6) is said to be eventually trivial if $x_{n}$ eventually equal to $\bar{x}=1$; otherwise the solution is said to ve nontrivial.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-3}^{\infty}$ be an nontrivial positive solution of Eq.(1). Then the following conclusions are true for $n \geqslant 0$.
a) $\left(x_{n+1}-1\right)\left(x_{n-1}^{b}-1\right)\left(x_{n-2}^{b}-1\right)\left(x_{n-3}-1\right)>0$
b) $\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n-1}^{b}\right)\left(x_{n-1}^{b}-1\right)<0$
c) $\left(x_{n+1}-x_{x-2}^{b}\right)\left(x_{n-2}^{b}-1\right)<0$
d) $\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n-3}\right)\left(x_{n-3}-1\right)<0$

Proof, It follows in light of Eq.(1) that
$x_{n+1}-1=\frac{\left(x_{n-1}^{b}-1\right)\left(x_{n-2}^{b}-1\right)\left(x_{n-3}-1\right)}{x_{n-1}^{b} x_{n-2}^{b}+x_{n-2}^{b} x_{n-3}+x_{n-1}^{b} x_{n-3}+1+a}, n=0,1,2,3, \ldots$
and
$x_{n+1}-x_{n-2}^{b}=\frac{\left(1-x_{n-2}^{b}\left[x_{n-1}^{b}\left(1+x_{n-2}^{b}\right)+x_{n-3}\left(1+x_{n-2}^{b}\right)\right]+a\right.}{x_{n-1}^{b} x_{n-2}^{b}+x_{n-2}^{b} x_{n-3}+x_{n-1}^{b} x_{n-3}+1+a}, n=1,2,3, \ldots$
and
$x_{n+1}-x_{n-1}^{b}=\frac{\left(1-x_{n-1}^{b}\right)\left[x_{n-2}^{b}\left(1+x_{n-1}^{b}\right)+x_{n-3}\left(1+x_{n-1}^{b}\right)+a\right]}{x_{n-1}^{b} x_{n-2}^{b}+x_{n-2}^{b} x_{n-3}+x_{n-1}^{b} x_{x-3}+1+a}, n=0,1,2,3, \ldots$
and
$x_{n+1}-x_{n-3}=\frac{\left(1+x_{n-3}\right)\left[x_{n-1}^{b}\left(1+x_{n-3}\right)+x_{n-2}^{b}\left(1+x_{n-3}\right)+a\right]}{x_{n-1}^{b} x_{n-2}^{b}+x_{n-2}^{b} x_{n-3}+x_{n-1}^{b} x_{n-3}+1+a}, n=0,1,2,3 \ldots$

## 3 Main results

First we analyse the structure of the semicycles of nontrivial solution of Eq.(1). Here. we confine us to consider the situation of the strietly oscillatory of Eq.(1). From [6,7], we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-3}^{\infty}$ be a strietly oscillatory of Eq.(1). Then the "rule for the trajectory structure" of nontrivial solution of Eq.(1) is or $\ldots, 3^{-}, 1^{+}, 1^{-}, 2^{+}, 3^{-}, 1^{+}, 1^{-}, 2^{+}, \ldots$ or $\ldots, 3^{+}, 1^{-}, 1^{+}, 2^{-}, 3^{+}, 1^{-}, 1^{+}, 2^{-}, \ldots$

Theorem 3.2. Assume $a, b \in[0, \infty)$. Then the positive equilibrium of Eq.(1). is globally asymptotically stable and globally attractor.
Proof: The linearized equation of Eq.(1). about the positive equilibrium point $\bar{x}=1$ is.
$y_{n+1}=0 y_{n}+0 y_{n+1}+0 y_{n-3}, n=0,1,2,3, \ldots$
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by virtue of ([2], remark 1.2.7) $\bar{x}$ is locally asymptotically stable.
It remains to verify the every positive solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-3}^{\infty}$ of Eq.(1) converges to $\bar{x}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Namely, we want to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=\bar{x}=1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the intial values of the solution satisfy (10), then Lemma 2.1 says the solution is eventually equal to 1 and, of course (11) holds. Therefore, we assume in the following that the initial values of the solution do not satisfy (10). Then, Remark 2.1 we know, for any solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-3}^{\infty}$ of Eq.(1), $x_{n} \neq 1$ for $n \geqslant-3$

If the solution is nonoscillatory about the positive equilibrium point $\bar{x}=1$ of Eq.(1)., then we know from Lemma 2.2 (a) that the solution is actually an eventually positive one. According to Lemma 22(b), we see that $\left\{x_{2 n}\right\}$, $\left\{x_{2 n-1}\right\}$, are eventually decreasing and bounded from below by 1. So, the lemits $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{2 n}=L, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{2 n-1}=M$ exist and are finite. Note
$x_{2 n+1}=\frac{x_{2 n-1}^{b} x_{2 n-2}^{b} x_{2 n-3}+x_{2 n-1}^{b}+x_{2 n-2}^{b}+x_{2 n-3}+a}{x_{2 n-1}^{b} x_{2 n-2}^{b}+x_{2 n-3} x_{2 n-2}^{b}+x_{2 n-1}^{b} x_{2 n-3}+1+a}, n=1,2,3, \ldots$
$x_{2 n+2}=\frac{x_{2 n}^{b} x_{2 n-1}^{b} x_{2 n-2}+x_{n}^{b}+x_{2 n-1}^{b}+x_{2 n-2}+a}{x_{2 n}^{b} x_{2 n-1}^{b}+x_{2 n-2} x_{2 n-1}^{b}+x_{2 n}^{b} x_{2 n-2}+1+a}, ~ n=0,1,2,3, \ldots$
Take the limits on both sides of the above equations, we obtain.
$M=\frac{M^{1+b} L^{b}+M+L^{b}+M^{b}+a}{M L^{b}+L^{b} M^{b}+M^{1+b}+1+a}$
$L=\frac{M^{b} L^{b+1}+L+M^{b}+L^{b}+a}{L M^{b}+L^{b} M^{b}+L^{1+b}+1+a}$
We have $M=L=1$, which shows (12) is true. Thus, it suffices to prove that (12) hold for the solution to be the trictly oscillatory.

Assume now $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-3}^{\infty}$ to be strictly oscillatory solution about the positive equilibrium point $\bar{x}=1$ of Eq.(1).
By virtue of Theorem (3.1), one understands that the lengths of positive and nagative semicycles which occur successively is
or $\ldots, 3^{-}, 1^{+}, 1^{-}, 2^{+}, 3^{-}, 1^{+}, 1^{-}, 2^{+}, \ldots$
or $\ldots, 3^{+}, 1^{-}, 1^{+}, 2^{-}, 3^{+}, 1^{-}, 1^{+}, 2^{-}, \ldots$
First, we investigate the case where the rule for the lengths of positive and negative semicycles which occur successively is $\ldots, 3^{-}, 1^{+}, 1^{-}, 2^{+}, 3^{-}, 1^{+}, 1^{-}, 2^{+}, \ldots$ The rule for the nagative and positive semicycles to occur succesively can be periodically expressed as follows
$\left\{x_{p+7 n}, x_{p+7 n+1}, x_{p+7 n+2}\right\}^{-},\left\{x_{p+7 n+3}\right\}^{+},\left\{x_{p+7 n+4}\right\}^{-},\left\{x_{p+7 n+5}, x_{p+7 n+6}\right\}^{+}, n=0,1,2,3, \ldots$
We have easily the followings inequalities

$$
\frac{1}{x_{p+7(n-1)+3}}<x_{p+7 n}<x_{p+7 n+2}<x_{p+7 n+4}<x_{p+7 n+8}<\frac{1}{x_{p+7(n+1)+3}}<1
$$

We can see that $\frac{1}{x_{p+7(n+1)+3}}$ is increasing with upper bound 1. So, the limits

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{p+7 n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{p+7 n+2}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{p+7 n+4}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{p+7 n+8}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x_{p+7(n+1)+3}}=L
$$

exist and finite.
Nothing that

$$
x_{p+7 n+4}=\frac{x_{p+7 n+2}^{b} x_{p+7 n+1}^{b} x_{p+7 n}+x_{p+7 n+2}^{b}+x_{p+7 n+1}^{b} x_{p+7 n}+a}{x_{p+7 n+2}^{b} x_{p+7 n+1}^{b}+x_{p+7 n+1}^{b} x_{p+7 n}+x_{p+7 n+2}^{b} x_{p+7 n}+1+a}
$$

Taking the limits on both sides of this equality, we obtain

$$
L=\frac{L^{1+2 b}+2 L^{b}+L+a}{2 L^{1+b}+L^{2 b}+1+a}=>L=1
$$

Next, we also have

$$
1<x_{p+7 n+5}<x_{p+7 n+3}<x_{p+7(n-1)+6}<\frac{1}{x_{p+7(n-1)+2}}
$$

Taking the limits on both sides of this equality, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{p+7 n+5}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{p+7 n+3}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{p+7 n+6}=1
$$

Up to now, un the first case we have shown .
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{p+7 n+k}=1, k=\overline{0,6}$
So, we have (9). In the second case, one can prove by the semilar way, it is omited. The proof is complete reference.
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